#	Recommendation	Work stream(s)	Recommendation Implementation Status (CSA entry options: Fully implemented, Not Fully Implemented, Will Not Implement)	Estimated Completion Date	Please describe your agency's plan for imple
10	Develop a method for weighing comparable public and private sector pay data when establishing salaries for all positions.	 1.0 Set targets for UCOP employee salary ranges 2.0 Set targets for leadership salary ranges 3.0 Set targets for staff salary ranges 	Not Fully Implemented	April 2018	 A work group has been formed for the two p The work group members are compensation Meetings occur weekly. A project plan has b scheduled for information collection regardin management processes and salary ranges. Po employers have been identified for the staff are being reviewed and data is being tested t matches, etc. Once data sources are selected data can be established. An additional work group has been formed to salary ranges (Market Reference Zones), syst and Human Resource experts from OP and ca monthly. A project plan has been finalized. A collection are being identified/reviewed that collection. A call with CalHR is scheduled for to market pricing/data management process Chief Human Resource Officers from campus provide input throughout the process. Once comparable public/private pay data can be e Revised guidelines have been developed for the semi-annual equity/promotion process so to look at ranges and the weighting of market
11	Determine how to restructure salary ranges to make certain the ranges encourage employee development and ensure pay equity.	 1.0 Set targets for UCOP employee salary ranges 2.0 Set targets for leadership salary ranges 3.0 Set targets for staff salary ranges 	Not Fully Implemented	April 2018	A work group of compensation and Human R has been formed and will be working to addr development and assurance of pay equity. A compensation is scheduled for information c development.
12	Evaluate and identify needed changes in employee benefit policies to ensure they include reasonable safeguards to control costs.	4.0 Align expense reimbursement policies with best practice	Not Fully Implemented	April 2018	A memo restricting the use of funds for retire awards and spot awards at the Office of the were effective immediately. Benchmarking of California and federal rates is underway.
23	Set targets for any needed reductions to salary amounts using the results from its public and private sector comparison and adjust its salaries accordingly.	 1.0 Set targets for UCOP employee salary ranges 2.0 Set targets for leadership salary ranges 3.0 Set targets for staff salary ranges 	Not Fully Implemented	April 2019	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. A work group has been formed for the two p The work group members are compensation Meetings occur weekly. An additional work g the review of leadership salary ranges (Marke members are compensation and Human Reso Meetings occur twice monthly.

lementing the recommendation

projects related to staff salary ranges (OP and system). on experts from OP and campuses/medical centers. been finalized. A call with CalHR Compensation is ding the State's approach to market pricing/data Possible additional survey sources focusing on public ff positions and the survey protocols and methodologies d to determine integrity, adequate number of benchmark ted, a method for weighing comparable public/private pay

to address the project related to the review of leadership rstemwide. The work group members are compensation campuses/medical centers. Meetings occur twice . Additional survey sources or alternate methods of data at would support proper benchmark matching and data or information collection regarding the State's approach sses and salary ranges. An advisory group comprised of uses/medical centers has been created to review and e data sources are selected, a method for weighing established.

or the semi-annual equity/promotion process. At this time s scheduled for July 2017 has been suspended to continue ket data.

Resource experts from OP and campuses/medical centers dress salary range structure as it relates to employee A project plan has been finalized. A call with CalHR collection regarding the State's approach to employee

irement parties and gifts, morale-building activities, STAR e President was issued on May 31, 2017 and the changes g of UC policies to other universities, CSU, the state of

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

projects related to staff salary ranges (OP and system). on experts from OP and campuses/medical centers. k group has been formed to address the project related to rket Reference Zones), systemwide. The work group esource experts from OP and campuses/medical centers.

#	Recommendation	Work stream(s)	Recommendation Implementation Status (CSA entry options: Fully implemented, Not Fully Implemented, Will Not Implement)	Estimated Completion Date	Please describe your agency's plan for imple
24	Narrow its salary ranges.	 1.0 Set targets for UCOP employee salary ranges 2.0 Set targets for leadership salary ranges 3.0 Set targets for staff salary ranges 	Not Fully Implemented	April 2019	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. A work group has been formed for the two p The work group members are compensation Meetings occur weekly. An additional work g the review of leadership salary ranges (Mark members are compensation and Human Reso Meetings occur twice monthly. The informat currently in progress will be used to determin
25	Set targets for appropriate employee benefits and implement new processes that ensure employees adhere to the revised policies regarding employee benefits.	4.0 Align expense reimbursement policies with best practices	Not Fully Implemented	April 2019	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. Updates to policies based on benchmarking v published online.
26	Create a plan for reallocating funds to campuses that it saves as it reduces its staffing costs.	 1.0 Set targets for UCOP employee salary ranges 2.0 Set targets for leadership salary ranges 3.0 Set targets for staff salary ranges 4.0 Align expense reimbursement policies with best practices 	Not Fully Implemented	April 2019	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. A work group has been formed for the two p The work group members are compensation Meetings occur weekly. An additional work g the review of leadership salary ranges (Mark members are compensation and Human Reso Meetings occur twice monthly.
34	Adjust its salary levels and ranges to meet its established targets.	 1.0 Set targets for UCOP employee salary ranges 2.0 Set targets for leadership salary ranges 3.0 Set targets for staff salary ranges 	Not Fully Implemented	April 2020	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations.A work group has been formed for the two p The work group members are compensation Meetings occur weekly. An additional work g the review of leadership salary ranges (Marke members are compensation and Human Reso Meetings occur twice monthly. The informat currently in progress will be used to determine

lementing the recommendation

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

projects related to staff salary ranges (OP and system). on experts from OP and four campuses/medical centers. k group has been formed to address the project related to rket Reference Zones), systemwide. The work group esource experts from OP and campuses/medical centers. nation gathered through the in depth analysis that is nine the appropriate salary ranges.

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

with federal, state and other universities will be

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

projects related to staff salary ranges (OP and system). on experts from OP and four campuses/medical centers. k group has been formed to address the project related to rket Reference Zones), systemwide. The work group esource experts from OP and campuses/medical centers.

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

projects related to staff salary ranges (OP and system). on experts from OP and four campuses/medical centers. & group has been formed to address the project related to rket Reference Zones), systemwide. The work group esource experts from OP and campuses/medical centers. hation gathered through the in depth analysis that is nine the appropriate salary ranges and targets.

#	Recommendation	Work stream(s)	Recommendation Implementation Status (CSA entry options: Fully implemented, Not Fully Implemented, Will Not Implement)	Estimated Completion Date	Please describe your agency's plan for imple
35	Adjust its employee benefits to meet its established targets.	4.0 Align expense reimbursement policies with best practice	Not Fully Implemented	April 2020	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. Policies will be revised based on benchmarkin
36	Reallocate funds to campuses when adjustments to its salaries and benefits result in savings.	 1.0 Set targets for UCOP employee salary ranges 2.0 Set targets for leadership salary ranges 3.0 Set targets for staff salary ranges 4.0 Align expense reimbursement policies with best practices 	Not Fully Implemented	April 2020	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. Any potential savings from this process will e and areas that further support and benefit th
39	Report to the regents on the amount of funds it reallocates to campuses as a result of implementing our recommendations. (re: staffing costs)	 1.0 Set targets for UCOP employee salary ranges 2.0 Set targets for leadership salary ranges 3.0 Set targets for staff salary ranges 4.0 Align expense reimbursement policies with best practices 	Not Fully Implemented	April 2020	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. The Regents have established regular reporti and the recommendations made in the report throughout the year and on items recommen
13	Complete phase one of CalHR's best practice workforce planning model by developing a strategic direction for its workforce plan.	5.0 Implement workforce and staffing plans	Not Fully Implemented	April 2018	 A work group has been formed and has begu models currently in place at state and like un The work group consists of staff members fro program management office, departments fr being scheduled bi-weekly. An initial call with CalHR's Director of Human discuss CalHR's workforce planning model. A workforce planning for CalHR took place the

blementing the recommendation s dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

king with federal, state, and other university policies.

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

l either be reallocated to campuses or used for programs the campuses.

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

rting schedules related to audit implementation progress port. UCOP will report to the Regents at those times rended by the State Auditor in its report.

gun identifying and reviewing different workforce planning university systems to better understand the best practices. from compensation, talent acquisition, HR Generalists, the s from OP and campuses/medical centers. Meetings are

an Resources took place the week of May 15, 2017 to . A follow up call with the Division Chief in charge of ne week of June 12, 2017.

#	Recommendation	Work stream(s)	Recommendation Implementation Status (CSA entry options: Fully implemented, Not Fully Implemented, Will Not Implement)	Estimated Completion Date	Please describe your agency's plan for imple
27	Implement phase two of CalHR's best practice workforce planning model by determining its current and future staffing and competency gaps. As part of this phase, the Office of the President should consider the input of stakeholders including campuses and students, regarding which elements of its organization are of critical importance and which elements it could potentially eliminate or downsize.	5.0 Implement workforce and staffing plans	Not Fully Implemented	April 2019	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. From the beginning of implementation, UCOI stakeholders. The work group for this recommendation, HR Generalists, the progra representatives from campuses/medical cent analysis that is currently in progress will creat forward.
37	Implement phase three of CalHR's best practice workforce planning model by presenting the final workforce plan to its staff and beginning its implementation by carrying out workforce planning strategies covering a three-to five-year period. The Office of the President should make its final workforce plan publically available.	5.0 Implement workforce and staffing plans	Not Fully Implemented	April 2020	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. UCOP will carry out workforce planning strate its final workforce plan publicly available.
38	Implement phase four and five of CalHR's best practice workforce planning model by implementing its workforce plan strategies and annually evaluating the completed workforce plan strategies against defined performance indicators and revising the plan where necessary.	5.0 Implement workforce and staffing plans	Not Fully Implemented	April 2020	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. UCOP will annually evaluate its completed we indicators and revise the plan where necessa

lementing the recommendation

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

COP is proactively seeking and considering the input of mmendation includes staff members from compensation, gram management office, key units within OP and enters. Meetings are being scheduled bi-weekly. The eate the structure and process that OP will use moving

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

ategies covering a three to five year period and will make

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

workforce plan strategies against defined performance sary.

#	Recommendation	Work stream(s)	Recommendation Implementation Status (CSA entry options: Fully implemented, Not Fully Implemented, Will Not Implement)	Estimated Completion Date	Please describe your agency's plan for imple
3	Document and review the restrictions on its funds and fund commitments to determine whether it can reallocate any of these funds to its discretionary budget for reallocation to campuses.	6.0 Reassess fund restrictions	Not Fully Implemented	April 2018	A fund restrictions work group has been form consists of staff members from UCOP and sys experts as required (e.g. Office of General Co includes: (1) Review all restricted funds, (2) E least / most restrictive definitions, (3) Apply a opportunity areas, (4) Develop comprehensiv and (5) Establish where fund restrictions can The work group has finalizing a work plan and This involves identifying all funds and any exi restrictions as well as identifying how or who vs. UCOP restriction). In addition, the work p benchmarks.
20	Publish the results of its review of fund restrictions and fund commitments and any funds it anticipates reallocating to campuses.	6.0 Reassess fund restrictions	Not Fully Implemented	April 2019	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. The fund restrictions work group, consisting of a white-paper and proposed recommendation required approvals. This document will serve restricted funds, potentially supporting portion sources. The information will be published are determined by the Regents.
31	Reallocate to the campuses funds that it identified during its review of fund restrictions and fund commitments.	6.0 Reassess fund restrictions	Not Fully Implemented	April 2020	 Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations and may be dependent or recommendation varies under the established appropriations. Upon completing the initial analysis of current consisting of OP and systemwide staff, will defund restrictions and balances. Where fund re broader uses, UCOP will recommend using the and benefit the campuses.

lementing the recommendation

rmed and is meeting twice monthly. The work group systemwide finance, and will draw on subject matter Counsel). The work group has identified the scope which) Evaluate use of fund categories to separate based on y a materiality methodology to focus on the largest sive documentation for future use and regular reviews, an be removed or modified.

and is launching the analysis and documentation phase. existing documentation. It includes understanding the ho made the initial restriction (e.g. Federal Research grant plan includes assessment of existing best practices and

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

g of staff from UCOP and systemwide finance, will develop tion to be reviewed by key stakeholders and presented for ve as a guideline to govern the use and re-allocation of rtions of the budget currently funded by unrestricted and made accessible in the appropriate format as

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018 on UCOP's on-going funding sources. Impact of this hed campus assessment model versus direct state

ent fund restrictions, the fund restrictions work group, develop and document a process for an annual review of restrictions or changes in restrictions will allow for these funds for programs and areas that further support

#	Recommendation	Work stream(s)	Recommendation Implementation Status (CSA entry options: Fully implemented, Not Fully Implemented, Will Not Implement)	Estimated Completion Date	Please describe your agency's plan for imple
4	Develop a reserve policy that governs how large its reserve should be and the purposes for which it can be used.	7.0 Establish UCOP reserve policy	Not Fully Implemented	April 2018	The reserve policy work group, which include formed and is currently meeting weekly to de benchmarking methodologies, and develop a defined as: 1) complete a review of current L baseline"); 2) conduct research / benchmarki UCOP Reserves policy and obtain stakeholder approve how the reserves will be funded. Research and benchmarking efforts are alrea institutions (e.g., CSU, Big 10, SUNY, etc.), inc well as other industry and government entitie
5	Implement our recommended budget presentation shown in figure 11 on page 40. Specifically, the Office of the President's budget presentation to the regents should include a comparison of its proposed budget to its actual expenditures for the previous year. It should also include all its expenditures and identify changes to the discretionary and restricted reserves. The Office of the President should combine both the disclosed and undisclosed budget into one budget presentation.	8.0 Clarify UCOP budget presentation	Not Fully Implemented	April 2018	The budget presentation work group has bee Auditor budget format as detailed in Figure 1 in the FY17-18 Regents budget presentation of FY16-17 forecasted actual expenditures comp including projections of fund balances, and (3 One-Time spend including systemwide progra included in the work plan. The work group has already begun developm actuals on a quarterly basis to the regents. Re visibility to all expenditures and reserves. The financial systems including the Budget Develo systems with robust functionality, including r
22	Continue to present a comprehensive budget based on the presentation in Figure 11 to the regents, the Legislature, and the public.	8.0 Clarify UCOP budget presentation	Not Fully Implemented	April 2019	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. The budget presentation work group plans to support on-going development and transpard replacement of the existing budget developm best practices guidelines, to establish user re

lementing the recommendation

des both UCOP and campus representatives, has been define our scope, determine our research and o a more detailed workplan. Current objectives have been t UCOP reserve practices and existing reserves ("set the rking to document best-practices; 3) develop a formal der agreement and Regental approval; and 4) develop and

eady underway and will include: higher education ndustry associations (e.g., NACUBO, EAB, GFAO, etc.), as ities.

een formed and meets regularly to incorporate the State e 11, page 40 of the State Auditor's audit report. Included n were the following elements: (1) Incorporation of the mpared with budget, (2) Detail of all expenditures (3) A combined comprehensive view of Permanent and grams and initiatives. All of the above elements are

ment of improved reporting, and will provide budget to Reporting improvements are also expected to provide he work group has initiated a project to evaluate current elopment System to identify gaps and evaluate improved g reporting.

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

to implement improved financial systems to better arency of the budget presentation, and this requires pment system (BDS). Figure 11 will be used, among other requirements for a BDS system.

#	Recommendation	Work stream(s)	Recommendation Implementation Status (CSA entry options: Fully implemented, Not Fully Implemented, Will Not Implement)	Estimated Completion Date	Please describe your agency's plan for imple
6	Increase opportunities for campus stakeholder input in the budget development process by reconvening the campus budget committee and establishing an agreed upon charter that describes the committee's scope, role, and protocol for reviewing and providing comments on the Office of the President's annual budget.	9.0 Improve UCOP budget process	Not Fully Implemented	April 2018	A budget process work group has been forme recommendation, UCOP did conduct a review (VCPBs) on May 5 th , prior to the May Regents focused on (1) expanding the involvement of formalizing governance for UCOP budget dev The President has reconvened and expanded Committee (EBC), and a charter is being deve EBC consists of campus leaders from every ca leaders, and will serve in an advisory capacity for August 2017.
21	Implement the best practices for budgeting identified by the GFOA and NACUBO, including developing budget policies and procedures and formally documenting, approving, and justifying all one-time and unexpected expenditure requests.	9.0 Improve UCOP budget process	Not Fully Implemented	April 2019	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. A budget process work group has been forme and document budgeting best-practices. This practices like NACUBO, GFOA, and other sour best-practices and recommend an annual bu This process will leverage all established revie stakeholders consistent with the recommend Implementation of this recommendation will controls for one-time spending items.
32	Evaluate its budget process to ensure that it is efficient and has adequate safeguards that ensure that staff approve and justify all budget expenditures. If the Office of the President determines that its safeguards are sufficient, it should begin developing a multiyear budget plan.	9.0 Improve UCOP budget process	Not Fully Implemented	April 2020	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. A budget process work group has been forme budget system to replace the existing limited System (BDS). In connection with the UCLA fi UCLA general ledger and procurement modu some of the campuses to evaluate process ar the work group will (1) evaluate current proc technology and implement updated systems in our requirements. Any UCOP investment in complete multi-year budgets.

lementing the recommendation

med and meets regularly. In connection with this ew of the FY17-18 budget with campus stakeholders hts presentation. Moving forward, the work group is of key stakeholders, (2) documenting processes, and (2) evelopment.

ed the scope of the UCOP budget Executive Budget veloped to include governance of the UCOP budget. The campus, Academic Senate representatives, and UCOP ity to the President. Its first meeting is being scheduled

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

med and will conduct a research phase that will identify his review will include leveraging sources of common bestburces. UCOP will develop a white paper to document budget process from kick-off through Regents approval.

view committees (Executive Budget Committee) and key ndation to reconvene the Executive Budget Committee. *v*ill require that we improve process, reporting and

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

med and has begun early stages of scoping a robust ed functionality of the current Budget Development financial systems replacement project (UCOP leverages dules), the work group is reviewing budget systems at and technology. In connection with a BDS replacement, ocess, flows and budget controls and (2) evaluate ns with new policies, procedures and controls documented t in an updated budgeting tool will be functionality to

#	Recommendation	Work stream(s)	Recommendation Implementation Status (CSA entry options: Fully implemented, Not Fully Implemented, Will Not Implement)	Estimated Completion Date	Please describe your agency's plan for imple
33	Report to the regents on the amount of funds it reallocated to campuses as a result of implementing our recommendations. (re: budget process)	9.0 Improve UCOP budget process	Not Fully Implemented	April 2020	Implementation of this recommendation is de recommendations. Included in research and evaluation of Best-P groups will develop a comprehensive budget work groups will include the requirement to a offset subsequent year assessments or other
18	Develop and use a clear definition of systemwide initiatives and administration to ensure consistency in future budgets.	10.0 Define systemwide initiatives and establish budget targets	Not Fully Implemented	April 2018	A UCOP systemwide initiatives work group had from key stakeholders across a variety of fun- work group has met and a workplan has beer and a work group charter. The work group wi initiatives and how they are defined, (2) under practices and leverage existing methodologie document and develop processes to different future budgets.
19	Develop a comprehensive list of systemwide initiatives and presidential initiatives, including their purpose and actual cost that will be used in the regents' meeting previously recommended.	10.0 Define systemwide initiatives and establish budget targets	Not Fully Implemented	April 2018	A UCOP systemwide initiatives work group had from key stakeholders across a variety of fun- work group will complete a baseline review in systemwide and Presidential initiatives. The of levels, and costs. This baseline will be used in recommendations. Consistent with recommen- these initiatives will be presented in the annu-
28	Establish spending targets for systemwide initiatives and administrative costs.	10.0 Define systemwide initiatives and establish budget targets	Not Fully Implemented	April 2019	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. The systemwide initiatives work group will w work flow, approvals and review of initiatives documentation will include methodology for targets expected to include targets for system targets and the prioritization of alternatives Budget Committee who will serve in an advis
29	Publish the results of the review of systemwide and presidential initiatives including any funds the Office of the President anticipates reallocating to the campuses.	10.0 Define systemwide initiatives and establish budget targets	Not Fully Implemented	April 2019	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. The systemwide and Presidential initiatives w transparency. The work group will engage the to evaluate the review of the portfolio of pro ensure review so that less impactful items ma results.

lementing the recommendation

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

t-Practices, the budget process and presentation work et check-list to be submitted annually with budgets. The o report amounts reallocated to campuses or used to er purposes as determined by the President.

has been formed. This group includes representation unctional areas within the Office of the President. The een developed. It has begun formulating a project scope will: (1) develop a baseline understanding of current idertake a research phase as required to understand best gies, (3) establish and apply clear definitions, and (4) entiate types of initiatives and use them consistently in

has been formed. This group includes representation unctional areas within the Office of the President. The v including development of a comprehensive list of e documentation will explain: purpose, commitment in the subsequent research phases to implement further mendations related to budget process and presentation, unual budget process.

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

work with the budget process work group to develop ves in the context of annual budgets. The budget process or establishment and communication of annual budget emwide and Presidential initiatives. The establishment of s will include key stakeholders such as the Executive visory capacity to the President.

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

work group will develop reporting to enable the Executive Budget Committee and develop an approach rojects and initiatives. Governance will be installed to may be discontinued. The work group will publish their

#	Recommendation	Work stream(s)	Recommendation Implementation Status (CSA entry options: Fully implemented, Not Fully Implemented, Will Not Implement)	Estimated Completion Date	Please describe your agency's plan for imple
30	Restructure budget and accounting systems to ensure the costs of the Office of the President can be clearly tracked and reported annually. Specifically, the budget and accounting systems should be able to distinguish between systemwide initiatives, presidential initiatives, and administrative costs.	10.0 Define systemwide initiatives and establish budget targets	Not Fully Implemented	April 2019	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. UCOP will review budget development tools systems replacement project (driven by UCLA budget tool. In establishing the UCOP require categorize Systemwide and Presidential initia budgeting, tracking and reporting on these p year spending.
40	Publicly publish its progress in meeting systemwide initiative and administrative cost targets.	10.0 Define systemwide initiatives and establish budget targets	Not Fully Implemented	April 2020	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. Upon completion of this requirement, UCOP reports regarding systemwide and Presidenti
41	Reallocate funds from the review of systemwide and presidential initiatives, as well as any administrative cost savings, to campuses.	10.0 Define systemwide initiatives and establish budget targets	Not Fully Implemented	April 2020	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. The systemwide and Presidential initiatives v transparency. The work group will publish re campuses will be included as applicable.
42	Report to the regents on the amount of funds reallocated to campuses. (re: systemwide initiatives)	10.0 Define systemwide initiatives and establish budget targets	Not Fully Implemented	April 2020	Implementation of this recommendation is d recommendations. Included in our research and evaluation of Be budget check-list to be submitted annually w amounts reallocated to campuses or used fo the campuses.

lementing the recommendation

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

Is in use at select campuses as part of the financial CLA) with a goal of identifying and implementing a new irements, we will explore greater use of systems to tiatives. The requirements will also include improved projects to capture inception-to-date, annual and multi-

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

OP will identify the appropriate and best way to publish ntial initiatives to ensure transparency of these efforts. s dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

s work group will develop reporting to enable reports their results. Potential reallocations to the

dependent on actions taken in response to the April 2018

Best-Practices, UCOP will develop a comprehensive with budgets. We will include the requirement to report for programs and areas that further support and benefit