# Modeling Organic Waste Management for Soil Carbon Sequestration in California

Anaya Hall | CNI Climate Action Fellow | PhD Student | Energy & Resources Group | UC Berkeley

Composted municipal organic waste used as a soil amendment can both offset landfill greenhouse gas emissions and rebuild soil carbon in California's working lands

#### SPATIAL OPTIMIZATION MODEL

## **RESEARCH GOALS**

>> This work combines spatial optimization and life-cycle assessment to consider the technical, economic, and institutional potential of actively managing the State's food scraps and green waste for climate change mitigation.

>> The goals of this research are to identify the cost and performance of re-imagining municipal organic waste streams as emissions sinks, rather than sources, and to assist decision-makers in developing strategies to manage waste and promote soil health.



## **METHODS**

>> A linear programming model (illustrated to the left) was constructed to determine how best to distribute organic waste ('feedstock') and finished compost between nodes, s.t. capacity constraints.
>> The solved quantities (F<sup>\*</sup><sub>ij</sub> and C<sup>\*</sup><sub>jk</sub>) were used to calculate carbon sequestration, net emissions, and overall cost under a range of disposal scenarios.
Optimization Goal: Minimize CO<sub>2</sub>e
Key Parameters: Haversine distances (D<sup>\*</sup><sub>ij</sub>, L<sup>\*</sup><sub>ik</sub>)

between counties, compost facilities, & ranglands<sub>k</sub>; emission factors for transportation; compost processing, application, & avoided emissions; and rangeland sequestration rate.

## **BACKGROUND**

#### **ORGANIC WASTE & GHG EMISSIONS**

More than <u>30%</u> of the food supply in the U.S. is never consumed<sup>1</sup> -- over half of this occurs at the household level and the vast majority ends up in <u>landfills</u> where energy and nutrients in food are broken down releasing methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), a potent greenhouse gas<sup>2</sup>. In California this amounts to <u>6 million tonnes</u> of food scraps landfilled every year. As a result, organic material is contributes <u>over half</u> of the state's anthropogenic methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) emissions.<sup>3</sup>

## **DATA SOURCES**

>> Organic Biomass - Breunig et al. 2017<sup>5</sup>
 >> Compost Infrastructure - CalRecycle SWIS<sup>6</sup>
 >> Grazed Grassland - California DOC 2016<sup>7</sup>

## **RESULTS**

#### FROM SOURCE TO SINK: ~ \$50/tCO<sub>2</sub>e

>> The results of the model indicate a technical mitigation potential of ~8.5 MMT CO<sub>2</sub>e, at a cost of \$44 to \$53 per ton CO<sub>2</sub>e. >> Sensitivity analyses suggest that some of the most influential parameters to this model are the <u>cost of transportation</u> (positively associated with abatement cost) and <u>available composting capacity</u> (negatively associated with abatement cost).

## **IMPACT & FUTURE WORK**

>> Within current system constraints utilizing municipally generated feedstocks can yield considerable carbon sequestration benefits and present a <u>cost-effective approach to climate change</u> <u>mitigation</u> in the range of proposed federal sequestration credits<sup>8</sup>.
>> This model is currently being revised to incorporate <u>cultivated crop lands</u> as a potential site of compost amendment and determine <u>optimal siting</u> locations for development of new composting infrastructure in California.

#### **CARBON SEQUESTRATION IN SOIL**

In addition to preventing disposal emissions, amendments of composted organic material to rangelands can <u>sequester new carbon</u> at rates of 1 metric ton C/ha/y<sup>3.</sup> Compost-amended fields show improved forage production quantity and quality.<sup>4</sup> Organic matter in soil also reduces erosion, enhances nutrient retention, and increases water holding capacity.

#### **MATERIAL FLOW**

>> The map above visualizes the modeled movement of feedstock (food scraps & yard waste) from counties to compost facilities (green) and finished compost from facilities to rangelands (blue), highlighting the large travel distances and volume of material in the south coast region of the State.

#### UCOP Bonnie Reiss CNI Fellow Class of 2020 INFEWS Fellow (DGE #1633740)

- Buzby, J. C., Farah-Wells, H., & Hyman, J. (2014). The estimated amount, value, and calories of postharvest food losses at the retail and consumer levels in the United States. USDA-ERS Economic Information Bulletin, (121)
- 2. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2012a. Chapter 8. Waste. Inventory of US greenhouse gas emissions and sinks: 1990–2010. San Francisco (CA): EPA.
- 3. CalRecycle. 2018. "Food Scraps Management: Organic Materials Management". Accessed April 2020 from https://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/organics/food.
- 4. Ryals, R., & Silver, W. L. (2013). Effects of organic matter amendments on net primary productivity and greenhouse gas emissions in annual grasslands. *Ecological Applications*, 23(1), 46–59. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/23440816</u>
- 5. Breunig, H.M., Jin, L., Robinson, A. and Scown, C.D., 2017. Bioenergy potential from food waste in California. *Environmental science & technology*, 51(3), pp.1120-1128.
- 6. CalRecycle Solid Waste Inventory System (SWIS) Accessed July 2019 from https://www2.calrecycle.ca.gov/SWFacilities/Directory/
- 7. California Department of Conservation Farmland Monitoring and Mapping program. Accessed July 2019 from <u>https://www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/fmmp/Pages/Maps-and-Data.aspx</u>.
- 8. Jacobs, W., Chohen, L., Kostakidis-Lianos, L. and Rundell, S., 2009. Proposed roadmap for overcoming legal and financial obstacles to carbon capture and sequestration (No. ETP-Discussion Paper-2009-04). Energy Technology Innovation Policy Research Group, Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, Harvard Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA (United States).