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## I. Introduction

This report provides information for the Special Visit to be conducted at the University of California Office of the President (UCOP) on October 8-9, 2009, by an evaluation team from the Western Association of Schools and Colleges (WASC). WASC requested the visit in a Commission Action Letter dated February 26, 2008, to President Robert Dynes, UC’s chief executive at the time (Appendix 1). The purpose of the upcoming review is to assess progress made in addressing issues raised in the Commission letter as well as related recommendations from a WASC team report for a Special Visit conducted at UCOP in October 2007 (Appendix 2).

In brief, the four issues highlighted by the Commission are:

- strengthening the role of the President;
- redefining the role of the Board of Regents;
- reviewing the operational culture of the Board; and,
- continuing efforts to address compensation practices.

In addition, the five recommendations from the Special Visit Team Report are:

- to build processes to assure that adopted policies are preserved, understood, and publicly available;
- to continue building an effective governance structure including a strong and properly staffed Audit Committee supported by financial accounting and control systems with a system for verifying regulatory compliance;
- to develop procedures for timely appointments of senior officers consistent with the competitive marketplace;
- to develop a clear understanding of the roles, responsibilities, and expectations of both the President and the Board of Regents; and,
- to develop protocols to support campus leaders during periods of turmoil and effective central systems for common administrative functions.

As a presidential search was underway when WASC issued the Action Letter, the Commission also requested a meeting with President Dynes’ successor within 60 days of appointment. A meeting between WASC Executive Director Ralph Wolff and President Mark Yudof took place in July 2008.

## Organization of Report

A significant portion of the report is devoted to progress the University has made over the past year and a half to address governance issues that were the primary concerns identified in both the Commission Action Letter and the Special Visit Team Report. Those broad categories of concern (noted above) provide section headings to organize presentation of numerous, critical steps UC has taken with respect to the role of the President as well as the role and operational culture of the Board of Regents. Activities that relate to recommendations from the Team Report are presented in the context of these broad categories and then linked with the recommendations in chart form.

While commending the University for the many measures undertaken to address compensation issues, the Commission expressed an interest in continuing efforts on compensation policy and practice. Accordingly, one section of the report highlights major activities of interest since the previous visit. More detailed information from summary charts in the appendices provides a comprehensive reference on compensation-related activities.

Per WASC guidelines, the report includes identification of new challenges facing the University. A concluding statement provides UC's perspective on how Board and UCOP reforms--taken as a whole since compensation issues first arose three years ago--relate to the WASC accreditation standards.

## Report Preparation and Review

Report preparation involved members of both the Regents’ and the President's staff. The Office of the Secretary and Chief of Staff to the Regents took the lead in drafting sections related to the Board's governance role, operational culture and efforts to strengthen the role of the President. Staff in Human Resources provided the tables on the status of actions related to recommendations from the UC Task Force on Compensation, Accountability and Transparency as well as response to reviews conducted by the Bureau of State Audits, UC Internal Audit, and PricewaterhouseCoopers. The UCOP Planning, Programs \& Coordination unit took the lead in writing other report sections, integrating these sections with the Secretary and Chief of Staff's work and HR contributions, and finalizing the report.

Leaders from the Board, the administration and the Academic Senate reviewed the report. The following lists individuals who were provided an opportunity to read and comment on the draft.

| Regents: | Russ Gould, Board Chair <br> Sherry Lansing, Board Vice Chair <br> Paul Wachter, Governance Chair <br> Joanne Kozberg, Governance Committee member <br> Bruce Varner, Compensation Committee Chair |
| :--- | :--- |
| Regents' Officers: $\quad$General Counsel and Vice President for Legal Affairs <br> $\quad$ Charles Robinson |  |
| Chief Compliance and Audit Officer and Senior Vice |  |
| $\quad$ President Sheryl Vacca |  |

Academic Senate: Mary Croughan, 2008-09 Council Chair
Henry Powell, 2008-09 Council Vice Chair
Copies of the final report will be sent to individuals listed above, to all members of the Board of Regents and to the Chancellors.

## Major Changes since the Last WASC Visit

The University has undergone significant changes at the system level since the last WASC visit in October 2007. Chief among these transitions was the appointment of President Yudof who assumed office June 16, 2008. The President, moreover, continued a major effort to restructure the Office of the President that was underway when he arrived. Restructuring was motivated by the need both to improve performance and efficiency of UCOP as well as to restore Board and campus confidence in its operations.

The organization, management and staffing of UCOP is quite different now than it was eighteen months ago. Each division has undergone a full restructuring review. A coordinated effort was made to streamline essential services to make them more efficient and to improve their effectiveness. Analyses were conducted to determine whether UCOP units added value in terms of functions required at the system level. Specific strategies deployed to enhance efficiency and improve performance included the following: (Appendix 3, p.4-6)

- consolidation of redundant administrative support functions into single UCOP-wide units organized and staffed to serve the entire organization efficiently;
- thorough department-by-department reviews, identification of low-priority activities to be eliminated and high-priority functions requiring additional investment;
- reconfiguration of organizational units to align with priorities that support the President's role as chief executive officer, academic leader, primary external advocate and guardian of the public trust;
- implementation of a voluntary separation program (through June 30, 2008);
- imposition of vacancy controls as well as rigorous controls on travel, entertainment and other expenditures;
- establishment of consistent governance and oversight of programs administered by the UCOP on behalf of the system; and,
- refinement of UCOP budget process and financial controls.

Comparison of the current organizational structure with that in place at the time of the last visit highlights leadership changes that have taken place (Appendix 4). Two new positions report directly to the President: an Executive Vice President/Chief Financial Officer and an Associate Vice President for Policy and Analysis. The latter oversees two new units: Institutional Research and the Issues Management, Policy Analysis and Coordination unit. In addition, responsibilities for certain areas that were previously paired have since been separated. External Relations and Laboratory Management are now distinct divisions lead by a Senior Vice President and Executive Vice President respectively. Likewise, oversight of Health Sciences and Services is no longer part of the Provost's portfolio but has a dedicated Senior Vice President. Finally,
leadership of the Human Resources function was elevated from an Associate Vice President position to a Vice President position.

Some other major additions to University leadership prompted by compensation concerns were in place at the time of the last visit nearly two years ago. Those include the hiring of a Senior Vice President/Chief Compliance and Audit Officer, a Secretary and Chief of Staff to the Regents, as well as a new Executive Vice President for Business Operations. The latter positions expanded responsibilities of previous posts--the Secretary to the Regents and Senior Vice President for Business and Finance, respectively.

As discussed in greater detail below, the reforms collectively resulted in a streamlined system office that operates with greater efficiency and enjoys renewed confidence from members of the Board of Regents. In addition to improved functioning, restructuring has produced significant savings and reduced the number of staff positions at the Office of the President. As of May 2009, changes at UCOP over the previous two year period yielded $\$ 62.2$ million in savings and reduced staff by more than 30 \% to roughly 1,400 fulltime equivalent (FTE) employees (Appendix 5, attachment p.1).

There have been a number of changes in campus leadership positions as well. Six of the ten UC campuses have Chancellors newly inaugurated or appointed since the Special Visit in October 2007: Davis, UCLA, Merced, Riverside, UCSF, and Santa Cruz. At this juncture, three Chancellors (Berkeley, Irvine and San Diego) have served 3-5 years. Chancellor Henry Yang is the longest-serving campus chief executive in the system having filled that post at UC Santa Barbara since 1995.

Deep cuts in state funding represent another critical change for UC since the first WASC review. Fiscal issues are discussed in Section V as part of new challenges facing UC.

## II. Response to Governance Issues Identified by the Commission

In the spring of 2007, well prior to the WASC Special Visit, the Board of Regents and the President jointly began an assessment of the organization and operations of the University's finance and administrative functions. The initial diagnostic phase of the review was performed by the Monitor Group, an outside consultant, and revealed a lack of clarity about the mission of UCOP and confusion regarding the appropriate role of the Board of Regents. The Board had taken steps necessary to exercise its fiduciary duties in a time of crisis, but in doing so had raised questions about the role of the Board, the President and the Office of the President. The Monitor report also found significant dissatisfaction with UCOP's performance in certain areas as well as concerns about its role in areas where it was perceived to add limited value.

To address the issues identified by the Monitor Group, the former Provost and Executive Vice President Rory Hume convened the Working Group on the Roles of the Office of the President, chaired by UC Davis Chancellor Larry Vanderhoef. The charge to the Working Group was to examine the institutional roles to determine where changes were needed in administrative governance. The Working Group issued its report on January 8, 2008 (Appendix 6). The Committee on Governance reviewed and discussed the Working Group Report at its January 16, 2008 meeting (Appendix 7, p.4).

WASC identified similar issues in its review. While noting that "...a number of steps have indeed been taken and progress has been made..." and specifically noting the Committee on Governance activities, the Commission's letter of February 26, 2008 identified four areas that warranted continued University attention. As noted above, these areas include strengthening the role of the President, redefining the role of the Board of Regents, and reviewing the operational culture of the Board.. These issues identified in the Commission letter largely mirror the recommendations of the Working Group which, as the letter notes, the Committee on Governance was actively addressing.

As summarized in the minutes of the January 2008 presentation by Chancellor Vanderhoef to the Committee on Governance, the Working Group Report recommended:
"...several organizational design principles, including a preference for a strong President with a lean and well-focused staff; sensitivity to the need to strike a balance between campus autonomy and the interests of the institution as a whole; the assurance that the President, Chancellors, and respective staffs have clear roles and thereby clear mechanisms for accountability; and the establishment of integrated information and risk management systems that not only support good decision-making on the campuses but also in the Office of the President and that help manage risk and promote transparency and accountability."

The leadership of the University has continued to focus its attention in three principal areas: revitalizing the leadership of the University, enhancing the effectiveness of the governance structure, and reconfiguring UCOP to more directly support the role of the President. The efforts are substantial, ongoing, and, to an extent, preceded the arrival of the WASC Special Visit Team.

## Strengthening the Role of the President

Following a national search by a selection panel that included faculty, staff, students and alumni and that invited nominations and conferred with the Academic Advisory Committee as well as other constituent groups per Regents’ policy, Mark Yudof was appointed the 19th president of the University of California on March 27, 2008.

President Yudof's credentials are well known. He is a proven and experienced leader. Most recently, he served six years as Chancellor of the University of Texas system, one of the few university systems in the country reasonably comparable to the University of California, and served as President of the University of Minnesota for five years before becoming University of Texas Chancellor. He is a fellow of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, a member of the American Law Institute, a member of the President's Council on Service and Civic Participation, and serves on the Council of Presidents for the Association of Governing Boards (AGB).

President Yudof, with the full cooperation and support of the Board and consistent with the recommendations of the Working Group Report, has strengthened the role of the President. He has, in the words of the Working Group Report, established himself as the academic leader of the institution, the primary external advocate, the chief executive officer and guardian of the public trust.

As noted above, he continued efforts initiated prior to his arrival, significantly reducing the size of the Office of the President and transferring or eliminating functions that did not directly support his role as chief executive for the University. He has redefined the role of UCOP, removing it from the transactional approval role for the campuses and moving to a system, as he described at the September 2008 Regents Retreat, "...where the campuses are held accountable, appropriate review levels are established, and random audits and compliance reviews are increased." He has reviewed Office of the President and campus budgets in detail and moved to solidify the University's organizational and financial structures, making key additions to his staff to address areas of concern.

In the view of Board, the appointment of a strong president in Mark Yudof is the single most important action taken to restore the effectiveness of the governance structure of the University. During the search for an effective president, the Board necessarily assumed a more active management role to exercise its fiduciary responsibility and to address the problems identified in its review of executive compensation practices. The University had been reviewing the failures for the past two years -- both internally and with the assistance of numerous outside experts, including state legislative leaders, state auditors, corporate external auditors, higher education leaders and others. With Mark Yudof's appointment, the University has the leadership to restore the respective roles of the Board and the President.

Prior to that appointment, the Board created four new positions and hired a new General Counsel. The new positions were established as part of a management restructuring of the systemwide Office of the President to strengthen the governance and oversight function of the Board and ensure the success of the incoming President. Although several of the positions were
filled prior to the Commission's February 2008 letter, the effectiveness of the management restructuring is increasingly apparent.

- In May 2007, the Regents appointed Executive Vice President for Business Operations Katherine Lapp. The position, which includes an expansion of duties of the former position of Senior Vice President for Business and Finance, is responsible for leading improvements in the effectiveness and accountability of the University's many administrative support operations. The position has been particularly critical over the last two years and, with the arrival of President Yudof, EVP Lapp has been instrumental in carrying forward his initiatives to restructure UCOP. EVP Lapp recently announced that she will leave the University in early September to assume a similar post at Harvard. An interim appointment for her successor will be announced soon.
- In March 2009, the Regents appointed Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer Peter Taylor. Reporting directly to the President, EVP Taylor is responsible for overseeing all aspects of financial management for the University. UC has never had a formal CFO position; creation of the position assures leadership of expert financial management of the institution.
- In September 2007, the Regents appointed Senior Vice President - Chief Compliance and Audit Officer, Sheryl Vacca. This new position, a direct report to both the President and the Board, is a key component of the Board's establishment of mechanisms to ensure compliance with statute, state and federal regulations, and University policies and procedures.
- In March 2007, the Regents appointed Secretary and Chief of Staff Diane Griffiths. Under the new structure adopted by the Board, the new position oversees two management areas, covering the traditional duties of planning and coordinating Regents’ meetings performed by her predecessor, as well as new duties facilitating the work of the Board and its members in furtherance of their fiduciary duties.
- The Regents also hired a successor to fill a key existing position, that of the retiring General Counsel of nearly twenty years. In November 2006, the Board appointed Charles Robinson as Vice President for Legal Affairs and General Counsel. Reporting jointly to the President and to the Regents, the General Counsel manages attorneys handling a broad and complex range of legal affairs.

In terms of administrative oversight, President Yudof has instituted new practices to improve University management. Each campus Chancellor and systemwide Vice President is required to submit a work plan at the beginning of the academic year and to provide an end-of-the-year accomplishment report. All direct reports have an in-person performance review with the President. President Yudof also has introduced a project tracking system to ensure assignments from him are carried out in a timely fashion. This project is under the direction of Deputy Chief of Staff Deanna Dudley

## Redefining the Role of the Board of Regents

The Committee on Governance was created in November 2006, to address, in large measure, issues at the interface between the UC Office of the President and the Regents. The Committee on Governance has served as the catalyst for a number of best practices initiatives undertaken by the Board to respond to issues identified in both the Working Group Report and the Commission's February 2008 letter.

In March 2008, the "Statement of Expectations of the Members of the Board of Regents," the primary standard by which Regental exercise of fiduciary performance is measured, was substantially amended (Appendix 8). The amendments, consistent with best practices recommended by AGB, are as follows:

- A new section on Board responsibilities, setting forth ten clearly defined expectations for Board function drawn from a review of AGB principles.
- New sections on Board members' fiduciary and policy responsibilities, explicitly stating that "...the responsibility of the Board is to set policy and the responsibility of the University administration to implement and carry out policy, which includes responsibility for the day-to-day operations of the University."
- Clarification that Board members will act on behalf of the best interests of the University as a public trust rather than the interests of a particular constituency.
- Restatement of the duty to respect the opinions of others in the University community; emphasizing the "Policy on the President as Spokesperson for the University" and explicitly stating that, "...the President shall be the spokesperson for the University with the Chairman of the Board being the spokesperson for the Board."
- Clarification that Board members are welcome to attend meetings of committees to which they are not assigned but that attendance is not required and encouraging members to participate in other University events at which Board member participation is appropriate.

These amendments, which implement governing board best practices and the recommendations of the Working Group Report, were discussed and unanimously adopted by the Committee on Governance on February 4, 2008. They were unanimously adopted by the full Board at the March 2008 meeting.

The Committee on Governance has also focused attention on ensuring that mechanisms exist to provide the Regents with timely and complete information regarding University operations. The Schedule of Reports, the official list of reports required by the Regents, has been amended twice in the past year, November 2008 and July 2009 (Appendix 9). The amendments include new accountability reports initiated by President Yudof to ensure both that the Board and the public are provided sufficient data to assess the performance of the University, the codification of existing Board requests for reports which were never memorialized and, in some cases, never delivered, and clarification of delivery dates and style of presentation (in person or as a mailing
between meetings). The focus on the Schedule of Reports ensures the Board is receiving the information necessary to make informed decisions and set priorities, leaving executive management to the President.

## Reviewing the Operational Culture of the Board

Also in March 2008, the Regents adopted the "Policy on Board Education and Assessment" (Appendix 10). The policy provides for various elements of training and orientation. Although the Board routinely performed some of these elements previously, adoption of the policy codified and formalized the practice.

The policy has resulted in the following:

- A two-part, mandatory orientation program is provided to all new Regents and faculty representatives to the Board. (A separate voluntary session is also offered regarding investments issues.) The orientation sessions are co-chaired by a Regent and the President. The first day typically consists of a discussion of the roles of the President and the Regents presented by the Co-Chairs, an overview of the University presented by the Provost, a discussion of shared governance presented by the Chair of the Academic Council, fiduciary and legal responsibilities of Board members presented by the General Counsel, Board structure and duties presented by the Secretary and Chief of Staff to The Regents, and the University's budget presented by the Executive Vice President for Business Operations. The second day consists of an overview of Compliance as well as specialized University programs in Research, Agriculture and Natural Resources, and Health Sciences and Services. These briefings are led by the Vice President responsible for each of the respective areas. The remainder of the second session covers current key topics before the Board. The first day of this year's orientation was held July 24, 2009; the second day of the two-day session is scheduled for September 17, 2009. Two sessions also were held in 2008.
- Each new appointed Regent is assigned a continuing Regent to serve as a mentor and assist as needed. (The non-appointed Regents and faculty representatives serve terms staggered to provide for a natural mentoring structure.)
- The most recent Board retreat was held in September 2008 at the UC Davis Tahoe Environmental Research Center. This two-day retreat focused on governance and planning issues and was attended by the top level of UC management and a large majority of Regents. Presentations included a review of strategic challenges for the future, institutional accountability and performance, University funding models, a proposal for a new financial aid program, and closed with a session on governance roles and on Board structure, effectiveness and process. The next retreat is scheduled for September 15, 2009. At the time of this report, the agenda has not been finalized.

With the arrival of President Yudof, the overall tone and efficiency of Board meetings has been greatly improved. The President and Board Chair confer on the Regents’ agenda. In addition, several procedural changes have been instituted to increase the effectiveness of Board meetings.

Each Chancellor presents a strategic vision for his or her campus once every two years and Chancellors are seated at the Board table for meetings of the Committee on Educational Policy. Committees have begun to meet concurrently rather than the traditional practice of convening one after another in front of the full Board. Also, certain committees, which have traditionally met "off-cycle" (i.e., at a time completely separate from the Board gathering) have begun to meet at least some of the time on-cycle in conjunction with the Board meeting.

In addition to creating the Committee on Governance and the Committee on Compensation, the first two new standing committees in many years, the Board has established two additional committees to address specific needs. A new standing committee, the Long Range Planning Committee, has enabled the Board to focus in a systematic manner on accountability and strategic planning, and the Special Committee on Student Life and Alumni Affairs, initially convened for a period of two years, will focus its attention, as its name implies, on student and alumni issues.

## III. Continuing Efforts to Address Compensation Issues

The University has continued work on the trajectory set out in 2006 with respect to reform of compensation policy and practice. Specific actions were taken in response to recommendations of the Task Force on UC Compensation, Accountability and Transparency as well as the various audits conducted--UC Internal Audit, Bureau of State Audits and PricewaterhouseCoopers. Both the Task Force findings (Appendix 11) and a comprehensive listing of actions responding to those findings (Appendix 12) are provided for reference. The latter contains a range of items implemented to remedy identified concerns, including those in place or underway prior to the first Special Visit. To focus on steps taken since that time, major ongoing activities and important new endeavors are highlighted below.

## Progress on Compensation Policy Review

More than three years ago, UC committed to perform a comprehensive review of compensation policies for the Senior Management Group (SMG). The Senior Vice President and Chief Compliance and Audit Officer and the Office of Ethics, Compliance and Audit Services are integral to this policy review process. Ongoing reviews and revisions aim to incorporate more rigorous standards as well as clearer definitions of responsibility, monitoring, compliance and transparency.

The Regents approved several new and revised policies between July 2008 and February 2009. These policies are now in force. Web links to each of the above are provided in Appendix 13.

- SMG Salary and Appointment
- SMG Hiring Bonus
- SMG Relocation Allowance
- SMG Moving Reimbursement
- SMG Automobile Allowance
- SMG Performance Management
- University-Provided Housing
- Interim Policy on Compensated Outside Professional Activities
- Settlement of Litigation, Claims and Separation Agreements
- Reemployment of Retired Employees

Policies in the six remaining areas--most of which are nearly complete--are under final review and expected to be implemented later this fiscal year:

- SMG Cash Recognition and Incentive Awards
- Outside Professional Activities (final consolidated policy)
- Migrating Deans from SMG to Academic Personnel Policies
- Transitional Leave (paid administrative leave in lieu of sabbatical)
- Absence from Work
- Certain sections of Regents' Standing Orders and By-Laws (clarify/update)

Compensation-related policies governing the Senior Management Group are scheduled for regular, ongoing reviews to ensure that they reflect appropriate market-prevalent practices, reflect any changes in regulations or laws, and appropriately support the business needs of the University.

## Development of the Human Resources Information System (HRIS)

One significant finding of the Task Force recommended that the University invest in a "modern, comprehensive, integrated human-resources information system" to enable quick, thorough, reliable examination and analysis of compensation data. In response to this recommendation, UC implemented measures to capture detailed data on senior management compensation. The data is used in the annual executive compensation report and in bi-monthly transaction monitoring reports presented at each Regents meeting. This phase became operational in December 2006.

That same year, UC commissioned Deloitte to conduct an assessment of systemwide HRIS needs and cost. Although certain locations (Berkeley, UC Davis Medical Center and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory) have 'stand-alone' HR information systems, the consultants examined requirements for an enterprise-wide solution. Their study indicated that purchase and implementation of a University-wide system would require a significant resource commitment. Given current fiscal constraints, this project has been postponed until a later date.

The University, however, already initiated a project to design and implement a new payroll system which will provide the foundation for future development of HRIS. The existing UC payroll systems require overhaul, and a new structure can anticipate the need to integrate with a larger human resources system over time. The Personnel Payroll System (PSS) project aims to improve access to more robust and higher quality systemwide data through use of standardized pay codes and other strategies. Still in the early stages, the PPS project includes two distinct initiatives:

- Data Enterprise System - The Office of Institutional Research and the Office of Information Resources and Communications at UCOP are partnering to develop an integrated data enterprise system to support decision making at UCOP and on the campuses. The Decision Support System (DSS) will make it possible to integrate data from across the University as well as to incorporate data from external sources. Once implemented, it will provide authorized users in the UC community with direct access to data from many of the University's major administrative systems.
- Replacement of the Personnel Payroll System - A workgroup comprised of campus and UCOP leadership will begin work in September to review options to establish a new PPS with the intention of creating a 'single instance' system to pay all UC and affiliate employees. A white paper provides an assessment of current circumstances, identifies key issues, and establishes guiding principles. Ultimately, implementation of the new PPS will provide much better controls (e.g., data entry edits) to improve data consistency, better support systemwide compliance and monitoring, increase efficiency, and reduce operational costs.


## Compensation Reporting and Public Disclosure

Reforms instituted following the Task Force and audit reports included a series of new reporting requirements. As part of this effort, the Board of Regents defined components of "total compensation," approved a template for compensation reporting, and adopted new disclosure standards for public posting of compensation details prior to Board action and after approval.

Chief among the regular reports now issued is the Annual Report on Executive Compensation (Appendix 14). It captures all elements of total compensation including cash payments (base salary, bonuses/incentives, stipends, other) and non-cash components (moving costs, auto allowance, housing allowance, UC provided housing, UC provided home mortgage, SMG regular and supplemental benefits, severance benefits, and additional post-retirement benefits). The report undergoes a series of checks to ensure quality and completeness of the information being reported, including individual certifications and local audits. The 2008 report includes information on 528 employees, capturing all SMG members and any senior staff--including coaches and athletic directors--whose annual total cash compensation exceeded $\$ 214,000$. A detailed Addendum to the Annual Report on Executive Compensation provides specific contextual details and explanatory or clarifying notes. These reports and another that provides details on total annual gross pay for all UC employees are available to the public through the University's compensation website (Appendix 15).

The University also produces an Annual Report on Compensated Outside Professional Activities. Information for this report is collected by each campus for each SMG member and includes specific details on board service, advisory services, and other engagements by SMG members that resulted in them receiving cash or non-cash compensation (Appendix 16).

The population of UC leaders whose compensation is subject to Regental review and approval was established by the Board in 2006 and is reviewed and adjusted annually. Currently, the Board reviews compensation-related actions for approximately 350 SMG members. Most actions occur at Regents’ meetings but items approved in the interim are reported at the subsequent Board meeting. Approval of certain actions affecting staff below the SMG level is delegated to the Chancellors, the Laboratory Directors, and the President. Actions for members of this group whose annual cash compensation exceeds $\$ 214,000$ also are reported at each Regents' meeting. They are available to the public through the University's compensation website as are interim items and SMG actions. Copies of the most recent items reported from the July 2009 Board meeting, which include several items held over from May, are provided for reference (Appendix 17).

Finally, each year through 2010-11, state law requires UC to submit a report to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, the legislative fiscal subcommittees, and the Department of Finance. This report describes progress made in reforming compensation policies and practices consistent with recommendations from the Task Force on UC Compensation, Accountability and Transparency as well as the three audits. The most recent report was submitted in February 2009 (Appendix 18).

## Regents Convene New Task Force

The Chair of the Board of Regents again convened a Task Force on UC Compensation, Accountability and Transparency to assess progress on various recommendations put forward in the report from April 2006. While Regents have received information on the areas noted above and other activities implemented in response to Task Force recommendations, this effort provides a dedicated opportunity to review compensation and related reforms as a whole. Membership on the new group is the same as the original with the exception of the individuals serving for the Regents’ Compensation Committee and the Academic Senate (Appendix 19). The Task Force met on August 13, 2009, to review accomplishments to date and comment on the University's progress.. The Task Force agreed that further meetings are not required; its report is pending. In addition to the summary of actions provided in Appendix 12, a briefing paper was prepared for this meeting (Appendix 20). It notes the purpose and background of the group as well as a compensation progress report.

## IV. Summary of Activities Related to Recommendations in 2007 Special Visit Team Report

Embedded in the reforms described above are several activities undertaken by the University that relate directly to recommendations from the 2007 Special Visit Team Report. These reforms have been presented under the broad categories of issues cited in the Commission Action Letter but they also apply to improvements called for in the Team Report. To summarize progress in addressing report recommendations, the following chart highlights University progress in addressing each of the five recommendations directed at UC.

## REPORT RECOMMENDATION

(1) UC should build comprehensive processes and procedures to assure that policies adopted at any level within the organization are preserved and understood by the persons responsible to implement them. These policies and procedures should be readily available internally and to the public.
(2) The Regents should continue building the effectiveness of their operations by such means as the Governance Committee already created as a response to the compensation crisis, a strong and properly staffed Audit Committee supported by state-of-the-art financial accounting and control systems throughout UC, and a coherent system for verifying regulatory compliance.
(3) The Regents and the UCOP should develop procedures that allow for timely appointments of senior officers consistent with the competitive marketplace for institutions of UC's quality.
4) As they seek a new President, the Regents should devote significant time and thought to develop a document that clearly defines the roles, responsibilities, expectations of the President - along with the roles, responsibilities, and expectations of the Board of Regents.
(5) The Office of the President should develop protocols to support the campuses and especially campus leaders in timely manner during periods of turmoil, and seek closer alignment with Chancellors on all matters of common concern. It should advocate, build, and maintain effective central systems for common functions, including personnel management and finance.

## RELATED UC ACTIVITIES

- annual reports on compliance, internal audit plans, internal audit activities, and external audits
- ongoing comprehensive policy review with requirements for routine reexamination and updating of policies
- schedule of reports to Regents and availability of reports through UC news releases and UCOP websites
- appointed Senior Vice President - Chief Compliance and Audit Officer and created Office of Ethics, Compliance and Audit Services
- appointed Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer to oversee accounting and financial controls, risk management, strategic sourcing, tax compliance, payroll coordination, and long-range financial planning
- expanded responsibilities for Executive Vice President for Business Operations as well as Secretary and Chief of Staff to the Regents
- regular review of SMG compensation policies to ensure reflection of appropriate market-prevalent practices
- established population of SMG \& other senior staff whose compensation requires Regental review and approval; review and adjust annually
- delegated to Chancellors, Laboratory Directors and President approval of certain non-SMG actions; each Regents meeting report actions for nonSMG staff whose cash compensation exceeds \$214,000
- Report of the Working Group on the Roles of the Office of the President (January 2008)
- Statement of Expectations of the Members of the Board of Regents (amended March 2008)
- Policy on Board Education and Assessment (amended July 2008)
- monthly Council of Chancellors and Executive Vice Chancellors meetings
- Regents' presentation once every two years on each campus strategic vision
- Chancellors join Board table for Regents Education Policy Committee
- campus input on revisions contemplated for annual allocation of funds
- work proceeding on University-wide personnel payroll system as part of long-term project for Human Resources Information System


## V. New Challenges Facing the University

The single biggest challenge facing the University is the decline in state support. Adjusted for inflation, state spending per student at UC has fallen by $40 \%$ since 1990. Continuing this longterm trend, precipitous state budget cuts for fiscal years 2008-09 and 2009-10 total $\$ 813$ million. In addition to these reductions, the University faces a further gap of $\$ 335$ million over the twoyear period from increased costs the state has not covered (enrollment increases, health benefit costs, faculty merits, utility costs, retirement contributions, etc.).

Over the past few months, members of the Board of Regents approved several measures to address the current fiscal imperatives. In July the Board approved a furlough/salary reduction plan should yield savings to cover about $25 \%$ of the cuts. Fee increases approved in May should cover another $25 \%$. The balance of the shortfall, along with the above-mentioned unfunded cost increases, will be absorbed through cuts to UC programs. Campuses have received cuts for the current year, and are providing input to UCOP on potential revisions to the existing method of fund allocation.

Concern over the effects of steady erosion of state resources prompted Board Chair Russ Gould to launch the UC Commission on the Future. Co-Chaired by Regent Gould and President Yudof, the Commission aims to establish a long-term vision for UC that ensures excellence and access in the future while simultaneously addressing acute financial challenges. Working groups will engage the entire UC community on several key questions:

- How can UC best meet the needs of California and at the same time maintain access, quality and affordability in a time of diminishing resources?
- What educational delivery models will both maintain quality and improve efficiency for the University's future?
- What is the appropriate size and shape of the University going forward?
- How can UC maximize traditional and alternative revenue streams to support its mission?

Among those appointed to serve on the Commission are:

- UC Regents Jesse Bernal, Sherry Lansing, Monica Lozano and Yolanda Nunn Gorman as well as Student Regent-designate Jesse Cheng
- UCLA Chancellor Gene Block; UC Irvine Chancellor Michael Drake; UC Santa Barbara Chancellor Henry Yang; and UC Berkeley School of Law Dean Chris Edley;
- Academic Senate Chair Mary Croughan; Academic Senate Vice Chair Henry Powell;
- UC Regents Staff Advisor Edward Abeyta; UC Berkeley alumnus Warren Hellman, California Chamber of Commerce President Allan Zaremberg, and California Labor Federation Executive Secretary-Treasurer Art Pulaski (AFL-CIO).
- Ex officio members from the UC Office of the President include Interim Provost Larry Pitts, Executive Vice President Katie Lapp and Executive Vice President Peter Taylor

Chair Gould has asked the Commission to provide recommendations early next year.

## VI. Conclusion

The structural changes UC has made over the past three years in response to recommendations from the Task Force and audits position the institution to more effectively grapple with questions about its future direction and new funding models. As noted, several of the reforms reported here were underway at the time of the initial Special Visit. Since October of 2007, the Board of Regents has continued to shepherd changes consistent with its governing role and, since June of 2008, President Yudof has pursued improvements in University management consistent with his role as the chief executive.

Moreover, the actions taken by the Board and the President that brought the University to its current state directly address the concerns articulated by WASC more than a year ago. These efforts also are responsive to the recommendations put forth in the Special Visit Team Report from October 2007.

The cumulative effect of UCOP restructuring, enhancements to the operation of the Board of Regents, and clarification of governance and management roles has resulted in significant organizational change. This change has yielded institutional policies and practices entirely consistent with the Commission’s accrediting standards--in particular the subset of standards at issue in the first Special Visit (Appendix 21). Reforms have strengthened systemwide leadership at UC by mandating high performance, appropriate assignment of responsibility, accountability at all levels and transparency to the public. Policy reviews, business improvements, and ongoing evaluation in all areas have reinforced the integrity of University operations and have begun to restore confidence in decision-making at the system level. The Board of Regents is exercising appropriate oversight in governing the system, leaving the President and other leaders to manage the wide range of endeavors that constitute the UC enterprise.

Most importantly, these changes are not one-time reforms of select areas that will subsequently remain static but reflect a broad transformation of University governance and management frameworks. This transformation provides the foundation of strong leadership required for accreditation of each University campus and will afford the discipline, flexibility, cooperation and candor to meet the many significant challenges ahead.
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Western Association of Schools \& Colleges
Accrediting Commission for Senior Colleges \& Universities

February 26, 2008
Robert C. Dynes
President
University of California
1111 Franklin Street
Oakland, CA 94607

## Dear President Dynes:

At its meeting February 20-22, 2008, the Commission considered the report of the Special Visit team that conducted its visit to the Office of the President October 23-24, 2007. The Commission also had available to it the materials prepared by the University for this visit, and your cover letter and attachments in response to the team report, dated January 28, 2008. The Commission appreciated the opportunity to discuss the report with you and Chief Operating Officer Rory Hume prior to its meeting, and with Dr. Hume and Regent and Vice Chair Russell Gould at the meeting. The interchanges were very helpful to understanding the issues raised in the materials and the steps taken by the University since the visit.

This special visit was requested by the Commission in my letter to you of December 7, 2006. At that time, the Commission had reviewed several audit reports and press accounts regarding compensation issues, governance and decision making at the University. As stated in my letter, while the Commission does not accredit the Office of the President or the Board of Regents independently, each campus of the University accredited by WASC is affected by system-level actions and the governance system implemented by the Board of Regents. The compliance of the University with WASC Standards for governance and decision making at the system- or Universitywide level has been presumed, but not verified in any systematic way previously. These reports called into question that presumption. At that time, the Commission identified two Commission Standards relevant to the special visit: Standard 1, dealing with institutional integrity and accountability, and Standard 3, dealing with financial controls, board governance and the exercise of its fiduciary responsibilities, and decision making between the Office of the President, the Board of Regents and the campuses. In addition, the Commission and the Special Visit team identified a number of Criteria for Review under Standards 1 and 3 at issue: CFRs 1.3, 1.8, 3.8, 3.9 and 3.10.
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The Special Visit team was able to interview a wide range of people within the board and the Office of the President, as well as the campus Chancellors. These interviews, and the review of the documentation prepared by the University, provided a range of perspectives on the issues of governance and decision making. The Commission appreciates the openness of all who participated in the process to discuss the background to the audit reports, and the additional studies and steps underway to address the many concerns raised by these reports. At the same time, the January 18, 2008 letter from the Regents took issue with a number of the team's findings, expressing concern that the team report did not recognize a number of steps that were taken before the team visit. The University's response also provided new information about actions that have come to fruition after the visit relating to the concerns raised by the Special Visit team.

In reviewing both the team report and the University's response, the Commission found that on nearly all major points, there was less disagreement over the issues or concerns raised, but rather a concern by the University that the team report failed to identify a number of detailed actions already taken by the Regents or the Office of the President. In this respect, the University appears to be advancing the position that the concerns or issues have been addressed and resolved by the steps already taken by the University or Board of Regents.

The Commission was able to discuss these issues with both representatives of the University and the team chair, and determined that a number of steps have indeed been taken and progress has been made since the visit, especially with the completion of the report of the Working Group on the Roles of the Office of the President. However, significant issues remain to be addressed under Commission Standards. Indeed, the Commission would distinguish between the structural responses undertaken, which are many, and the need for a shift in operational behavior, which the team did not find yet in evidence. Such a shift would bring the conduct of the University's governance and decision making systems into closer alignment with Commission Standards, best practices, and the University's own stated intentions identified in its January $18^{\text {th }}$ response.

There was general agreement by all at the time of the visit that the University's governance and decision making systems did not meet WASC Standards with respect to compensation issues. As stated by the team, these issues have been vigorously addressed, and the actions taken, while not complete, have resolved most of the issues relating to noncompliance with WASC Standards. At the same time, notwithstanding the significant responses of the University to compensation issues, "it soon became clear that other significant issues were also present in UC's governance, leadership, and decision-making process that are relevant to WASC's standards. Both the team report and the University's response address the same larger issues, but the team went on to note that,
[S]ome of these issues are not widely recognized by the Board of Regents but are of sufficient significance to warrant attention, reflection and action. At the present time, these issues seem fundamental to effective governance and decision making, especially at a time when the University's leadership is in transition.

While acknowledging the many actions taken and underway, and especially the activities of the Board's Governance Committee, the Commission ultimately concurs in this finding. The Commission found that a number of useful recommendations were provided by the team, which could lead to improvement in the functioning of the University's governance and decisionmaking systems. The Commission endorsed the team's findings and recommendations, and urged that they be discussed by the Board of Regents and the Office of the President, and incorporated into the activities underway. The Commission also highlighted several issues for University attention:

Strengthening the role of the President. Perhaps the most fundamental of the issues now facing the University is clarifying and strengthening the role of the University President. The Commission found the January 8, 2008 "Report of the Working Group on the Roles of the Office of the President" to be a useful document. While this group was meeting at the time of the visit, its report was not available to the Special Visit team. It highlights several critical issues, which the Commission finds in accord with the recommendations of the team report, Commission Standards and best practice in university governance. The Commission understands that this report has been discussed and adopted by the Governance Committee and the Board. It sets forth the goal of restoring confidence in the Office of the President and investing the president with the primary responsibility for managing and leading the University. As stated by the report, the president "should be the authoritative sole official channel of communication between the Board of Regents and the administrative structure of the university." Based on the findings of the Special Visit team, and implicit in the Working Group report, this goal is necessary but has yet to be achieved. It will be important for the role of the president to be firmly established, as well described in the Working Group report, in order for the University to recruit and maintain the kind of executive leadership that it needs to lead such a world-class system of campuses.

Redefining the role of the Board of Regents. Both the team report and the University's response reflect an awareness that the Board has vigorously responded to the many concerns raised by various audits and studies, and has moved into an operational role in many cases. The University's response acknowledges the need for the Board to return to a more policy-setting oversight role, and again the Working Group report sets forth the well-established role of governing boards as providing "fiduciary oversight and broad policy determination." The University's response identifies a number of new positions within the Office of the President, established by the Board and the President, to oversee compliance, and indicates that the Board's committee structure is now positioned to work with the Office of the President to oversee compliance with University policies. Having necessarily stepped into the operational role, it will now require discipline and periodic self-assessment for the Board to be assured that it is supporting the primary role of the President and operating within its fiduciary and policy framework. This shift will be critical to the success of any new President.

The Special Visit team recommended that a formal agreement be reached between the Board and any new President specifying roles, responsibilities and expectations for the President and the Board. This would be a possible way to implement and operationalize the recommendations of the Working Group.

The Working Group report also suggested patterns of conduct in the relations between the Board, the Office of the President and the campuses. These recommendations seem appropriate for ensuring consistent communication and decision-making processes, and the Commission urged that the success of maintaining such practices should entail periodic monitoring.

The Commission also noted that the Chief of Staff role to the Board is new, and therefore the relationship of this position to the Office of the President is being worked out operationally. The Commission urged that while the new role is important to support the Board with its many responsibilities, it should also be subsidiary to the President in terms of setting the agenda for the Board, and not compromise or undermine the role of the President.

Reviewing the "operational culture" of the Board. The team report highlights a number of areas where the pattern of behavior of the Board has become problematic. The Commission considers these issues to be fundamental areas for Board attention. Foremost of these is establishing protocols or operating procedures for Chair and Regential conduct and decision making. For many years, there appears to have been the practice for the Chair, as well as individual Regents, to speak with apparent authority on issues without formal or collective Board discussion and action. That boards act through collective action is a basic tenet of good board governance and an expectation of the Standards of Accreditation. As stated by the team, "It will be important for the Board to establish clear principles defining to whom and under what circumstances individual Board members are authorized to speak on behalf of the Board, especially absent formal Board action."

The Commission was also concerned about the need for the Board to assess periodically its own performance, especially in light of the roles clarification established by the Working Group, and to assess the effectiveness of Board orientation and ongoing training of its members. One aspect of this self-assessment should include comments from the senior leadership of the University, including the President, Provost, Chancellors and the Academic Council. The Commission understands that efforts are underway to address this concern by working with a program sponsored by UCLA. The Commission further encourages the Board to deliberately engage Regents in meetings with other system and university board members, through the Association of Governing Boards or other national organizations, to assist in broadening the understanding of the Board of its functions and roles as the governing body of a public higher education system. The Commission would also welcome participation of the Regents and University System leadership in WASC events.

The Commission also was concerned about reports of the Board's treatment of its own staff and administrators, reported as occasional incivility. Again, it is a fundamental role of a governing board to work collaboratively with its own staff and administration, and faculty, and to set into motion appropriate evaluation systems for key reports. With such systems, it is the responsibility of the board to develop key performance goals and objectives, and support its staff in achieving them. The Commission understands that a new system of evaluation of key reports is being set into place and hopes that there will be an opportunity, through the Working Group report and the continuing work of the Mercer Group, to address these issues.

Continuing efforts to address compensation practices. The University has developed and implemented new policies and systems to address concerns raised in its review of compensation practices. Given the need of the University to continue to attract and retain the best talent at each of its campuses, it will be important for decisions to be made in a timely manner, and in keeping with the highly competitive market in which the University competes. The Commission commends the University for the many steps it has taken to address these issues, and for its awareness of the need to maintain transparency and conformity with policies while continuing to recruit the best personnel possible. Key to these efforts will be moving toward more effective data and reporting systems, and the University has taken steps to address this vital need.

As the University moves to select a new President, it will be important to continue to make progress on each of these issues. It is the responsibility of the Board to create and sustain the conditions for the success of its key leaders, while setting priorities and evaluating their performance. The Board and the Office of the President have each undergone considerable strain in the past several years as a result of the issues arising from compensation practices, and there have been internal and external attacks on each as these issues have been addressed.

The Commission supports the University's conclusions that significant efforts to address these challenges have been made, and that much progress has been achieved, The report of the Working Group appears to have set a framework for clarifying roles and restoring balance in the functions of these vital elements of University governance and decision making. For further progress to be made, changes in operational conduct and behavior are needed, which will enable the University to achieve the promise of these efforts.

The Commission, as did the team, finds that these issues are matters of serious concern under Commission Standards. The progress made to date will need to be sustained and institutionalized. The Commission urges the Office of the President and the Board of Regents to address these concerns and recognize them as additive and complementary to the steps underway.

The Commission acted to:

1. Receive the report of the Special Visit team.
2. Request a Special Visit in spring 2009 to assess the progress made in addressing the issues raised in this letter and the five recommendations made in the team report addressed to UC.
3. Request also a meeting with the new President of the University within 60 days of her or his appointment, to review the issues to be addressed as part of the follow-up special visit.

In accordance with Commission policy, a copy of this letter will be sent to the chair of the Board of Regents in one week. The Commission expects that the team report and this action letter will
be widely disseminated throughout the institution to promote further engagement and improvement, and to support the institution's response to the specific issues identified in them.

Please contact me if you have any questions about this letter or the action of the Commission.
Sincerely,
4 ag er
Ralph A. Wolff,


President and Executive Director

## RW/aa

cc: Sherwood Lingenfelter
Members of the team
Hilary Baxter, ALO

# REPORT OF THE WASC SPECIAL VISIT TEAM 

To the University of California Office of the President and Board of Regents

October 23 - October 24, 2007

Team Roster<br>John T. Casteen III (University of Virginia), Chair Cameron Howell (University of Virginia), Team Writer William E. Kirwan (University System of Maryland)<br>Kitty Lagareta (University of Hawaii System)

The evaluation team in conducting its review was able to evaluate the institution according to Commission Standards and Core Commitments and therefore submits this Report to the Accrediting Commission for Senior Colleges and Universities of the Western Association of Schools and Colleges for action and to the institution for consideration.

On October 23-24, 2007, a special committee of the Accrediting
Commission for Senior Colleges and Universities of the Western Association of Schools and Colleges (WASC) visited the Office of the President of the University of California (UC) in Oakland. This report provides background information, findings, and recommendations for the UC Board of Regents, for UC's senior executives, and for WASC.

The names and affiliations of the members of the special committee are listed in Appendix A. The special committee expresses its gratitude for the candor and responsiveness of all with whom it met while in Oakland, and also of those who provided information in individual interviews and in conference calls. We are especially grateful to President Robert Dynes, Provost and Executive Vice President Wyatt R. Hume, and staff members in the President's Office for their responsiveness to our many questions; to the Regents who provided context and their perspectives on the issues that we were asked to examine; and to the faculty members, chancellors, former chancellors, and others who provided us with valuable information and commentary.

Hilary A. Baxter, UC's WASC Accreditation Liaison Officer, and Diane M. Griffiths, the Regents' Secretary and Chief of Staff, provided specific and timely assistance, for which we are grateful. Several Regents met with us face-to-face or by telephone. These included Chair Richard C. Blum, Vice-Chair Russell Gould, Judith Hopkinson, Joanne Kozburg, and Sherry L. Lansing. WASC President and Executive Director Ralph A. Wolff advised us before, during, and after the
visit. Each has contributed in some sense to this report, and we are grateful to all.

In addition, Cameron Howell of the President's Office at the University of Virginia provided invaluable staff support throughout this project, including serving as principal drafter of this report. We are much indebted to him.

## Background

In 1992, following controversy about executive compensation, the UC Regents adopted policies and procedures to promote fiscal transparency and to prevent abuse. These policies appear not to have been adjusted after 1992. Over time, UC administrators and Regents apparently forgot these policies or ceased to follow them systematically. In November of 2005, the San Francisco Chronicle, and subsequently other news sources, alleged large-scale irregularities in the salaries and compensation awarded to high-level UC administrators.

State government and the UC appear to have initiated inquiries shortly after the newspaper reports appeared, among them a Task Force (and eventual report) on UC Compensation, Accountability, and Transparency; two external audits conducted by PricewaterhouseCoopers; one internal audit conducted by the University Auditor; and a state audit conducted by California's Bureau of State Audits.

These reports and audits revealed numerous failures and violations of UC policies. The Regents' 1992 policy required that all compensation arrangements (including base salary, moving expenses, special relocation allowances, housing
allowances, and summer stipends) for some 300 senior executives be identified and presented to the Regents in their action agenda when the Regents approved these appointments. In scores of instances over at least the past half-dozen years, total compensation was not systematically included in approval requests made to the Regents.

## WASC Accountability Issues

In light of the audit findings, WASC examined UC's compliance with its standards and criteria for accreditation. The identified irregular compensation arrangements included, among others, administrators on UC's separately accredited campuses. WASC President and Executive Director Ralph A. Wolff wrote to President Dynes on December 7, 2006, that each UC campus is affected by governance actions taken by the UC Office of the President and the Regents; system-level governance and deficiencies in system-level governance "have direct impact on and relevance to the accreditation of each campus." Mr. Wolff identified as relevant two specific WASC Standards for Accreditation:

The matters identified in the audits are subject to Commission attention under Standard 1, which covers institutional integrity and accountability[,] and Standard 3, which covers financial controls and oversight and decision-making processes. In addition, these issues have implications under Standards 1 and 3 with regard to University governance-how the governance systems of the

University are responding to assure that these matters are being addressed in a timely and appropriate way.

In addition, five of WASC's Criteria for Review were determined to be at issue. CFRs 1.3, 1.8, 3.8, 3.9., and 3.10 read as follows:

CFR 1.3 The institution's leadership creates and sustains a leadership system at all levels that is marked by high performance, appropriate responsibility, and accountability.

CFR 1.8 The institution exhibits integrity in its operations as demonstrated by the implementation of appropriate policies, sound business practices, timely and fair responses to complaints and grievances, and regular evaluation of its performance in these areas.

CFR 3.8 The institution's organizational structures and decision-making processes are clear, consistent with its purposes, and sufficient to support effective decision making.

CFR 3.9 The institution has an independent governing board or similar authority that, consistent with its legal and fiduciary authority, exercises appropriate oversight over institutional integrity, policies, and ongoing operations, including hiring and evaluating the chief executive officer.

CFR 3.10 The institution has a chief executive whose fulltime responsibility is to the institution, together with a cadre of
administrators qualified and able to provide effective educational leadership and management at all levels.

In May of 2007, Mr. Wolff appointed the current special committee (1) to determine whether or not the University of California (at the levels of the Regents, Office of the President [UCOP], and campuses) has responded effectively to issues arising under WASC Standards 1 and 3 and the five related CFRs; (2) to evaluate how these responses illuminate the governance functions of the UCOP, the Regents, and the campuses; (3) to offer advice to benefit the UC system; (4) to identify necessary follow-up actions to be taken by the UC and/or WASC with respect to the audit findings and CFRs; and (5) to offer advice to strengthen and clarify the relationship between the University of California system and WASC.

## The University's Response

On September 17, 2007, UC submitted to WASC and to the special committee the report entitled "Follow-up Action in Response to Task Force Report and Audits on Compensation" and supported by appendices labeled A through Z . This report summarizes the UC compensation issues and identifies conditions that contributed to them, describes the relationship between WASC standards and the compensation controversy, and outlines corrective actions taken by the University.

The report discloses that UC has implemented numerous remedies in response to the audit findings. Some of these remedies are ongoing or have not
yet begun. These include Regents' actions, system-level reforms, and campuslevel reforms.

## Committee Procedure

The WASC special committee evaluated the UC's "Follow-up Action in Response to Task Force Report and Audits on Compensation" and discussed this report in a conference call before visiting the UC System Office on October 23-24. Members of the special committee spoke with Richard C. Blum, Chair of the Board of Regents, in a conference call on October 1. (Mr. Blum could not be present during the October 23-24 visit.) Using a uniform set of questions, committee members interviewed several current and former UC chancellors, as well as a former UC provost. With two exceptions, these interviews were conducted before the special committee's October 23-24 special visit. Telephone conversations with one Regent who could not be present during the special visit occurred after the visit. While in Oakland, the committee had access to additional documents and reports relevant to the compensation audits and to UC's systems of governance.

## Committee Findings

On page 2 of UC's "Follow-up Action in Response to Task Force Report and Audits on Compensation" document, the University makes the following assertion. Underlining for emphasis is original to the report:

# We believe that, collectively, corrective measures taken by the University - those completed, those underway[,] and those requiring additional attention-yield policies and practices at all levels that are wholly consistent with the standards upon which WASC accreditation is based. 

Although the WASC special committee concurs that the several audits have led to a conscientious and through analysis of many of the issues relating to violations of compensation policies, the committee's interviews and research also led the committee to the conclusion that there are significant, other governance issues that the UC needs to address, some of which are matters of serious concern.

The issues leading to the creation of the special committee appear to have occurred primarily at the interface between the UC Office of the President and the Regents. We neither heard nor found in the audit reports any suggestion of impropriety or irregularity on any of the campuses. UC's "Follow-up Action in Response to Task Force Report and Audits on Compensation" proposes vesting significant new responsibilities in the Office of the President. Separately, however, Chairman Blum's August 22, 2007, letter ("We Need to Be Strategically Dynamic") challenges the functioning of the Office of the President and calls for reorganization and changes in the operation of the President's Office.

We heard from virtually all with whom we talked that governing the UC system and its ten campuses should be the collaborative work of the campus chancellors and administration, the President or Office of the President, and the

Regents. In reviewing the overall assignment and delegation of roles and responsibilities between the campuses, the Office of the President, and the Regents, we found deviations from WASC's standards or expectations of "leadership," by which term the Standards and CFRs clearly include both administrators and the Regents. We spoke to no one who disagreed with this observation. The University identified some of these deviations in its reports, and it has developed processes to correct many of them. Related audits are still in progress. In addition, the Regents have relied heavily on external consultants, notably PricewaterhouseCoopers and the Mercer Group, to propose new systems and procedures.

We believe that these efforts are likely to document adequately the deviations from policy that did occur with respect to compensation issues and to make future violations unlikely. One caution deserves to be noted: A challenge that arises when correcting past wrongs and, at the same time, revising necessarily complex policies for the future is to avoid over-correction. A flawless reporting system may trigger expansion of processes that are already highly bureaucratic and, in the process, make timely action on high-level appointments more complicated than necessary.

From the information available, we see no reason to believe that the deviations from the Regents' 1992 policy were intentional or that anyone in the Office of the President deliberately departed from the Regents' requirements and expectations. In many instances, the total compensation of proposed appointees appears actually to have been reported to the Regents, but in background
documents rather than in the Regents' action agenda. (The 1992 policy required that this information appear in the action agenda.) In most identified instances of incomplete or improper reporting of total compensation, the unreported amounts were comparable to compensation approved for persons in comparable positions whose compensation was reported properly in the action agenda.

The available information suggests that personnel in the Office of the President decided on a case-by-case basis which elements of compensation to report in the action agenda and which not to report.

These facts being as they are, we believe that the deviations from the Regents' 1992 compensation policy were not in compliance with WASC standards as noted below and resulted from the following:
(1) Loss of "institutional memory," including knowledge that the 1992 policies ever existed. Few or no Regents or senior UC officers were in their current positions when the 1992 policies were adopted (WASC Standards 1 and 3; CFRs 1.3, 1.8, 3.8, and 3.9).
(2) An internal audit system that failed to track compliance with Regents' policies and flag them for the Regents or for the President. This issue touches both governance and executive management practices (WASC Standards 1 and 3; CFRs 1.3, 1.8, and 3.9).
(3) The absence of systematic review of materials submitted to the Regents by persons charged specifically with verifying the
action agenda's adherence to established policies. This or analogous safeguards ought to be commonplace protections for a public board with responsibilities as broad as the Regents' responsibilities (WASC Standard 3; CFRs 1.3 and 3.8). The absence of a central or universally accessible system for personnel records, including compensation records. The various campuses have independent human resource databases. No universal interface exists. Needed compensation data are acquired from the campuses manually and slowly -a problem that appears to account for the delay of four months before the University could respond to the executive compensation allegations originally made in the Chronicle in 2005 (CFR 3.7 ["information technology resources. . . sufficiently coordinated and supported to fulfill (the University's) educational purposes and to provide key academic and administrative functions"]).

More than one factor seems to have shaped an environment in which violations of UC compensation policies were not uncommon. One is the Regent's decision several years ago, as reported by several Board members, to decentralize the University's administration. Senior officers were instructed to "bring less material to the Board" for review and approval, but the instruction came in the form of informal direction rather than in the form of a policy revision. The Regents' need to keep their workload under control is
understandable and legitimate. At the same time, senior officers working under these informal instructions were placed at risk with regard to Regents' policies adopted prior to their time.

A second factor is clearly the scale and complexity of the Regents' workload and the quality of the management systems available to the President's staff as they provide services to the Regents. The absence of a centrally accessible personnel system makes compensation work especially difficult. Generally speaking, meetings occur every second month, a schedule that is in no sense unusual for boards of this kind. Offers of employment and salary commitments often need to occur between Board meetings. Chancellors and other officers hire new colleagues in a competitive marketplace where timely offers have a premium value and where an unwieldy, prolonged, and bureaucratic process of approval can damage the University's interests.

## Additional Issues of Significance

Although the special committee initially focused on the response of the UCOP and Regents to compensation issues, it soon became clear that other significant issues were also present in the UC's governance, leadership, and decision-making processes that are relevant to WASC's standards. As a result, the special committee is obligated to report what it found in the course of examining UC's written submissions to WASC and in the course of its interviews and research. We believe that some of these issues are not widely recognized by the Board of Regents but are of sufficient significance to warrant attention,
reflection, and action. At the present time, these issues seem fundamental to effective governance and decision-making, especially at a time when the University's leadership is in transition:

1. There is no consistent, verifiable annual evaluation of the President conducted in the context of annual or other presidential plans of work agreed upon by the President and the Board of Regents. We heard contradictory reports about evaluations of the President. These ranged from no evaluation, to evaluation by a few Regents, to evaluation by the Chair, all described as both with and without periodic reports of findings to the Regents (WASC Standard 1; CFRs 1.3, 1.8, and 3.9).
2. There is no consistent, systematic board self-assessment, and there is no on-going or constant improvement/self-improvement program for the Regents. Newly appointed Regents receive a general briefing on UC systems and procedures shortly after they are appointed. Regents and staff members told us that, after this initial orientation session, the Regents do not engage in process- or system-improvement exercises to assure that they understand current best practices among boards with similar mandates. Responses to our questions about Regents' participation in the on-going training programs offered for college and university board members by the Association of Governing Boards and similar organizations were mixed. No one with whom we talked reported having taken part in any training exercise of this kind (WASC Standard 1 and Standard 3; CFRs 1.3, 1.8, and 3.9).

Symptomatic of the Board's failure to address "best-practice" governance issues, we received differing responses when we asked whether or not the Regents had discussed among themselves the possible value of Sarbanes-Oxley principles of corporate governance to their work as Regents, particularly with regard to their fiduciary responsibilities. One prominent Regent said that they had not. Others said that they had. Similarly, no Regent with whom we spoke was familiar with the two commissions formed by the Association of Governing Boards (AGB) specifically to recommend best practices for board governance as it relates to the employment and assessment of college and university presidents. (See the 1996 report of the AGB Commission on the Academic Presidency ["Stronger Leadership for Troubled Times"] and the 2006 report of the AGB Task Force on the State of the Presidency in American Higher Education ["The Leadership Imperative"].) In our opinion, knowledge of these two reports might well have protected the Regents' interests in executive compensation and general oversight of the Office of the President.
3. There are no consistent (formal or informal) "operating procedures" for Chair and Regent conduct and decision-making. There appears to be a practice among members of the UC Board of Regents, especially the Chair, to make seemingly official statements regarding the UC without formal Board action or prior discussion and authorization of the Board through collective action. It is a general principle of board functioning that boards act only through formal and collective action, rather than as individuals. One example of individual rather than collective action arose in the discussion of widely publicized plans issued in
a position paper by Chairman Blum on the need for reorganization of the UC Office of the President. We received inconsistent responses when we asked to what extent the entire Board of Regents or some significant Regents' committee had contributed to or approved Chairman Blum's letter ("We Need to Be Strategically Dynamic") before that letter was published and circulated, and, in response, the administrative reorganization described in it was begun by the University administration. Generally speaking, the Regents with whom we talked said that they had little or no knowledge of the letter prior to receiving it and reading newspaper accounts of it. It is clear that the letter and proposed actions were not formally adopted by the Board prior to publication (WASC Standard 1 and Standard 3; CFRs 1.3, 3.8, 3.10, and 3.11).

Responses were similarly inconsistent when we asked whether or not the Regents had discussed or approved the letter at their next meeting, following the letter's publication. A faculty spokesperson told us that he discussed it in his presentation to the Regents at this meeting. We heard also that one other reference to it occurred during this meeting, but that the Regents did not discuss the letter or approve the then-in-progress reorganization of the President's Office and appointment of a Chief Operating Officer. The special committee finds it highly unusual and at odds with accepted board governance "best practice" for a letter of this importance to be made public without considerable discussion of its underlying content by the Board and the UCOP and for follow-up action to be taken in response to the call of a single Regent, albeit the Chair.

Similarly, the team learned that Board members have regularly and publicly commented on UC issues outside of Board meetings, sometimes critically, asserting their leadership positions on the Board. It will be important for the Board to establish clear principles defining to whom and under what circumstances individual Board members are authorized to speak on behalf of the Board, especially absent formal Board action. In addition, as further described below, formal lines of authority and boundaries between the policysetting role of the Board and the executive function of the Office of the President need to be established and followed.
4. There is a culture of interaction within the Board of Regents that needs attention. A concern raised often enough in our interviews to merit mention is the belief that the Regents are sometimes unnecessarily harsh in their treatment of UC administrators, faculty, and staff. According to these reports, civility in communications at public and private meetings, in the media, and in other venues have suffered over time, and the consequence is a perceived absence of common purpose and a "we-they" mentality. This perception merits attention because it undermines shared governance and collective responsibility (WASC Standard 1 and Standard 3; CFRs 1.3, 3.8, 3.9, and 3.10).

## Committee Recommendations

The following recommendations are made in the spirit of encouraging further progress on the issues facing the University of California:

1. UC should build comprehensive processes and procedures to assure that policies adopted at any level within the organization are preserved and understood by persons responsible to implement them. These policies and procedures should be readily available internally and to the public. Available technology will allow this information to be maintained within a searchable, public database with appropriate indexing and editorial attention to assure that interested persons generally (not to mention persons who need to know because of their duties) can find and track policies relevant to any issue. We recommend further that the Regents adopt a system-wide rule of sunsetting and then periodically reenacting policies so that chancellors, the President, and the Regents do not find themselves locked into policies (or salary caps or any other artificially rigid prescriptions) that by their nature require regular review and renewal.
2. The Regents should continue building the effectiveness of their operations by such means as the Governance Committee already created as a response to the compensation crisis, a strong and properly staffed Audit Committee supported by state-of-the-art financial accounting and control systems throughout the UC, and a coherent system for verifying regulatory compliance. Among other responsibilities, the Governance Committee might well design and run that part of the orientation of new Regents to the Regents' responsibilities, codes of conduct (including agreements as to who speaks for the Regents and what responsibilities come to individual Regents when they speak for, or present themselves as speaking for, the UC), customs of operation, and range of authorities. This committee might also take responsibility for periodically sending individual Regents to meetings
of the Association of Governing Boards, WASC, and the American Council of Education, and it might encourage Regents to volunteer to serve on visiting committees of WASC and other accrediting and regulatory entities that address issues like the UC's, but in other states and contexts. It might also manage a new set of programs of self-assessment, self-scrutiny, and self-improvement for the Regents. The Audit Committee or some other designated committee should regularly conduct self-assessment programs for the Regents. These should include assessments of members' individual work, of the work done by committees of the Regents, and of the performance of the President and the Office of the President.

The Regents' Audit Committee should assume at least some of the responsibilities accepted by the audit committees of the boards of public companies under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, even though these responsibilities are not imposed by law on not-for-profit entities. Best practice is a common matter of scrutiny for well-governed boards and universities. An audit committee is a natural repository for this responsibility. Among other responsibilities, the Regents' system for verifying regulatory compliance should provide regular reports for the Regents on the UC's accreditation, federal statutory, state statutory, and other regulatory obligations, and also on processes of regulatory change that affect or may plausibly affect the UC. Here, too, the Regents' goal ought to be informed by best practice.
3. The Regents and the UCOP should develop procedures that allow for timely appointments of senior officers consistent with the competitive marketplace for institutions of UC's quality.
4. As they seek a new President, the Regents should devote significant time and thought to developing a document that clearly defines the roles, responsibilities, and expectations of the President - along with the roles, responsibilities, and expectations of the Board of Regents. Ambiguity about the respective roles of the Regents and the President compounds the problems described in this report. Mr. Blum's letter ("We Need to Be Strategically Dynamic") may solve certain problems and meet genuine needs, including the need for institutional memory of Regents' policies, but unresolved ambiguity about which functions belong to the Regents and their new Chief of Staff and which belong to the President will likely complicate rather than simplify roles and responsibilities as a new UC President takes office. A document defining the roles of the President and Board might take the form of a compact or codicil or side letter to the letter of the President's appointment, and in this compact the Regents and the eventual President should subscribe together to a set of core principles for the future. These should include transparency, strict attention to policies competently adopted and to perpetual review and renewal of these policies, and understandings about who speaks for the UC and with what authority. This compact should define the limits of each signatory's responsibilities and authorities. It should embody sound principles of institutional governance and execution of positions of public trust. It should be a public document, and it should be periodically updated.
5. The Office of the President should develop protocols to support the campuses and especially campus leaders in a timely manner during periods of turmoil, and indeed should seek closer alignment with the chancellors on all matters of common concern. It should advocate, build, and maintain effective central systems for common functions, including personnel management and finance. The UCOP must be accountable to the Regents for the integrity and effectiveness of its operations while serving the needs of the chancellors and their campuses. Sound management and control systems are central to the task of serving both constituencies in a manner that addresses issues in a transparent and expeditious manner. In building these systems, the UC Office of the President must have the support of the chancellors and the Regents.
6. For WASC: Revise the accreditation criteria to include explicit standards for system presidencies and system boards. These are implicit in the current criteria, but lay persons appointed to such boards as the UC Board of Regents might well not understand such terms as "leadership" in a context more complex than that of an individual campus.

## Conclusions

UC's individual campuses are among the best and most respected in the nation and in the world. The system as a whole sets a standard of excellence. This standard of excellence deserves to be matched by an equal level of excellence in the University of California's governance systems, in both the Board of Regents and the Office of the President. The WASC special committee
believes that the University urgently needs to upgrade and to modernize its governance systems and procedures. Although real and significant progress has been made in regard to UC's compensation policies and practices, serious issues remain to be addressed with respect to the general systems of governance, leadership, and decision-making that are required by WASC's Standards and Criteria for Review.

The process of searching for a new President of the University of California presents a unique opportunity to define more clearly the roles and responsibilities of each of the key parties in the UC's governance and leadership. Moreover, given all of the challenges of the past several years in addressing the policy violations that have occurred in relation to UC compensation, in addressing media claims regarding these violations, and in addressing criticism levied by members of the UC Board against its own administration, there is a need to reestablish confidence in the senior administration of the University and a need for the Board to conduct itself in ways that will ensure the success of any new President (and of the Office of the President). Failure to address these issues could, over time, threaten the reputation that the University of California has deservedly earned as perhaps the nation's finest system of public higher education.
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Office of the President

TO MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

## DISCUSSION ITEM

For Meeting of November 19, 2008
REVISED FISCAL YEAR 2008-09 BUDGET FOR THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT AND UPDATE ON ONGOING RESTRUCTURING INITIATIVE

Executive Vice President Lapp will present for discussion an updated and revised FY 2008-09 appropriations for the Office of the President (attached) to reflect savings achieved through the ongoing long-term restructuring initiative.

(Attachment)

# University of California Office of the President Fiscal Year 2008-09 Appropriations 

(ReVISEd)

## SUMMARY

## BACKGROUND

At the March 2008 meeting of the Board of Regents, the Office of the President presented its Appropriations Proposal for FY 2008-09.

The FY 2008-09 request was based on actual expenditures and FTE, not on previous year budgets or projections, to assure accuracy and uniformity of data across all Office of the President departments. The approach reversed the working process of traditional incremental budgeting, whereby only increases over the previous year's budget required justification and approval.

No inflationary increases were built into the proposal. Rather, all departments/units, except the Academic Senate and those offices reporting directly to the Board of Regents, were directed to submit proposals for a minimum of $10 \%$ in reductions both for FY 2008-09 expenditures and FTE. This was critical, not only because of growing state fiscal constraints, but because it provided the basis for beginning the process of "right-sizing" the central administration function - a necessary first step in the subsequent design of new systems and structures.

The proposal submitted to The Regents for the Office of the President (excluding the Academic Senate and the Regents' direct reports), requested a FY 2008-09 appropriation of $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 3}, \mathbf{1 0 9}, \mathbf{6 7 8}$. This represented an expenditure reduction from FY 2007-08 projected expenditures, after adjusting for program costs and fund flowthroughs, of $\mathbf{\$ 5 1 . 6 6}$ million, or 20.2\%. The reduction was achieved in roughly equal parts between actual cuts and program cost transfers.

The proposed budget also reflected a significant reduction of 404 positions - a $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ one-year reduction in FTE from within units reporting to the President. This included the elimination of 6 Senior Management Group positions.

The request was subsequently approved, with slight modifications, at The Regents' May 2008 meeting.

## ADDITIONAL SAVINGS

Under President Yudof's leadership, the Office of the President has continued its aggressive restructuring initiative, designed to streamline and right-size central administration operations at UCOP as well as to improve the effectiveness of its operations.

The across-the-board reductions described above began the process of containing growth. While admittedly not the most strategic budgetary tool, across-the-board
reductions helped launch a long overdue streamlining process. Further reductions have been achieved through a Voluntary Separation Program, announced to The Regents last spring.

Having taken the first steps in reducing the Office of the President, the next stage in the process has been to identify ways in which to reduce unnecessary duplication of activities and reorganize units to achieve efficiencies and enhance effectiveness. The most dramatic have been an aggressive effort to consolidate a number of Office of the President functions, from budget and business services to communications and institutional research. These consolidations, as well major reorganizations in a number of departments, including Human Resources \& Benefits and the Office of Research and Graduate Studies (ORGS), have achieved further efficiencies.

These efficiencies are reflected in the revised budget.
The process continues, as detailed in the "Next Steps" section below, including a continuing review of Academic Affairs departments, continuing controls over vacancies, and a sharp curtailment of non-essential travel, entertainment and other expenditures.

The President will report back to The Regents on the results of these additional measures at the March 2009 meeting, at which a new appropriations request for the Office of the President will be presented for FY 2009-10.

## REVISED FY 2008-09 APPROPRIATIONS

## METHOLODGY

The original FY 2008-09 appropriations request was based on an "adjusted" FY 2007-08 base of $\$ 255.5$ million for the Office of the President (excluding the Academic Senate and Regents' direct reports). Adjusted FTE for FY 2007-08 was 1,749.

The rationale for using this "adjusted" total was that it reflected actual administrative spending by excluding expenditures and FTE funded by such things as programs restricted for a specific use (i.e. earmarked federal research funds) and costs that are recouped through "recharges" to the campuses for services (e.g., payroll mainframe support and strategic sourcing). These expenditures and FTE were exempted from the $10 \%$ across-the-board reductions.

In an effort to provide a complete picture of UCOP expenditures, President Yudof directed that reductions be calculated against the unadjusted budget base of $\$ 290$ million and 1,874 FTE. The adjusted and unadjusted budget and FTE are outlined in Chart 1.

Further, President Yudof requested a breakdown of all budgets, both personnel and non-personnel, by fund source (unrestricted v. restricted) to determine how much of the savings from UCOP's budget and FTE was unrestricted in how it could be used.

A discussion of the restricted vs. unrestricted funds breakdown follows below.


## REVISED APPROPRIATIONS

As a result of the steps taken over the last four months, the Office of the President is revising its appropriations for FY 2008-09 to $\mathbf{\$ 2 3 0 . 1}$ million. This represents additional reductions identified in the four months since the start of the new fiscal year of 97 FTE and $\$ 8.7$ million in budget savings over and above those approved by the Regents in May 2008.

When these additional reductions are combined with those reflected in the Office of the President FY 2008-09 budget, they represent a $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ decrease in FTE (to 1,373) since FY 2007-08, providing a 21\% total decrease in total budget. \$23 million, or 38\%, of the achieved savings are from unrestricted funding sources, and therefore can be deployed to other University priorities.

## Further Reductions in UCOP Central Administration Budget ...



## UCOP Workforce Reductions



## Achieving the Savings

In the months since the Regents approved the FY 2008-09 appropriations for the Office of the President, it has moved aggressively to reduce its size while increasing its effectiveness.

The strategies deployed included:

- Consolidation of redundant administrative support functions into single UCOP-wide units that are organized and staffed in order to broadly serve the entire organization in ways that reduce redundancy and achieve efficiencies;
- Reorganization of existing departments;
- Adoption of a Voluntary Separation Program for employees within the units reporting to the President. While announced in December 2007, with many employees giving formal notice of participation during the course of the development of the original FY 2008-09 budget, these reductions, in large part, were not reflected in the proposed FY 2008-09 appropriations request; and
- Department-by-department reviews to identify both low-priority activities that could be eliminated and high-priority functions requiring additional investment. As a result of these reviews, strict controls were imposed on all vacancies.

These are described at greater length below:

## Consolidations and reorganizations

A central focus of the recent streamlining effort is to reduce redundancy within the central administration. Among the functions that are performed in the various departments, in many cases duplicating similar services, are PC/server support; payroll; and business administration, including budget. Consolidating these functions into single UCOP-wide units is an effort to recast them as "utilities" that are organized and staffed in order to broadly serve the entire centralized enterprise.

In addition, there are a number of previously distributed external relations and research functions that were identified as candidates for consolidations, both to achieve efficiencies and improve effectiveness. These include communications; institutional research, reporting and analysis; legislative analysis.

In the last six months, six consolidations have been designed and are in the process of implementation. They include:

Technology Service Desk is a single, professionalized IT support unit designed to provide high-quality, secure and professional IT support across the Office of the President. Status: Completed. Net savings: 10 FTE.

UCOP Budget Office, which consolidates fiscal oversight functions previously scattered across UCOP into a single, professional unit charged with providing timely, accurate and rigorous budget reporting and management. Status: Completed. Net savings: 9 FTE.

Business Resource Center (BRC), which eliminates redundancy among more than 100 FTE by creating a single, 49-person unit charged with handling all business transactions for UCOP. Improves reporting and compliance of over 44,000 annual transactions. Status: In final stage of implementation. Net savings: 45 FTE.

Communications Unit, which consolidates 40 FTE scattered across eight departments into a single unit that, under central leadership, will improve service and provide consistent strategic messaging. Status: Underway. Net savings: 10 FTE.

Institutional Research centralizes responsibility for maintaining, analyzing and reporting shared institutional data. Benefits include improved data quality and consistency. Status: Underway. Net savings: 18 FTE.

Issues Management Policy Analysis and Coordination (IMPAC) consolidates and professionalizes ad hoc issues management, policy development and legislative analysis. The new unit is designed to upgrade the quality of analysis and to provide leadership with timely and informed policy and issues management. Status: Underway. Net savings: 5 FTE.

Note that the net savings of these consolidations have yet to be fully realized. They will be achieved over the course of the fiscal year, as the new units become fully implemented and redundancy elsewhere in the Office of the President is eliminated.

In addition to these consolidations, several major department reorganizations have been launched. They are detailed in the "Next Steps" section below.

## Voluntary Separation Program (VSP)

As of the January 31, 2008, deadline of the program, over 259 individuals indicated an interest in participating. Under the terms of the program, those individuals were required to give formal notice of participation by no later than May 1, 2008, with separation from employment no later than June 30, 2008. Managers of units were asked to develop work plans to identify which vacated positions are critical and need to be backfilled. The remaining positions would be eliminated.

In the end, 155 individuals - or nearly $60 \%$ of those who indicated an interest - took advantage of the VSP. Sixteen individuals - or $10 \%$-- took positions on UC campuses.

Of the total, $44 \%$ ( 68 FTE) were managers and $54 \%$ were professional staff. Two percent were Academic Senate staff.

After a review by managers in consultation with senior leadership, it was determined that 80 of the vacated positions were critical to the University and would be replaced. In some instances, managers determined that vacancies should be repurposed into positions that will better meet the needs of units and therefore improve efficiency.

Fifty-two percent (52\%) of the vacancies have been or will be filled. The remaining 75 positions will not be replaced, yielding a first-year savings - after the cost of outplacement services and severance payments - of more than $\$ 800,000$. Anticipated salary savings after the first year is estimated at $\$ 5$ million annually (not including benefits and other non-salary savings) - over 65\% of which will come from unrestricted funding.

## Vacancy Controls

During this period, the Office of the President has strictly controlled vacancies. Department managers must demonstrate that positions are critical to the mission of the University they are approved to be filled by senior leadership. As of the printing of this summary, it is estimated that UCOP is holding nearly 100 vacancies, not including those within the newly-created consolidated units.

Not only are these vacancies yielding new budget savings, but they also represent opportunities for continued FTE reductions. The permanent elimination of even just $50 \%$ of current vacancies could result in up to $\$ 6$ million in additional budget savings, three-quarters of it from unrestricted funding.

## Unrestricted vs. Restricted UCOP Funding

The March 2008 presentation to The Regents described how the Office of the President is supported by 79 different fund sources, excluding those that support small research initiatives. It also generally explained the kinds of funds, including general and
common funds, which are unrestricted in ways that can be effectively controlled and allocated at the discretion of the President to meet his and The Regents' changing priorities.

A more detailed analysis, conducted at the direction of President Yudof, has provided a fuller picture of how unrestricted and restricted funding impacts the Office of the President.

As detailed in Chart 2, unrestricted funding represented about 48\% of UCOP's FTE and $51 \%$ of its budget in FY 2007-08. Those percentages have increased slightly as a result of the reductions: Unrestricted funding now represent over 54\% of both total FTE and budget, thus giving the President potentially greater flexibility in the deployment of these unrestricted funds.

| UNRESTRICTED V. RESTRICTED FUNDING AT UCOP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2007-08 |  |  | Revised FY 2008-09 |  |  |
|  | UnRestricted | Restricted | Total | Unestricted | Restricted | Total |
| FTE | 894 | 980 | 1,874 | 746 | 627 | 1,373 |
| BUDGET | \$142.9 M | \$147.6 M | \$290.5 M | \$119.7 M | \$110.4 M | \$230.1 M |

While this is an encouraging trend, it highlights continuing constraints in the use of UCOP savings: currently only 38 cents of every dollar saved through the current restructuring initiative can be used for unrestricted purposes (Chart 3).

Source of UCOP Savings: Unrestricted v. Restricted


## NEXT STEPS

The Office of the President restructuring initiative continues, with a tentative goal of further reducing its workforce by at least 275 FTE and its budget by $\$ 25$ million by the end of the 2009-2010 fiscal year. This would result in a UCOP workforce of less than 1,100 FTE and a total budget, unadjusted even for program funding that flows through UCOP to the campuses, of approximately $\$ 200$ million (Chart 4).

UCOP Future State: FTE


UCOP Future State: Budget
(in millions)


These further reductions will be achieved through the following initiatives already been launched by President Yudof:

A review of Academic Affairs. Acting Provost Grey is leading an effort to identify additional duplications of effort within Academic Affairs departments as well as additional opportunities to transfer programs or services that can be better performed by third-party vendors or campuses. Among the candidates: the Education Abroad Program, with its 80 FTE and roughly $\$ 30$ million budget - much of it in unrestricted funding.

Benefits administration. A determination will soon be made about the most effective and efficient way to administer retiree benefits for all systemwide annuitants, including retirement counseling, pension administration and accounting and operating a customer service center. Among the options is to utilize a third-party vendor or establish a dedicated service center, both of which would have a significant positive impact on UCOP's future organizational structure.

Continuing reorganizations. Four major departmental reorganizations are currently underway. They include Human Resources \& Benefits, which is on target to reduce its FY 2007-08 FTE of 258 down to roughly 110 by shifting functions to campuses and possibly to third-party vendors; the Office of Research and Graduate Studies, which under Vice President Steven Beckwith has already achieved nearly 30\% in budget and FTE reductions by reducing redundancy among the unit's diverse research portfolio; the departments of Systemwide Budget and Facilities Administration, which are being combined into a single organizational unit with a focus on overall resource management and stewardship; Agriculture \& Natural Resources, which continues its strategic review; and External Relations, which under new leadership is reviewing its current structure, beginning with the creation of the new consolidated Communications Unit described above.

Curtailment of all non-essential spending. President Yudof has directed Executive Vice President Lapp to curtail non-essential spending by instituting strict expenditure control measures and pre-approval processes for out-of-state travel, consulting contracts, and purchases and in-state travel exceeding $\$ 500$. It is estimated that these controls will achieve $\$ 1.4$ million in additional budget savings.

Vacancy controls. The vacancy controls established early last year continue, with strict new requirements on departments wishing to fill any position at the Office of the President. As noted above, the vacancy control process has helped to achieve considerable budget savings and will continue to be used as a tool not only to control costs but also to help more effectively manage a more streamlined UCOP.

ATTACHMENT: Budget and FTE summaries (by department)

APPENDIX 3

## OVERVIEW

| TOTAL OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT (CORE AND SYSTEM SUPPORT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2007-08 |  |  | Revised FY 2008-09 |  |  | Overall Savings <br> (FY 2007-08 to Revised) |  |  | Percent Reduction (FY 2007-08 to Revised) |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total |
| FTE | 893.67 | 979.86 | 1,873.53 | 745.80 | 626.80 | 1,372.60 | 147.87 | 353.06 | 500.93 | 17\% | 36\% | 27\% |
| BUDGET | \$ 142,892,365 | \$ 147,647,924 | \$ 290,540,289 | \$ 119,659,644 | \$ 110,468,130 | \$ 230,127,774 | \$ 23,232,721 | \$ 37,179,794 | \$ 60,412,515 | 16\% | 25\% | 21\% |
| Personnel | 83,584,188 | 84,260,181 | 167,844,369 | 71,371,198 | 57,884,448 | 129,255,646 | 12,212,990 | 26,375,733 | 38,588,723 | 15\% | 31\% | 23\% |
| Non-Personnel | 59,308,177 | 63,387,743 | 122,695,920 | 48,288,446 | 52,583,682 | 100,872,128 | 11,019,731 | 10,804,061 | 21,823,792 | 19\% | 17\% | 18\% |

DIVISION SUMMARIES

|  |  |  |  |  | ADEMIC AF | FAIRS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 200 | 07-08 (unadju | usted) | Rev | ised FY 2008-09 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ \text { (FY } 20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | verall Saving 007-08 to Rev | vised) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percen } \\ \text { (FY 2007- } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | t Reducti 08 to Rev | ised) |
|  | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total |
| ACADEMIC ADVA | NCEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | 14.95 | 2.10 | 17.05 | 12.00 | 1.00 | 13.00 | 2.95 | 1.10 | 4.05 | 20\% | 52\% | 24\% |
| BUDGET | \$ 1,952,475 | 101,481 | \$ 2,053,956 | \$ 1,548,668 | \$ 89,427 | \$ 1,638,095 | 403,807 | \$ 12,054 | \$ 415,861 | 21\% | 12\% | 20\% |
| Personnel | 1,761,326 | 93,185 | 1,854,511 | 1,412,523 | 81,131 | 1,493,654 | 348,803 | 12,054 | 360,857 | 20\% | 13\% | 19\% |
| Non-Personnel | 191,149 | 8,296 | 199,445 | 136,145 | 8,296 | 144,441 | 55,004 | - | 55,004 | 29\% | 0\% | 28\% |
| ACADEMIC INFOR | RMATION \& ST | TRATEGIC SER | VICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | 207.06 | 343.45 | 550.51 | 175.2 | 145.52 | 320.72 | 31.86 | 197.93 | 229.79 | 15\% | 58\% | 42\% |
| BUDGET | \$ 52,721,947 | \$ 34,663,442 | \$ 87,385,389 | \$ 41,670,484 | \$ 17,040,055 | \$ 58,710,539 | \$ 11,051,463 | \$ 17,623,387 | \$ 28,674,850 | 21\% | 51\% | 33\% |
| Personnel | 18,010,079 | 23,666,486 | 41,676,565 | 15,311,183 | 9,646,780 | 24,957,963 | 2,698,896 | 14,019,706 | 16,718,602 | 15\% | 59\% | 40\% |
| Non-Personnel | 34,711,868 | 10,996,956 | 45,708,824 | 26,359,301 | 7,393,275 | 33,752,576 | 8,352,567 | 3,603,681 | 11,956,248 | 24\% | 33\% | 26\% |
| AGRICULTURE \& | NATURAL RES | SOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | 47.70 | 6.78 | 54.48 | 40.70 | 5.78 | 46.48 | 7.00 | 1.00 | 8.00 | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% |
| BUDGET | \$ 5,310,455 | \$ 562,964 | \$ 5,873,419 | \$ 4,656,665 | 497,926 | \$ 5,154,591 | \$ 653,790 | 65,038 | \$ 718,828 | 12\% | 12\% | 12\% |
| Personnel | 4,756,587 | 516,017 | 5,272,604 | 4,293,855 | 450,979 | 4,744,834 | 462,732 | 65,038 | 527,770 | 10\% | 13\% | 10\% |
| Non-Personnel | 553,868 | 46,947 | 600,815 | 362,810 | 46,947 | 409,757 | 191,058 |  | 191,058 | 34\% | 0\% | 32\% |
| EDUCATIONAL REL | ELATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | 47.43 | 23.34 | 70.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 47.43 | 23.34 | 70.77 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| BUDGET | \$ 5,106,555 | \$ 4,339,648 | \$ 9,446,203 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 5,106,555 | \$ 4,339,648 | \$ 9,446,203 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Personnel | 4,002,272 | 1,881,727 | 5,883,999 |  | - |  | 4,002,272 | 1,881,727 | 5,883,999 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Non-Personnel | 1,104,283 | 2,457,921 | 3,562,204 |  |  |  | 1,104,283 | 2,457,921 | 3,562,204 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | APPE | DIX 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIVISION SUMMARIES: Academic Affairs (Cont'd) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FY 2007-08 (unadjusted) |  |  |  |  | Revised FY 2008-09 |  |  |  |  |  | Overall Savings (FY 2007-08 to Revised) |  |  |  |  |  | Percent Reduction(FY 2007-08 to Revised) |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | Restricted |  | Total |  | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  |  |  | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  |  |  | Unrestricted | Restricted |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | 0.00 |  | 16.98 |  | 16.98 |  | 0.00 |  | 13.90 |  | 13.90 |  | 0 |  | 3.08 |  | 3.08 | - | 18\% | 18\% |
| BUDGET | \$ - | \$ | 4,464,078 | \$ | 4,464,078 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,944,769 | \$ | 3,944,769 | \$ | - | \$ | 519,309 | \$ | 519,309 | - | 12\% | 12\% |
| Personnel | - |  | 3,342,354 |  | 3,342,354 |  | - |  | 3,403,531 |  | 3,403,531 |  | - |  | $(61,177)$ |  | $(61,177)$ | - | -2\% | -2\% |
| Non-Personnel | - |  | 1,121,724 |  | 1,121,724 |  | - |  | 541,238 |  | 541,238 |  | - |  | 580,486 |  | 580,486 | - | 52\% | 52\% |
| PROVOST - IMMEDIATE OFFICE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | 18.67 |  | 5.30 |  | 23.97 |  | 8.43 |  | 4.00 |  | 12.43 |  | 10.24 |  | 1.3 |  | 11.54 | 55\% | 25\% | 48\% |
| BUDGET | \$ 2,063,714 | \$ | 609,681 | \$ | 2,673,395 | \$ | 1,142,047 | \$ | 554,734 | \$ | 1,696,781 | \$ | 921,667 | \$ | 54,947 | \$ | 976,614 | 45\% | 9\% | 37\% |
| Personnel | 1,908,767 |  | 377,261 |  | 2,286,028 |  | 994,720 |  | 333,743 |  | 1,328,463 |  | 914,047 |  | 43,518 |  | 957,565 | 48\% | 12\% | 42\% |
| Non-Personnel | 154,947 |  | 232,420 |  | 387,367 |  | 147,327 |  | 220,991 |  | 368,318 |  | 7,620 |  | 11,429 |  | 19,049 | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| RESEARCH \& GRADUATE STUDIES (ORGS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | 81.15 |  | 145.60 |  | 226.83 |  | 61.53 |  | 99.35 |  | 160.88 |  | 19.62 |  | 46.25 |  | 65.95 | 24\% | 32\% | 29\% |
| BUDGET | \$ 8,653,156 | \$ | 22,802,143 | \$ | 31,455,299 | \$ | 7,399,322 | \$ | 16,072,109 | \$ | 23,471,431 | \$ | 1,253,834 | \$ | 6,730,034 | \$ | 7,983,868 | 14\% | 30\% | 25\% |
| Personnel | 7,355,407 |  | 13,285,321 |  | 20,640,728 |  | 6,128,792 |  | 8,872,439 |  | 15,001,231 |  | 1,226,615 |  | 4,412,882 |  | 5,639,497 | 17\% | 33\% | 27\% |
| Non-Personnel | 1,297,749 |  | 9,516,822 |  | 10,814,571 |  | 1,270,530 |  | 7,199,670 |  | 8,470,200 |  | 27,219 |  | 2,317,152 |  | 2,344,371 | 2\% | 24\% | 22\% |
| STUDENT AFFAIRS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | 122.37 |  | 68.83 |  | 191.12 |  | 90.21 |  | 44.43 |  | 134.64 |  | 32.16 |  | 24.4 |  | 56.48 | 26\% | 35\% | 30\% |
| BUDGET | \$ 11,221,601 | \$ | 11,171,290 | \$ | 22,392,891 | \$ | 7,756,736 | \$ | 8,150,151 | \$ | 15,906,887 | \$ | 3,464,865 | \$ | 3,021,139 | \$ | 6,486,004 | 31\% | 27\% | 29\% |
| Personnel | 7,331,923 |  | 8,236,971 |  | 15,568,894 |  | 4,645,844 |  | 5,803,338 |  | 10,449,182 |  | 2,686,079 |  | 2,433,633 |  | 5,119,712 | 37\% | 30\% | 33\% |
| Non-Personnel | 3,889,678 |  | 2,934,319 |  | 6,823,997 |  | 3,110,892 |  | 2,346,813 |  | 5,457,705 |  | 778,786 |  | 587,506 |  | 1,366,292 | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% |
| ACADEMIC AFFAIRS SUBTOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | 539.33 |  | 612.38 |  | 1151.71 |  | 388.07 |  | 313.98 |  | 702.05 |  | 151.26 |  | 298.40 |  | 449.66 | 28\% | 49\% | 39\% |
| BUDGET | \$ 87,029,903 | \$ | 78,714,727 |  | 165,744,630 | \$ | 64,173,922 | \$ | 46,349,171 | \$ | 110,523,093 | \$ | 22,855,981 | \$ | 32,365,556 | \$ | 55,221,537 | 26\% | 41\% | 33\% |
| Personnel | \$ 45,126,361 | \$ | 51,399,322 |  | 96,525,683 | \$ | 32,786,917 | \$ | 28,591,941 | \$ | 61,378,858 | \$ | 12,339,444 | \$ | 22,807,381 | \$ | 35,146,825 | 27\% | 44\% | 36\% |
| Non-Personnel | \$ 41,903,542 | \$ | 27,315,405 |  | 69,218,947 | \$ | 31,387,005 | \$ | 17,757,230 | \$ | 49,144,235 | \$ | 10,516,537 | \$ | 9,558,175 | \$ | 20,074,712 | 25\% | 35\% | 29\% |
| NEW CONSOLIDATED UNITS REPORTING TO THE PROVOST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Divisional Operations Coordination Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 0 |  | 3 |  | -3 |  | 0 |  | -3 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| BUDGET |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 307,247 | \$ | - | \$ | 307,247 | \$ | $(307,247)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(307,247)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Personnel <br> Non-Personnel |  |  |  |  |  |  | 302,247 |  | - |  | 302,247 |  | $(302,247)$ |  | - |  | $(302,247)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,000 |  | - |  | 5,000 |  | $(5,000)$ |  | - |  | $(5,000)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| ACADEMIC AFFAIRS TOTAL (WITH CONSOLIDATIONS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | 539.33 |  | 612.38 |  | 1151.71 |  | 391.07 |  | 313.98 |  | 705.05 |  | 148.26 |  | 298.40 |  | 446.66 |  |  |  |
| BUDGET | \$ 87,029,903 | \$ | 78,714,727 |  | 165,744,630 | \$ | 64,481,169 | \$ | 46,349,171 | \$ | 110,830,340 | \$ | 22,548,734 | \$ | 32,365,556 | \$ | 54,914,290 |  |  |  |
| Personnel <br> Non-Personnel | \$ 45,126,361 | \$ | 51,399,322 |  | 96,525,683 | \$ | 33,089,164 | \$ | 28,591,941 | \$ | 61,681,105 | \$ | 12,037,197 | \$ | 22,807,381 | \$ | 34,844,578 |  |  |  |
|  | \$ 41,903,542 | \$ | 27,315,405 | \$ | 69,218,947 | \$ | 31,392,005 | \$ | 17,757,230 | \$ | 49,149,235 | \$ | 10,511,537 | \$ | 9,558,175 | \$ | 20,069,712 |  |  | 2 |

## APPENDIX 3

BUSINESS OPERATIONS

|  | BUSINESS OPERATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2007-08 (unadjusted) |  |  | Revised FY 2008-09 |  |  | Overall Savings (FY 2007-08 to Revised) |  |  | Percent Reduction (FY 2007-08 to Revised) |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total |
| BUSINESS OPERATIONS - IMMEDIATE OFFICE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | 12.27 | 0.00 | 12.27 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 5.27 | 0.00 | 5.27 | 43\% | 0\% | 43\% |
| BUDGET | \$ 1,874,551 | \$ - | \$ 1,874,551 | \$ 1,361,198 | \$ | \$ 1,361,198 | \$ 513,353 | \$ - | \$ 513,353 | 27\% | 0\% | 27\% |
| Personnel | 1,697,773 |  | 1,697,773 | 1,184,420 |  | 1,184,420 | 513353 | 0 | 513353 | 30\% | 0\% | 30\% |
| Non-Personnel | 176,778 |  | 176,778 | 176,778 |  | 176,778 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| INSTITUTIONAL ADVANCEMENT (Transferred from External Relations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | 5.40 | 0.00 | 5.40 | 3.61 | 0.79 | 4.40 | 1.79 | -0.79 | 1.00 | 33\% | 0\% | 19\% |
| BUDGET | \$ 540,063 | 155,702 | \$ 695,765 | \$ 463,196 | 157,930 | 621,126 | \$ 76,867 | \$ (2,228) | \$ 74,639 | 14\% | -1\% | 11\% |
| Personnel | 519,863 | 91,741 | 611,604 | 442,996 | 93,969 | 536,965 | \$ 76,867 | \$ $(2,228)$ | \$ 74,639 | 15\% | -2\% | 12\% |
|  | 20,200 | 63,961 | 84,161 | 20,200 | 63,961 | 84,161 | \$ - | \$ | \$ - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| FACILITIES ADMINISTRATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | 44.62 | 2.7 | 60.35 | 24.50 | 2.50 | 27.00 | 20.12 | 0.20 | 33.35 | 45\% | 7\% | 55\% |
| BUDGET | \$ 5,132,133 | \$ 1,742,851 | \$ 6,874,984 | \$ 3,311,319 | \$ 450,267 | \$ 3,761,586 | \$ 1,820,814 | \$ 1,292,584 | \$ 3,113,398 | 35\% | 74\% | 45\% |
| Personnel | 4,488,793 | 1,453,814 | 5,942,607 | 2,869,030 | 302,837 | 3,171,867 | 1,619,763 | 1,150,977 | 2,770,740 | 36\% | 79\% | 47\% |
| Non-Personnel | 643,340 | 289,037 | 932,377 | 442,289 | 147,430 | 589,719 | 201,051 | 141,607 | 342,658 | 31\% | 49\% | 37\% |

## MAIL SERVICES (Transferred from IR\&C)

FTE $\quad 5.00$ 0.
BUDGET
FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

| FTE | 50.30 | 38.26 | 88.56 | 33.60 | 37.80 | 71.40 | 16.70 | 0.46 | 17.16 | 33\% | 1\% | 19\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUDGET | \$ 4,667,967 | \$ 7,133,036 | \$ 11,801,003 | \$ 3,125,745 | \$ 8,613,972 | \$ 11,739,717 | \$ 1,542,222 | \$ (1,480,936) | \$ 61,286 | 33\% | -21\% | 1\% |
| Personnel | 4,264,308 | 4,845,637 | 9,109,945 | 2,722,086 | 5,897,573 | 8,619,659 | 1,542,222 | $(1,051,936)$ | 490,286 | 36\% | -22\% | 5\% |
| Non-Personnel | 403,659 | 2,287,399 | 2,691,058 | 403,659 | 2,716,399 | 3,120,058 |  | (429,000) | (429,000) | 0\% | -19\% | -16\% |

LOAN PROGRAMS (Transferred from Facilities Administration)


HUMAN RESOURCES \& BENEFITS

| FTE | 43.97 | 214.68 | 258.65 | 27.09 | 166.41 | 193.50 | 16.88 | 48.27 | 65.15 | 38\% | 22\% | 25\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUDGET | \$ 12,698,122 | 33,658,494 | \$ 46,356,616 | 10,791,203 | \$ 28,939,681 | \$ 39,730,884 | \$ 1,906,919 | 4,718,813 | \$ 6,625,732 | 15\% | 14\% | 14\% |
| Personnel | 4,163,049 | 17,807,645 | 21,970,694 | 2,921,622 | 14,602,550 | 17,524,172 | 1,241,427 | 3,205,095 | 4,446,522 | 30\% | 18\% | 20\% |
| Non-Personnel | 8,535,073 | 15,850,849 | 24,385,922 | 7,869,581 | 14,337,131 | 22,206,712 | 665,492 | 1,513,718 | 2,179,210 | 8\% | 10\% | 9\% |

INFORMATION RESOURCES \& COMMUNICATIONS

| FTE BUDGET | 66.46 | 87.34 | 153.80 | 45.56 | 83.44 | 129.00 | 20.90 | 3.90 | 24.80 | 31\% | 4\% | 16\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ 12,299,664 | \$ 24,945,436 | 37,245,100 | \$ 9,433,943 | 24,584,346 | \$ 34,018,289 | \$ 2,865,721 | \$ 361,090 | \$ 3,226,811 | 23\% | 1\% | 9\% |
| Personnel | 7,478,169 | 7,851,045 | 15,329,214 | 5,712,448 | 7,489,955 | 13,202,403 | 1,765,721 | 361,090 | 2,126,811 | 24\% | 5\% | 14\% |
| Non-Personnel | 4,821,495 | 17,094,391 | 21,915,886 | 3,721,495 | 17,094,391 | 20,815,886 | 1,100,000 |  | 1,100,000 | 23\% | 0\% | 5\% |


| SYSTEMWIDE BUDGET OFFICE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FTE | 33.50 | 0.50 | 34.00 | 26.50 | 0.50 | 27.00 | 7.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 7.00 | 21\% | - | 21\% |
| BUDGET | \$ 3,934,626 | \$ 37,012 | \$ 3,971,638 | \$ 3,197,331 | \$ 37,012 | \$ 3,234,343 | \$ 737,295 | \$ | - | \$ | 737,295 | 19\% | - | 19\% |
| Personnel | 3,685,523 | 37,012 | 3,722,535 | 2,948,228 | 37,012 | 2,985,240 | 737,295 |  | - |  | 737,295 | 20\% | - | 20\% |
| Non-Personnel | 249,103 | - | 249,103 | 249,103 | - | 249,103 | - |  | - |  | - | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| BUSINESS OPERATIONS SUBTOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | 261.52 | 356.51 | 631.06 | 170.86 | 304.19 | 475.05 | 90.66 |  | 52.32 |  | 156.01 | 35\% | 15\% | 25\% |
| BUDGET | \$ 41,147,126 | \$ 67,672,531 | \$ 108,819,657 | \$ 31,683,935 | \$ 62,783,208 | \$ 94,467,143 | \$ 9,463,191 | \$ | 4,889,323 | \$ | 14,352,514 | 23\% | 7\% | 13\% |
| Personnel | 26,297,478 | 32,086,894 | 58,384,372 | 18,800,830 | 28,423,896 | 47,224,726 | 7,496,648 |  | 3,662,998 |  | 11,159,646 | 29\% | 11\% | 19\% |
| Non-Personnel | 14,849,648 | 35,585,637 | 50,435,285 | 12,883,105 | 34,359,312 | 47,242,417 | 1,966,543 |  | 1,226,325 |  | 3,192,868 | 13\% | 3\% | 6\% |
| NEW CONSOLIDATED UNITS REPORTING TO THE EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT - BUSINESS OPERATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OP Budget Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE |  |  |  | 7 | 0 | 7 | -7 |  | 0 |  | -7 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| BUDGET |  |  |  | \$ 756,855 | \$ | \$ 756,855 | \$ $(756,855)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(756,855)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Personnel |  |  |  | 746,855 | - | 746,855 | $(746,855)$ |  | - |  | $(746,855)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Non-Personnel |  |  |  | 10,000 | - | 10,000 | $(10,000)$ |  | - |  | $(10,000)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Business Resource Center |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE BUDGET |  |  |  | 49 | 0 | 49 | -49 |  | 0 |  | -49 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  | \$ 3,408,424 | \$ - | \$ 3,408,424 | \$ $(3,408,424)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(3,408,424)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Personnel <br> Non-Personnel |  |  |  | 3,358,424 | - | 3,358,424 | $(3,358,424)$ |  | - |  | $(3,358,424)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  | 50,000 | - | 50,000 | $(50,000)$ |  | - |  | $(50,000)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Divisional Operations Coordination Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE BUDGET |  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 3 | -3 |  | 0 |  | -3 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  | \$ 307,247 | \$ | \$ 307,247 | \$ $(307,247)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(307,247)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Personnel |  |  |  | 302,247 | - | 302,247 | $(302,247)$ |  | - |  | $(302,247)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Non-Personnel |  |  |  | 5,000 | - | 5,000 | $(5,000)$ |  | - |  | $(5,000)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Project/Change Management Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE BUDGET |  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 3 | -3 |  | 0 |  | -3 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  | \$ 383,400 | \$ | \$ 383,400 | \$ $(383,400)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(383,400)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| BUDGET <br> Personnel |  |  |  | 378,400 | - | 378,400 | $(378,400)$ |  | - |  | $(378,400)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Non-Personnel |  |  |  | 5,000 | - | 5,000 | $(5,000)$ |  | - |  | $(5,000)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Technology Service Desk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE |  |  |  | 20 | 0 | 20 | -20 |  | 0 |  | -20 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| BUDGET |  |  |  | \$ 2,291,816 | \$ 346,433 | \$ 2,638,249 | \$ (2,291,816) | \$ | $(346,433)$ | \$ | $(2,638,249)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Personnel |  |  |  | 1,191,816 | 346,433 | 1,538,249 | \$ (1,191,816) | \$ | $(346,433)$ | \$ | $(1,538,249)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  | 1,100,000 | - | 1,100,000 | \$ (1,100,000) | \$ | - | \$ | $(1,100,000)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## APPENDIX 3

DIVISION SUMMARIES: Business Operations (Cont'd)


| EXTERNAL RELATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2007-08 (unadjusted) |  |  | Revised FY 2008-09 |  |  | Overall Savings (FY 2007-08 to Revised) |  |  | Percent Reduction(FY 2007-08 to Revised) |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total |
| External Relations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | 57.70 | 3.20 | 60.90 | 24.30 | 3.20 | 27.50 | 33.40 | 0.00 | 33.40 | 58\% | 0\% | 55\% |
| BUDGET | \$ 9,305,236 | \$ 623,739 | \$ 9,928,975 | \$ 4,652,765 | \$ 591,793 | \$ 5,244,558 | \$ 4,652,471 | \$ 31,946 | \$ 4,684,417 | 50\% | 5\% | 47\% |
| Personnel | 7,405,845 | 178,203 | 7,584,048 | 3,710,491 | 162,000 | 3,872,491 | \$ 3,695,354 | \$ 16,203 | \$ 3,711,557 | 50\% | 9\% | 49\% |
| Non-Personnel | 1,899,391 | 445,536 | 2,344,927 | 942,274 | 429,793 | 1,372,067 | \$ 957,117 | 15,743 | \$ 972,860 | 50\% | 4\% | 41\% |
| NEW CONSOLIDATED UNITS REPORTING TO THE EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT - EXTERNAL RELATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consolidated Communications Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE BUDGET |  |  |  | 27 | 0 | 27 | -27 | 0 | -27 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  | 3,822,165 | - | 3,822,165 | $(3,822,165)$ | - | $(3,822,165)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Personnel <br> Non-Personnel |  |  |  | 2,932,165 | - | 2,932,165 | $(2,932,165)$ | - | $(2,932,165)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  | 890,000 | - | 890,000 | $(890,000)$ | - | $(890,000)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| EXTERNAL RELATIONS TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE BUDGET | 57.70 | 3.20 | 60.90 | 51.30 | 3.20 | 54.50 | 6.40 | 0.00 | 6.40 | 11\% | 0\% | 11\% |
|  | \$ 9,305,236 | \$ 623,739 | \$ 9,928,975 | \$ 8,474,930 | \$ 591,793 | \$ 9,066,723 | \$ 830,306 | \$ 31,946 | \$ 862,252 | 9\% | 5\% | 9\% |
| Personnel | \$ 7,405,845 | \$ 178,203 | \$ 7,584,048 | \$ 6,642,656 | \$ 162,000 | \$ 6,804,656 | \$ 763,189 | \$ 16,203 | \$ 779,392 | 10\% | 9\% | 10\% |
| Non-Personnel | \$ 1,899,391 | \$ 445,536 | \$ 2,344,927 | \$ 1,832,274 | \$ 429,793 | \$ 2,262,067 | \$ 67,117 | \$ 15,743 | \$ 82,860 | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |


|  | HEALTH SCIENCES/SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2007-08 (unadjusted) |  |  | Revised FY 2008-09 |  |  | Overall Savings (FY 2007-08 to Revised) |  |  | Percent Reduction (FY 2007-08 to Revised) |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total |
| Health Sciences/Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | 24.57 | 7.77 | 32.34 | 14.57 | 5.43 | 20.00 | 10.00 | 2.34 | 12.34 | 41\% | 0\% | 38\% |
| BUDGET | \$ 3,702,662 | \$ 636,927 | \$ 4,339,589 | \$ 2,698,579 | \$ 397,525 | \$ 3,096,104 | \$ 1,004,083 | \$ 239,402 | \$ 1,243,485 | 27\% | 38\% | 29\% |
| Personnel | 3,463,354 | 595,762 | 4,059,116 | 2,362,455 | 360,178 | 2,722,633 | 1100899.00 | 235584.00 | 1336483.00 | 32\% | 40\% | 33\% |
| Non-Personnel | 239,308 | 41,165 | 280,473 | 336,124 | 37,347 | 373,471 | -96816.00 | 3818.00 | -92998.00 | -40\% | 9\% | -33\% |

PRESIDENT'S IMMEDIATE OFFICE

|  | PRESIDENT'S IMMEDIATE OFFICE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2007-08 (unadjusted) |  |  | Revised FY 2008-09 |  |  | (FY 2007-08 to Revised) |  |  | (FY 2007-08 to Revised) |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total | Unrestricted | Restricted | Total |
| President's Immediate Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | 10.55 | - | 10.55 | 14.00 | - | 14.00 | -3.45 | - | -3.45 | -33\% | - | -33\% |
| BUDGET | \$ 1,707,438 | \$ - | \$ 1,707,438 | \$ 2,497,935 | \$ - | \$ 2,497,935 | \$ $(790,497)$ | - | \$ $(790,497)$ | -46\% | - | -46\% |
| Personnel | 1,291,150 | - | 1,291,150 | 1,850,497 | $-$ | 1,850,497 | -559347 | 0 | -559347 | -43\% | - | -43\% |
| Non-Personnel | 416,288 | - | 416,288 | 647,438 | - | 647,438 | -231150 | 0 | -231150 | -56\% | - | -56\% |
| NEW CONSOLIDATED UNITS REPORTING TO THE PRESIDENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Institutional Research |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | 0 | 0 |  | 15 | 0 | 15 | -15 | 0 | -15 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| BUDGET |  |  |  | \$ 1,832,756 | \$ - | \$ 1,832,756 | \$ (1,832,756) | \$ - | \$ (1,832, 756 ) | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Personnel |  |  |  | 1,815,256 | - | 1,815,256 | $(1,815,256)$ | - | $(1,815,256)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  | 17,500 | - | 17,500 | $(17,500)$ | - | $(17,500)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Issues Management Policy Analysis \& Coordination |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE |  | - |  | 7 | 0 | 7 | -7 | 0 | -7 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| BUDGET |  |  |  | \$ 842,598 | \$ - | \$ 842,598 | \$ $(842,598)$ | \$ | \$ $(842,598)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Personnel |  |  |  | 832,598 | - | 832,598 | $(832,598)$ | - | $(832,598)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  | 10,000 | - | 10,000 | $(10,000)$ | - | $(10,000)$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |

PRESIDENT'S IMMEDIATE OFFICE TOTAL (WITH CONSOLIDATIONS)

| FTE |  | 10.55 |  | 0.00 |  | 10.55 |  | 36.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 36.00 |  | -25.45 |  | 0.00 |  | -25.45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUDGET | \$ | 1,707,438 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,707,438 | \$ | 5,173,289 | \$ | - | \$ | 5,173,289 | \$ | $(3,465,851)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(3,465,851)$ |
| Personnel | \$ | 1,291,150 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,291,150 | \$ | 4,498,351 | \$ | - | \$ | 4,498,351 | \$ | $(3,207,201)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(3,207,201)$ |
| Non-Personnel | \$ | 416,288 | \$ | - | \$ | 416,288 | \$ | 674,938 | \$ | - | \$ | 674,938 | \$ | $(258,650)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(258,650)$ |
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## Office of the President

## TO MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE:

## ACTION ITEM

For Meeting of May 7, 2009

## APPROVAL OF FISCAL YEAR 2009-10 BUDGET FOR THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

## RECOMMENDATION

The President recommends that the Committee on Finance recommend to the Regents the following central administration spending plans for fiscal year 2009-10:

For the Office of the President (all funds, but excluding the Academic Senate and the Regents' direct reports), the President requests a fiscal year 2009-10 appropriation of $\$ 224,664,685$. This represents an expenditure reduction from the fiscal year 2008-09 budget, before reflecting program costs, fund flowthroughs, and recharges, of $\$ 6.6$ million, or 2.9 percent. Including adjustments narrated in the attached budget document, the total appropriations request is consistent with the request presented for discussion at the March meeting of the Committee on Finance.

For the departments reporting directly to the Regents, including the Ethics, Compliance and Audit Services unit; the General Counsel; the Secretary and Chief of Staff to the Regents; and the Treasurer's Office, the President requests a fiscal year 2009-10 appropriation of $\$ 67,412,843$. This is against the fiscal year 2008-09 budget, before reflecting program costs, fund flowthroughs, and recharges, of $\$ 67,879,410$. This represents a decrease of $\$ 466,567$.

The appropriations request for each Regents' direct report unit is broken down as follows:

- Ethics, Compliance and Audit Services - \$5,205,159 (5 percent decrease from FY 200809)
- General Counsel - \$39,287,860 (before recharges, a 2 percent decrease)

$$
\$ 15,587,875 \text { (net of recharges, a } 5 \text { percent decrease) }
$$

- Secretary and Chief of Staff - \$3,299,554 (11 percent decrease)
- Treasurer's Office - \$19,620,270 (5 percent increase)

For the Academic Senate, the President requests a fiscal year 2009-10 appropriation of $\$ 1,233,726$. This compares to the fiscal year 2008-09 budget, before reflecting program costs and fund flowthroughs, of $\$ 1,235,368$. This represents an expenditure decrease of $\$ 1,642$. The
total appropriations request is consistent with the request presented for discussion at the March meeting of the Committee on Finance.

## BACKGROUND

The total appropriations request is consistent with the request presented for discussion at the March meeting of the Committee on Finance.

However, adjustments have been made within three Office of the President divisions:

- Academic Affairs proposes to further reduce FTE (3.5 FTE) but to increase its use of restricted funds ( $\$ 1.9$ million) as part of its ongoing reorganization. In addition, it proposes a net reduction of about $\$ 1$ million in unrestricted funding, after correcting for over-commitments that became apparent in the reorganization of Student Affairs. It also accounts for a $\$ 5$ million addition to the flowthrough research budget, but which is offset by $\$ 5$ million in new funding.
- Business Operations proposes increases in FTE (2.0 FTE) and budget ( $\$ 1.6$ million in restricted and unrestricted funding) to fund the newly-approved Chief Financial Officer (CFO) position and staff, as well as to fund a contingency for further restructuring and to augment Office of the President facility security.
- External Relations proposes a net increase of 0.5 FTE and additional unrestricted budget funding $(\$ 238,000)$ to reflect its ongoing reorganization.

Full details are provided in the attached summary and department narratives.
These changes increase the Office of the President budget by $\$ 4.6$ million and decrease 3.0 FTE, and bring total budget reductions since fiscal year 2007-08 to $\$ 62.2$ million (a 17 percent overall decrease). FTE have been reduced by 631 (a 30 percent decrease). These reductions have been achieved through layoffs, vacancy and expenditure control measures, and the transfer of programs to campuses.

The Office of the President reorganization remains in process, and as a result the fiscal year 2009-10 budget may require additional adjustment. Executive Vice President Lapp will provide a written update report to the Regents on a quarterly basis.

Finally, there has been an important change in the manner in which the fiscal year 2009-10 budget has been reported versus the fiscal year 2008-09 budget: The fiscal year 2009-10 budget numbers are calculated from a larger base than that used to build the fiscal year 2008-09 budget. For instance, the total budget request for fiscal year 2009-10 is $\$ 283.3$ million, compared to the $\$ 203.1$ million request for fiscal year 2008-09. The reason for this is that the fiscal year 2008-09 budget reflects personnel and operating costs net of recharges; FY 2009-10 is based on personnel and operating costs before recharges. This change reflects the continuing effort by the Office of the President to further refine and improve its budget reporting and accountability systems. It will provide the basis for future year Office of the President budgets presented for discussion and action by the Regents.
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## SUMMARY

## Overview

The University of California Office of the President is requesting Fiscal Year 2009-10 appropriations of $\mathbf{\$ 2 9 3}, \mathbf{3 1 1}, \mathbf{2 5 4}$. This includes direct expenses in all funds, both unrestricted and restricted, for both the departments/units reporting to the President as well as the Regents' direct reports, but does not include recharges and program flowthroughs (such as research grants).

This represents a $\mathbf{\$ 6 2 . 2}$ million (17.5\%) total expenditure reduction (unrestricted and restricted funds) since the beginning of the Office of the President expenditure control and restructuring process in FY 2007-08, when its budget was an estimated $\$ 355.5$ million.

The request also reflects a continuing reduction in overall fulltime equivalent (FTE) employee count to 1,439 , a reduction of 630.83 FTE -- over $30 \%$-- since FY 2007-08.

The most recent budget development process achieves the following additional savings and reductions:

- $\quad \$ 7.06$ million in total expenditure reductions (unrestricted and restricted) since FY 2008-09
- Another 130.94 FTE in Office of the President workforce reductions over FY 2008-09. Excluding the Academic Senate and the Regents' direct reports, Office of the President FTE is proposed to stand at 1,245.96 - a cumulative $33.5 \%$ two-year reduction that is consistent with the long-term goal set forth to the Regents by the President last November.
- A $\$ 40$ million net reduction in unrestricted funds as part of an ongoing strategy to free up funding to meet other University priorities. This has been achieved in two ways: First, by reducing charges to unrestricted funds for a total of $\$ 25$ million through reductions in staff and expenses and through the shifting of certain expenditures, when appropriate, from unrestricted to restricted funds; and second, by transferring $\$ 15$ million in programs and staff from OP to the campuses.


## Adjustments Since the March Budget

The requested budget has been amended in several replaces, to reflect continuing restructuring in the Office of the President. Details for these amendments appear in the departmental narratives and tables. The most significant items (but not including transfers within divisions) are noted below.

## Academic Affairs

- A further net reduction of about 3.5 FTE as restructuring eliminated 10.7 FTE in old positions, transferred out 1.0 FTE to External Relations, and created 8.2 FTE in new positions
- A $\$ 5$ million addition to the flowthrough research budget, offset by $\$ 5$ million in new funding (i.e., no net change in the use of UCOP unrestricted resources)
- Net reduction in the division's use of unrestricted funds of about \$1 million, after correcting for over-commitments that became apparent in the reorganization of Student Affairs
- Net increase in the use of restricted funds of about $\$ 1.9$ million, generally attributable position upgrades, reclassifications and to consistent application of the UCOP policy of budgeting vacancies at the range midpoints


## Business Operations

- A net increase of 2.0 FTE, reflecting the new Chief Financial Officer (CFO) position and his executive assistant
- A net increase of about $\$ 1.36$ million in unrestricted funds, about half of which is a contingency for further restructuring, with the remainder approximately split between enhanced facility security and partial salary and benefit coverage associated with the new CFO FTE (above)
- The budget of restricted funds is up by about $\$ 219,000$, also to provide partial compensation coverage for new positions in the CFO's office


## External Relations

- A net increase of 0.5 FTE in Federal Government Relations plus application of the UCOP policy of budgeting vacancies at the range midpoints add about $\$ 238,000$ to the unrestricted budget


## Budget Strategy

The proposed FY 2009-10 UCOP budget extends strategies launched a year ago to dramatically reduce Office of the President FTE and expenditures. These strategies include:

- Continuing savings from consolidations, reorganizations and other Office of the President restructuring initiatives involving units reporting directly to the President;
- Rigorous controls on expenditures for personnel, travel, entertainment and other expenses; and
- A rigorous review of restricted and unrestricted budget expenditures that has resulted in the identification of substantial additional dollars that can be deployed for other University priorities.

The cumulative reductions, including for all departments/units reporting to the President, Academic Senate and Regents' direct reports, are reflected in the following table:

| Fiscal Year | Budget | FTE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| FY 2007-08 | $\$ 355.5$ million | 2,070 |
| FY 2008-09 (revised) | $\$ 300.3$ million | 1,570 |
| FY 2009-10 | $\$ 293.3$ million | 1,439 |
| TOTAL REDUCTION <br> (FY 2007-08 to FY 2009-10) | $\$ 62.2$ million | 631 |

While the reductions are important and significant, equally important are new budget strategies designed to provide the President far greater flexibility in funding University priorities.

As described in the November revisions to the 2008-09 budget, and moving forward to FY 2009-10, UCOP now manages its budget in two broad categories: fund sources that are unrestricted and those that are restricted. The former category includes state funds, common funds, a small number of unrestricted endowments and several other distinct sources that can be used broadly and interchangeably on UCOP functions. Savings in this category can be easily reprogrammed to higher priorities, new initiatives or campus needs.

By contrast, restricted funds are limited to certain defined and often very narrow uses. Funds in this category may originate with grants, contracts (such as
management of the national laboratories), earmarked state appropriations for research or student services, auxiliary enterprises (such as UC Press), pension or other benefit funds, and many other sources. Savings in these funds generally cannot be reprogrammed outside their limited areas of use. The restricted budget will go up or down from year to year independent of the UCOP budget process.

With this distinction in mind, the most significant driver of the FY 2009-10 budget is a goal to reduce the use of unrestricted funds, thereby increasing the resources available for reprogramming to other priorities. The reduction is not across the board, however, but is applied strategically and differentially to the various divisions and departments in UCOP.

As UCOP developed its FY 2009-10 budget, this goal was to be accomplished in two distinct ways. One was to continue the process of base budget reductions through position eliminations or limitations on other expenses, including travel, purchasing and consultants. The other was, where legitimate, to transfer certain types of expenditures to restricted funds.

With this latter path in mind, continuing detailed review of the UCOP fiscal picture revealed many cases where unrestricted funds were paying for a range of functions that benefit restricted programs and where it would be appropriate to transfer a portion or all of the costs of those functions to the restricted sources. Examples of this process are reflected in the departmental discussions later in this document, in functions as diverse as institutional advancement, financial management, human resources, information technology and legal services. UCOP found in each of those areas significant opportunities to achieve cost recovery from restricted funds. Such cost recoveries do not result in overall budget reductions, but they do release substantial amounts of unrestricted funding.

As the fiscal year has progressed, the need to reduce the use of unrestricted funds has been amplified by the state's growing fiscal crisis and the likelihood of a significant reduction in state funding for the University.

In FY 2009-10, programs transferred from UCOP to the campuses will reduce the unrestricted budget by over $\$ 15$ million. After accounting for those transfers, the base budget in this document achieves an additional savings of over $\$ 25$ million in unrestricted funds, which represents about $12 \%$ of the base unrestricted funds. The net headcount for UCOP is also reduced by 131 FTE (87 through transfers, 44 through reductions).

The remaining sections of this summary provide brief updates on several continuing initiatives that arose in the FY 2008-09 budget and its November
revision and that have positively influenced achievement of the net savings noted in the above.

Further details on these initiatives will be found in the departmental summaries later in this document.

Finally it should be noted that the attached request reflects some very small numerical changes from the revised FY 2008-09 presented to the Regents in November 2008. These changes are the result of rigorous new budget processes being implemented by the new Office of the President Budget Office, which has identified previously unallocated expenditures that are now fully reported in the following overviews and department summaries.

## Consolidated Units

During 2008 and early 2009, several consolidated units previously approved by the Board went into operation. By centralizing the various functions they represent, UCOP is able to provide better services at lower cost with at least 90 fewer staff overall. Current status on previously approved consolidated units is summarized below.

- Technology Service Desk has been in operation for almost a year, providing central support for UCOP's desktop information technology needs.
- UCOP Budget Office went into operation in July, to centralize fiscal planning, analysis, monitoring and control. It is nearing completion of UCOP's first budget reporting system.
- Business Resource Center began phasing into operations in August to handle the processing, recording and tracking of a range of business transactions, including purchasing, payroll and travel.
- Communications Unit has completed its reorganization and is now beginning to hire new staff that will provide the Office of the President with a consolidated communication function that formerly was dispersed throughout the organization.
- Institutional Research is finalizing its staffing and has begun to implement a coordinated approach to the reporting and analysis of institutional research.
- Issues Management Policy Analysis and Coordination is also in the process of recruiting for staff that, in addition to providing a consolidated
approach to legislative analysis, will proactively manage issues critical to the University.

In addition, the Office of the President has created two small units (three people each) to provide basic central administrative support to UCOP's largest departmental groupings, under the Provost and under the Executive Vice President for Business Operations. These Strategic Resource Coordination Teams handle local business, human resources and facilities needs across each division rather than duplicating these services in every individual department.

## Major Reorganizations

As described in more detail in the divisional and departmental narratives later in this document, several reorganizations are under way that affect the FY 2009-10 budget. They are continuing even as this budget nears completion, though all of them are expected to be in place by July 1. However, their ongoing nature means that the final budget may involve further reallocations of resources.

Among the major reorganizations under way are the following:

- Academic Affairs at the divisional level, with functions moving between departments to improve operations; and one existing department (Student Affairs) being split into two, to provide better focus to a pair of core missions.
- A reorganization in Agriculture \& Natural Resources (ANR) will consolidate certain high priority activities at UC Davis, achieving efficiencies in program administration.
- The transfer of the Education Abroad Program (EAP) from UCOP jurisdiction to management at a campus. EAP has long been physically located at UC Santa Barbara yet has remained a part of the UCOP organization and budget. The managerial and fiscal transfer of EAP will reduce UCOP's head count by about 80 positions and reduce the total UCOP budget by over $\$ 25$ million.

The division and departmental narratives later in this document provide more detail on these reorganizations and also discuss other, smaller reorganizations in a number of places throughout UCOP.

## Expenditure Controls

Beginning in October 2008, UCOP implemented several new expenditure control initiatives, aimed primarily at eliminating non-essential travel, entertainment, high
value purchasing, and outside contracting. The results so far have been very successful, yielding at least $\$ 6$ million of savings in non-personnel expenditures on unrestricted funds, a substantial portion of which is in the travel category.

These controls augment earlier restrictions on the filling of staff vacancies. As a result, we expect to maintain a vacancy rate throughout the year of at least 10\%, resulting in an in-year savings of at least $\$ 9$ million in personnel expenditures on unrestricted funds.

## Conclusion

The Office of the President has continued to dramatically reduce FTE and expenditures through a multi-pronged strategic approach that reflects the following:

- A coordinated effort to streamline essential services, both to make them more efficient as well as to improve their effectiveness;
- A functional analysis to determine whether the Office of the President adds value to the administration of certain educational and research programs. If it does not, these programs are being targeted for transfer to third party vendors or to the campuses;
- A review to identify unnecessary or obsolete programs, and to capture the resulting savings; and
- A recognition that, in light of the State's continuing budget difficulties, the Office of the President has an obligation to identify unrestricted dollars that can be diverted from administrative uses to support the vital teaching and research missions of the University.

These actions, taken together, have resulted in a dramatically smaller and more efficient Office of the President - one better equipped to meet the strategic challenges facing the University of California at this pivotal time in its history. Yet there is also recognition that still more can and will be done to identify additional efficiencies and improve performance. Future appropriations requests will reflect this ongoing and continuous change process.

## BUDGET TABLES

University of California Office of the President FY 2009-10 Appropriations Proposal

University of California Office of the President
FY 2009-10 Appropriations Proposal

| Roll-up UCOP (excluding Regents' Direct Reports and the Academic Senate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salary \& Benefits by Functional Unit | REVISED FY 2008-09 Budget After Mid Year Reductions |  |  |  |  |  |  | PROPOSED FY 2009-10 Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ADJUSTMENTS against FY 2008-09 Budget to derive FY 2009-10 Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FTE | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Costs |  | FTE 568.61 | Unrestricted |  | Restrict |  |  | Total Costs |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FTE } \\ -119.54 \end{gathered}$ | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Costs |  |
| 1 Academic Affairs |  | \$ | 34,618,652 | \$ | 23,356,672 | \$ | 57,975,324 |  | \$ | 28,540,490 | \$ |  | 24,014,267 | \$ | 52,554,757 |  | \$ | $(6,078,162)$ | \$ | 657,595 | \$ | $(5,420,567)$ |
| 2 Business Operations | 550.75 | \$ | 24,933,474 | \$ | 28,370,334 | \$ | 53,303,808 | 547.35 | \$ | 22,355,550 | \$ |  | 31,947,459 | \$ | 54,303,009 | -3.40 | \$ | $(2,577,924)$ | \$ | 3,577,125 | \$ | 999,201 |
| 5 External Relations | 60.50 | \$ | 6,741,325 | \$ | 582,642 | \$ | 7,323,967 | 60.00 | \$ | 6,765,447 | \$ |  | 918,270 | \$ | 7,683,717 | -0.50 | \$ | 24,122 | \$ | 335,628 | \$ | 359,750 |
| 6 Health and Science Services | 20.00 | \$ | 2,362,456 | \$ | 360,178 | \$ | 2,722,634 | 20.00 | \$ | 2,238,201 | \$ |  | 484,432 | \$ | 2,722,633 | 0.00 | \$ | $(124,255)$ | \$ | 124,254 | \$ |  |
| 7 Laboratory Management | 13.90 | \$ |  | \$ | 3,460,733 | \$ | 3,460,733 | 13.00 | \$ |  | \$ |  | 3,015,976 | \$ | 3,015,976 | -0.90 | \$ |  |  | $(444,757)$ | \$ | $(444,757)$ |
| 8 President's Immediate Office | 36.00 | \$ | 4,726,351 | \$ |  | \$ | 4,726,351 | 37.00 | \$ | 5,255,843 | \$ |  |  | \$ | 5,255,843 | 1.00 | \$ | 529,492 | \$ |  | \$ | 529,492 |
| Subtotal | 1,369.30 | \$ | 73,382,258 | \$ | 56,130,559 | \$ | 129,512,817 | 1,245.96 | \$ | 65,155,531 | \$ |  | 60,380,404 | \$ | 125,535,935 | -123.34 | \$ | $(8,226,727)$ |  | 4,249,845 | \$ | $(3,976,882)$ |
| Operating Expenses \& Support Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Consultants \& Professional Services |  | \$ | 12,199,674 | \$ | 12,408,142 | \$ | 24,607,816 |  | \$ | 5,604,193 | \$ |  | 16,495,003 | \$ | 22,099,196 |  | \$ | $(6,595,481)$ | \$ | 4,086,861 | \$ | $(2,508,620)$ |
| 2 Meetings \& Related Costs |  | \$ | 1,075,437 | \$ | 1,019,418 | \$ | 2,094,855 |  | \$ | 950,362 | \$ |  | 1,457,131 | \$ | 2,407,493 |  | \$ | $(125,075)$ |  | 437,713 | \$ | 312,638 |
| 3 Legal Costs |  | \$ | 596,947 | \$ | 914,834 | \$ | 1,511,781 |  | \$ | 509,661 |  |  | 1,166,905 | \$ | 1,676,566 |  | \$ | $(87,286)$ |  | 252,071 | \$ | 164,785 |
| 4 Travel Related |  | \$ | 2,155,242 | \$ | 2,271,830 | \$ | 4,427,072 |  | \$ | 1,594,530 | \$ |  | 1,928,575 | \$ | 3,523,105 |  | \$ | (560,712) |  | $(343,255)$ | \$ | (903,967) |
| 5 Utilities \& Space Facilities Renta/Lease |  | \$ | 4,021,391 | \$ | 4,589,293 | \$ | 8,610,684 |  | \$ | 2,030,413 | \$ |  | 4,690,894 | \$ | 6,721,307 |  | \$ | $(1,990,978)$ |  | 101,601 | \$ | $(1,889,377)$ |
| 6 Computer,Office Equip/Supplies/Svc Maint |  | \$ | 8,442,939 | \$ | 13,522,649 | \$ | 21,765,588 |  | \$ | 7,455,909 |  |  | 13,306,469 | \$ | 20,762,378 |  | \$ | $(787,030)$ |  | $(216,180)$ | \$ | $(1,003,210)$ |
| 7 Outide Services \& Experts |  | \$ | 5,551,656 | \$ | 5,051,885 | \$ | 10,603,541 |  | \$ | 1,528,223 |  |  | 9,485,835 | \$ | 11,014,058 |  | \$ | $(4,023,433)$ |  | 4,433,950 | \$ | 410,517 |
| 8 Other Office |  | \$ | 11,697,262 | \$ | 9,073,068 | \$ | 20,770,330 |  | \$ | 4,532,638 |  |  | 7,314,639 | \$ | 11,847,277 |  | \$ | $(7,164,624)$ |  | $(1,758,429)$ | \$ | (8,923,053) |
| Royalites \& Cost of Sales (UC Press) |  | \$ |  | \$ | 775,847 | \$ | 775,847 |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | 9,967,000 | \$ | 9,967,000 |  | \$ |  |  | 9,191,153 | \$ | 9,191,153 |
| Book Collection (California Digita Library) |  | \$ | 2,883,853 | \$ | 654,617 | \$ | 3,538,470 |  | \$ | 5,949,138 | \$ |  |  | \$ | 5,949,138 |  | \$ | 3,065,285 |  | $(654,617)$ | \$ | 2,410,668 |
| Unallocated S\&E (local transfers and new prog) |  | \$ | 159,178 | \$ | 448,764 | \$ | 607,942 |  | \$ | 281,678 | \$ |  | 448,764 | \$ | 730,442 |  | \$ | 122,500 | \$ |  | \$ | 122,500 |
| UCSF HR Affiliation Agreement |  | \$ | 948,008 | \$ | 1,482,782 | \$ | 2,430,790 |  | \$ | 948,008 | \$ |  | 1,482,782 | \$ | 2,430,790 |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | 49,531,587 | \$ | 52,213,129 | \$ | 101,744,716 |  | \$ | 31,384,753 | \$ |  | 67,743,997 | \$ | 99,128,750 |  | \$ | $(18,146,834)$ |  | 15,530,868 | \$ | $(2,615,966)$ |
| Personnel \& Operating Costs Before Recharges |  |  | 122,913,845 | \$ | 108,343,688 | \$ | 231,257,533 |  |  | 96,540,284 | \$ |  | 128,124,401 | \$ | 224,664,685 |  |  | $(26,373,561)$ |  | 19,780,713 | \$ | $(6,592,848)$ |
| Recharges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recharges-External |  | \$ |  | \$ | $(9,442,512)$ | \$ | (9,442,512) |  | \$ |  |  |  | (8,141,931) | \$ | $(8,141,931)$ |  | \$ |  |  | 1,300,581 |  | 1,300,581 |
| Recharges-UCOP |  | \$ |  | \$ | (13,026,313) | \$ | (13,026,313) |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | (13,239,827) | \$ | (13,239,827) |  | \$ |  |  | $(213,514)$ | \$ | (213,514) |
| Subtotal |  | \$ |  | \$ | ( $22,468,825$ ) | \$ | $(22,468,825)$ |  | \$ | . | \$ |  | $(21,381,758)$ | \$ | (21,381,758) |  | \$ |  | \$ | 1,087,067 | \$ | 1,087,067 |
| Personnel \& Operating Costs Net of Recharges |  | \$ | 122,913,845 | \$ | 85,874,863 | \$ | 208,788,708 |  | \$ | 96,540,284 | \$ |  | 106,742,643 | \$ | 203,282,927 |  | \$ | $(26,373,561)$ |  | 20,867,780 | \$ | $(5,505,781)$ |
| Program Costs \& Fund Flowthru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Affairs |  | \$ | 56,062,551 | \$ | 64,041,717 | \$ | 120,104,268 |  | \$ | 54,268,142 | \$ |  | 91,926,881 | \$ | 146,195,023 |  | \$ | $(1,794,409)$ | \$ | 27,885,164 | \$ | 26,090,755 |
| Business Operations |  | \$ | 1,369,651 | \$ | 5,679,136 | \$ | 7,048,787 |  | \$ | 70,557 | \$ |  | 6,925,252 | \$ | 6,995,809 |  | \$ | (1,299,094) |  | 1,246,116 | \$ | (52,978) |
| Heath and Science Services |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | 900,000 |  |  | 883,320 | \$ | 1,783,320 |  | \$ | 900,000 | \$ | 883,320 | \$ | 1,783,320 |
| Others |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | 57,432,202 | \$ | 69,720,853 | \$ | 127,153,055 |  | \$ | 55,238,699 | \$ |  | 99,735,453 | \$ | 154,974,152 |  | \$ | $(2,193,503)$ |  | 30,014,600 | \$ | 27,821,097 |
| Grand Total | 1,369.30 | \$ | 180,346,047 | \$ | 155,599,716 | \$ | 335,941,763 | 1,245.96 | \$ | 151,778,983 | \$ |  | 206,478,096 | \$ | 358,257,079 | -123.34 | \$ | (28,567,064) |  | 50,882,380 | \$ | 22,315,316 |
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# ACADEMIC AFFAIRS DIVISION 

## Immediate Office

## Academic Personnel

## Agriculture and Natural Resources

Office of Research \& Graduate Studies
Academic Planning, Programs \& Coordination
Students Affairs and Admissions
Education Partnerships

## ACADEMIC AFFAIRS DIVISION

In FY 2009-10, the Division of Academic Affairs will restructure departments according to the plan outlined in Interim Provost Grey's January 13, 2009 letter to members of the division. All departments in Academic Affairs are affected by the restructuring plan, but with varying impact to their respective budgets. In some cases, the budget and FTE included in this 09-10 proposal represent placeholders while the details and composition of new and reorganized units are worked out. Below are the most significant budgetary changes to departmental budgets due to the Division restructuring.

Overall, the Division proposes a decrease to its unrestricted funds budget by $\$ 21,641,305$ or $18 \%$, and 119.54 FTE. Of this amount, $\$ 6,832,945$ will be a reduction attributed to restructuring and other spending plan changes, and $\$ 14,808,360$ will be attributed to programs or functions being moved out of UCOP. These totals include revisions to the original 09-10 budgets proposed in March, and the individual department narratives will detail these changes.

## Office of Research and Graduate Studies

The Office of Research and Graduate Studies (ORGS) will continue efforts begun in FY 2008-09 to reorganize and consolidate functions found to be replicated or overlapping among multiple programs or units under its purview. These efforts will result in streamlined operations and, therefore, budgetary savings to the University, as well as enhance the coordination of UC research goals and priorities.

As a result of the ORGS reorganization, the FY 2009-10 budget will be reduced by 9.63 FTE, $\$ 708,218$ in unrestricted funds and $\$ 1,633,057$ restricted funds. At the same time, however, the budget for research grants to be awarded to UC faculty will increase by $\$ 33,524,687$ total.

In addition, further reductions to the ORGS budget will be achieved through the transfer of the Welfare Policy Research Project, and the transfer of UC Center at Sacramento and UC Washington Center programs to Academic Planning, Programs and Coordination. These transfers will result in a reduction of $\$ 1,598,441$ to the unrestricted budget, a reduction of $\$ 2,035,077$ to the restricted budget and 27.93 total FTE.

## Academic Planning, Programs and Coordination

In FY 2009-10, the Academic Planning, Programs and Coordination (APPC) department (formerly Academic Information and Strategic Services) will undergo several program oversight changes.

First, the Education Abroad Program (EAP) will be transferred to a campus, representing the most significant budgetary change to Academic Planning,
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Programs and Coordination. In addition, EAP is currently undergoing reorganizational efforts within, coupled with a transition to a more fee based model. These efforts will result in net savings to the University with appropriations to be redirected to the campuses.

To further realign UCOP programs with departmental missions, the UC Center at Sacramento and UC Washington Center programs will be moved under Academic Programs, Planning and Coordination. These programs are academic and instructional based in focus and align best with APPC's mission to provide "leadership and oversight for academic programs that are implemented on a systemwide basis." In addition, the Science and Math Initiative, California State Summer School for Mathematics and Science program (COSMOS) and California Subject Matter Projects, all student academic preparation programs, will be transferred out of APPC to Education Partnerships. The transfer of these programs, including EAP, will result in a net reduction to the APPC budget of $\$ 12,195,765$ unrestricted funds, $\$ 9,531,681$ restricted funds and 67.68 FTE.

Beyond budget reductions attributed to program transfers, the unrestricted funds budget for APPC will decrease by $\$ 15,173,308$, primarily due to the reclassification of a portion of UC Press expenses from unrestricted to restricted, and the reduction of program flowthrough costs offset by increases for new program initiatives. The restricted funds budget will thereby increase by $\$ 13,007,099$ primarily due to this reclassification of UC Press expenses, as well as an increase in program flowthrough costs.

## Education Partnerships

Education Partnerships is a new UCOP department "responsible for systemwide and intersegmental policies and programs that engage California P-20 educational systems to promote student achievement and that strengthen the continuum of teaching, learning and leadership to ensure a full range of postsecondary options for students." Among other functions, this new department will focus on UC's partnerships with other segments of the California educational system, including K-12 and community colleges.

The majority of the Education Partnerships budget will be transferred from Student Affairs and Admissions, namely the Student Academic Preparation and Educational Partnerships (SAPEP) programs. In addition, the Science and Math Initiative, COSMOS and the California Subject Matter Projects are transferred from Academic Planning, Programs and Coordination. The total budget for this new department is $\$ 31,067,692$ and 83.96 FTE, $\$ 30,324,849$ and 78.11 FTE of which will be transferred directly or repurposed from Student Affairs and Admissions or APPC. The budget for Education Partnerships will consist of $\$ 4,330,399$ unrestricted funds and $\$ 26,737,293$ restricted funds. $67 \%$ of the spending for 09-10 is attributed to program costs and fund flowthrough.

## Student Affairs and Admissions

With the transfer of SAPEP programs to Education Partnerships, Student Affairs and Admissions will focus on student services and admissions and will be responsible for the "systemwide enrollment and success of a diverse, highly qualified student body." Student Affairs and Admissions will achieve further reductions in personnel and operating costs of \$681,178 unrestricted funds, $\$ 892,774$ of restricted funds and 17.73 FTE. In addition, the unrestricted funds budget for program costs will increase by about $\$ 5.5$ million due to an increase and reclassification of certain program costs from restricted funds.

## Agriculture and Natural Resources

In response to an internal review of Agriculture and Natural Resources (ANR) administrative services, ANR will transfer its staff human resources and contract and grant functions from UCOP to its programmatic location on UC Davis campus for FY 2009-10. These functions will also be consolidated among ANR's statewide and regional programs to the programmatic location at Davis. The review and implementation of ANR administrative services is ongoing, but, coupled with other personnel changes will result in a net reduction of 5.00 FTE and $\$ 392,880$ to the unrestricted funds budget at UCOP in FY 2009-10. In addition, the budget for restricted funds will be reduced by $\$ 74,281$.
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## Provost \& Executive Vice President's Immediate Office Lawrence Pitts, Interim Provost and Executive Vice President

## Mission \& Functions

The Provost and Executive Vice President's office provides strategic leadership that sustains UC's position as the leading research university system in the world. The Academic Affairs division:

- Is the systemwide authority for academic policies, including admissions, retention, and graduation
- Joins the Academic Senate in shared governance
- Leads nationally in research and student academic affairs
- Fosters the academic interests of the University with the Regents, government, and external agencies
- Coordinates and initiates programs that help the campuses promote distinctive campus excellence
- Assesses the State's educational needs for the future
- Coordinates long-range academic planning
- Develops academic priorities for budgetary and other purposes
- Shepherds and amplifies the University's diverse resources
- Provides support to the division's management in all aspects of resource planning, coordination, and management


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

Since the March presentation, the Provost \& Executive Vice President's Immediate
Office has reduced its budget of unrestricted funds by about $\$ 3.82$ million, its budget of restricted funds by about $\$ 8.8$ million, and its FTE by 4.0. Changes to unrestricted funds include: The addition of 1.0 FTE and $\$ 121,798$ for a Diversity Coordinator; the transfer of 1.0 FTE and $\$ 245,661$ associated with the International Strategy Development Project to the Office of Research and Graduate Studies (ORGS); the addition of a $\$ 332,841$ restructuring contingency; an increase of programmatic funding commitments by $\$ 115,000$; and the transfer of $\$ 4.1$ million in costs associated with Keck Telescope to ORGS.

The changes to restricted funds include: The transfer of $\$ 375,720$ and 4.0 FTE for the Intersegmental Coordinating Committee to Education Partnerships; a reduction of \$1,275 in Other Office operating expenses; the transfer of $\$ 8.5$ million in funds flowthrough for the Keck Telescope to ORGS; and the transfer of $\$ 35,000$ in program costs associated with the International Strategy Development Project to ORGS.

Including these post-March presentation changes, the Provost \& Executive Vice President's Immediate Office has decreased its budget of unrestricted funds by about $\$ 2.14$ million or $42.4 \%$ since 2008-09. The decrease was caused primarily by the transfer
of programs to other departments within Academic Affairs, and was offset by personnel changes. The overall budget for the Immediate Office decreased by $\$ 10.6$ million and 3.43 FTE.

Major changes from 2008-09 to the 2009-10 budget of unrestricted funds include:

- The elimination of 1.43 net FTE associated with a $\$ 182,389$ reduction in the Immediate Office
- The elimination of 1.0 FTE associated with a $\$ 22,957$ reduction in the International Strategy Development Office and transfer of the remaining 1.0 FTE and $\$ 245,661$ in personnel costs to ORGS
- The inclusion of $\$ 433,831$ ongoing salary and benefits expenses related to the Provost position
- The addition of 3.00 FTE and $\$ 337,720$ in personnel costs for the newly centralized Division Strategic Resource Coordinators team
- The addition of 1.00 FTE and $\$ 121,798$ in personnel costs for a new Diversity Coordinator
- The addition of $\$ 332,841$ in personnel costs as a restructuring contingency
- A net decrease of $\$ 89,013$ for operating expenses
- A decrease of about $\$ 3.9$ million in funds flowthrough since 2008-09 for the transfer of costs associated with oversight of the Keck Telescope to ORGS
- The inclusion of $\$ 1.08$ million for ongoing academic program costs

Major changes from the 2008-09 to the 2009-10 budget of restricted funds include:

- The transfer of 4.0 FTE and \$333,743 in personnel costs for the Intersegmental Coordinating Committee to ORGS
- The elimination of $\$ 200,000$ in operating expenses primarily associated with the International Strategy Development Project
- A decrease of about $\$ 7.9$ million in funds flowthrough since 2008-09 for the transfer of costs associated with oversight of the Keck Telescope to ORGS
- The transfer of $\$ 35,000$ in program costs associated with the International Strategy Development Project to ORGS
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| Department: Provost and Executive Vice President Academic Affairs--Immediate Office and Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salary \& Benefits by Functional Unit <br> 1 Provost \& Exec Vice President: Immediate Office <br> 2 California Council on Science \& Technology <br> 3 International Strategy Development Projects ${ }^{2}$ <br> 4 Interseg Coord Committee (from Ed Relations) ${ }^{3}$ <br> 5 Divisional Resource Coordination (SRC) Team <br> Restructuring Contingency ${ }^{4}$ | REVISED FY 2008-09 Budget After Mid Year Reductions |  |  |  |  |  |  | PROPOSED FY 2009-10 BUDGET |  |  |  |  |  |  | ADJUSTMENTS against FY 2008-09 Budget to derive FY 2009-10 Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FTE | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Cost |  | FTE$6.00$ | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Cost |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FTE } \\ & -0.43 \end{aligned}$ | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Costs |  |
|  | 6.43 | \$ | 726,102 | \$ |  | \$ | 726,102 |  | \$ | 1,099,342 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,099,342 |  | \$ | 373,240 | \$ | - | \$ | 373,240 |
|  | 0.00 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | 0.00 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
|  | 2.00 | \$ | 268,618 | \$ |  | \$ | 268,618 | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | -2.00 | \$ | $(268,618)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(268,618)$ |
|  | 4.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 333,743 | \$ | 333,743 | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | -4.00 | \$ | - | \$ | $(333,743)$ | \$ | $(333,743)$ |
|  | 0.00 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | 3.00 | \$ | 337,720 | \$ | - | \$ | 337,720 | 3.00 | \$ | 337,720 | \$ | - | \$ | 337,720 |
|  | 0.00 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | 0.00 | \$ | 332,841 | \$ | . | \$ | 332,841 | 0.00 | \$ | 332,841 | \$ | . | \$ | 332,841 |
| Subtotal | 12.43 | \$ | 994,720 | \$ | 333,743 | \$ | 1,328,463 | 9.00 | \$ | 1,769,903 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,769,903 | -3.43 | \$ | 775,183 | \$ | $(333,743)$ | \$ | 441,440 |
| Operating Expenses \& Support Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| 1 Consultants \& Professional Services |  | \$ | 7,803 | \$ | 11,704 | \$ | 19,507 |  | \$ | $\cdot$ | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | $(7,803)$ | \$ | $(11,704)$ | \$ | $(19,507)$ |
| 2 Meetings \& Related Costs |  | \$ | 9,873 | \$ | 14,809 | \$ | 24,682 |  | \$ | 8,600 | \$ | 8,916 | \$ | 17,516 |  | \$ | $(1,273)$ | \$ | $(5,893)$ | \$ | $(7,166)$ |
| 3 Legal Costs |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| 4 Travel Related |  | \$ | 53,193 | \$ | 79,789 | \$ | 132,982 |  | \$ | 24,714 | \$ | - | \$ | 24,714 |  | \$ | $(28,479)$ | \$ | $(79,789)$ | \$ | $(108,268)$ |
| 5 Utilities \& Space Facilities Rental/Lease |  | \$ | 19,074 | \$ | 28,610 | \$ | 47,684 |  | \$ | 16,000 | \$ |  | \$ | 16,000 |  | \$ | $(3,074)$ | \$ | $(28,610)$ | \$ | $(31,684)$ |
| 6 Computer/Office Equip/Supplies/Svc Maint |  | \$ | 19,072 | \$ | 28,608 | \$ | 47,680 |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |  | \$ | $(19,072)$ | \$ | $(28,608)$ | \$ | $(47,680)$ |
| 7 Outside Services \& Experts |  | \$ | 24,812 | \$ | 37,219 | \$ | 62,031 |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |  | \$ | $(24,812)$ | \$ | $(37,219)$ | \$ | $(62,031)$ |
| 8 Other Office ${ }^{5}$ |  | \$ | 13,501 | \$ | 20,252 | \$ | 33,753 |  | \$ | 9,000 | \$ | 10,800 | \$ | 19,800 |  | \$ | $(4,501)$ | \$ | $(9,452)$ | \$ | $(13,953)$ |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | 147,328 | \$ | 220,991 | \$ | 368,319 |  | \$ | 58,314 | \$ | 19,716 | \$ | 78,030 |  | \$ | $(89,014)$ | \$ | $(201,275)$ | \$ | $(290,289)$ |
| Personnel \& Operating Costs Before Recharges |  | \$ | 1,142,048 | \$ | 554,734 | \$ | 1,696,782 |  | \$ | 1,828,217 | \$ | 19,716 | \$ | 1,847,933 |  | \$ | 686,169 | \$ | $(535,018)$ | \$ | 151,151 |
| Recharges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recharges |  | \$ | . | \$ | . | \$ | - |  | \$ | . | \$ | . | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | . | \$ | . |
| Personnel \& Operating Costs Net of Recharges |  | \$ | 1,142,048 | \$ | 554,734 | \$ | 1,696,782 |  | \$ | 1,828,217 | \$ | 19,716 | \$ | 1,847,933 |  | \$ | 686,169 | \$ | $(535,018)$ | \$ | 151,151 |
| Program Costs \& Fund Flowthru <br> Sub-award, Sub-contracts, Sub-agreements ${ }^{6,7,8}$ |  | \$ | 3,908,403 | \$ | 7,935,243 | \$ | 11,843,646 |  | \$ | 1,080,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,080,000 |  | \$ | $(2,828,403)$ | \$ | $(7,935,243)$ | \$ | $(10,763,646)$ |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | 3,908,403 | \$ | 7,935,243 | \$ | 11,843,646 |  | \$ | 1,080,000 | \$ | . | \$ | 1,080,000 |  | \$ | $(2,828,403)$ | \$ | (7,935,243) | \$ | $(10,763,646)$ |
| Grand Total | 12.43 | \$ | 5,050,451 | \$ | 8,489,977 | \$ | 13,540,428 | 9.00 | \$ | 2,908,217 | \$ | 19,716 | \$ | 2,927,933 | -3.43 | \$ | $(2,142,234)$ | \$ | $(8,470,261)$ | \$ | $(10,612,495)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes of changes subsequent to March report:
There was a decrease of about $\$ 3.82 \mathrm{M}$ in its budget of unrestricted funds as well as a decrease of about $\$ 8.8 \mathrm{M}$ in restricted funds
There was a net decrease of 4.0 FTE
The decreases were primarily due to the transfer of programs to other departments. Changes include:
${ }^{1}$ Adding 1 FTE for Diversity Coordinator ( $\$ 121,798$ in unrestricted funds)
${ }^{2}$ Transferring 1 FTE ( $\$ 245,661$ in unrestricted funds) in International Strategy Development Project to the Office of Research and Graduate Studies (ORGS) ${ }^{3}$ Transferring 4 FTE ( $\$ 375,720$ in restricted funds) in Intersegmental Coordinating Committee (ICC) to Education Partnerships
${ }^{4}$ Adding a restructuring contingency in the amount of $\$ 332,841$ in unrestricted funds
${ }_{6}^{5}$ Reducing $\$ 1,275$ in restricted funds in Other Office operating expenses
${ }^{6}$ Increasing unrestricted flowthrough by $\$ 115,000$ for program commitme
7 Transferring $\$ 35,000$ in restricted flowthrough for International Strategy Development Project to ORGS
${ }^{8}$ Transferring $\$ 12,560,000$ in flowthrough ( $\$ 4,144,675$ in unrestricted funds and $\$ 8,415,325$ in restricted funds) for Keck telescope project to ORGS

## Academic Personnel <br> Patricia Price, Interim Executive Director

## Mission \& Functions

Academic Personnel is responsible for systemwide academic personnel policies, and serves as primary liaison in all matters regarding academic personnel for approximately 53,000 academic appointees, including faculty, librarians, research and health science professionals, and student academic appointees.

Academic Personnel performs the following functions:

- Develops and implements University policy governing academic personnel compensation, benefits, and employee relations
- Develops and oversees academic salary scales, classification of academic positions, retention packages, and policies for additional compensation
- Develops and administers University health science compensation plans
- Analyzes proposed legislation and prepares legislative reports on academic personnel and compensation policies
- Provides policy advice for academic collective bargaining activities
- Develops and implements family friendly policies affecting academic appointees
- Provides guidance in policy and compensation matters affecting Senior Management Group appointees who also have academic appointments
- Develops and disseminates reports, evaluations, guidelines, and papers for submission to The Regents, Legislature, Academic Senate committees, California Postsecondary Education Commission, Office of the President, divisions, and federal agencies
- Serves as primary consultant to the University Committee on Faculty Welfare and the University Committee on Academic Personnel


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

Since the March presentation, Academic Personnel has increased its budget by $\$ 80,800$ in unrestricted funds. Five position upgrades, as approved in the Academic Personnel reorganization plan, resulted in an increase of $\$ 74,800$ in personnel costs. Another $\$ 6,000$ in unrestricted funds meeting expenses was added to cover UCOP's share of the annual Information Technology Summit Conference.

Including these post-March presentation changes, Academic Personnel has reduced its budget of unrestricted funds by $\$ 626,026$ or $29.7 \%$ since 2008-09 through the transfer of the President's Fellowship Program to the Berkeley campus, across the board reductions in all non-personnel categories, as well as the reduction of 2.0 FTE. The total budget has decreased by about $\$ 1.05$ million or $41.6 \%$.

The budget of unrestricted funds was changed as follows:

- The budget for personnel expenses was reduced by a net amount of $\$ 17,024$. This reduction is the result of the transfer of the graduate student diversity function to the Office of Research and Graduate Studies, the repurposing of 1.25 FTE formerly associated with graduate student programs within Academic Personnel, and an increase in personnel costs for position upgrades
- The budget for operating expenses was reduced by $\$ 72,645$
- The budget for program costs and fund flowthrough associated with the President's Fellowship Program was reduced in the amount of $\$ 478,357$, in addition to a reduction of $\$ 40,000$ associated with University Professor Activities and the transfer of $\$ 18,000$ in program costs for graduate diversity programs to ORGS

The entire budget of $\$ 425,827$ in restricted funds was eliminated due to the transfer of the graduate student diversity function as described above
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${ }^{1} \$ 74,800$ unrestricted funds personnel costs increase due to the upgrade of five positions as approved in Academic Personnel reorganization plan
${ }^{2} \$ 6,000$ additional Meeting and Related Costs on unrestricted funds for UCOP's share of the annual Information Technology Summit Conference

## Agriculture and Natural Resources Daniel M. Dooley, Vice President

## Mission \& Functions

Agriculture and Natural Resources (ANR) is a mission-based systemwide network of Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension academics and staff dedicated to the creation, development and delivery of new knowledge on agricultural, natural, environmental and related human resources.

- Agricultural Experiment Station (AES) is the university's largest multi-campus research unit, with more than 650 scientists located on the UC Berkeley, Davis, and Riverside campuses
- UC Cooperative Extension (UCCE) has 225 CE advisors, housed in virtually every county in the state, and 126 campus-based CE specialists. These academic members of UC bring research innovations and practical solutions from campus programs and adapt these to solving economic, environmental, social and community development challenges facing every Californian
- Ten Research and Extension Centers (REC) and 17 statewide programs that serve ANR and the entire University
- Federal and state compliance for both academic planning and financial reporting
- Federal compliance for affirmative action and civil rights regulations
- Integrated statewide administrative structure that includes budget, financial services, risk management, environmental health and safety, contracts and grants, analytical laboratory, communications services and planning and evaluation subunits
- Recruitment, training and policy compliance for a volunteer program of 40,000 individuals, through its 4-H and Master Gardener programs, who are official agents of UC
- Communication of ANR's unique public service of providing new technologies, cutting-edge research and the practical information needed to keep California's agriculture economy competitive in world markets, ensure a safe and secure food supply, improve environmental quality, and protect public health


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

Since the March presentation, Agriculture and Natural Resources increased its budget of unrestricted funds by $\$ 72,786$, decreased its budget of restricted funds by $\$ 74,282$, and increased its FTE by 1.0. Changes to the budget of unrestricted funds include: The increase of 2.0 FTE and $\$ 216,634$ in the Immediate Office of the Vice President, the transfer of two 0.5 FTE and $\$ 255,542$ to External Relations, and the inclusion of $\$ 10,543$ for executive automobile allowance. Changes to the budget of restricted funds include
the elimination of $\$ 65,038$ that was associated with 1.0 FTE previously eliminated in the 08-09 budget.

Additional changes include: The increase of $\$ 73,531$ in unrestricted funds and $\$ 18,378$ in restricted funds to adjust the budget to actual costs according to personnel moving between units and to ANR's programmatic location on the UC Davis campus; and, the shift of $\$ 27,621$ in personnel costs from restricted to unrestricted funds due to a change in federal formula funding.

Including these post-March presentation changes, Agriculture and Natural Resources has reduced its budget of unrestricted funds in UCOP supporting systemwide programs by net 5.0 FTE and $\$ 392,880$ or $8.4 \%$ since $2008-09$, principally through a transfer of activities from UCOP to their programmatic location on the UC Davis campus. These units will serve the ANR programmatic function rather than the Office of the President. A consolidation of administrative services effort will result in:

- The transfer of 4.0 FTE to campus. Savings will be achieved through the creation of a centralized ANR Contracts and Grants Center located in Davis at the ANR Building. Functions will be consolidated from ANR-Oakland, Regional offices, Statewide programs, and Research and Extension Center administrative offices (REC-AO)
- The transfer of 2.0 FTE to campus. Savings will be achieved through the creation of a centralized ANR Staff Human Resources Unit located in Davis. Functions will be consolidated from ANR-Oakland, Regional, Statewide Program, Support Units, and REC-AO Offices
- These functional consolidations will result in savings to ANR units outside of UCOP

Other budgetary changes include:

- A net increase of 1.0 FTE in the Immediate Office (as described in the first paragraph of this section)
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| Department: Agriculture and Natural Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PROPOSED FY 2009-10 BUDGET |  |  |  |  |  |  | ADJUSTMENTS against FY 2008-09 Budget to derive FY 2009-10 Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salary \& Benefits by Functional Unit 1 Vice President Immediate Office ${ }^{1,2}$ | REVISED FY 2008-09 Budget After Mid Year Reductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FTE | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Cost |  | FTE <br> 8.00 | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Cost |  | FTE <br> 1.00 | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Costs |  |
|  | 7.00 | \$ | 1,003,650 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,003,650 |  | \$ | 1,025,030 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,025,030 |  | \$ | 21,380 | \$ | - | \$ | 21,380 |
| 2 Statewide Academic Programs | 11.63 | \$ | 1,146,978 | \$ | 140,169 | \$ | 1,287,147 | 11.63 | \$ | 1,174,238 | \$ | 112,548 | \$ | 1,286,786 | 0.00 | \$ | 27,260 | \$ | $(27,621)$ | \$ | (361) |
| 3 Statewide Administrative Services | 22.85 | \$ | 1,690,712 | \$ | 310,810 | \$ | 2,001,522 | 16.85 | \$ | 1,238,916 | \$ | 264,150 | \$ | 1,503,066 | -6.00 | \$ | $(451,796)$ | \$ | $(46,660)$ | \$ | $(498,456)$ |
| 4 Statewide Controller/Compliance | 5.00 | \$ | 452,514 | \$ | - | \$ | 452,514 | 5.00 | \$ | 462,790 | \$ | - | \$ | 462,790 | 0.00 | \$ | 10,276 | \$ | - | \$ | 10,276 |
| Subtotal ${ }^{3,4,5}$ | 46.48 | \$ | 4,293,854 | \$ | 450,979 | \$ | 4,744,833 | 41.48 | \$ | 3,900,974 | \$ | 376,698 | \$ | 4,277,672 | -5.00 | \$ | $(392,880)$ | \$ | $(74,281)$ | \$ | $(467,161)$ |
| Operating Expenses and Support Costs <br> 1 Consultants and Professional Services <br> 2 Meetings \& Related Costs <br> 3 Legal Costs <br> 4 Travel Related <br> 5 Utilities and Space Facilities Renta//Lease <br> 6 Computer/Office Equip/Supplies/Svc Maint <br> 7 Outside Services \& Experts <br> 8 Other Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$ | 20,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 20,000 |  | \$ | 20,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 20,000 |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  |  | \$ | 54,010 | \$ |  | \$ | 54,010 |  | \$ | 24,680 | \$ |  | \$ | 24,680 |  | \$ | $(29,330)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(29,330)$ |
|  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |
|  |  | \$ | 171,651 | \$ | 23,407 | \$ | 195,058 |  | \$ | 56,737 | \$ | 23,407 | \$ | 80,144 |  | \$ | $(114,914)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(114,914)$ |
|  |  | \$ | 135,580 | \$ |  | \$ | 135,580 |  | \$ | 135,580 | \$ |  | \$ | 135,580 |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
|  |  | \$ | 172,627 | \$ | 23,540 | \$ | 196,167 |  | \$ | 125,813 | \$ | 23,540 | \$ | 149,353 |  | \$ | $(46,814)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(46,814)$ |
|  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  |
|  |  | \$ | $(191,058)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(191,058)$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | 191,058 | \$ | - | \$ | 191,058 |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | 362,810 | \$ | 46,947 | \$ | 409,757 |  | \$ | 362,810 | \$ | 46,947 | \$ | 409,757 |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Personnel and Operating Costs Before Recharges |  | \$ | 4,656,664 | \$ | 497,926 | \$ | 5,154,590 |  | \$ | 4,263,784 | \$ | 423,645 | \$ | 4,687,429 |  | \$ | $(392,880)$ | \$ | $(74,281)$ | \$ | $(467,161)$ |
| Recharges Recharges |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Personnel and Operating Costs Net of Recharges |  | \$ | 4,656,664 | \$ | 497,926 | \$ | 5,154,590 |  | \$ | 4,263,784 | \$ | 423,645 | \$ | 4,687,429 |  | \$ | $(392,880)$ | \$ | $(74,281)$ | \$ | $(467,161)$ |
| Program Costs and Fund Flowthrough Program Details |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Grand Total | 46.48 | \$ | 4,656,664 | \$ | 497,926 | \$ | 5,154,590 | 41.48 | \$ | 4,263,784 | \$ | 423,645 | \$ | 4,687,429 | -5.00 | \$ | $(392,880)$ | \$ | $(74,281)$ | \$ | $(467,161)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes of changes subsequent to March report:
There was an increase of $\$ 72,786$ in the budget of unrestricted funds
There was a decrease of $\$ 74,282$ in the budget of restricted funds
These changes were caused by changes in FTE and other adjustments to personnel costs. Changes include:
Increase of 2 FTE ( $\$ 216,634$ in unrestricted funds) for an MSP and a PSS position
Transfer of two 0.5 FTE ( $\$ 255,542$ in unrestricted funds) to External Relations
2 Inclusion of $\$ 10,543$ in personnel costs against unrestricted funds for executive
2 Inclusion of $\$ 10,543$ in personnel costs against unrestricted funds for executive automobile allowance
${ }^{3}$ Removal of $\$ 65,038$ in personnel costs from ANR budget of restricted funds. The 1.0 FTE was elimina

| ${ }^{4}$ Removal of $\$ 65,038$ in personnel costs from ANR budget of restricted funds. The 1.0 FTE was eliminated in the original 08-09 budget and the corresponding budget is now excluded |
| :--- |
| 27,621 in personnel costs from restricted funds to unrestricted funds due to a change in federal formula funding |

${ }^{5}$ A general aligning of budget to actual personnel costs (\$73,531 in unrestricted funds and $\$ 18,378$ in restricted funds)

## Office of Research and Graduate Studies <br> Steven Beckwith, Vice President

## Mission and Functions

The Office of Research and Graduate Studies enhances campus-based research and graduate education by providing UC-wide strategic coordination, resources and accountability to advance UC's teaching, research and public service mission. Its major functions include:

- As the guardian of the public trust, set policy for the University's research enterprise.
- Ensure appropriate accountability for the University's research enterprise.
- Foster partnerships among campuses, the national labs and other public or private entities to advance UC-wide research priorities.
- Expand and communicate the societal impact of UC's research to California and beyond.
- Represent the UC research enterprise in national and international fora to inform policy and research opportunities.
- Promote UC's technology transfer and industry alliance efforts to bring the benefits of UC research to the public.
- Promote graduate education in the UC system to enhance California's competitive position in the global economy.


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

Since the March presentation, Office of Research and Graduate Studies has increased its budget of unrestricted funds by about $\$ 10.1$ million, its budget of restricted funds by $\$ 9.1$ million and its FTE by 3.16. These increases are primarily attributed to the transfer of Keck Telescope project expenses from the Academic Affairs Immediate Office in the amount of $\$ 4,109,675$ unrestricted funds and $\$ 8,450,325$ restricted funds, a $\$ 5,000,000$ increase in unrestricted research award dollars to be transferred to campuses, and the transfer of the graduate diversity function from Academic Personnel, including \$16,400 in unrestricted programs costs and $\$ 532,000$ restricted.

Additional changes include a personnel cost increase of $\$ 735,356$ on unrestricted funds and $\$ 48,664$ on restricted funds, an operating expense increase of $\$ 196,513$ on unrestricted funds and $\$ 59,372$ on restricted funds, as well as a $\$ 36,135$ increase to recharges.

Including these post-March presentation changes, Office of Research and Graduate Studies has increased its budget for unrestricted funds by about $\$ 4.39$ million or $11 \%$ since 2008-09. This budgetary change is the net result of savings achieved through reorganization efforts in progress, the transfer of programs to other units within UCOP or outside, the transfer in or oversight of programs or functions not previously residing in ORGS, and increases to research funding to be awarded. The total budget (unrestricted and restricted) is reduced by 37.56 FTE, but increased by about $\$ 41.5$ million, the majority of which falls within restricted funds flowthrough.

Reorganization efforts will result in:

- Five new or reorganized units plus one consolidated grant making program
- A $\$ 708,218$ reduction to the unrestricted budget, or $1.7 \%$
- A $\$ 1,633,057$ reduction to the restricted budget, or $3.8 \%$
- A net reduction of 9.63 FTE

Major program transfers include:

- Transfer of UC Washington DC Academic Center to Academic Planning, Programs and Coordination. This transfer will result in a decrease of 14.90 total FTE, $\$ 947,531$ to the unrestricted budget and $\$ 454,424$ to the restricted budget
- Transfer of UC Center Sacramento to Academic Planning, Programs and Coordination. This transfer will result in a decrease to the unrestricted budget of $\$ 650,910$ and 9.03 FTE, and $\$ 249,200$ to the restricted budget
- Transfer of the Welfare Policy Research Project. This transfer will result in a decrease of 4.00 FTE and $\$ 1,331,453$ to the restricted budget

Other budgetary changes include:

- A net increase of $\$ 6,699,757$ in unrestricted funds flowthrough, mostly consisting of an increase to research grants awarded and the transfer of costs associated with UC's share of the operation and maintenance of Keck Telescope from the Academic Affairs Division Immediate Office
- An increase of $\$ 39,963,330$ in restricted funds flowthrough consisting mostly of an increase to research grants awarded and Keck Telescope costs transferred in
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There was an increase of $\$ 10.06 \mathrm{M}$ in the budget of unrestricted funds, a $\$ 9.08 \mathrm{M}$ increase to the budget of restricted funds and a net increase of 3.16 FTE as follows:
${ }^{1}$ Net increase of 3.16 FTE and $\$ 735,356$ unrestricted personnel costs and $\$ 48,664$ restricted personnel costs
Increase of $\$ 196,513$ unrestricted operating expenses and $\$ 59,372$ restricted operating expenses
${ }^{3}$ Increase of $\$ 36,135$ in recharges
${ }^{3}$ Increase of $\$ 36,135$ in recharges
${ }_{4}$ Oversight of Keck Telescope expe
${ }^{5}$ Increase of \$5,000,000 in unrestricted funds flowthrough for research awards



## Academic Planning, Programs, and Coordination Daniel Greenstein, Vice Provost

## Mission \& Functions

Academic planning and analysis to advance the charge of a $21^{\text {st }}$ century public research university

- Proactive long-term thinking about the nature, size and shape of UC's academic enterprise and its service to the state, nation and world
- Analyses responsive to emerging trends and challenges
- Stewardship of the University's academic goals and ongoing evaluation of its performance in meeting them
- Leadership and oversight for academic programs that are implemented on a systemwide basis
- Identification and advancement of university's interest in emerging forms of teaching, research and public information dissemination

Systemwide academic programs for which the unit is responsible include:

## Digital library, publishing and broadcast services

- California Digital Library
- eScholarship
- Language Learning Consortium
- UC College Prep Online
- UC Press
- UC TV

Systemwide instructional programs

- Education Abroad Program
- UC Sacramento Center
- UC Washington Center


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

Since the March presentation, Academic Planning, Programs and Coordination has increased its budget of unrestricted funds by $\$ 50,834$ to adjust for the actual cost of filled positions.

Including this post-March presentation revision, Academic Planning, Programs and Coordination has reduced its budget of unrestricted funds by about $\$ 27.4$ million or $52 \%$ since 2008-09, principally through the transfer of programs to a campus or other units within UCOP, or the elimination of programs altogether. The budget for restricted funds has increased by $\$ 3.48$ million, and the overall budget has decreased by about $\$ 23.9$ million and 67.87 net FTE.

Major program transfers include:

- Transfer of Education Abroad Program to a campus. This transfer, in the early stages of negotiation, will result in the reduction of the unrestricted budget by $\$ 14,187,869$ and 80.61 FTE , and a reduction to the restricted budget of \$11,105,033
- Transfer of the Science and Math Initiative, COSMOS and California Subject Matter Projects to the new Education Partnerships department. These transfers will result in the reduction of the unrestricted budget by $\$ 500,372$, of the restricted budget by $\$ 157,153$ and 9.60 FTE total
- Transfer of UC Washington Center (UCDC) from the Office of Research and Graduate Studies. This transfer will result in an increase of 14.40 total FTE, $\$ 1,379,741$ to the unrestricted budget and $\$ 1,687,825$ to the restricted budget
- Transfer of UC Center Sacramento (UCCS) from the Office of Research and Graduate Studies. This transfer will result in an increase to the unrestricted budget by $\$ 1,112,735$ and 8.13 FTE, and $\$ 42,680$ to the restricted budget

Other program changes:

- Reduction of unrestricted funds flowthrough by the elimination of the UC College Prep Program from the UCOP budget for APPC --\$3, 100,000
- Final phaseout of the Office of International Activities--\$100,000 reduction to unrestricted funds flowthrough

Other budgetary changes:

- Reclassification of approximately $\$ 12,000,000$ UC Press expenses from unrestricted to restricted funding according to UC financial policy
- Addition of ongoing expenses related to Casa de California-- $\$ 460,000$ unrestricted and \$968,622 restricted funds
- Inclusion of $\$ 640,000$ in Consultant and Professional Service expense in the Immediate Office for one-time development costs to automate identification of UC expertise and scholarly work in specific areas, as well as to integrate the publishing and broadcasting capacities of UCTV, UC Press, CDL, eScholarship, etc. for effective use in advocacy and fundraising efforts-unrestricted funds
- Addition of $\$ 720,000$ in Outside Service expense in the California Digital Library for its contribution to HathiTrust, a unified inter-institutional repository of shared digital content - restricted funds. The HathiTrust initiative will result in substantial savings to the campuses in the cost of managing digital assets and the need for storage of redundant print volumes
- Increase of \$240,000 in Computer/Service Maintenance expense in California Digital Library for application licensing and maintenance expense
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${ }^{1}$ Budget for personnel costs of the Vice Provost's Immediate Office increased by $\$ 50,834$ in unrestricted funds to adjust to actual costs of filled positions


# Student Affairs and Admissions <br> Judy Sakaki, Vice President 

## Mission \& Functions

Student Affairs and Admissions is responsible for the systemwide enrollment and success of a diverse, highly qualified student body. Its major functions include:

- Systemwide policies for University admissions, student financial support, and student life
- Undergraduate enrollment management and planning
- Systemwide student, counselor, and school relations
- Research and analysis on how undergraduate and graduate students finance their education, including scholarships, fellowships, grants, student loans, work-study programs, higher education tax credits and deductions, and fee and/or tuition exemptions/waivers
- High school and community college course articulation and transfer policy and services
- Management of systemwide admissions, financial aid, and student support programs and services
- Systemwide issues management in the areas of access and enrollment, diversity, affordability and financial competitiveness, and student life for undergraduates, graduates and professional degree students


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

Since the March presentation, Student Affairs and Admissions has decreased its budget of unrestricted funds by about $\$ 2.66$ million, increased its budget of restricted funds by about $\$ 1.24$ million and decreased its FTE by 9.50 . Changes include a decrease of $\$ 351,662$ in personnel costs to its budget of unrestricted funds and an increase of $\$ 39,259$ in personnel costs to its budget of restricted funds due to factors associated with its restructuring plan. These factors include creating new positions, eliminating some existing ones, position upgrades and adjustments of vacant position salaries to the midpoint of the salary range. About $\$ 1.1$ million in unrestricted funds costs associated with the Transcript Evaluation Service outside vendor agreement were reduced, and about $\$ 1.2$ million in costs associated with the Analytical Writing Placement Exam were reclassified from unrestricted to restricted funds.

Including these post-March presentation changes Student Affairs and Admissions has increased its budget of unrestricted funds by $\$ 165,441$ or $1.0 \%$ since 2008-09. The total budget has decreased by about $\$ 18.8$ million or $49 \%$ and personnel was reduced by 87.64 FTE, due principally to the transfer of Student Academic Preparation and Educational Partnership (SAPEP) programs to the new Education Partnerships department.

Significant changes to the budget of unrestricted funds since 2008-09 include:

- A reduction of $\$ 577,165$ in personnel costs associated with its restructuring plan
- A reduction of about $\$ 1.2$ million in utilities and facilities expenses
- A reduction of $\$ 168,466$ in operating expenses due to the transfer of programs to Education Partnerships
- A reduction of $\$ 257,991$ in travel-related costs
- An increase of about $\$ 1.1$ million in expenses for consultants and professional services for seasonal evaluators, consultants for the new initiative "applyUC" and systems development for new initiatives
- A net increase of about $\$ 1.3$ million in program costs largely due to:

0 the reclassification of about $\$ 7.8$ million from restricted to unrestricted funds for outside services/vendors for multi-year contracts for the Eligibility in the Local Context (ELC) program, admissions applications processing, and for preparation of W-9s for financial aid recipients
0 the reclassification of about $\$ 1.2$ million from unrestricted to restricted funds for the Analytical Writing Placement Exam
0 a reduction of about $\$ 3.5$ million due to the transfer of programs to Education Partnerships
0 the reduction of about $\$ 1.1$ million resulting from the elimination of the Transcript Evaluation Service outside vendor agreement from the budget of Student Affairs and Admissions
o a reduction of $\$ 674,833$ in scholarships
Significant changes to the budget of restricted funds since 2008-09 include:

- A reduction of about $\$ 15.8$ million due to the transfer of SAPEP programs and 69.91 FTE to Education Partnerships
- A reduction of $\$ 887,623$ in personnel costs as a result of eliminating 8.23 FTE
- An increase of $\$ 39,259$ in personnel costs due to the adjustment of vacant position salaries to the mid-point of the salary range, partially offset by the elimination of 0.5 FTE and associated personnel costs
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[^0]${ }_{2}$ The budget for personnel costs was reduced by $\$ 351,662$ in unrestricted funds and increased by $\$ 39,259$ in restricted funds
The changes in the budget for personnel costs were due to several factors associated with the restructuring plan:
Creation of new positions, elimination of existing positions, position upgrades and adjustment of salaries to mid-point
${ }^{3}$ The budget for program costs and fund flow through was reduced by about $\$ 2.3 \mathrm{M}$ in unrestricted funds and was increased by about $\$ 1.2 \mathrm{M}$ in restricted funds. This change was caused by:
Reclassifying about $\$ 1.2 \mathrm{M}$ in costs from unrestricted to restricted funds for the Analytical Writing Placement Exam (AWPE) vendor contract

## Education Partnerships <br> Vacant, Vice Provost

## Mission \& Functions

Education Partnerships is responsible for systemwide and intersegmental policies and programs that engage California P-20 educational systems to promote student achievement and that strengthen the continuum of teaching, learning and leadership to ensure a full range of postsecondary options for students. Major functions:

- Developing a policy agenda for the University on state and federal policy issues that relate to P-20 education.
- Developing external partnerships to identify research, teaching and public service priorities and opportunities, and to leverage resources toward these efforts.
- Coordinating UC relations and collaborative efforts with the California Department of Education, State Board of Education, and Commission on Teacher Credentialing as well as with other educational segments and entities in the state as related to the University's involvement in P-20 work, including the California Community Colleges, the California State University, the Association of Independent Colleges and Universities, business and community organizations.
- Leadership and oversight of relations between campuses and UCOP units whose work touches on public education.
- Leadership and oversight of all aspects of the University's college access, teaching and learning activities, including strategic planning and accountability mechanisms for the Student Academic Preparation and Educational Partnerships (SAPEP) programs, COSMOS, the Science and Mathematics Initiative, educator preparation, and the California Subject Matter Projects.


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

Since the March presentation, Education Partnerships has increased its budget of unrestricted funds by $\$ 197,499$, increased its budget of restricted funds by $\$ 545,344$ and increased FTE by 5.85. Personnel costs have been increased by the addition of three department analyst positions totaling \$75,995 in unrestricted funds and \$176,744 in restricted funds, the elimination of 1.00 FTE and $\$ 122,338$ in unrestricted funds associated with the Science and Math Initiative and the transfer in of 3.80 FTE and $\$ 375,520$ restricted funds associated with of the Intersegmental Coordinating Committee. Further personnel cost increases in the amount of $\$ 318,842$ in unrestricted funds and $\$ 1,439,602$ restricted funds are attributed to current position upgrades and budgeting vacant positions at the midpoint of the salary range.

This budget revision also includes a $\$ 140,000$ decrease to operating costs due to the recategorization of COSMOS scholarships from Other Office to Program Costs and Funds

Flowthrough. Consequentially, restricted funds program costs are increased by this amount. Other program cost changes include: The elimination of the Analytical Writing Placement Exam (AWPE) committee support in the amount of $\$ 75,000$ unrestricted funds, the elimination of Puente Program indirect costs in the amount of $\$ 241,000$ restricted funds and the reduction of $\$ 1,205,523$ AWPE restricted fund contract expenses.

Including these post-March presentation changes, Education Partnerships has an unrestricted funds budget of about $\$ 4.3$ million, about $\$ 26.7$ million in restricted funds, and 83.96 FTE for 2009-10. The majority of the Education Partnerships budget results from the transfer of the SAPEP programs from Student Affairs and Admissions. Approximately $\$ 3.5$ million in unrestricted funds, $\$ 14.4$ million in restricted funds, and 69.91 FTE will be transferred to Education Partnerships from the former Student Affairs. Furthermore, about $\$ 3.5$ million of the $\$ 14.4$ million budget of restricted funds are of ongoing SAPEP program costs and flow through previously budgeted in unrestricted funds.

The budget of restricted funds includes an additional $\$ 11.1$ million in costs for programs which are transferred to Education Partnerships from Academic Planning, Programs and Coordination. These programs are:

- The Science Math Initiative (SMI) with an associated budget of $\$ 794,000$ unrestricted funds
- The California Subject Matter Projects (CSMP) with an associated budget of about $\$ 9.3$ million in restricted funds, and 2.0 FTE
- The California State Summer School for Mathematics and Science (COSMOS) with an associated budget of $\$ 1.1$ million in restricted funds, and 2.20 FTE
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## Immediate Office

## Institutional Advancement

Financial Management
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Information Resources \& Communications

## Budget \& Capital Resources

## BUSINESS OPERATIONS DIVISION

The Division of Business Operations is responsible for policy development and oversight of the University's budget, business and organizational operations and financial management. Functions include Systemwide and Office of the President budgets; Human Resources and Benefits; Informational Resources and Communications, and Financial Management.

Including the post-March adjustments, for Fiscal Year 2009-10, the Division proposes a decrease to its budget of unrestricted funds by $\$ 7.7$ million or $19 \%$. Most of this decrease reflects shifting operations that were previously budgeted on unrestricted funds to restricted funds. Accordingly, the FY 2009-10 budget for restricted funds has increased by $\$ 10.0$ million. The overall budget for FY 2009-10 reflects a modest increase of $\$ 2.3$ million (less than 3\%), reflecting the division's absorption of most consolidated business and budget functions that no longer are being provided by other divisions. These include business services, project and change management, technology support and budget.

## Business Operations Immediate Office

The Immediate Office of Business Operations has increased its base budget of unrestricted funds by $\$ 629,722$ or $17.05 \%$ since FY 2008-09. This increase is mostly attributable the addition of a Restructuring Contingency to allow the EVP of Business Operations flexibility in staffing to meet the workload of both the Immediate Office and the Division. The FY 2009-10 budget for restricted funds has increased by $\$ 363,000$ that reflects a transfer of funding for the Institutional Advancement unit from unrestricted to restricted (see below). The total budget (unrestricted and restricted together) has increased by $\$ 992,477$.

## Budget and Capital Resources

For FY 2009-10, the Systemwide Budget Office will be combined with Facilities Administration. The new unit will be named Budget and Capital Resources. Although this merger was announced in October 2008, the new unit is still undergoing a detail design that will determine its ultimate staffing and fiscal needs for FY 2009-10 and beyond. Therefore, this request simply reflects the combination of FY 2008-09 budgets for each unit as the base for FY 2009-10.

The FY 2009-10 budget proposal achieves a cut of approximately $10 \%$ in the use of unrestricted funds through the elimination of one position in Budget Operations area and the shifting of a portion or all funding for nine other positions (4.29 FTE) to Plant Pool Earnings (a restricted fund source for expenses related to the capital program known as PPE). In terms of dollars, this reduction in unrestricted funds totals $\$ 528,259$. The total budget (unrestricted and restricted together) has decreased by $\$ 60,607$.

## Human Resources and Benefits

The Office of Human Resource and Benefits has reduced its base budget of unrestricted funds by $\$ 4.6$ million, or $37.84 \%$. For the most part, this reduction reflects a reallocation of resources from unrestricted funds to restricted funds. As such, the budget for restricted funds has increased by approximately $\$ 5$ million. The total budget (unrestricted and restricted together) has increased by $\$ 403,771$ or slightly less than $1 \%$. The net increase to the budget is largely accounted for in additional legal costs that have become necessary to support the University in its bargaining with labor unions regarding the reestablishment of employee contributions to UCRP.

## Financial Management

For FY 2009-10, the budget for the newly created Chief Financial Officer's Immediate Office is being reported within Financial Management and consolidated within Business Operations. (In future years, the Chief Financial Officer will be reported as a stand alone consolidation to include the Immediate Office and all of Financial Management.)
Salaries and Benefits for the Chief Financial Officer reflect a net addition of two FTE and $\$ 548,704$ to the Appropriations Proposal submitted in March. Including these post-March presentation changes, Financial Management has reduced its budget of unrestricted funds by approximately $\$ 2.0$ million or $30 \%$. Much of this amount reflects a shift of existing programs from unrestricted funding to restricted funding based on a re-evaluation of staff time and effort. The FY 2009-10 budget for restricted funds has increased by $\$ 3.7$ million resulting in an overall budget increase of $\$ 1.6$ million. The overall increase is due to not only the addition of the Chief Financial Officer's Immediate Office, but also the transfer of several new and existing functional units to Financial Management, including the consolidated business services unit and systemwide travel management.

## Information Resources and Communications

IR\&C has reduced its unrestricted funds budget by about $\$ 1.1$ million or $9 \%$, through a transfer of activities to restricted funding and the elimination of 2 net FTE. The total budget (unrestricted and restricted together) has decreased by about $\$ 600,000$ or $2.8 \%$ after taking into account new external recharges. Campuses continue to engage IR\&C for an increasing variety of services, consolidating workload where economies of scale can lower University costs.

## Institutional Advancement

Institutional Advancement has achieved a $15 \%$ reduction in its budget by eliminating one part-time position ( 0.40 ) of one FTE and transferring 4.00 FTE from unrestricted funding to restricted funding (Lee Jacks). This transfer accurately reflects the unit's considerable responsibilities for the administration and oversight of restricted endowments. The total budget has decreased by $\$ 80,291$.
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| DIVISION: Business Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salary \& Benefits by Functional Unit <br> 1 Business Operations Immediate Office <br> 2 Institutional Advancement <br> 3 Financial Management <br> 4 Human Resources and Benefits <br> 5 Information Resources and Communications <br> 6 Systemwide Budget Office \& Facilites Admin. | REVISED FY 2008-09 Budget After Mid Year Reductions |  |  |  |  |  |  | PROPOSED FY 2009-10 Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  | ADJUSTMENTS against FY 2008-09 Budget to derive FY 2009-10 Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FTE |  | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | otal Costs | FTE |  | nrestricted |  | Restricted |  | otal Costs | FTE |  | nrestricted |  | Restricted |  | tal Costs |
|  | 27.00 | \$ | 2,950,644 | \$ | 74,106 | \$ | 3,024,750 | 26.00 | \$ | 3,623,412 | \$ | 74,106 | \$ | 3,697,518 | -1.00 | \$ | 672,768 | \$ |  | \$ | 672,768 |
|  | 4.40 | \$ | 442,996 | \$ | 93,969 | \$ | 536,965 | 4.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 456,674 | \$ | 456,674 | -0.40 | \$ | $(442,996)$ | \$ | 362,705 | \$ | $(80,291)$ |
|  | 132.35 | \$ | 6,405,209 | \$ | 5,913,555 | \$ | 12,318,764 | 133.35 | \$ | 4,005,575 | \$ | 8,879,893 | \$ | 12,885,468 | 1.00 | \$ | $(2,399,634)$ | \$ | 2,966,338 | \$ | 566,704 |
|  | 186.00 | \$ | 2,822,952 | \$ | 14,181,908 | \$ | 17,004,860 | 186.00 | \$ | 3,762,463 | \$ | 13,246,340 | \$ | 17,008,803 | 0.00 | \$ | 939,511 | \$ | $(935,568)$ | \$ | 3,943 |
|  | 149.00 | \$ | 6,904,263 | \$ | 7,836,388 | \$ | 14,740,651 | 147.00 | \$ | 6,109,949 | \$ | 8,552,386 | \$ | 14,662,335 | -2.00 | \$ | $(794,314)$ | \$ | 715,998 | \$ | $(78,316)$ |
|  | 52.00 | \$ | 5,407,410 | \$ | 270,408 | \$ | 5,677,818 | 51.00 | \$ | 4,854,151 | \$ | 738,060 | \$ | 5,592,211 | -1.00 | \$ | $(553,259)$ | \$ | 467,652 | \$ | (85,607 |
| Subtotal | 550.75 | \$ | 24,933,474 | \$ | 28,370,334 | \$ | 53,303,808 | 547.35 | \$ | 22,355,550 | \$ | 31,947,459 | \$ | 54,303,009 | -3.40 | \$ | $(2,577,924)$ | \$ | 3,577,125 | \$ | 999,201 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | 14,579,292 | \$ | 34,234,253 | \$ | 48,813,545 |  | \$ | 10,773,271 | \$ | 39,665,141 | \$ | 50,438,412 |  | \$ | $(3,806,021)$ |  | 5,430,888 | \$ | 1,624,867 |
| Personnel \& Operating Costs Before Recharges |  | \$ | 39,512,766 | \$ | 62,604,587 | \$ | 102,117,353 |  | \$ | 33,128,821 | \$ | 71,612,600 | \$ | 104,741,421 |  | \$ | $(6,383,945)$ |  | 9,008,013 | \$ | 2,624,068 |
| Recharges Recharges-External Recharges-UCOP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$ |  | \$ | $(6,938,687)$ | \$ | $(6,938,687)$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | $(7,397,644)$ |  | $(7,397,644)$ |  | \$ |  |  | $(458,957)$ | \$ | $(458,957)$ |
|  |  | \$ |  | \$ | (13,021,313) |  | (13,021,313) |  | \$ |  | \$ | $(12,801,275)$ | + | $(12,801,275)$ |  | \$ |  |  | 220,038 | \$ | 220,038 |
| Subtotal |  | \$ |  | \$ | $(19,960,000)$ |  | $(19,960,000)$ |  | \$ |  |  | $(20,198,919)$ | \$ | $(20,198,919)$ |  | \$ |  |  | $(238,919)$ | \$ | $(238,919)$ |
| Personnel \& Operating Costs Net of Recharges |  | \$ | 39,512,766 | \$ | 42,644,587 | \$ | 82,157,353 |  | \$ | 33,128,821 | \$ | 51,413,681 | \$ | 84,542,502 |  | \$ | $(6,383,945)$ |  | 8,769,094 | \$ | 2,385,149 |
| Program Costs \& Fund Flowthru Consolidated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$ | 1,369,651 | \$ | 5,679,136 |  | 7,048,787 |  | \$ | 70,557 |  | 6,925,252 | \$ | 6,995,809 |  | \$ | $(1,299,094)$ |  | 1,246,116 | \$ | (52,978) |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | 1,369,651 | \$ | 5,679,136 |  | 7,048,787 |  | \$ | 70,557 |  | 6,925,252 | \$ | 6,995,809 |  | \$ | $(1,299,094)$ |  | 1,246,116 | \$ | (52,978) |
| Grand Total | 550.75 | \$ | \$ 40,882,417 | \$ | 48,323,723 |  | 89,206,140 | 547.35 | \$ | 33,199,378 | \$ | 58,338,933 | \$ | 91,538,311 | -3.40 | \$ | $(7,683,039)$ |  | 10,015,210 | \$ | 2,332,171 |

# Business Operations - Immediate Office Katherine N. Lapp, Executive Vice President 

Mission \& Functions

## Mission

The Business Operations division is responsible for the development and implementation of prudent control practices and recognized management techniques and establishment of division goals and guiding principles. Oversight and policy development is provided for all University business functions, including Systemwide Budget, Human Resources and Benefits, Facilities Administration, Financial Management, and Information Resources and Communication.

## Functions

- Provide management oversight, leadership, direction and coordination of the activities carried out by the departments directly reporting to the Executive Vice President for Business Operations.
- Develop, coordinate and advocate for the UC Systemwide budget in consultation with The Regents, the President, the Provost, the Academic Senate, and the campuses and allocate the resources to the campuses, both operating and capital, on an annual basis.
- Provide management oversight for the Office of the President through implementation of prudent control practices, recognized management techniques, and effective policy development and oversight.
- Initiate new business projects and practices at the Office of the President and in coordination with the campuses to ensure that UC resources are utilized as efficiently and effectively as possible.
- Oversee the development and implementation of Regental and Presidential policies in all areas of the UC business functions to ensure effective and efficient processes for the activities of the University, including human resources policies, business and financial management policies, and information technology policies.
- Develop and administer a budget process for the Office of the President that provides a clear understanding of available fund sources and expenditures.
- Work with the Administrative Vice Chancellors and Budget Officers to establish a funding mechanism to support the technology needs of the University.
- Provide systemwide coordination of critical safety, security and information technology issues.
- In FY0809, acquired oversight of Institutional Advancement (IA). IA develops and interprets fundraising and support group policies; and provides
services to the campuses in approving campus gift actions as well as assisting in structuring gift agreements and providing counsel related to virtually every aspect of large gift giving.


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

Since the March presentation, the Immediate Office of Business Operations has increased its budget in unrestricted funds by $\$ 1,028,030$. An amount of $\$ 628,030$ was added to Salaries and Benefits as a Restructuring Contingency that will allow the EVP flexibility in staffing to meet the workload within the Immediate Office as well as the Division. Depending on resource requirements, this could mean the addition of up to six FTE. In addition a one-time amount of $\$ 300,000$ was added to Utilities \& Space Facilities Rental/Lease to provide security upgrades to the downtown Oakland building. The third and final adjustment reflects an additional $\$ 100,000$ added to Other Office expenses for contract security services at the 1111 Franklin St. building per recommendations of outside experts.

Including these post-March presentation changes, the Immediate Office of Business Operations has increased its budget of unrestricted funds by $\$ 629,722$ or $17.05 \%$ since 2008-09. The total budget (unrestricted and restricted together) has increased by \$992,447.

The following discussion was presented in March. In March, the Immediate Office of Business Operations reported reductions in unrestricted funds principally in the Institutional Advancement Unit through the elimination of a part-time position (0.40 FTE) and the transfer of 4.00 FTEs (Administrative Analyst, Assistant Vice President, Director, and an Administrative Assistant III) from unrestricted funding to restricted funding (Lee Jacks).

For FY0809 and FY0910 the Immediate Office of Business Operations includes two new functional units: the Office of Project / Change Management; and the Divisional Strategic Resource Coordination Team (SCRT). The Office of Project / Change Management is charged with continuing to support the OP restructuring effort as well as to lead future change efforts at OP and on the campuses. The SRCT manages and coordinates divisional activities related to human resource actions, budget/fiscal analysis, facilities/space coordination, and large meeting/conference planning. They provide operational expertise and consultation to department managers and supervisors in these areas.

In addition, two existing functional areas are being transferred to the Business Operations Immediate Office: Building Services, and Mail Services. These units were previously accounted for in Facilities Administration. Going forward they will be reported as a single unit, Building and Mail Services. Most of the activity in these units is recharged to other UCOP departments.
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| Department: Business Operations--Immediate Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ADJUSTMENTS against FY 2008-09 Budget to derive <br> FY 2009-10 Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salary \& Benefits by Functional Unit | REVISED FY 2008-09 Budget After Mid Year Reductions |  |  |  |  |  |  | PROPOSED FY 2009-10 Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FTE | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Costs |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FTE } \\ & 6.00 \end{aligned}$ | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Costs |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FTE } \\ & -1.00 \end{aligned}$ | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Costs |  |
| 1 Immediate Office--Executive Vice President | 7.00 | \$ | 1,184,420 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,184,420 |  | \$ | 1,115,209 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,115,209 |  | \$ | $(69,211)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(69,211)$ |
| 2 Consolidated OP Budget Utility Group | 7.00 | \$ | 746,855 | \$ | - | \$ | 746,855 | 7.00 | \$ | 746,855 | \$ | - | \$ | 746,855 | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| 3 Institutional Advancement | 4.40 | \$ | 442,996 | \$ | 93,969 | \$ | 536,965 | 4.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 456,674 | \$ | 456,674 | -0.40 | \$ | $(442,996)$ | \$ | 362,705 | \$ | $(80,291)$ |
| 4 Project/Change Management Office | 3.00 | \$ | 315,728 | \$ | - | \$ | 315,728 | 3.00 | \$ | 429,677 | \$ | - | \$ | 429,677 | 0.00 | \$ | 113,949 | \$ |  | \$ | 113,949 |
| 5 Divisional Strategic Resource Coordination Team | 3.00 | \$ | 298,457 | \$ | - | \$ | 298,457 | 3.00 | \$ | 298,457 | \$ | - | \$ | 298,457 | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| 6 Mail Services (transfer from IR\&C) | 4.00 | \$ | 137,939 | \$ | 43,881 | \$ | 181,820 | 4.00 | \$ | 137,939 | \$ | 43,881 | \$ | 181,820 | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| 7 Building Services (transfer from FA) | 3.00 | \$ | 267,245 | \$ | 30,225 | \$ | 297,470 | 3.00 | \$ | 267,245 | \$ | 30,225 | \$ | 297,470 | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Restructuring Contingency ${ }^{1}$ | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | 0.00 | \$ | 628,030 | \$ | - | \$ | 628,030 | 0.00 | \$ | 628,030 | \$ | - | \$ | 628,030 |
| Subtotal | 31.40 | \$ | 3,393,640 | \$ | 168,075 | \$ | 3,561,715 | 30.00 | \$ | 3,623,412 | \$ | 530,780 | \$ | 4,154,192 | -1.40 | \$ | 229,772 | \$ | 362,705 | \$ | 592,477 |
| Operating Expenses \& Support Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Consultants \& Professional Services |  | \$ | 75,000 | \$ | 2,500 | \$ | 77,500 |  | \$ | 75,000 | \$ | 2,500 | \$ | 77,500 |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| 2 Meetings \& Related Costs |  | \$ | 12,000 | \$ | 1,000 | \$ | 13,000 |  | \$ | 12,000 | \$ | 1,000 | \$ | 13,000 |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| 3 Legal Costs |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| 4 Travel Related |  | \$ | 21,000 | \$ | 15,000 | \$ | 36,000 |  | \$ | 21,000 | \$ | 15,000 | \$ | 36,000 |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 5 Utilities \& Space Facilities Rental/Lease ${ }^{2}$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | 300,000 | \$ |  | \$ | 300,000 |  | \$ | 300,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 300,000 |
| 6 Computer,Office Equip/Supplies/Svc Maint |  | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 20,000 |  | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 20,000 |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| 7 Outside Services \& Experts |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 8 Other Office ${ }^{3}$ |  | \$ | 73,778 | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | 98,778 |  | \$ | 173,778 | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | 198,778 |  | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 100,000 |
| S\&E related to Institutional Adv (transfer from ER) |  | \$ | 63,351 | \$ |  | \$ | 63,351 |  | \$ | 63,351 | \$ | - | \$ | 63,351 |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| S\&E related to Mail Svcs (transfer from FA) |  | \$ | 12,628 | \$ | 145,674 | \$ | 158,302 |  | \$ | 12,628 | \$ | 145,674 | \$ | 158,302 |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| S\&E related to Bldg Svcs (transfer from FA) |  | \$ | 32,049 | \$ | 303,090 | \$ | 335,139 |  | \$ | 32,049 | \$ | 303,090 | \$ | 335,139 |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | 299,806 | \$ | 502,264 | \$ | 802,070 |  | \$ | 699,806 | \$ | 502,264 | \$ | 1,202,070 |  | \$ | 400,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 400,000 |
| Personnel \& Operating Costs Before Recharges |  | \$ | 3,693,446 | \$ | 670,339 | \$ | 4,363,785 |  | \$ | 4,323,218 | \$ | 1,033,044 | \$ | 5,356,262 |  | \$ | 629,772 | \$ | 362,705 | \$ | 992,477 |
| Recharges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recharges-External |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Recharges-UCOP |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(501,275)$ | \$ | (501,275) |  | \$ | - | \$ | (501,275) | \$ | $(501,275)$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(501,275)$ | \$ | $(501,275)$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(501,275)$ | \$ | $(501,275)$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Personnel \& Operating Costs Net of Recharges |  | \$ | 3,693,446 | \$ | 169,064 | \$ | 3,862,510 |  | \$ | 4,323,218 | \$ | 531,769 | \$ | 4,854,987 |  | \$ | 629,772 | \$ | 362,705 | \$ | 992,477 |
| Program Costs \& Fund Flowthru Identify Program/function |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Grand Total | 31.40 | \$ | 3,693,446 | \$ | 169,064 | \$ | 3,862,510 | 30.00 | \$ | 4,323,218 | \$ | 531,769 | \$ | 4,854,987 | -1.40 | \$ | 629,772 | \$ | 362,705 | \$ | 992,477 |

A Retructuring Contingency has been added to Salaries and Benefits for the Immediate Office of Business Operations to provide the EVP some flexibility in staffing to meet the
workload within the Immediate Office as well as the Division. This represents a potential increase of up to $\$ 628,030$ to the Appropriations Proposal submitted in March.
An amount of $\$ 300,000$ has been added to Operating Expenses and Support Costs under Utilities \& Space Facilities Rental/Leases for security upgrades to the downtown Oakland building. This is a one-time cost.
An amount of $\$ 100,000$ has been added to Operating Expenses and Support Costs under Other Office for contract security services

## Financial Management

Anne Broome - Vice President

## Mission \& Functions

## Mission

Financial Management is responsible for systemwide financial and tax policies, controls, and accounting, all treasury and external finance functions, systemwide procurement and strategic sourcing, systemwide risk management, and mortgage programs.

## Functions

- Systemwide Internal and External Banking Services: Responsible for systemwide banking services and policy used in the administration of all UC funds.
- Systemwide Cash Management: Responsible for the University's Cash Management Function (approximately $\$ 1.5$ billion per month), which includes positioning daily cash, concentrating all account activity, processing all UCOP wire requests, funding all check and electronic (primarily payroll) disbursement accounts, sweeping all available depository accounts, and generating all Corporate Accounting entries.
- Systemwide Financial Controls and Accountability: Responsible for systemwide development and oversight of local programs to promote internal control and compliance with accounting and financial control standards. Coordinate campus Controller reporting relationships and the development and delivery of systemwide financial management training program (Business Officer Institute);
- External Finance: Responsible for the development of University debt strategy, capacity, and affordability; all systemwide external debt issues including policy development, debt issuance, and management as well as the coordination of capital and debt management requirements at all University locations;
- Systemwide Finance Policy, Accounting and Reporting: Responsible for UC financial accounting policy and the consolidated financial reporting under GAAP for campuses, medical centers, UCRS and foundations. Maintains the Corporate Financial Reporting (CFR) system and coordinates the systemwide annual fiscal close and external audit. Responsible for UC debt accounting, reporting and administration.
- Systemwide Endowment and Investment Accounting: Responsible for centralized accounting and financial reporting (GAAP) for endowment funds, campus foundations, and other UC affiliated organizations and deferred gifts. Also responsible for participant accounting for the GEP, HIP and STIP, and
for accounting and recording investment activity for the UCRP and UCRSP funds, including pool processing for the UCRP and DOE Laboratory segments.
- Financial Planning and Analysis (FPA): Responsible for financial planning and support for auxiliary business operations, including reviewing new campus construction, facilities or business development for project objectives and financial feasibility. Manages the Debt Management System (DMS) to assist campuses in planning efforts.
- Risk Services: Responsible for development and implementation of systemwide risk financing programs including insurance and self-insurance and the management of claims and litigation associated with these programs. Provides oversight of EH\&S and implementation of systemwide loss control and loss prevention programs.
- Strategic Sourcing and Systemwide Procurement Policy: Provides systemwide support for collaboration among various campuses for the strategic sourcing program and procurement as well as for e-commerce systems, including catalog management.
- Systemwide Travel Management: Responsible for the new systemwide Travel Management program, negotiate contracts for agencies, hotels, rental cars, and airlines, and monitor usage and cost savings;
- Office of Loan Programs: Responsible for designing, developing and administering customized mortgage programs to support faculty/staff recruitment and retention, as well as providing loan processing approval, funding, loan servicing and accounting, loan portfolio sales and management of investor relations.
- Business Resource Center: Responsible for processing transactions related to general purchasing, travel and entertainment, payroll, recharges and grant awards for all OP departments except the Officers of The Regents.


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

Since the March presentation, Financial Management has increased its budget of unrestricted funds by $\$ 329,223$. This amount along with an additional amount of $\$ 219,481$ in restricted funds was added to Salaries and Benefits to create the base budget for the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) and his associated support staff. The total budget for the CFO for FY0910 is $\$ 548,704$. Please note that in future years the CFO will be reported as a stand alone consolidation to include the Immediate Office and all of Financial Management.

Including these post-March presentation changes, the total budget (unrestricted and restricted together) has increased by approximately $\$ 1.6$ million since FY0809, which also includes the previously reported transfer of several new and existing functional units to Financial Management.

For FY0910, salaries and benefits increased by $\$ 566,704$ against the FY0809 base of $\$ 12.3$ million. The FY0809 base for operating expenses is $\$ 3.17$ million. For units that were in Financial Management for both FY0809 and FY0910, the proposed base budget has been reduced by $\$ 727$ thousand, or $23 \%$; from $\$ 3.17$ million to $\$ 2.44$ million.

As noted above several new units or initiatives have been added to Financial Management for FY0910 that were not in the FY0809 base budget. The additional operating expenses for the new units or initiatives, specifically Office of Loan Programs, Business Resource Center, Treasury Workstation and Systemwide Travel total $\$ 1.53$ million.

For FY0910, proposed operating expenses and support costs for Financial Management are $\$ 3.97$ million, up $\$ 800$ thousand or $25 \%$ from the FY0809 base budget. The increase is a combination of a $\$ 727$ thousand, or $24 \%$, decrease in existing departments that has been more than offset by the addition of new units in Financial Management that added $\$ 1.53$ million, or $48 \%$ to the FY0809 expense base. The remainder of the net increase is attributable to a $\$ 350$ thousand decrease in recharge revenues (elimination of a recharge unit - Payroll Cluster folded into the BRC) and a $\$ 123$ thousand decrease in program costs / funds flow-through (elimination of a nonrecurring cost for UC's system wide investment in the Kuali general ledger project as the cash investment period has now been met). Davis, Santa Barbara and Irvine have the lead and continue to commit non-cash resources to the effort).
University of California Office of the President
FY 2009-10 Appropriations Proposal

${ }^{1}$ For FY0910, the Salaries and Benefits for the newly created Chief Financial Officer's Immediate Office are being reported within Financial Management and consolidated within Business Operations. In future years, the Chief Financial Officer will be reported as a stand alone consolidation to include the Immediate Office and all of Financial Management.信 COMPENSATION FOR PETER J. TAYLOR AS EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, AS DISCUSSED IN REGENTS ONLY SESSION) and an Executive Secretary.

# Human Resources and Benefits John Cammidge - Acting Associate Vice President of Human Resources and Benefits 

Mission \& Functions

## Mission

The Office of Human Resources and Benefits provides systemwide leadership of a progressive human resources system to build and sustain a diverse faculty and staff that will most effectively support the University of California's mission of teaching, research, and public service.

## Functions

The systemwide Office of Human Resources and Benefits (HRB) serves a mix of constituencies, both academic and staff, in an array of functions and activities. UC administers its own pension and medical plans, which consume about $80 \%$ of HRB staffing, resources and funding. These programs are funded by health and welfare benefit funds and the retirement plan trust - funds that cannot be commingled with state and common funds for other purposes.

State and common funds primarily support UCOP HR services, a large and legally mandated Labor Relations function, and critical HR functions including compensation, policy, senior management program administration, diversity and Affirmative Action/EEO oversight, employee relations, strategic planning and program design, and information systems support.

HRB is organized around four units, which collectively provide service and leadership for the following primary functions:

- Oversee human resources policy and employee relations for non-represented staff employees and compensation for staff employees;
- Coordinate labor relations, as mandated by state and federal labor laws, for academic and staff employees, including central responsibility for implementation and compliance with collective bargaining agreements and labor \& employment laws. UC has 16 unions and 24 local and systemwide bargaining units, representing nearly 74,000 employees;
- Provide benefits planning, design and administration for the University of California community - over 300,000 faculty, academic and staff employees, retirees, and their family members covered by the University's plans and programs;
- Oversee Diversity and Affirmative Action/Equal Employment Opportunity programs for staff employees;
- Administer the human resources program and benefits for the Senior Management Group, including detailed support for the Regents' oversight role for over 500 employees in the Senior Leadership Compensation Group;
- Manage centralized information systems, human resources policy and program compliance, customer service, research and planning support for human resources functional areas and their related constituents;
- Provide systemwide human resources strategic planning, policy, and program development;
- Serve in a fiduciary role as plan administrator for UC's health, welfare and retirement plans and programs;
- Provide programmatic funding and oversight/monitoring for certain systemwide human resources programs at locations.


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

The Office of Human Resources and Benefits has reduced its budget of unrestricted funds by $\$ 4,564,729$ or $37.84 \%$ through the transfer of Operating Expenses \& Support Costs to restricted funds. The total budget (unrestricted and restricted together) has increased by $\$ 403,771$ or slightly less than $1 \%$, the majority of which falls within restricted funds. The net increase to the budget is largely accounted for in the Legal Cost non-payroll category. An amount of $\$ 360,000$ has been added to pay for outside legal counsel (Hanson Bridgett) who will engage in collective bargaining and other labor relations work related to re-establishing represented employee contributions to UCRP. In addition, $\$ 70,557$ has been added to Program Cost / Fund Flow-through to capture the funding for the Staff Advisors to The Regents and the Council of University of California Staff Assemblies (CUCSA). Finally, the HR\&B Communications Unit, including 7.5 FTE and $\$ 519,311$ in salary and benefits costs, has been transferred from HRB to the consolidated Communications Unit in External Relations. The transfer of appropriate operating expenses for this unit is still under review.
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## Information Resources and Communications David Ernst, Associate Vice President

## Mission \& Functions

Information Resources and Communications (IR\&C) provides information technology services to the Office of the President, individual campuses, and University-wide constituencies. Its major functions include:

- Strategic systemwide IT planning, governance and analysis
- Payroll for 7 UC campuses, plus Hastings and ASUCLA
- UC Retirement System (systemwide annuitant payments)
- At Your Service Online (employee access to benefits \& pay information )
- Undergraduate Admissions ( student applications etc. online)
- Endowment Investment \& Accounting System (manages UC endowments)
- Corporate Data (collects institution-wide data for analysis and reporting)
- Web Services (maintains UCOP web sites and develops applications)
- Technical Support for UCOP staff and departments
- Records Management (archives key documents and correspondence)
- System-wide IT Procurement, in conjunction with Strategic Sourcing
- Managing the advanced network provider for the UC system
- FCC Licensing (coordinates campus licensing on behalf of the Regents)


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

Since the March budget presentation, $\boldsymbol{I R \&} \boldsymbol{C}$ has added funding for 6 months of contract support to help it work through a backlog of critical applications and productivity improvements. This is a one-time addition of $\$ 86,400$ to the IR\&C budget.

IR\&C has reduced its unrestricted funds budget by about $\$ 1.2$ million or $10 \%$, through a transfer of activities to restricted funding and the elimination of 2 net FTE. The total budget (unrestricted and restricted together) has decreased by about $\$ 0.7$ million or $3.2 \%$ after taking into account new external recharges. Campuses continue to engage IR\&C for an increasing variety of services, consolidating workload where economies of scale can lower University costs.

Net changes to Salary and Benefits include:

- Technology Support Services (Windows Engineering) unrestricted salaries shifted to restricted Communication Access Fee (CAF) pending resolution of the Knowledge Worker funding plan
- Reduction of 2 FTE budgeted in unrestricted funds

Net changes to the non-payroll categories include:

- Decrease in travel in the amount of $\$ 15,868$
- Rebudget consultants and professional services (programmers hired for limited appointments) from unrestricted to restricted funds $\$ 156,000$
- Rebudget equipment and software maintenance from unrestricted to restricted funds $\$ 282,000$
- Increase in external recharges for new services to campuses $\$ 575,000$
University of California Office of the President
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${ }^{1}$ Add $\$ 86,400$ for temporary professional services to help define new IT proposals for increased productivity


# Budget and Capital Resources (combined Systemwide Budget Office and Facilities Management) <br> Patrick Lenz - Vice President 

Note: The newly combined departments are in the midst of reviewing work processes and identifying an appropriate organizational structure. This review is expected to conclude in mid-April. Pending the outcome of this final review, the mission and functions listed below are subject to change.

Mission \& Functions


#### Abstract

Mission The Systemwide Budget Office is responsible for the development, approval, communication and implementation of the University's operating and capital resources acquisitions and allocation plans and its Long Range Budget Plans. The office also directs the development of the annual budget on behalf of the President and The Regents, and secures their approval to adopt its recommendations.

Facilities Administration provides leadership for managing the University's physical assets and sustaining a built environment. Its purpose, through the development and operation of the University's physical assets, is to effectively and efficiently support the University's teaching, research and public service mission.


## Functions

Specific functions of the Budget Office include:

- Develop and recommend budget strategies, long range budget plans, policies, and analyses for operating and capital budgets, including fund priorities, allocation formulas, external negotiation strategies, and forward-year plans and outlooks.
- Develop annual budget request consistent with the policies and priorities of the Board of Regents.
- Identify near and long term consequences of proposed budget actions, and development of alternative scenarios.
- Ensure an open and transparent budget process, so that decisions are understandable and the budget itself is clear and accessible;
- Negotiate and advocate for the Regents Budget request at the State level including with the Department of Finance, the Governor's Office, members of the Legislature and their staff, State budget subcommittees, the California Postsecondary Education Commission, and other State officials.
- Develop recommendations for allocations to the campuses in the context of an open and transparent budget process, including implementation of applicable controls and policies.
- Work with the Chancellors and other campus officers to address planning and budget issues and ensure accountability.
- Work through the new academic planning process, consultation with faculty, students, campus and Office of the President staff, and other constituencies on budgetary planning issues, resource needs, and priorities for the annual Regents Budget.

Facilities Administration provides services in the following functional areas:

- Facilities and energy management, and sustainable practices: Responsible for providing leadership in the stewardship of $100,000,000$ square feet of University facilities; strategic planning in addressing deferred maintenance and capital renewal needs; systemwide strategic energy planning and leveraged energy procurement services on behalf of the campuses, and sponsorship of $\$ 500$ million in energy-saving projects; the University's sustainable practices program; and training programs and opportunities to share best practices.
- Capital project design and delivery: Responsible for providing leadership in developing capital project design and delivery strategies, including contract administration and training related to project management, to implement the University's $\$ 8$ billion program of major projects underway. [Note: contract administration and training function is currently suspended, pending final review and staffing needs assessment.]
- Real estate development: Responsible for the scope of real estate services, supporting transactions (leasing, purchases and sales, ground leases, easements, licenses, rights-of-way), strategic planning, portfolio management, reporting, property tax management, training tools and programs, and sharing best practices.
- Physical and environmental planning: Responsible for providing leadership, consultation and strategic planning related to University land use and site planning, long-range land-use plans (LRDPs), the environmental process, and the University's statutory obligations.
- Building code and safety: Responsible for providing leadership to ensure University facilities are constructed, leased, and purchased to meet applicable and appropriate standards for the protection of life and property, and in the most cost-effective and timely manner; represents the University, and advocates for its interests, on State regulatory bodies and boards of professional organizations. [Note: function currently suspended, pending final review and staffing needs assessment.]


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

In September of 2008, a Review Team appointed by Executive Vice President Katherine Lapp and Chaired by UCD Vice Chancellor John Meyer submitted its report entitled
"Organizing for the Successful Delivery and Stewardship of Capital Assets" (Report). This report recommended that the Systemwide Budget Office and Facilities Administration be merged. The Report included a set of summary major recommendations as well as specific recommendations associated with several of the sub areas of the newly defined Department.

In mid-October of 2008, EVP Lapp convened a joint meeting for the staff of both former departments to announce that she had reviewed the Report and was prepared to implement its major recommendations effective immediately by consolidating the two departments into a newly formed department at UCOP. She indicated that this effort was being done to increase organizational efficiencies as part of the larger OP efforts already underway in other business areas. Looking forward, she indicated that this would consolidate all systemwide resource management issues under one set of leadership, to be completed by mid-April, 2009.

While the final staffing and fiscal requirements for FY0910 are still being reviewed, a preliminary FY0910 budget for the combined Systemwide Budget Office and Facilities Administration department has been prepared by combining the FY0809 budget for each unit and using these amounts as the base for FY0910. The FY0910 budget proposal achieves a cut of approximately $10 \%$ in the use of unrestricted funds through the elimination of one position in Budget Operations area (Coordinator @ 1.00 FTE) and the shifting of a portion or all funding for nine other positions (4.29 FTE) to Plant Pool Earnings (a restricted fund source for expenses related to the capital program known as PPE). While it is believed that the shifting of the funding to PPE at the proposed levels can be sustained in the near term, the actual distributions of those shifts may change following the completion of the departmental review now under way. In terms of dollars, this reduction in unrestricted funds totals $\$ 528,259$. The total budget (unrestricted and restricted together) has decreased by $\$ 60,607$.

During FY0809, Facilities Administration transferred two functional units to the Immediate Office of Business Operations: Building Services and Mail Services. These units are now reflected in the Immediate Office of Business Operations for both FY0809 and FY0910.
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# EXTERNAL RELATIONS 

## Immediate Office

## Federal Government Relations

## State Government Relations

## Alumni Affairs/Advocacy

## Communications

# External Relations (formerly University Affairs) <br> Daniel M. Dooley - Interim Senior Vice President, External Relations 

## Mission \& Functions

## Mission

External Relations (formerly known as University Affairs) is responsible for developing and implementing external affairs strategies for state and federal governmental relations, communications, alumni affairs and institutional advocacy. These efforts are undertaken in support of the President and the campuses in order to bring together constituencies and stakeholders to build enduring financial, legislative and constituent support for the University.

## Functions

The departments in External Relations have direct responsibility for conducting specific systemwide functions for the University. Primary functions include:

- Federal Governmental Relations (Washington, D.C.) represents and advocates for the University on federal budget, legislation and public policy issues impacting the University.
- State Governmental Relations (Sacramento) represents and advocates for the University on legislation and public policy issues impacting the University and works in conjunction with the Systemwide Budget Office to advocate on State budget matters.
- Communications develops, coordinates and implements systemwide communications strategies for the University, working closely with the campuses. It also provides strategic oversight for the management of systemwide issues.
- Alumni Affairs/Advocacy, as described further below, is a proposed consolidated unit focusing on cultivating and maintaining relationships with key stakeholders to build broad support for the University and its public policy priorities, with a special emphasis on engaging UC alumni and servicing alumni organizational needs.


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

Since the March presentation, External Relations has increased its budget of unrestricted funds by $\$ 238,241$. Salaries and Benefits have been adjusted upward by $\$ 114$ in Federal Government Relations (FGR), upward by $\$ 89,694$ in Alumni and Constituent Affairs, upward by $\$ 206,636$ in the Consolidated Communications Unit, and downward by $(\$ 58,203)$ in the Restructuring Contingency. Under restricted funds, Salaries and

Benefits have been adjusted downward by $(\$ 55,682)$ in FGR. The net reduction of $(\$ 55,568)$ in FGR reflects a net increase of 0.50 FTE which includes the eliminating the Director of National Lab Affairs, increasing the Science Advisor from half-time to fulltime, and creating a Principal Administrative Analyst I.

Including the post-March presentation changes, the overall budget of unrestricted funds for External Relations has decreased by $(\$ 746,958)$ since FY0809. This reduction is mostly attributable to a reduction of Operating Expenses \& Support Costs in the amount of $(\$ 771,080)$ coupled with an increase of $\$ 24,122$ in Salaries and Benefits. The total budget (unrestricted and restricted together) has decreased by $(\$ 411,330)$ or $4 \%$.

External Relations has been pursuing two significant organizational changes. The first is the consolidation of communications activities into a centralized unit. For FY0910, the budget brings together the previous budgets of Strategic Communications, Student Affairs Communications, and Human Resources Communications in the budget of a single Communications group in External Relations. This move reduces the total FTE devoted to communications activities while enhancing message consistency, making possible new economies of scale, and offering the opportunity to enhance the university's communications capacity in several key areas that historically have been neglected. These actions are critical to the University's ability to communicate more effectively with the public and to broaden understanding of the University's contributions to the daily life of California.

While this consolidation effort was reflected in the FY0809 mid-year budget, "Other Office" now includes a transfer of $\$ 810,510$ from Student Affairs to the consolidated Communications unit, largely for printing and mailing of publications. In addition, 7.5 FTE (estimated at 6 FTE for FY0910) and the associated salary and benefits costs have been transferred from HRB to the consolidated Communications Unit.

The second consolidation, on a smaller scale, involves a pending proposal to bring together two previously separate External Relations units, Alumni Affairs and Institutional Advocacy, in a unified unit that serves systemwide alumni needs not addressed by the campuses while preserving a focus on building relationships with additional constituencies to help broaden public understanding and support for the University and its public policy priorities.
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| Department: External Relations (Formerly University Affairs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salary \& Benefits by Functional Unit <br> 1 Immediate Office <br> 2 Federal Government Relations ${ }^{1}$ <br> 3 State Governmental Relations <br> 4 Alumni and Constituent Affairs ${ }^{2}$ <br> 5 New Consolidated Communications Unit ${ }^{3}$ <br> 6 Restructuring Contingency ${ }^{4}$ | REVISED FY 2008-09 Budget After Mid Year Reductions |  |  |  |  |  |  | PROPOSED FY 2009-10 Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  | ADJUSTMENTS against FY 2008-09 Budget to derive FY 2009-10 Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FTE | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Costs |  | FTE 3.00 | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Costs |  | FTE |  | stricted | Restricted |  | Total Costs |  |
|  | 4.00 | \$ | 749,558 | \$ | - | \$ | 749,558 |  | \$ | 729,947 | \$ | - | \$ | 729,947 |  | \$ | $(19,611)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(19,611)$ |
|  | 9.50 | \$ | 1,657,058 | \$ | 75,000 | \$ | 1,732,058 | 10.00 | \$ | 957,746 | \$ | 434,039 | \$ | 1,391,785 | 0.50 | \$ | $(699,312)$ | \$ | 359,039 | \$ | $(340,273)$ |
|  | 11.00 | \$ | 1,210,875 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,210,875 | 11.00 | \$ | 1,368,940 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,368,940 | 0.00 | \$ | 158,065 | \$ | - | \$ | 158,065 |
|  | 3.00 | \$ | 93,000 | \$ | 87,000 | \$ | 180,000 | 3.00 | \$ | 353,902 | \$ | . | \$ | 353,902 | 0.00 | \$ | 260,902 | \$ | $(87,000)$ | \$ | 173,902 |
|  | 33.00 | \$ | 3,030,834 | \$ | 420,642 | \$ | 3,451,476 | 33.00 | \$ | 3,299,344 | \$ | 484,231 | \$ | 3,783,575 | 0.00 | \$ | 268,510 | \$ | 63,589 | \$ | 332,099 |
|  | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | 0.00 | \$ | 55,568 | \$ | - | \$ | 55,568 | 0.00 | \$ | 55,568 | \$ | - | \$ | 55,568 |
| Subtotal | 60.50 | \$ | 6,741,325 | \$ | 582,642 | \$ | 7,323,967 | 60.00 | \$ | 6,765,447 | \$ | 918,270 | \$ | 7,683,717 | -0.50 | \$ | 24,122 | \$ | 335,628 | \$ | 359,750 |
| Operating Expenses \& Support Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Consultants \& Professional Services |  | \$ | 497,212 | \$ | 114,453 | \$ | 611,665 |  | \$ | 109,282 | \$ | 264,453 | \$ | 373,735 |  | \$ | $(387,930)$ | \$ | 150,000 | \$ | $(237,930)$ |
| 2 Meetings \& Related Costs |  | \$ | 190,445 | \$ | 45,383 | \$ | 235,828 |  | \$ | 171,242 | \$ | 45,383 | \$ | 216,625 |  | \$ | $(19,203)$ | \$ |  | \$ | $(19,203)$ |
| 3 Legal Costs |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| 4 Travel Related |  | \$ | 214,351 | \$ | 52,942 | \$ | 267,293 |  | \$ | 178,209 | \$ | 52,942 | \$ | 231,151 |  | \$ | $(36,142)$ | \$ |  | \$ | $(36,142)$ |
| 5 Utilities \& Space Facilities Rental/Lease |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| 6 Computer,Office Equip/Supplies/Svc Maint |  | \$ | 276,340 | \$ | 65,675 | \$ | 342,015 |  | \$ | 149,456 | \$ | 65,675 | \$ | 215,131 |  | \$ | $(126,884)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(126,884)$ |
| 7 Outside Services \& Experts |  | \$ | 75,652 | \$ | 21,861 | \$ | 97,513 |  | \$ | 59,332 | \$ | 21,861 | \$ | 81,193 |  | \$ | $(16,320)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(16,320)$ |
| 8 Other Office |  | \$ | 1,319,433 | \$ | 129,479 | \$ | 1,448,912 |  | \$ | 1,134,832 | \$ | 129,479 | \$ | 1,264,311 |  | \$ | $(184,601)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(184,601)$ |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | 2,573,433 | \$ | 429,793 | \$ | 3,003,226 |  | \$ | 1,802,353 | \$ | 579,793 | \$ | 2,382,146 |  | \$ | $(771,080)$ | \$ | 150,000 | \$ | $(621,080)$ |
| Personnel \& Operating Costs Before Recharges |  | \$ | 9,314,758 | \$ | 1,012,435 | \$ | 10,327,193 |  | \$ | 8,567,800 | \$ | 1,498,063 | \$ | 10,065,863 |  | \$ | $(746,958)$ | \$ | 485,628 | \$ | $(261,330)$ |
| Recharges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recharges-External |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| Recharges-UCOP |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(5,000)$ | \$ | $(5,000)$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(155,000)$ | \$ | $(155,000)$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(150,000)$ | \$ | $(150,000)$ |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(5,000)$ | \$ | $(5,000)$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(155,000)$ | \$ | $(155,000)$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(150,000)$ | \$ | $(150,000)$ |
| Personnel \& Operating Costs Net of Recharges |  | \$ | 9,314,758 | \$ | 1,007,435 | \$ | 10,322,193 |  | \$ | 8,567,800 | \$ | 1,343,063 | \$ | 9,910,863 |  | \$ | $(746,958)$ | \$ | 335,628 | \$ | $(411,330)$ |
| Program Costs \& Fund Flowthru Program Detail |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Grand Total | 60.50 | \$ | 9,314,758 | \$ | 1,007,435 | \$ | 10,322,193 | 60.00 | \$ | 8,567,800 | \$ | 1,343,063 | \$ | 9,910,863 | -0.50 | \$ | $(746,958)$ | \$ | 335,628 | \$ | $(411,330)$ |

Salaries and Benefits for Federal Government Relations reflects a net increase of 0.50 FTE and a reduction of ( $\$ 55,568$ ) from the Appropriations Proposal submitted in March. This ACTIONS AS DISCUSSED IN CIO full-time, and creating a Principal Administrative Analyst I.
The Alumni / Advocacy Unit has been replaced by Alumni and Constituent Affairs. The restructured unit reflects a net increase of $\$ 89,694$ from the March Appropriations Proposal.
With respect to the Communications Unit, the Appropriations Proposal presented in March was based on early assumptions about classification levels for positions in the newly consolidated unit. The actual classification levels have now been confirmed, and as such, the proposed budget has been adjusted upward bey 6 communications Unit now includes 6 FTE and the associated expenses transferred from HR\&B that were previously reported as a separate line item.

[^2]HEALTH SCIENCES \& SERVICES

## Health Sciences \& Services

John D. Stobo, Senior Vice President

## Mission \& Functions

Health Sciences \& Services provides leadership and strategic direction to advance the education, research, and public service programs of the University's health sciences instructional system and clinical enterprise; and supports and promotes strategic initiatives by the academic medical centers.

Its major functions include:

- Advancement of the University's health sciences and clinical services programs through education, research, and the delivery of health care services
- Provides leadership of planning activities (e.g., development of new health sciences enrollment plan and restructuring of public program hospital payments)
- Coordination of new nursing and medical student educational initiatives
- Oversight and coordination of the business and financial activities of the $\$ 4.4$ billion annual clinical enterprise
- Development of policy and funding concepts/proposals to protect and maximize revenue for the clinical enterprise
- Development and articulation of the policy and fiscal impact of proposed health care reform initiatives for UC medical centers
- Management of state and federal initiatives to improve access to the UC physician services
- Management of a $\$ 30$ million, five-year initiative to develop a new California Telehealth Network
- Provides leadership and coordination of systemwide groups addressing wide ranging health sciences and clinical care program issues
- Provides leadership to develop more effective systemwide clinical branding
- Provides communication strategies for the University's health sciences and clinical services enterprise to develop strong and functional public policy positions and advocacy
- Management and resolution of high-risk issues and confidential matters arising on one or more campuses
- Strategic direction of systemwide compliance, HIPPA and quality activities


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

Health Sciences and Services has increased its budget of unrestricted funds by about $\$ 819,746$ or $33 \%$. The total budget (unrestricted and restricted together) has increased by about $\$ 2.4$ million or $85 \%$.

Major changes to the unrestricted funds budget include:

- Inclusion of $\$ 900,000$ of ongoing program costs and fund flowthrough associated with the Academic Geriatric Research Program
- Increased travel costs of $\$ 48,319$ associated with the leadership and directives of the new Senior Vice President, Health Sciences and Services
- The shift of $\$ 124,254$ personnel expenses from the unrestricted funds budget to restricted

Major changes to the restricted funds budget include:

- An increase of consultant and professional service expenses in the amount of $\$ 348,763$ as a result of the inclusion of ongoing California Health Benefits Review Program (CHBRP) actuary expenses
- The inclusion of ongoing computer, office equipment and supply costs in the amount of $\$ 20,733$ for CHBRP
- The inclusion of $\$ 63,362$ ongoing other office expenses related to CHBRP
- Recharges will be reduced by $\$ 127,206$ in restricted funds due to funds carried forward from the 08-09 budget for the Anatomical Materials Program, thus requiring less to be recharged to the campuses in 09-10
- Inclusion of ongoing program costs and fund flowthrough in the amount of \$833,320 for CHBRP
- Inclusion of on-going program costs and fund flowthrough in the amount of $\$ 50,000$ for the Anatomical Materials Program
University of California Office of the President
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## LABORATORY MANAGEMENT

## Laboratory Management

Bruce Darling, Executive Vice President

## Mission \& Functions

Laboratory Management Office (LMO) provides the leadership and core staff necessary to ensure and support the ongoing and future excellence of science and technology at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) and the limited liability corporations, Los Alamos National Security, LLC (LANS) and Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC (LLNS). LMO continues to develop a responsible, cost effective approach to meet the University's ongoing fiduciary responsibilities, including maximizing fee income for research while retaining the capability and commitment for effective UC oversight at LBNL. LMO also manages the UC partnership involvement with LANS and LLNS, in the management of Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL).

Its major functions are organized around three functional activities:
Lab Programs and Research

- Serves as the "face" of UC through its daily contact with the labs
- Ensures effective UC leadership and influence for Lab programs, science and technology
- Supports an effective Lab environment for science and technology and program accomplishments
Lab Business and Operations
- Represents UC's interest in annual performance fee objectives negotiations
- Ensures that UC is adequately informed with regard to matters affecting Regents fiduciary obligations
- Conducts day-to-day oversight of LBNL operations, and business and staffing of the Contract Assurance Council
Contract Administration \& Closeout
- Administers prime contract for LBNL
- Provides contract interpretation and compliance
- Ensures the University's termination rights and obligations are met, resolves open audits, government and third party claims
- Leads UC's contract closeout activities for old Contracts 98 (LBNL), 36 (LANL) and 48 (LLNL)


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

Laboratory Management Office has reduced its budget of restricted funds by about $\$ 0.4$ million or $11.3 \%$, principally through the elimination of .90 net FTE. The total budget is in restricted funding from the LLC Fees, LBNL and the LANL and LLNL Contract Close Out funds.

Net changes to the restricted budget largely pertain to:

- Decrease 1.00 FTE and Budget for Vice President vacancy
- Increase 0.10 FTE for existing Director position to become 1.00 FTE for Lab Assessment and Oversight
- Decrease in budget pertaining to Information Technology layoff effective 6/30/09
- Includes budget for Laboratory Management employees who participate in the 415 M compensation program
University of California Office of the President FY 2009-10 Appropriations Proposal

| Department: Laboratory Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salary \& Benefits by Functional Unit <br> 1 Immediate Office of the Vice President <br> 2 Laboratory Programs <br> 3 Lab Programmatic Assessment \& Oversight <br> 4 Laboratory Collaborations <br> 5 Operations \& Administration <br> 6 Operations <br> 7 Contracts/Administration | REVISED FY 2008-09 Budget After Mid Year Reductions |  |  |  |  |  |  | PROPOSED FY 2009-10 Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  | ADJUSTMENTS against FY 2008-09 Budget to derive FY 2009-10 Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FTE |  |  |  | Restricted | Total Cost |  | FTE | Unrestricted |  |  | Restricted | Total Cost |  | $\frac{\text { FTE }}{-1.00}$ | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Cost |  |
|  | 3.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 961,448 | \$ | 961,448 | 2.00 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 577,012 |  | \$ |  | \$ | $(384,436)$ | \$ | (384,436) |
|  | 1.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 376,280 | \$ | 376,280 | 1.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 376,280 | \$ | 376,280 | 0.00 | \$ | . | \$ | - | \$ |  |
|  | 0.90 | \$ |  | \$ | 158,187 | \$ | 158,187 | 1.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 175,763 | \$ | 175,763 | 0.10 | \$ |  | \$ | 17,576 | \$ | 17,576 |
|  | 0.00 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | 0.00 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | 0.00 | \$ |  | \$ | . | \$ |  |
|  | 1.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 376,280 | \$ | 376,280 | 1.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 404,078 | \$ | 404,078 | 0.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 27,798 | \$ | 27,798 |
|  | 4.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 788,412 | \$ | 788,412 | 4.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 788,703 | \$ | 788,703 | 0.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 291 | \$ | 291 |
|  | 4.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 800,126 | \$ | 800,126 | 4.00 | \$ | . | \$ | 694,140 | \$ | 694,140 | 0.00 | \$ |  | \$ | $(105,986)$ | \$ | $(105,986)$ |
| Subtotal | 13.90 | \$ |  | \$ | 3,460,733 | \$ | 3,460,733 | 13.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 3,015,976 | \$ | 3,015,976 | -0.90 | \$ | . | \$ | $(444,757)$ | \$ | $(444,757)$ |
| Operating Expenses \& Support Costs 1 Consultants \& Professional Services |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 50,000 |  | \$ |  | \$ | 50,000 | \$ | 50,000 |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| 2 Meetings \& Related Costs |  | \$ |  | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 5,000 |  | \$ |  | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 5,000 |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| 3 Legal Costs |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| 4 Travel Related |  | \$ |  | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 100,000 |  | \$ |  | \$ | 90,000 | \$ | 90,000 |  | \$ |  | \$ | $(10,000)$ | \$ | $(10,000)$ |
| 5 Utilities \& Space Facilities Renta/LLease |  | \$ |  | \$ | 200,000 | \$ | 200,000 |  | \$ |  | \$ | 210,000 | \$ | 210,000 |  | \$ |  | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 10,000 |
| 6 Computer/Office Equip/Supplies/Svc Maint |  | \$ |  | \$ | 117,798 | \$ | 117,798 |  | \$ |  | \$ | 117,798 | \$ | 117,798 |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| 7 Outide Services \& Experts |  | \$ |  | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 10,000 |  | \$ |  | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 10,000 |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| 8 Other Office |  | \$ |  | \$ | 1,238 | \$ | 1,238 |  | \$ | . | \$ | 1,238 | \$ | 1,238 |  | \$ | . | \$ | . | \$ |  |
| Subtota |  | \$ |  | \$ | 484,036 | \$ | 484,036 |  | \$ |  | \$ | 484,036 | \$ | 484,036 |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| Personnel \& Operating Costs Before Recharges |  | \$ |  | \$ | 3,944,769 | \$ | 3,944,769 |  | \$ | . | \$ | 3,500,012 | \$ | 3,500,012 |  | \$ | . | \$ | $(444,757)$ | \$ | $(444,757)$ |
| Recharges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recharges-External |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | - |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| Recharges-UCOP |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | . | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | . | \$ |  |
| Subtotal |  | \$ |  | \$ | . | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | . | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Personnel \& Operating Costs Net of Recharges |  | \$ |  | \$ | 3,944,769 | \$ | 3,944,769 |  | \$ |  | \$ | 3,500,012 | \$ | 3,500,012 |  | \$ |  | \$ | $(444,757)$ | \$ | $(444,757)$ |
| Program Costs \& Fund Flowthru <br> Program Detail |  | \$ |  | \$ | . | \$ | . |  | \$ |  | \$ | . | \$ | . |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Subtota |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Grand Total | 13.90 | \$ |  | \$ | 3,944,769 | \$ | 3,944,769 | 13.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 3,500,012 | \$ | 3,500,012 | -0.90 | \$ |  | \$ | $(444,757)$ | \$ | (444,757) |

# PRESIDENT'S IMMEDIATE OFFICE 

Immediate Office

Policy and Analysis

Institutional Research
Issues Management Policy Analysis \& Coordination

# President's Immediate Office <br> Mark G. Yudof - President 

## Mission \& Functions

## Mission

The President's Immediate Office is responsible for providing support that ensures an informed perspective of the President, the President's Cabinet and other Senior Leadership. This includes facilitating the implementation of activities that are administrative, programmatic, and involve management functions. It is also responsible for overseeing the operation and maintenance of the President's official residence.

## Functions

- Oversees administration and personnel functions of the President's Immediate Office.
- Supports the President in coordination of official calendar, meetings, travel, and various office reports.
- Provides policy oversight and both internal and external community building.
- Provides management oversight for executive searches conducted on behalf of the President for senior leaders (Chancellors, Executive Vice Presidents, Vice Presidents, etc.).
- Oversees communications/correspondence unit functions for the President's Immediate Office in support of the President.
- Assists the President in his responsibilities, both substantive and ceremonial, among them drafting introductions and forwards to scholarly and other publications, public statements and messages, awards and award citations, and Regents' resolutions.
- Oversees, directs and coordinates activities of special initiatives established by the President such as the DC Staff Diversity Council and the DC Women's Leadership Initiative.


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

Since the March presentation, the President's Immediate Office has decreased its budget of unrestricted funds by $(\$ 34,539)$. Salaries and benefits have decreased by $(\$ 55,039)$ which includes the elimination of an Office Assistant and an Administrative Assistant. In addition, a vacant Administrative Analyst has been rebudgeted as a Principal
Administrative Analyst.

Also, under Operating Expenses and Support Costs, an additional amount of \$20,500 has been budgeted for the Associate to the President. The FY0809 budget for the Associate to the President was inadvertently omitted and is now appropriately reflected in both fiscal years.

Including the post-March presentation changes, the total budget for the President's has increased by $\$ 684,492$. The President's Immediate Office is $100 \%$ funded on unrestricted funds. For FY0809, the President's Immediate Office is adding two new functional units that will operate under the umbrella of the newly created Office of Policy and Analysis. These two new units are the consolidated Office of Institutional Research (IR) and Issues Management, Policy Analysis and Coordination (IMPAC). In addition to directing both IR and IMPAC, the Associate Vice President for Policy and Analysis will oversee and provide analytical support for systemwide policy planning and issues management, as well as help coordinate and improve deliberations over policy issues. The Institutional Research unit will be responsible for assembling corporate data from campuses and other sources and will conduct analysis pertaining to admissions, personnel and compensation data, research data, contracts and grants, financial aid, graduate students and budget data. IMPAC will support development of University proactive positions on key policy issues and handle many of UCOP's legislative coordination activities.
A breakdown of the major increases against FY0809 by unit follows:

- Salary adjustments for the President's Immediate Office $(\$ 19,677)$.
- Two new positions to direct and support the Immediate Office of Policy and Analysis (Associate Vice President and Administrative Assistant III) \$348,838.
- Salary Adjustments for Institutional Research \$127,370 including one new position (Analyst @ 1.00 FTE) to be split between IR and IMPAC.
- Salary Adjustments for IMPAC \$72,961.
- Operating Expenses \& Support Costs for Institutional Research \$15,000 (for a subscription to the National Student Clearinghouse database).
- Operating Expenses \& Support Costs for IMPAC \$140,000.
University of California Office of the President
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| Department: President's Immediate Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salary \& Benefits by Functional Unit <br> 1 President's Immediate Office ${ }^{1}$ <br> 2 Policy and Analysis Immediate Office <br> 3 Institutional Research <br> 4 Issues Mgt. Policy Analysis \& Coord. (IMPAC) | REVISED FY 2008-09 Budget After Mid Year Reductions |  |  |  |  |  |  | PROPOSED FY 2009-10 Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  | ADJUSTMENTS against FY 2008-09 Budget to derive FY 2009-10 Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FTE | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Costs |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { FTE } \\ 12.00 \\ 2.00 \\ 16.00 \\ 7.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Costs |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FTE } \\ & -2.00 \\ & 2.00 \\ & 1.00 \\ & 0.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Costs |  |
|  | 14.00 | \$ | 2,078,497 | \$ |  | \$ | 2,078,497 |  | \$ | 2,058,820 | \$ |  | \$ | 2,058,820 |  | \$ | $(19,677)$ | \$ |  | \$ | $(19,677)$ |
|  | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | 348,838 | \$ |  | \$ | 348,838 |  | \$ | 348,838 | \$ |  | \$ | 348,838 |
|  | 15.00 | \$ | 1,815,256 | \$ |  | \$ | 1,815,256 |  | \$ | 1,942,626 | \$ |  | \$ | 1,942,626 |  | \$ | 127,370 | \$ |  | \$ | 127,370 |
|  | 7.00 | \$ | 832,598 | \$ |  | \$ | 832,598 |  | \$ | 905,559 | \$ |  | \$ | 905,559 |  | \$ | 72,961 | \$ |  | \$ | 72,961 |
| Subtotal | 36.00 | \$ | 4,726,351 | \$ | - | \$ | 4,726,351 | 37.00 | \$ | 5,255,843 | \$ | . | \$ | 5,255,843 | 1.00 | \$ | 529,492 | \$ |  | \$ | 529,492 |
| Operating Expenses \& Support Costs <br> 1 Consultants and Professional Services <br> 2 Meetings \& Related Costs <br> 3 Legal Costs <br> 4 Travel Related <br> 5 Utilities and Space Facilities Rental/Lease <br> 6 Computer,Office Equip/Supplies/Svc Maint <br> 7 Outside Services \& Experts <br> 8 Other Office <br> Status of Women Advisory Committee <br> Associate to the President ${ }^{2}$ <br> S\&E Institutional Research <br> S\&E IMPAC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |  | \$ | 2,000 | \$ |  | \$ | 2,000 |  | \$ | 2,000 | \$ |  | \$ | 2,000 |
|  |  | \$ | 71,954 | \$ |  | \$ | 71,954 |  | \$ | 74,454 | \$ |  | \$ | 74,454 |  | \$ | 2,500 | \$ |  | \$ | 2,500 |
|  |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |
|  |  | \$ | 89,142 | \$ |  | \$ | 89,142 |  | \$ | 94,142 | \$ |  | \$ | 94,142 |  | \$ | 5,000 | \$ |  | \$ | 5,000 |
|  |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |
|  |  | \$ | 20,151 | \$ |  | \$ | 20,151 |  | \$ | 22,151 | \$ |  | \$ | 22,151 |  | \$ | 2,000 | \$ |  | \$ | 2,000 |
|  |  | \$ | 37,417 | \$ |  | \$ | 37,417 |  | \$ | 37,417 | \$ |  | \$ | 37,417 |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |
|  |  | \$ | 197,625 | \$ |  | \$ | 197,625 |  | \$ | 218,625 | \$ |  | \$ | 218,625 |  | \$ | 21,000 | \$ |  | \$ | 21,000 |
|  |  | \$ | 3,150 | \$ |  | \$ | 3,150 |  | \$ | 3,150 | \$ |  | \$ | 3,150 |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |
|  |  | \$ | 20,500 | \$ |  | \$ | 20,500 |  | \$ | 20,500 | \$ |  | \$ | 20,500 |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |
|  |  | \$ | 17,500 | \$ |  | \$ | 17,500 |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | $(17,500)$ | \$ |  | \$ | $(17,500)$ |
|  |  | \$ | 10,000 | \$ |  | \$ | 10,000 |  | \$ | 150,000 | \$ |  | \$ | 150,000 |  | \$ | 140,000 | \$ |  | \$ | 140,000 |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | 467,439 | \$ |  | \$ | 467,439 |  | \$ | 622,439 | \$ |  | \$ | 622,439 |  | \$ | 155,000 | \$ |  | \$ | 155,000 |
| Personnel \& Operating Costs Before Recharges |  | \$ | 5,193,790 | \$ | - | \$ | 5,193,790 |  | \$ | 5,878,282 | \$ | - | \$ | 5,878,282 |  | \$ | 684,492 | \$ |  | \$ | 684,492 |
| Recharges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recharges-External |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Recharges-UCOP |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |
| Total Personnel \& Operating Costs Net Recharges |  | \$ | 5,193,790 | \$ |  | \$ | 5,193,790 |  | \$ | 5,878,282 | \$ | - | \$ | 5,878,282 |  | \$ | 684,492 | \$ | - | \$ | 684,492 |
| Program Costs \& Fund Flowthru Program Detail |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |
| Grand Total | 36.00 | \$ | 5,193,790 | \$ |  | \$ | 5,193,790 | 37.00 | \$ | 5,878,282 | \$ |  | \$ | 5,878,282 | 1.00 | \$ | 684,492 | \$ |  | \$ | 684,492 |

${ }^{1}$ Salaries and Benefits for the President's Immediate Office reflects a net reduction of two FTE and $(\$ 55,039)$ from the Appropriations Proposal submitted in March. This includes the
elimination of an Office Assistant and an Administrative Assistant. In addition, a vacant Administrative Analyst has been rebudgeted as a Principal Administrative Analyst.
Under Operating Expenses, an additional line item has been added for the Associate to the President at $\$ 20,500$ which will be funded from Searles Funds. This amount has been and adopted by the Regents' Committee on Compensation at the July 17, 2008 meeting.

# REGENTS' DIRECT REPORTS 

Office of the Secretary and Chief of Staff
Office of the Treasurer
Office of General Counsel
Ethics, Compliance and Audit Services

# Secretary and Chief of Staff to the Regents Diane M. Griffiths, Secretary and Chief of the Staff 

Mission \& Functions

## Mission

The Secretary and Chief of Staff to the Regents functions as the primary liaison between the Regents and the University administration. The office works directly with the Board Chair and with other Regents on a variety of projects and special initiatives.

## Functions

- The Secretary and Chief of Staff directs a multitude of research projects and analyses, and oversees planning efforts. The office also handles official records of the University and is responsible for planning and staffing all Board and Regental Committee meetings.
- Facilitates effective governance, including long-range planning, communication and problem-solving on significant issues and challenges facing the Regents.
- Ensures that the Board's activities comply with all pertinent legal guidelines and conform to sound governance practices, including recommending change as needed to comply with developing legal requirements and best practices.
- Ensures development of an on-going orientation program for newly appointed and current Regents to provide an understanding of Regental roles and responsibilities as well as new developments in the University which relate to the duties and conduct of the Regents.
- Identifies policy needs and provides objective analysis to assist the Regents in performing their fiduciary responsibility as well as to enhance understanding of key issues and improve long-range decision-making.
- Disseminates to the Regents updates on developments in legislation or policy that relate to the work of the Regents and works with the Regents on appropriate responses thereto.
- Provides a full range of administrative support to the Board, the Chair and committee chairs in planning, preparing and conducting Board and committee meetings and events.
- Participates in planning future Board and committee meetings with focus on Regental priorities, long-range planning, and compliance with legal and policy mandates.
- Administers the annual operating budget for the Board.
- Informs and advises the Regents and the administration on procedures and Board policies.


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

The Secretary Chief of Staff to the Regents has reduced its budget of unrestricted funds by $\$ 397,860$ or $10.80 \%$, principally through the elimination of 1.00 FTE (Committee Analyst, MSP IV) at $\$ 130,075$ and by reducing Operating Expenses and Support costs by $\$ 267,785$. Within Operating Expenses and Support costs, savings are anticipated in Board, Committee and related meeting costs, transportation services, professional organization participation, Student Regent recruitment costs, and contributions to campus events.

Based on an analysis of current and prior year Board meeting costs, UCLA and UCSF / Mission Bay provide the most cost effective locations for holding Board meetings. As such, the FY0910 proposed budget assumes that four Board meetings will be held at UCSF / Mission Bay and two will be held at UCLA. If different meeting sites are ultimately selected, a supplemental appropriation may be requested to offset any additional costs.
University of California Office of the President
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## Office of the Treasurer Marie N. Berggren, Vice President

Mission \& Functions

The Office of the Treasurer of The Regents manages the University of California's retirement, endowment, and cash assets under the policies, guidelines, and performance benchmarks established by The Regents. The Office's mission is to implement those policies and guidelines by selecting, executing, and monitoring investment strategies designed to add value over the benchmarks within a risk controlled framework. The Office adheres to high ethical as well as professional standards in serving the financial management needs of its constituency. As of June 30, 2008, the Treasurer's Office managed over $\$ 66$ billion in total assets.

The three main functions of the Office include:

- Investment Management - The investment funds managed include UCRP, DCP, Endowment Funds and STIP
- Investment Risk Management - Ensures funds managed do not expose the University to potential or unexpected losses beyond the Regents' risk tolerance level
- Investment Services - Investment Services' structure includes Operations, Information Systems, Business Management, and Communications. The Investment Services function provides uniquely qualified staff whose support is efficiently integrated into both the Investment Management and Risk Management functions


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

The Office of the Treasurer has increased its budget of restricted funds by $\$ 1$ million or $5.4 \%$ over FY 2008-09. The total budget is in restricted funding from the Asset Management fund which is comprised of STIP, Endowment and UCRP assessments.

Salary related net increases largely pertain to:

- Budgeting of approved vacant positions and related benefits in 2008-09 was for a partial year. Budget for 2009-10 is for a full year
- Increases (including related benefits) due to the filling of vacant positions as well as various salary adjustments

Non-Salary operating expenses have increased $\$ 173,000$ or $2.2 \%$ over last fiscal year. Some of the major changes include:

- Decrease ( $\$ 1.3$ million, $-17 \%$ ) mainly due to RFP for custody and performance analytics that yielded a significant reduction of fees from our custody bank
- Increase Legal Fees ( $\$ 542,000,7 \%$ ); new asset classes will require more extensive legal review for agreements, partnerships, closing documents, etc
- Increase Outside Services \& Experts (\$479,000, 6\%); various 3rd party systems and online research vendors have scheduled increases, as well as new services being acquired
- Increase in Travel $(\$ 393,000,5 \%)$ as due diligence meetings are planned to better monitor manager performance and more site visits are planned due to new asset classes being ramped up
University of California Office of the President
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| Department: Treasurer's Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salary \& Benefits by Functional Unit | REVISED FY 2008-09 Budget After Mid Year Reductions |  |  |  |  |  |  | PROPOSED FY 2009-10 Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  | ADJUSTMENTS against FY 2008-09 Budget to derive FY 2009-10 Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FTE | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Costs |  | FTE | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Costs |  | FTE <br> 0.00 | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Costs |  |
| 1 Immediate Office of the Chief Investment Officer | 2.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 631,880 | \$ | 631,880 | 2.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 648,659 | \$ | 648,659 |  | \$ |  | \$ | 16,779 | \$ | 16,779 |
| 2 Public Equity | 3.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 527,396 | \$ | 527,396 | 5.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 994,233 | \$ | 994,233 | 2.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 466,837 | \$ | 466,837 |
| 3 Fixed Income Investments | 1.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 362,791 | \$ | 362,791 | 1.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 372,425 | \$ | 372,425 | 0.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 9,634 | \$ | 9,634 |
| 4 Fixed Income Function | 8.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 1,849,282 | \$ | 1,849,282 | 9.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,059,322 | \$ | 2,059,322 | 1.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 210,040 | \$ | 210,040 |
| 5 Absolute Returns | 3.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 585,540 | \$ | 585,540 | 4.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 758,385 | \$ | 758,385 | 1.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 172,845 | \$ | 172,845 |
| 6 Private Equity | 4.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 810,768 | \$ | 810,768 | 5.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,072,459 | \$ | 1,072,459 | 1.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 261,691 | \$ | 261,691 |
| 7 Real Assets | 3.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 697,684 | \$ | 697,684 | 4.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 910,435 | \$ | 910,435 | 1.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 212,751 | \$ | 212,751 |
| 8 Risk Management | 5.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 852,024 | \$ | 852,024 | 5.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 874,649 | \$ | 874,649 | 0.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 22,625 | \$ | 22,625 |
| 9 Immediate Office of the Associate CIO | 33.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 4,619,699 | \$ | 4,619,699 | 27.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,490,959 | \$ | 3,490,959 | -6.00 | \$ |  | \$ | $(1,128,740)$ | \$ | (1,128,740) |
| 10 Misc. Salary Related Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 589,744 | \$ | 589,744 | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 589,744 | \$ | 589,744 |
| Subtotal | 62.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 10,937,064 | \$ | 10,937,064 | 62.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 11,771,270 | \$ | 11,771,270 | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 834,206 | \$ | 834,206 |
| Operating Expenses and Support Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Consultants and Professional Services |  | \$ | - | \$ | 453,137 | \$ | 453,137 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 228,000 | \$ | 228,000 |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(225,137)$ | \$ | $(225,137)$ |
| 2 Meetings \& Related Costs |  | \$ |  | \$ | 4,295 | \$ | 4,295 |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(4,295)$ | \$ | $(4,295)$ |
| 3 Legal Costs |  | \$ |  | \$ | 268,341 | \$ | 268,341 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 810,000 | \$ | 810,000 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 541,659 | \$ | 541,659 |
| 4 Travel Related |  | \$ |  | \$ | 287,239 | \$ | 287,239 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 680,000 | \$ | 680,000 |  | \$ |  | \$ | 392,761 | \$ | 392,761 |
| 5 Utilities and Space Facilities Rental/Lease |  | \$ |  | \$ | 614,497 | \$ | 614,497 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 762,000 | \$ | 762,000 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 147,503 | \$ | 147,503 |
| 6 Computer/Office Equip/Supplies/Svc Maint |  | \$ |  | \$ | 1,463,208 | \$ | 1,463,208 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 170,000 | \$ | 170,000 |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(1,293,208)$ | \$ | $(1,293,208)$ |
| 7 Outside Services \& Experts |  | \$ |  | \$ | 4,304,971 | \$ | 4,304,971 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 4,784,000 | \$ | 4,784,000 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 479,029 | \$ | 479,029 |
| 8 Other Office |  | \$ |  | \$ | 279,848 | \$ | 279,848 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 415,000 | \$ | 415,000 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 135,152 | \$ | 135,152 |
| Subtotal |  | \$ |  | \$ | 7,675,536 | \$ | 7,675,536 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 7,849,000 | \$ | 7,849,000 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 173,464 | \$ | 173,464 |
| Personnel and Operating Costs Before Recharges |  | \$ | - | \$ | 18,612,600 | \$ | 18,612,600 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 19,620,270 | \$ | 19,620,270 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 1,007,670 | \$ | 1,007,670 |
| Recharges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recharges-External |  | \$ |  |  | - | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| Recharges-UCOP |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Personnel and Operating Costs Net of Recharges |  | \$ | - | \$ | 18,612,600 | \$ | 18,612,600 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 19,620,270 | \$ | 19,620,270 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 1,007,670 | \$ | 1,007,670 |
| Program Costs and Fund Flowthru Identify Program/function |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Subtotal |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Grand Total | 62.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 18,612,600 | \$ | 18,612,600 | 62.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 19,620,270 | \$ | 19,620,270 | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,007,670 | \$ | 1,007,670 |

Note: FY 2009-10 budget does not include a projected $\$ 3$ million for the office's Annual Incentive Plan (AIP). Includes $\$ 303,707$ estimate for salary adjustments

## Office of General Counsel <br> Charles F. Robinson, General Counsel and Vice President, Legal Affairs

Mission \& Functions

## Mission

The Office of General Counsel is responsible for supporting the activities of The Regents, the President and the Chancellors and their staffs, by helping integrate legal requirements and legal opportunities into their strategic planning and business administration, and by providing them sound, timely and practical legal advice. The office is also charged with advocating and protecting the University's interests in multiple forums and through various legal, regulatory, administrative and political processes; to structure and document the University's business relationships with outside parties; and to help manage the University's legal and other business risks by, among other means, facilitating compliance with internal and external rules and policies

## Functions

- Providing advice and support to the Board of Regents, the Office of the Secretary and Chief of Staff of The Regents, to the other Principle Officers of The Regents, and to the President;
- Managing, planning for, and supporting the provision of legal services throughout the University, including oversight of 7 resident counsel, and the retention and oversight of all outside counsel;
- Providing system-wide specialist advice, counsel and representation in the areas of court, regulatory and administrative litigation and other types of dispute resolution;
- Providing general advice and counsel in support of the University's administration of the Lawrence Berkeley Nation Laboratory and oversight, through its representation on the LLP Boards, of the Los Alamos and Lawrence Livermore laboratories. Activities in this area include serving as Corporate Secretary for the Los Alamos and Livermore laboratory boards.

Additional Functions include providing system-wide specialist advice and support for:

- The University's health sciences enterprise;
- The human resources and employee benefits function, including advice and counsel on retirement, and health and welfare benefit plans; on labor relations, including contract negotiations;
- The area of environmental regulation, including preparing and reviewing Long Range Development Plans, Environmental Impact Reports, and other environmental documents;
- The University's extensive construction projects portfolio;
- The University's various business ventures and funding arrangements with outside parties;
- The University's intellectual property assets;
- The academic community and the teaching and research activities of the University;


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

The FY0809 Budget for the Office of General Counsel (OGC) included approximately $\$ 4$ million for Outside Legal costs in unrestricted funds. Because these costs are reimbursed through recharges, they should have been counted as restricted funds. As such, the base for OGC's target reduction has been reduced from $\$ 15$ million to $\$ 11$ million, with a restated $10 \%$ target of $\$ 1.1$ million.

After excluding the reclassification of the $\$ 4$ million for Outside Legal Costs, the Office of General Counsel has reduced its budget of unrestricted funds by $\$ 1,605,960$ or $14.6 \%$. The bulk of the reduction is attributable to the net elimination of 6.60 FTEs. A duplicate MSP V/Attorney position has been removed, an Attorney's salary has been removed because it is reimbursed by UCSF, and several positions or portions thereof have been transferred to restricted funding. The total budget (unrestricted and restricted together) has decreased by $\$ 801,377$ or $4.9 \%$.
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| Department: Office of the General Counsel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PROPOSED FY 2009-10 Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  | ADJUSTMENTS against FY 2008-09 Budget to derive FY 2009-10 Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salary \& Benefits by Functional Unit | REVISED FY 2008-09 Budget After Mid Year Reductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FTE | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Costs |  |  | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Costs |  |  | Unrestricted |  | Restricted |  | Total Costs |  |
| 1 Immediate Office of the VP \& General Counsel | 2.00 | \$ | 588,490 | \$ | - | \$ | 588,490 | 2.00 | \$ | 589,278 | \$ | - | \$ | 589,278 | $0.00$ | \$ | 788 | \$ | - | \$ | 788 |
| 2 Educational (Academic) Affairs \& Campus Servic | 4.00 | \$ | 889,304 | \$ | - | \$ | 889,304 | 5.00 | \$ | 867,191 | \$ | 183,997 | \$ | 1,051,188 | 1.00 | \$ | $(22,113)$ | \$ | 183,997 | \$ | 161,884 |
| 3 Health, Law, \& Medical Center Services | 7.00 | \$ | 1,440,438 | \$ | 242,655 | \$ | 1,683,093 | 6.00 | \$ | 1,209,614 | \$ | 242,655 | \$ | 1,452,269 | -1.00 | \$ | $(230,824)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(230,824)$ |
| 4 Litigation, Labor, \& Employment Law | 17.00 | \$ | 2,129,987 | \$ | 1,349,569 | \$ | 3,479,556 | 16.00 | \$ | 1,782,816 | \$ | 1,439,098 | \$ | 3,221,914 | -1.00 | \$ | $(347,171)$ | \$ | 89,529 | \$ | $(257,642)$ |
| 5 Business Transactions, Intellectual Prop \& Land | 18.00 | \$ | 2,331,981 | \$ | 1,225,728 | \$ | 3,557,709 | 18.00 | \$ | 2,207,377 | \$ | 1,391,359 | \$ | 3,598,736 | 0.00 | \$ | $(124,604)$ | \$ | 165,631 | \$ | 41,027 |
| 6 Land Use | 0.00 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | 0.00 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| 7 Governance \& Compliance | 3.00 | \$ | 622,895 | \$ | - | \$ | 622,895 | 3.00 | \$ | 656,383 | \$ | - | \$ | 656,383 | 0.00 | \$ | 33,488 | \$ | - | \$ | 33,488 |
| 8 Financial \& Business Services | 41.50 | \$ | 2,575,557 | \$ | 547,583 | \$ | 3,123,140 | 35.90 | \$ | 1,491,492 | \$ | 1,384,719 | \$ | 2,876,211 | -5.60 | \$ | $(1,084,065)$ | \$ | 837,136 | \$ | $(246,929)$ |
| Subtotal | 92.50 | \$ | 10,578,652 | \$ | 3,365,535 | \$ | 13,944,187 | 85.90 | \$ | 8,804,151 | \$ | 4,641,828 | \$ | 13,445,979 | -6.60 | \$ | $(1,774,501)$ | \$ | 1,276,293 | \$ | $(498,208)$ |
| Operating Expenses \& Support Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Consultants \& Professional Services |  | \$ | 52,722 | \$ | 257,410 | \$ | 310,132 |  | \$ | 52,722 | \$ | 72,278 | \$ | 125,000 |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(185,132)$ | \$ | $(185,132)$ |
| 2 Meetings \& Related Costs |  | \$ | 6,466 | \$ | 31,568 | \$ | 38,034 |  | \$ | 6,466 | \$ | 5,534 | \$ | 12,000 |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(26,034)$ | \$ | $(26,034)$ |
| 3 Legal Costs |  | \$ | 4,028,997 | \$ | 19,670,988 | \$ | 23,699,985 |  | \$ |  | \$ | 23,699,985 | \$ | 23,699,985 |  | \$ | $(4,028,997)$ | \$ | 4,028,997 | \$ |  |
| 4 Travel Related |  | \$ | 66,538 | \$ | 324,862 | \$ | 391,400 |  | \$ | 66,538 | \$ | 235,682 | \$ | 302,220 |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(89,180)$ | \$ | $(89,180)$ |
| 5 Utilities \& Space Facilities Rental/Lease |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| 6 Computer,Office Equip/Supplies/Svc Maint |  | \$ | 75,280 | \$ | 367,543 | \$ | 442,823 |  | \$ | 75,280 | \$ | 364,720 | \$ | 440,000 |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(2,823)$ | \$ | $(2,823)$ |
| 7 Outside Services \& Experts |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| 8 Other Office |  | \$ | 214,655 | \$ | 1,048,021 | \$ | 1,262,676 |  | \$ | 383,196 | \$ | 879,480 | \$ | 1,262,676 |  | \$ | 168,541 | \$ | $(168,541)$ | \$ |  |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | 4,444,658 | \$ | 21,700,392 | \$ | 26,145,050 |  | \$ | 584,202 | \$ | 25,257,679 | \$ | 25,841,881 |  | \$ | $(3,860,456)$ | \$ | 3,557,287 | \$ | $(303,169)$ |
| Personnel \& Operating Costs Before Recharges |  | \$ | 15,023,310 | \$ | 25,065,927 | \$ | 40,089,237 |  | \$ | 9,388,353 | \$ | 29,899,507 | \$ | 39,287,860 |  | \$ | $(5,634,957)$ | \$ | 4,833,580 | \$ | $(801,377)$ |
| Recharges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recharges-External |  | \$ | - | \$ | (14,693,991) | \$ | $(14,693,991)$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(14,693,991)$ | \$ | $(14,693,991)$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| Recharges-UCOP |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(9,005,994)$ | \$ | $(9,005,994)$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(9,005,994)$ | \$ | (9,005,994) |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(23,699,985)$ | \$ | $(23,699,985)$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(23,699,985)$ | \$ | $(23,699,985)$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Personnel \& Operating Costs Net of Recharges |  | \$ | 15,023,310 | \$ | 1,365,942 | \$ | 16,389,252 |  | \$ | 9,388,353 | \$ | 6,199,522 | \$ | 15,587,875 |  | \$ | $(5,634,957)$ | \$ | 4,833,580 | \$ | $(801,377)$ |
| Program Costs \& Fund Flowthru <br> Program Detail |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| Subtotal |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Grand Total | 92.50 | \$ | 15,023,310 | \$ | 1,365,942 | \$ | 16,389,252 | 85.90 | \$ | 9,388,353 | \$ | 6,199,522 | \$ | 15,587,875 | -6.60 | \$ | $(5,634,957)$ | \$ | 4,833,580 | \$ | $(801,377)$ |

## Ethics, Compliance and Audit Services <br> Sheryl Vacca, Senior Vice President

## Mission \& Functions

System-wide Ethics, Compliance and Audit Services provides direction, guidance and resource references to each University of California entity on how to optimize ethical and compliant behavior and assure that proper controls are in place to mitigate risk. Its goal is to help the University perform its public responsibilities in an ethics-based environment with assurance that controls are in place to assist management in maintaining compliance with University policies, procedures and/or applicable legal requirements; and in which the public trust is mandated. Its major functions include:

- Provide oversight for the system-wide ethics and compliance program and internal audit programs to coordinate, inform, disseminate, and provide reporting mechanisms
- Provide oversight, investigative resources and direction for receipt, retention, and treatment of whistleblower and other complaints submitted by any party, internal or external to the organization, other than litigation
- Ensure the Regents, President and senior management are fully aware of major compliance and/or lack of controls issues through review of recommendations, assessing management's response to recommendations and findings and by recommending enforcement action
- Conduct the University's internal audit program (audits, advisory services, and investigations)
- Provide education/training materials and compliance policies through a general repository and mechanisms for delivery
- Advance the discipline of internal audit and compliance in higher education, research and healthcare by promoting cross-institutional sharing of best practices, publishing and speaking (at national conferences on compliance, internal audit and ethics)
- Provide a mechanism for continuously assessing the effectiveness of that environment in assuring that all system activities are conducted with integrity and compliant behavior


## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

System-wide Ethics, Compliance and Audit Services Office developed in 08 with minimal budget dollars for systemwide efforts. Additionally, positions needed were added with current FTE levels, ie: Privacy Officer and other workload assumed. In 09, the budget was to increase with needed positions by $17 \%$. Department growth and requirements increased with a budget neutral effect although regulatory requirements and
control assurance requirements continue to increase due to economy and reduced resources. Therefore, $22 \%$ reductions will be incurred from originally proposed in 07 with a 09 reduction of actual unrestricted funds by about $\$ 0.25$ million or $5 \%$, principally through the reduction of non-salary activities, ie: entertainment, travel and use of outside services.
University of California Office of the President
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## ACADEMIC SENATE

## Academic Senate <br> Martha Winnacker, Executive Director

## Mission \& Functions

## Mission

The Academic Senate is mandated by The Regents, with their approval, to exercise direct control over UC's central academic activities such as admissions policy, certificates, degrees, and approval of courses and curricula. The Academic Senate is also authorized to: advise the President on the University Budget and on matters concerning the administration of the libraries; and approve the publication of manuscripts by the University Press. In addition, in exercising its role under shared governance, the Senate provides advice to the University's administration on a broad range of issues relating to UC's mission of instruction, research, and public service.

## Functions

The functions of the Senate are directed tied to the authority delegated to the Senate by the Board of Regents and accomplished through the Assembly, the Academic Council and the Standing Committees of the Assembly. The work of the Senate, through its committees of campus and at large members, is to forge common policy from 10 different campus perspectives: where 10 campuses become one University. The Senate office provides high-level analytical and staff support to the work of the committees in every significant policy domain of the University. Examples include but not limited to:

- Undergraduate admissions, conditions for admission and admissions policies are reviewed and established by the Board of Admissions and Relations with Schools (BOARS).
- Graduate admissions are monitored by the Coordinating Committee on Graduate Affairs (CCGA). CCGA is also responsible for the approval and periodic review of all graduate programs, including professional programs.
- Conditions for undergraduate degrees and regulations relating to the undergraduate education program are established and reviewed by the University Committee on Educational Policy (UCEP).
- Policies and procedures for determining the membership of faculties, and more importantly, policies for the advancement of faculty members, are under the jurisdiction of the University Committee on Academic Personnel (OCAP).
- The University Committee on Faculty Welfare (UCFW) provides advice to the administration on benefit programs and other welfare issues affecting faculty.
- The source of the Senate's view on the budget is the responsibility of the University Committee on Planning and Budget (UCPB).

In order to accomplish its mission, the Assembly, the Academic Council and seventeen (17) Standing Committees of the Assembly are supported by an administrative office composed of 10 FTE: and executive director, an associate director, 5 analysts, and 3 administrative assistants.

## Significant Budget Changes and Proposals

Using the FY0809 mid-year budget as the base, the Academic Senate has reduced annual projected expenditures of unrestricted funds by $\$ 214,879$ or $10.06 \%$ principally through decreasing non-payroll categories for Meetings and Related Costs ( $\$ 1,500$ ), Outside Services \& Experts $(\$ 1,400)$, and Faculty Compensation / Reimbursements $(\$ 213,237)$. In addition, for FY0910 there has been a small increase to Travel of $\$ 1,258$.
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## APPENDIX 6

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In the spring of 2007, the Board of Regents and the president of the University of California undertook a major effort to assess the organization and operations of the university's finance and administrative functions, centering on the Office of the President (UCOP). The initial diagnostic phase of that work revealed significant dissatisfaction with UCOP's performance, as well as concerns about its role in areas where it is perceived to add limited value. A major cause of these problems has been a lack of clarity about UCOP's mission and appropriate role, which has been further blurred by the Regents' active intervention in a number of areas, as they have sought to carry out their fiduciary obligations. A key conclusion of the diagnostic was that it is critical to restore confidence in UCOP, examining institutional roles and authorities to determine where reaffirmations or changes need to be made in administrative governance.

In response, a Working Group ${ }^{1}$ was formed to make recommendations regarding the respective roles, decisionmaking authority and accountabilities of the president, Regents and chancellors, and principles for redesign of UCOP. This report is intended to (1) provide recommendations for the reaffirmation / realignment of presidential roles vis-à-vis the Regents and chancellors; ${ }^{2}$ (2) provide design guidance for reconfiguring UCOP and systemwide support services; and (3) provide preliminary input for reappraising Regental interaction with the university administration. The key specific proposed changes relative to the current state are summarized on pages 18-19.

## High-level Roles and Interfaces of the Regents, President and Chancellors

The Working Group believes the university will be best administered by reaffirming the three complementary spheres of authority and responsibility, delineated as follows.

The Board of Regents provides fiduciary oversight and broad policy determination. As the fiduciaries of the university, the Regents' principal purpose is to sustain and enhance the university as a thriving institution. The Regents' primary administrative responsibilities are to (a) establish general university policies consistent with the mission of the state's public, land-grant research university; (b) provide direction on the university's priorities; (c) hire, support and evaluate the performance of the president; (d) delegate, with appropriate oversight, the authority for the management of the university to its executive officers; and (e) approve major operating decisions as opposed to maintaining detailed involvement in transactional matters.

The president provides executive leadership of the university as a whole. The president has primary responsibility for managing the activities and standards that are central to the mission of the institution and essential to the idea of one university. Maintaining appropriate oversight, the president delegates to the chancellors and other executives the duties of administering the various units of the university. The president's responsibilities can be viewed in four dimensions:

- Academic leader of the institution: Defining the vision for the university, and leading the system in developing and executing plans in support of that vision
- Chief executive officer: Leading the administration of the university, especially selecting, supporting and evaluating the performance of chancellors, representing the campuses to the Regents, and establishing a structure to manage the university's affairs
- Primary external advocate: Promoting the university's interests and managing its reputation with external stakeholders
- Guardian of the public trust: Ensuring legal and ethical compliance, managing system risk and providing information regarding university activities

[^3]
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## The chancellors play a dual role in providing executive leadership to their respective campuses and supporting the president in accomplishing universitywide goals. Their primary administrative

 responsibilities are to (a) provide leadership in defining and accomplishing the campus vision, goals and plans; (b) select, support and evaluate campus senior administrators; (c) represent the campus within the University and in the external community; (d) assist the president in establishing and then accomplishing systemwide goals; and (e) ensure that the campus administration adheres to policies and practices in compliance with Regental and presidential policies; and (f) exercise sound stewardship of state resources.
## Design Principles for Reconfiguring UCOP

The Office of the President has two broad functions. Its primary function is to support the president in executive leadership of the university as a whole (see above), and to assist the president in providing information and analyses to the Regents of the University so that their responsibilities may be effectively achieved. Its secondary function is to provide various services to the wider university community. The Working Group has developed a general set of principles for assessing and improving the performance of these functions, in order to make them more efficient, decisive, responsive and transparent.

The first assessment principle is whether a given activity needs to be performed at UCOP. If not, it should be delegated, consistent with a general preference for responsible relocation of authority to the chancellors and campuses, or eliminated if the activity is unnecessary. Second, primary UCOP activities supporting presidential leadership of the entire institution should undergo a thorough review, during which major processes and departmental boundaries must be reexamined to eliminate unnecessary work, simplify structure where possible, clarify decision-rights, specify competencies required for key roles, identify critical systems deficiencies, and increase responsiveness to customers or stakeholders. Third, activities generally classifiable as universitywide support services should be reconfigured where feasible into one of two formats-(a) systemwide Service Centers, i.e., dedicated business units under accountable managers, whose mission is to provide high-quality services in the most cost-effective manner possible; or (b) Coordinated Local Functions, where substantial benefit accrues from alignment or coordination of consultative bodies across campuses, with integrated central support from UCOP where needed.

For both Service Centers and Coordinated Local Functions, incentives and accountability mechanisms must be redesigned to meet cost-benefit tests, designate knowledgeable administrators or bodies to be responsible, and ensure that the interests of the system as a whole are properly represented. Service Centers and Coordinated Local Functions could well be managed at a campus or by a third-party vendor, rather than at UCOP-again, the preference is to locate such entities pragmatically as close to the source of activity or relevant, costeffective expertise as is practical and responsible.

Crosscutting these design principles is the need to pair delegation of authority with appropriate oversight responsibility. UCOP's oversight role recently has too often been one of gatekeeper, approving (or denying) campus proposed actions; similarly, the Regents have felt an understandable fiduciary need to increase their oversight activities, which has caused them to enter into considerable transactional detail. Motivations aside, the impact of fastidious oversight has significantly slowed decisive decision-making at the university. The Working Group believes that a superior form of oversight can be accomplished at UCOP by instituting an effective performance management system, combined with targeted auditing to ensure compliance with policies. A system of targeted review / approval of defined major decisions by the president and (where appropriate) the Regents can complement the oversight system, without losing the substantial benefits of operating flexibility.

## Regental-administration Interaction

To allow the Regents to fulfill their policy-setting and fiduciary roles, and to withdraw with confidence from the transactional oversight detail just mentioned, they will need reliable and timely information that restores their confidence in the integrity of UCOP administrative processes. The Regents legitimately require-and the administration also urgently needs-basic systems to support human-resources, oversight, compliance and
risk-management procedures. The systems required to do this are expensive, long-term investments, but they are properly matched to long-term administrative needs of the university, and they are needed now.

In addition, to enhance clarity and impartiality of communication, the Working Group believes that the president should be the authoritative sole official channel of communication between the Board of Regents and the administrative structure of the university. This important formality should be supplemented with specific protocols to facilitate normal interaction between Regents and administrators.

## Mechanisms Required for Successful, Lasting Implementation

Inasmuch as some of the Working Group's recommendations have been discussed in previous administrative reform efforts, it is useful to ask how, this time, success in implementation can be made more likely and durable. The Working Group emphasizes the following six mechanisms as key success factors:

- True performance management systems: Direct connection of authority, responsibility and results via clear statements of expectations, alignment of incentives, formal performance evaluation, professional support mechanisms, clear consequences, and planned succession programs;
- Two-way accountability systems: Campus accountability to Regents and president, coupled with UCOP accountability to the campuses for the quality and cost-effectiveness of services;
- Clear decision rights: Specification of decision rights (e.g., right to make, be consulted on, ratify, or be notified of decisions) for processes at UCOP and the campuses;
- Clear, authoritative system of communication between the Regents and the president: Practical protocols to guide communication between Regents and senior administrators, both at UCOP and on the campuses, on the basis of a single authoritative channel through the president to the Regents;
- Formal and responsible channels of communication between the campuses and UCOP: Two-way flow of information between the campuses and UCOP - a truly consultative management practice rather than a top-down 'headquarters'-style communication flow;
- Modernization of key information and management systems and processes: Investment in modern human resources and enterprise risk management systems, to support both Regental and administrative needs for timely, accurate data.


## Recommendations to Governance Committee

The Working Group recommends that the Governance Committee:

- Reaffirm and endorse the clarification of the roles, high-level decision-rights, responsibilities and accountabilities of the president, Regents and chancellors detailed below;
- Endorse the design principles for reconfiguration of UCOP;
- Support the institution of the six mechanisms for successful implementation of administrative reform; and
- Consider the observations on Regental-administrative interaction as essential to the effective functioning of the Office of the President.


## REPORT OF THE WORKING GROUP ON THE ROLES OF THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

## I. WORKING GROUP CHARGE AND OBJECTIVES OF THIS REPORT

In the spring of 2007, the Board of Regents and the president of the University of California undertook a major effort to assess the organization and operations of the university's finance and administrative functions, centering on the Office of the President (UCOP).

The initial diagnostic phase of that work revealed significant dissatisfaction with UCOP's performance on some critical support functions for the university, as well as concerns about UCOP's involvement in areas where it is perceived to add limited value. The diagnostic work found multiple causes of these problems, all of which have developed over time-lack of clarity about UCOP's mission and appropriate role in the university, significant structural and systems issues, prolonged review processes, and a control, rather than service, orientation. Furthermore, in this context the Regents' fiduciary obligations have caused them to intervene actively in a number of administrative areas, further blurring the lines of responsibility for governance and management in the university. ${ }^{3}$

Notwithstanding the talent and efforts of many committed individuals, it has become apparent that institutional confidence must be restored in the Office of the President. In addition, institutional roles and authorities must be examined to determine where traditional arrangements need to be reaffirmed or where adjustments need to be made. These needs have intensified as the competitive and financial environment of the $21^{\text {st }}$ century has increased pressure upon the university to become more adroit operationally and strategically.

To address these challenges, and in order to enable the university to deliver on its mission effectively and efficiently, a Working Group of senior university leaders ${ }^{4}$ was called together to make recommendations regarding the respective roles, decision-making authority and accountabilities of the president, Regents and chancellors, and principles for redesign of UCOP.

The purpose of this Working Group report to the Governance Committee of the Board of Regents is to:

1. Provide recommendations to ensure clarity about fundamental roles and responsibilities in the administrative governance of the University of California:

- The role of the president, including high-level decision-rights, responsibilities, and accountability;
- The roles of the Regents and chancellors with respect to the president, including their high-level decision-rights, responsibilities, and accountabilities;

2. Provide design guidance for reconfiguring UCOP and restoring its credibility:

- Principles to clarify UCOP activities and streamline processes, address issues of trust, reconfigure activities where necessary to ensure added value to the campuses and capture savings opportunities, and create a performance- and service-oriented administrative culture;
- Identification of mechanisms, including institutional and systems reforms, needed for successful implementation.

3. Provide a basis from which the Regents can regain confidence in UCOP and reengage with a primarily supervisory role.

Importantly, this report does not purport to describe the roles and responsibilities of the Regents and chancellors except as they pertain to their administrative interfaces with the president, nor does it comment on or seek to alter the role of the Academic Senate. It also does not address the roles of the General Counsel,

[^4]Chief Audit and Compliance Officer, and Chief Investment Officer of the University, who share reporting relationships to the Regents and to the president.

The report is structured as follows:

- Context and fundamental principles (section II below);
- High-level roles of the Regents, president and chancellors; and Four Dimensions of the president's Role, together with the authorities, responsibilities, and general decision-rights at the interfaces (sections III and IV);
- Design principles for reconfiguring UCOP and-since many issues raised in this effort have been common to previous investigations ${ }^{5}$ - key mechanisms for making the reconfiguration successful (sections V and VI);
- Summary of proposed changes (VII).


## II. INSTITUTIONAL CONTEXT AND CORE ORGANIZATIONAL PRINCIPLES

In this section, much will be familiar. However, the Working Group believes that in reappraising an institution as complex as the University of California for the purpose of constructive reform, it is crucial to start from a shared understanding of fundamentals.

## Institutional Context of the University

The Working Group has based its deliberations on these fundamental characteristics of the university:

- The University of California is the designated research university within the public higher-education system of the state of California.
- Teaching, research and public service by the faculty and students constitute the purpose and value of the institution.
- The university is an institution in the land grant tradition, with responsibilities to the people of the state of California for advancing their welfare as well as pursuing scholarly and scientific inquiry.
- The university can be considered as a single federation of campuses with distinctive strengths and a common aspiration for excellence.
- The university operates under shared governance by the Regents, president and Academic Senate, with ongoing consultation concerning its fundamental policies and priorities.


## Core Organizational Design Principles

The Working Group has approached the reexamination of roles and responsibilities and reconfiguration of UCOP with the following core organizational design principles in mind:

- The purpose of the governance and organizational structure of the university is to enable the effective and efficient provision of the university's teaching, research and service mission.
- The university is best served when headed by a strong president, with a lean, well-focused support staff, who leads the university decisively to implement systemwide strategic priorities.
- The university's structure requires striking a sound balance between campus autonomy and the interests of the institution as a whole:
- The university's mission is carried out at the ten campuses, motivating delegation of decisionmaking authority to the chancellors and campuses where possible.

[^5]
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- When the goals or needs of the university as a whole arise-e.g., in attaining major systemwide economies of scale or achieving long-range plans-central leadership must assure that the common interest is well defined and strongly supported.
- The chief benefits of good organizational design arise from clarity of roles, congruent authority and responsibility, simplification of structure, transparency of function, and establishment of mutual accountability.
- Beyond reporting relationships, effective structures of administrative authority require:
- Promulgation of regulations that are clear and sufficiently detailed but also allow for the exercise of appropriate local judgment;
- Support from systems that can amply fulfill institutional obligations for the compliant performance of administrative duties.


## III. HIGH-LEVEL ROLES OF THE REGENTS, PRESIDENT AND CHANCELLORS

In governing the University, administrative authority arises from the Regents. It is delegated to the president and in turn is delegated to and exercised day-to-day by the chancellors. This chain of delegation establishes three complementary spheres of administrative authority and responsibility:

- Overall policy determination and fiduciary oversight from the Board of Regents;
- Executive leadership of the university as a whole by the president;
- Executive leadership of the campuses by the chancellors.

The following sub-sections lay out the Working Group's general perspective on roles, key responsibilities and accountability within each of these spheres.

## 1. Role of the Board of Regents

The people of California have a vested interest in maintaining a university to promote the social and economic welfare of the community by providing higher education and the benefits of research within the framework of the Master Plan. Their trusted representatives are the Regents, appointed by the governor as fiduciaries of the university trust independent of political or sectarian influence. As such the Regents' highest concern must be to sustain and enhance the university as a thriving institution and to ensure it can attain the highest levels of excellence, create value for the people of California, achieve the university's plans, manage its funds responsibly, and comply with policies the Regents determine to be in the university's best interests.

In the view of the Working Group the Regents' primary general administrative responsibilities are to:

- Establish general university policies and standards consistent with their best judgment, in exercising their fiduciary role, and consistent with the mission of the state's public, land-grant research university;
- Provide direction regarding the university's priorities and long-range goals;
- Hire and evaluate the performance of the president of the university;
- Delegate authority for the management of the university to its executive officers, and establish appropriate oversight mechanisms to ensure that they:
- Lead the university in achieving its mission of excellence in teaching, research and service;
- Promote and protect the interests of the university externally;
- Manage funds according to the standards established by the Regents;
- Ensure compliance with Regental policies and applicable laws and regulations.
- Support the president and chancellors institutionally and personally in the achievement of the university's mission; and
- Approve major systemwide operating decisions including those above specified high financial or risk thresholds.

The Regents' accountability is broadly to the people of the state, to one another as fiduciary colleagues, and to the president and the faculty, because Regental support of them is vital to the success of the university.

## 2. Role of the University President and UCOP

The Working Group believes in a strong presidency coupled with sound, effective institutional arrangements as the foundation of confidence in the administration of the University of California, and in the value of superior leadership capabilities - both of competence and of style-as the foundation of trust in the president.
Within the University of California structure, the president provides executive leadership for the institution as a whole, with responsibility for managing the university's affairs in accordance with the policies established by the Regents. The president has particular responsibility for managing the activities and standards that are central to the mission of the institution and essential to the idea of one university. Maintaining appropriate oversight, the president delegates to the chancellors and other executives the duties of administering the various units of the university.

The president's responsibilities can be viewed in four dimensions (see Figure 1):

Fig. 1: Dimensions of the Role of the President


- Academic leader of the institution: Defining the vision for the university, and leading the system in developing and executing plans in support of that vision
- Chief executive officer: Leading the administration of the university, especially selecting, supporting, and evaluating the performance of chancellors; representing the campuses and labs to the Regents; and establishing a structure and policies to manage the university's affairs
- Primary external advocate: Promoting the university's interests and managing its reputation with external stakeholders
- Guardian of the public trust: Ensuring legal and ethical compliance, managing system risk, providing information regarding university activities, and assuring accountability to the public concerning the university's conduct

The several dimensions of the role of the president-and their implications for the reciprocal decision-rights and responsibilities at the interfaces with Regents and chancellors-are described in greater detail in the following section.
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The president is specifically accountable to the Regents for his or her performance in office, and broadly to the faculty and students of the university for promoting the success of the university's mission.

## 3. Role of the Chancellors and Campuses

The chancellors play a pivotal dual role in the federal structure into which the University of California has evolved. They are the chief executive officers of their respective campuses. They also are primary colleagues and advisors to the president, playing an indispensable part in helping define and accomplish the goals of the university as a whole.

The chancellors' primary administrative responsibilities are to:

- Provide leadership to the faculty and align the administration in defining and accomplishing campus vision, goals and plans;
- Select, support, and evaluate campus senior administrators;
- Represent the campus to the president, ensuring that he or she understands campus accomplishments, interests and needs-and represent the campus externally, in collaboration with the president where appropriate;
- Assist the president and university administration in establishing and accomplishing key universitywide goals and programs;
- Ensure that campus administration adheres to policies and practices in compliance with Regental and presidential policies; and
- Exercise sound stewardship of state resources.

The chancellors are specifically accountable to the president for campus leadership, administration and performance in accordance with the vision, long-range strategic plan and policies of the University. They are broadly accountable to the faculty and students of their campuses in promoting the success of the institutional mission.

The Working Group feels it important to emphasize that, in each instance of delegation of authority, superiors have responsibility to expend significant time and effort supporting the success of the administrator in question.

## IV. DIMENSIONS OF THE ROLE OF THE PRESIDENT

The Working Group has disaggregated the president's overall role into four major complementary dimensions. Each dimension entails specific responsibilities and high-level decision rights, which in turn have implications for the interfaces with the Regents and the chancellors.

## 1. Academic Leader of the Institution

As leader of the university's educational and research mission, the president:

- Defines and inspires support for the vision of a modern public research university;
- Leads the system in achieving the University's public service obligations, in accordance with Regental priorities:
- The president defines and leads the execution of long-term plans for the university, following policies set by the Regents.
- The president has specific responsibility and authority to develop a program, in consultation with the chancellors, to enlist the campuses to attain universitywide objectives and to hold the chancellors accountable for performance.
- Upholds and promotes educational access, affordability and quality of the university's education and research activities, consistent with the policies and standards set by the Regents.


## 2. Chief Executive Officer

As the university's chief executive, the president:

- Selects and evaluates chancellors and senior administrators of the university administration:
- The president is responsible for selecting, setting expectations for, and evaluating the performance of the chancellors, ${ }^{6}$ to whom is delegated very broad executive authority for the management of campus and laboratory affairs, and from whom is expected both local leadership and key participation in achieving the university's common goals.
- Likewise, the president selects, sets expectations for, supports and evaluates the vice presidents of the university, who directly aid the president in his or her leadership, advocacy, administration and compliance responsibilities.
- The appointments of chancellors and senior administrators of UCOP are reviewed and approved by the Regents.
- Acts as the sole authoritative contact between the Regents and university as a whole, to preserve consistent and reliable administrative communication:
- As chief executive officer, the president is the responsible channel of information and decision-making between the Regents and chancellors and other administrators-e.g., communicating Regental interests, inquiries, requests, and decisions to the chancellors and campuses, and ensuring accurate representation of campus interests, accomplishments and needs from the campuses to the Regents.
- The president has the specific responsibility and authority to develop systems and processes to provide the Regents with accurate information as to the state of the university's operations and capabilities, so that the university can attain its goals-in particular, the proliferation of offices providing official information to the Regents (beyond limited and practical purposes) should be avoided for reasons of both clarity and efficiency, and, where necessary, investments should be undertaken to provide systems support for these functions.
- For practical purposes, the president may establish protocols and processes for communication between the Regents and executive officers (e.g., between Regental committee heads and chancellors or UCOP officials).
- Establishes and administers the university management structure:
- The president oversees management of university-level operations at UCOP and systemwide service provision through support functions to the campuses.
- The president approves major decisions having systemwide implications.
- The Regents monitor the performance of the administrative structure established by the president but do not specify details of the structure.
- Allocates systemwide operating and capital funds:
- The president is ultimately responsible for developing the operating and capital budgets of the campuses, UCOP and other university units, in support of systemwide and campus-level priorities, through a transparent budgetary process.
- The president is also responsible for pursuing state funds on behalf of the university to fund the budgets.
- The chancellors are responsible for participating in the budgeting process and for assuming shared financial responsibility for achieving systemwide priorities.

[^6]- The Regents are responsible for approving the systemwide operating and capital budgets proposed by the president, and for supporting the president in his pursuit of state funding.


## 3. Primary External Advocate

In his or her role as the chief representative of the University of California, the president:

- Champions the value of the University's education, research and service to the public:
- The president leads the communication and advocacy of the value of public higher education, research and the economic and social impact of the university's activities.
- The Regents are responsible for supporting the president in this role and contributing to his or her success by participating in the advocacy program as requested.
- The chancellors are responsible for supporting the president's systemwide communications program and conducting campus communications in a manner consistent with it.
- Manages the University's overall external reputation:
- The president is responsible for fostering, coordinating and supporting institutional, alumni, donor and industry relationships at the university level.
- The chancellors, in addition to managing external relationships locally, are responsible for participating in system-level relationship-building as requested by the president.
- The Regents are responsible for working in concert with the president to develop system-level relationships.
- Represents the University to the state and federal governments:
- The president is responsible for representing the university to the state and federal governments through a governmental relations program that calls upon the resources of the entire university as appropriate.
- The Regents are responsible for participating in the representation of the university to government entities at the request of the president.
- The chancellors rely on the president's leadership to represent their interests to the state and federal governments, and they are responsible for providing campus-level resources in collaboration with the president's governmental relations program.


## 4. Guardian of the Public Trust

As the primary individual charged with safeguarding the reputation of the university, the president

- Sets specific policies and monitors compliance for ethical conduct and proper use of funds:
- Following general policies set by the Regents, the president is responsible for setting compliance policies at a level of specificity that provides for necessary levels of commonality across the system and assurance of appropriate behavior.
- The president is also responsible for putting in place appropriate thresholds for executive decision-making authority at the campuses with respect to compliance, to allow adequate latitude for the exercise of local administrative judgment.
- The Regents set general compliance policies and standards and, by periodic audit using generally accepted approaches, certify performance to appropriate levels.
- The chancellors have specific responsibility for the application of standards, policies and processes articulated by the president and for ensuring their fulfillment at their several institutions.
- Identifies, manages and mitigates financial, administrative and professional risks in order to protect the university system
- The president is responsible for instituting systemwide risk management systems and processes and monitoring universitywide compliance.
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- The Regents are responsible for setting general policies on risk and certifying overall compliance.
- The chancellors are responsible for applying risk-management systems and monitoring compliance at the campuses.
- Provides timely information on university activities in response to appropriate requests
- The president is responsible for identifying systemwide information needs and ensuring that standards, practices and systems meet these needs in a timely and accurate manner in response to legitimate administrative requests for information. To do this, the president must develop and execute plans for appropriate investments and information systems implementations, along with necessary administrative innovations.
- The Regents are responsible for setting general policies and protocols for obtaining the information needed to allow them to fulfill their supervisory and fiduciary obligations.
- The chancellors are responsible for administering the necessary systemwide processes and information systems in the campus environment.


## V. DESIGN PRINCIPLES FOR RECONFIGURING UCOP

The purpose of designing principles for the reconfiguration of UCOP is to clarify where UCOP activities can be streamlined, usefully refocused, relocated to deliver value most efficiently, created where critical gaps exist, or eliminated if they do not add value.

In general, UCOP plays two broad roles. Its primary function is to support the president in executive leadership of the university as a whole (see above), and to assist the president in providing information and analyses to the Regents of the University so that their responsibilities may be effectively achieved.

Over the years, UCOP services supporting the president have gone largely without reexamination as to pertinence or effectiveness; it is appropriate to review them now. At the same time, campus-directed support services have grown to consume a substantial fraction of UCOP's activity. Some of these services have had a compelling rationale to be centralized and provided by UCOP, while others have not; some have demonstrated accountability to their customers in the system, while others have not. As a result, UCOP has become less attentive to its primary role in supporting the president, and its effectiveness as a provider of systemwide services has suffered in the eyes of its customers. In addition, opportunities exist for centralization of administrative functions now carried on by individual campuses that could result in lower costs without sacrificing service quality.

## Principles for Reconfiguring UCOP

The Working Group has been highly aware of the conflicting pressures inherent in organizational reform, including the importance of details, but has deliberately kept its advocacy of redesign principles at a general level.

The first question to be asked and answered is whether a given service needs to be performed at all at UCOP. If not, it should be eliminated, or relocated according to the principles elaborated below.

Secondly, in developing principles for redesign, the Working Group has identified four levels of administrative activity at UCOP, as illustrated in Figure 2, which can usefully support the two broad roles of UCOP:

- Presidential support functions: Functions that the president (and, by extension, UCOP) performs on behalf of the system - either because he or she must do so by law (Level 1) or does so by nature of the president's responsibilities as academic leader of the institution or chief executive of the university (Level 2);
- Systemwide support functions:
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- Service Centers: Functions for which there is a clear benefit to having one entity perform on behalf of the entire system (Level 3) -e.g., capturing scale economies;
- Coordinated Local Functions: Functions that are carried out primarily at the (inter)campus level but which can benefit from alignment or coordination of activity across the system and, frequently though not always, some degree of central support (Level 4).

Fig. 2: Categories of Administrative Activity at UCOP


## Presidential Support Functions (Levels 1-2)

The distinguishing feature of the core, top-level functions is that UCOP personnel perform them in aid of the president as he acts on behalf of the entire university or exercises definitive decision-rights on behalf of the administration of the enterprise, as a consequence of one or more of the dimensions of the president's role (see above, section III).

These functions come into play, at Level 1, as the president acts as the designated legal agent for the Regents-e.g., when the president or his designees enter into contracts, file a unified tax return, and so on. These functions occur, at Level 2, when the university's common front or face as a whole system is involvede.g., when the president leads the university in fulfilling its educational mission, directs the administration in executing the university's long-range plan, leads the process of the university budget, is responsible for negotiations with the state government, represents the university as a whole to external entities, or is chief spokesperson to the public concerning the value of the university's activities. In many of these functions the president routinely and closely consults with chancellors and campus administrators. However, the ultimate authority and decision responsibility rest in the president's hands.

Supporting the president at these two levels forms the core work of UCOP. Acknowledging the accomplishments of many capable individuals at UCOP, the Working Group also believes there is a pressing need to integrate UCOP into a lean, purposeful organization. Particularly in light of the critiques of its performance, UCOP's modus operandi must be reconstituted to be efficient, decisive, responsive and transparent in doing so. Major processes and departmental boundaries must be reexamined to eliminate unnecessary work, simplify structure where necessary, clarify decision-rights, specify competencies required for key roles, and identify critical systems deficiencies.

The second broad category of activity at UCOP pertains to its role as a provider of services to the campuses or system, as distinct from activities in support of the president. This category includes two kinds of functions, Systemwide 'Service Centers' and Coordinated Local Functions; each of these is discussed, in turn, below.
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## Systemwide 'Service Centers' (Level 3)

The Working Group suggests that, where appropriate, service functions now at UCOP be reconfigured from undifferentiated departments into distinct "Service Centers"-in effect, dedicated management units, or even mini-businesses, whose overarching mission should be to provide high-quality services in the most costeffective manner possible. Some entities historically housed at UCOP (e.g., Continuing Education of the Bar, the UC Press) exemplify the type, which can usefully be extended to other activities.

Candidates for creation of systemwide Service Centers are activities where there are:

- Potential cost savings due to economies of scale or scope (e.g., spreading fixed costs)-which are identifiable, whose value outweighs the investment required to obtain them, and which are realizable with intensified management focus on obtaining results;
- Potential service quality improvements (e.g., greater consistency, timeliness, access to expertise or better systems)-which are substantial and where appropriate customization for campus needs can be met at reasonable cost, due to pooling of resources.
Importantly, Service Centers are appropriate in cases where the activities do not require intensive, ongoing consultation from each campus entity in order to be delivered effectively (if intensive consultation is needed, the Coordinated Local Function format below is more appropriate).

Each Service Center should have a responsible managing executive in charge, reporting either to a chancellor or to a senior vice president in UCOP and, ultimately, to the president. Service Centers must have specific service agreements with campus customers that provide (a) appropriate commercial terms or transfer-pricing as appropriate, (b) incentives to ensure high service quality and responsiveness to customer needs, and (c) options for reasonable "opt-out" decisions by individual campuses if the Service Center does not meet the terms of the agreement. Structures of accountability - e.g., boards where appropriate - should be instituted to ensure that customer-service orientation is built into the entities.

Any such reconfiguration as a Service Center naturally must meet a straightforward economic test-namely, total benefits (e.g., cost and /or risk reduction, access to scale, innovation gains from best-practice sharing, enhanced differentiation with customers or partners) must outweigh total costs (e.g., coordination, complexity, cost of suboptimal results for some campuses, risk of poor decisions due to distance from the front lines, etc.). For functions currently provided by UCOP, if the test is not met, the function should be eliminated or relocated into a more efficient entity.

Physical location of the Service Centers is a pragmatic decision (see Figure 3). A campus, group of campuses or a third-party vendor can act as the location for a Service Center for a given function, provided they can supply cost / quality combinations better than the current offering. A campus may be able to provide enhanced service quality because of better understanding of campus needs, quicker responsiveness, or existing expertise that can lower cost or improve quality. UCOP should house Service Centers primarily in special circumstances (e.g., if practical governance or pricing mechanisms cannot be devised to ensure equitable treatment of all campuses, or no campus is willing).


## Coordinated Local Functions (Level 4)

Many functions across the university are carried out primarily at the campuses but can benefit significantly from some degree of cross-campus integration, coordination and / or targeted central support services. For example, admissions decisions are made locally by each campus, but campus admissions directors collaborate to update the undergraduate application, and UCOP provides administrative support for the applications process.

The current practice of systemwide coordination varies substantially, ranging from high-level debate on critical policies, to development of collective approaches (e.g., by the medical centers in some areas), to informal peer gatherings to share best practices (figure 4). Central support services likewise currently vary from formal and elaborate to $\mathrm{ad} h o c$ and informal.

Fig. 4: Spectrum of Current Systemwide Coordination Activities


As with other activities, over time these functions have tended to accrete and grow at UCOP, consuming significant resources but adding limited value and creating overlapping jurisdictions. The design problem to be solved, therefore, is to provide for consultation and support to the degree needed, assign clear decision rights and responsibility for results, and to prevent the accretion of unaffiliated support services.

Reconfiguring the coordinated functions effectively requires a logic screen for both structure and applied resources (Figure 5).

Fig. 5: Coordinated Function Design Logic
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Where cross-campus coordination makes sense, the mechanism for that coordination can vary. In some instances it makes sense for a central staff to exist to effect the coordination while in others the coordination can be effected by formal cross-campus councils (e.g., Council of Chancellors, Council of Executive Vice Chancellors, etc.), or can be initiated $a d$ hoc by a campus reaching out to the other campuses around a specific issue. Where it makes sense for an intercampus body to drive coordination, a key decision to be made is whether such a body should be granted decision rights for a given function.

If central facilitation support or targeted support services (such as training) are needed and cost-effective, these coordinated functions take on a stronger form - becoming integrated activity systems, where some activities are managed and performed by the campuses with support from UCOP. To make such integrated activity systems work properly, the campuses should be accountable for the performance of the function at the campus level, while the economics, incentives and accountabilities of those providing the support services at UCOP are structured to appropriately represent the interests of the coordinated whole. This will provide a check on the past tendency of "convening" and "coordinating" functions to grow centrally at UCOP, often consuming significant resources but adding limited value.

## Delegation of Authority and Oversight / Compliance

As discussed under the structure of the university, the core organizational design principles and the role of presidents and chancellors, the preference and recommendation of the Working Group is to delegate authority and administrative decision-making rights as close to the point of activity as is reasonable. This is because decisions of higher quality can be made in a more timely fashion when they are taken with 'specific knowledge' of the circumstances. At the same time, however, it is important to acknowledge that both the Regents and the president have oversight and compliance responsibilities over all University activities - and therefore all four activity categories listed above have overlaid upon them a set of UCOP and Board oversight and compliance processes.

The form that the interplay of delegation of authority and oversight / compliance takes is critically important. In recent years, UCOP's oversight role has all too often been one of gate-keeper, reviewing and approving (or denying) proposed campus actions. Lately the Regents have felt a need to increase their oversight activities by reviewing and approving (or denying) proposed plans and actions in detail. This review/approval approach has had two major drawbacks: it adds time (and therefore cost) to the decision process and can result in suboptimal decisions because the reviewers lack the requisite 'specific knowledge' and because, in some cases, the reviewers are less expert than those proposing.

A superior form of oversight can be accomplished through an effective performance management system, combined with targeted auditing to ensure compliance with policies. In such a system, clear performance goals / benchmarks are established up front, incentives are aligned with those goals, performance against the goals is evaluated, and consequences are delivered. For the most part, the University does not currently have such a fully-integrated performance management system, and one needs to be created.

To deal with the impact of major strategic and operating decisions, a system of targeted review / approval by the president and (where appropriate) the Regents can complement the oversight system just described, without losing the substantial benefits of operating flexibility. While 'major' needs to be more fully defined, it could be broadly characterized as system-level decisions-such as budget approval, debt capacity, new campus openings, etc., or approval of campus-level decisions with the potential to impact the entire university (e.g., opening of a new professional school)-or decisions that, should they go awry, would have the potential to harm the entire institution (e.g., investments or contracts above a certain threshold, most probably one higher than the current threshold, which has not been changed for years).

For many campus functions, UCOP can exercise its performance oversight duties solely through the president's management oversight of the chancellor. However, for some functions, effective performance oversight is best done when UCOP can look across similar units on each campus. This is especially true for
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functions requiring specialized expertise in evaluating the performance of units, such as with the medical centers. A next step should be to determine which functions require such "matrixed" performance oversight.

## VI. MECHANISMS REQUIRED FOR SUCCESSFUL IMPLEMENTATION

Several efforts at administrative reform over the last 20 years have mentioned many of the issues and suggestions in this report, at various levels of detail. It is important to ask how, this time, success in implementation can be made more likely and durable.

The Working Group believes that six major mechanisms should be instituted across all administrative venues for the clarification of roles and reconfiguration of UCOP to be successful on a long-term basis.

1. True performance management systems:

Establishing a reliable connection between authority, responsibility and results requires that performance management systems be developed and maintained at a high standard of human resources practices. This involves at a minimum (a) a formal statement of expectations of the administrator by the delegating party; (b) alignment of incentives with those expectations; (c) periodic formal performance evaluation of the administrator based on the expectations and on responsible input from informed parties; (d) systematic support for the administrator, e.g., by protocols for performance feedback and by formal programs or informal approaches to coaching and professional development; (e) the delivery of real consequences for performance relative to those expectations; and (f) planned succession programs where appropriate.

The Working Group believes these structures must be put in place beginning with senior administration, e.g., by the Regents with respect to the president, and by the president with respect to the chancellors and senior officers of UCOP.
2. Two-way accountability systems:

Given the university's culture and governance structure, it is important that administrators not simply fulfill the requirements of a reporting relationship but also respond to the legitimate needs of the communities they serve. As such, while the campuses need to be accountable to the Regents and the president for their performance, UCOP departments also need to be accountable to the campuses for creating value-i.e., for not only service levels but also for the costs of the central activities and for the burdens (cost, slower processes, etc.) placed on the campuses from the central activities, including oversight and compliance activities. For example, at the department or unit level, systems must be established to solicit and evaluate responsiveness and satisfaction from users of services (e.g., at the campuses) and those affected by administrative processes, as a key criterion of performance.
3. Clear decision-rights:

This document addresses high-level decision rights. To implement successfully, major administrative processes at UCOP and the campuses should be disaggregated and decision-rights (i.e., the right to make the decision, consult on it, ratify it, or be notified of it) specified in detail. In the case of processes involving consultation but of paramount importance to the university (such as the budget), it is especially important that general protocols for deliberation and final decision-making be established and enforced to prevent drift.
4. Two-way channels of communication between the Regents and the president, to assure clarity and accountability, requiring mechanisms in both directions:

## APPENDIX 6

- Practical protocols should be established to guide communication between Regents and senior administrators, both at UCOP and on the campuses, on the basis of a single authoritative channel through the president to the Regents;
- After appropriate review, the processes and information systems to provide the Regents with information to enable them to carry out their fiduciary responsibilities with confidence should be strengthened so as to eliminate the need for extensive ad hoc information requests or investigations (see also below).

5. Formal and responsible channels of communication between the campuses and UCOP:

The Working Group believes that a two-way flow of information between the campuses and UCOP-a truly consultative management practice rather than a top-down 'headquarters'-style communication flow-is needed to sustain the health of the university's federal administrative system, and that accountability for results concerning intercampus administrative issues should be mutual. The linchpin mechanisms of these communication channels are:

- The transparent budget process, in which the process leading to final resource allocation has been orderly and open and presidential decisions are clearly understood; and
- Formalized decision-rights and responsibilities for intercampus bodies, where applicable, so that participation in universitywide administration is accompanied by accountability for action and results.

6. Modernization of key information and management systems and processes.

To allow the Regents to fulfill their policy-setting and fiduciary roles, and to withdraw with confidence from the transactional oversight detail just mentioned, they will need reliable and timely data. The Regents legitimately require-and the administration also urgently needs-basic systems to support human-resources, oversight, compliance and risk-management procedures. The Working Group advocates that investments in modern human-resources and enterprise risk-management systems be specified, strongly endorsed and funded by top administration and the Regents, and managed to completion.

## VII. RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUMMARY OF PROPOSED CHANGES

The Working Group recommends that the Governance Committee

- Reaffirm and endorse the clarification of the roles, high-level decision-rights, responsibilities and accountabilities of the president, Regents and chancellors (sections II through IV);
- Endorse the design principles for reconfiguration of UCOP (section V);
- Support the institution of the six mechanisms for successful implementation of administrative reform (section VI); and
- Consider the observations on Regental-administrative interaction as essential to the effective functioning of the Office of the President.

The Working Group also highlights the following more specific clarifications and/or changes to present practice, which it believes are needed in order to achieve the specific purposes of this report:

- Clarifying decision-making to permit more effective attainment of universitywide goals:
- The president should have the explicit responsibility to lead the University in achievement of systemwide goals (such as the long-range plan), with the corresponding authority to call on the chancellors and the entire university for assistance in realizing these goals.
- In addition to having responsibility for the performance of their individual campuses, the chancellors should explicitly share responsibility in the "commons" for helping to define and accomplish universitywide goals, including carrying out campus activities in a manner that is consistent with the interests of the university as a whole.
- Clarifying authority to increase effectiveness of administration at the campus level:
- UCOP should have a preference to locate administrative functions at the campuses or other locations as close to the source of value as is practical and appropriate.
- The chancellors should have direct authority and accountability for judicious application of general policies at their respective campuses.
- Specific decision-rights and definitions of conditions and thresholds for presidential / Regental approval of major systemwide operating decisions should be established.
- Establishing principles to reconfigure UCOP as a more effective, service-oriented entity:
- UCOP should focus its primary activity on functions to support the president's work.
- Systemwide services should be reconfigured as distinct Service Center entities, where feasible and cost-effective, using service agreements and establishing clear accountability, in order to take advantage of system scale and expertise; where practical, these Service Centers should be provided by a campus or third-party vendor.
- Intercampus coordinating functions should be used or set up to take maximum advantage of local initiative and managerial capabilities, and, when required and cost-effective, should integrate central expertise provided from UCOP.
- UCOP should shift its oversight and compliance approach from "comprehensive transaction approval" to "performance management with selective review and approval."
- Instituting a culture of performance and accountability:
- True performance management systems-with clear expectations, alignment of incentives, regular evaluations, necessary support structures, delivery of consequences, and succession planning - should be instituted, beginning with the president, chancellors and UCOP senior officers.
- Accountability mechanisms should be attached to major UCOP functions, reflecting the views of the campus-based customers.
- Provide a basis for Regents' regaining confidence in UCOP in a primarily supervisory role
- The principle of Regental focus on fiduciary rather than transactional activity should be reaffirmed.
- The president should institute practical protocols to guide communication between Regents and senior administrators.
- A review of needs, specification, investment and implementation of key information, compliance and risk-management systems should be undertaken, both to provide the Regents with data and confidence they require for their fiduciary responsibilities and to support administrative responsibilities with appropriate systems capabilities.
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## COMMITTEE ON GOVERNANCE

January 16, 2008

The Committee on Governance met on the above date at Covel Commons, Los Angeles campus.
Members present: Regents Gould, Lansing, Pattiz, Preuss, and Schilling
In attendance: Regents Allen, Blum, Bugay, Dynes, Hopkinson, Island, Kozberg, Lozano, Marcus, Ruiz, and Varner, Regents-designate Scorza and Shewnake, Faculty Representatives Brown and Croughan, Secetary and Chief ofStaff Griffiths, Associate Secretary Shaw, General Counsel Robi nson, Chief Investm ent Officer Berggren, Chief Com pliance and Audit Offi cer Vacca, Provost Hume, Executive Vice Presidents Darling and Lapp, Vice Presidents Beckwith, Dooley, Foley, and Sakaki, Chacellors Birgeneau, Bishop, Block, Blumenthal, Drake, Fox, Kang, Vanderhoef, and Ya ng, Acting Chancellor Grey, and Recording Secretary Bryan

The meeting convened at 10:55 a.m. with Committee Chair Lansing presiding.

## 1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the minutes of the meeting of November 15, 2007 were approved.
2. PROPOSED TECHNICAL AMENDMENT OF STANDING ORDER 100.4 - DUTIES OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNIVERSITY - REGARDING AUT HORITY TO WRITE OFF BAD DEBT CONSISTENT WITH REGENTS’ ACTION OF MARCH 2006

The President recommended that, following service of appropriate notice, Standing Order 100.4(w) be amended as follows:

## Deletions shown by strike out, additions by underscore

100.4 Duties of the President of the University
(w) The President is authorized to writeoff bad debts, provided reserves for that purpose are adequate or that specific irome or an appropriation is available for that purpose. A report on baddebtwrite offs shall be subnitted anntually to the Committee on Finance.

In March 2006, the Regents agreed to delete the annual bad debt write-off report. The proposed action would memorialize that decision within Standing Order 100.4(w).

Upon $m$ otion duly $m$ ade a nd seconded, the Com mittee approved the President's recommendation and voted to present it to the Board.

## 3. DATES OF REGENTS MEETINGS FOR 2009

The President recom mended that the following dates of Re gents meetings for 2009 be approved:
$\underline{2009}$
January 13-15
March 17-19
May 19-21
July 14-16
September 15-17
November 17-19
Regent Hopkinson noted that m any Regents ha ve scheduling conflict s because of their obligations with respect to other boards. Com mittee Chair Lansing observed that establishing the Regents' schedule far in advance provides the opportunity for Regents to arrange their other commitments around it.

Regent Gould believed that the Re gents needed m ore tim e to consider the proposal. Committee Chair Lansing proposed that the Secretary and Chief of Staff make an attempt to find dates amenable to as many Regents as possible and resubnit a recommendation. She emphasized that, in order to ensure that Regent Garam endi would be available to attend Regents $m$ eetings, it was the sentim ent of the Board that dates for the $m$ eetings be established that do not conflict with the meeting schedule of the CSU Board of Trustees.

## 4. AMENDMENT AND CLARIFICATION APPOINTMENT OF CHANCELLORS

## OF REGENTS' POLICY ON

The President recommended that the Regents' Policy on Appointm ent of Chancellors be amended, as shown below.

The President noted that amendments to paragraphs (2) and (3) reflect and affirm current practices regarding selection and duties of members for the committee appointed to advise the President during the search for a new Chancellor.

# Deletions shown by strike out, additions by underscore 

## POLICY ON APPOINTMENT OF CHANCELLORS

Approved May 15, 1981
(1) The President of the University will conduct a continuous search for prom ising candidates for Chancellorships . This process is included as an im portant complement to the systematic nationwide search which will be undertaken each tim a vacancy occurs.
(2) When a vacancy occurs or is imminent, a joint Regents-Faculty committee will be appointed to advise the President of the University. The Committee will consist of five Regents appointed by the Chairnan ofthe Board, as well as the Chairnan of the Board and the President of the University, who serve ex officio. Additional committee members will be selected as fllows: five faculty members appointed by the President of the University - one shall be either the Chair or the Vice Chair of the Academic Council, one shall be a faculty member from a campus other than the one that is the subject of the search, chosen from a panel submitted by the eampus Academic Senate's UniversitywideCommittee on Committees, and the Chairnan of the Board and the President of the University, ex officio. and three shall be campus faculty members chosen from a panel submitted by the campus Academ ic Senate Committee on Committees. A graduate and an undergraduate student appointed by the respective graduate and undergraduate student associ ations of the cam pus, an alumni representative appointed by the alumni association of $t$ he $c$ ampus, a Foundation representative chosen by the President froma panel of names submitted by the Cam pus Foundation, and a staff em ployee representative of the cam pus selected in aceordance with the pro cedures established by the Pr esident by the Campus Staff Assembly shall be invited to attend l meetings of the Committee with full participation in discussion and debate . The President of the University will convene the Committee.
(3) The five f aculty members on the Com mittee, working with the President of $t$ he University or the President's designee will submit to the Committee for evaluation not tess fewer than five nor more than fifteen names of promising candidates whom the President considers promising. The Committee will evaluate these nominations of the President and ma yc onsider or suggest other nam es. It m ay interview candidates. It will solicit the opinions obther interested groups in whatever nanner it considers appropriate.
(4) Both the com mittee and $t$ he President sha 11 be $m$ indful of the University's f irm commitment to af firmative aetion diversity in the em ployment of wome n a nd minorities in seeking out the most qualified candidates.
(5) After the Committee has completed its evaluations and advised the President ofthe University, the President will $m$ ake his recom mendation to The Regents $f$ or consideration and approval.

Upon $m$ otion duly $m$ ade and seconde $d$, the Com mittee approved the President's recommendation and voted to present it to the Board.

## 5. REPORT OF THE WORKING GROUP ONTHE ROLES OF THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

Committee Chair Lansing recalled that the Wbrking Group on the Roles of the Office of the President was convened to m ake recommendations regarding the roles, decision- m aking authority, and accountability of the President in relation to the Board of Regents and the campuses, and to provide principles for the organizational redesign of the Office of the President (OP). With the search for a newPresident and the budget crisis in nind, the group has been working for the past six months on defining more clearly the role of the President in relation to the Board of Regents and the canpuses. Its report is a work in progress but is expected to be final soon.

Regent Lansing noted that, asthe Regents began to define their role and conduct, it becane apparent that more orientation for new Regen\$ was necessary and that it might be beneficial to hold a retreat and establish mentoring and Board evaluation programs.

Provost Hum e presented the r eport of the W orking Group and described how its recommendations are guiding ongoing efforts to refashion the Office of the President, making it more responsive to the needs of both the Regents and the campuses. He recalled that he had charged the group and that it had been convened by Chancellor Vanderhoef. It was convened originally in response to the diagnostic repor $t$ developed by The Monitor Group assessing the effectiveness and efficiency of administrative and financial functions across the University but $f$ ocusing in partic ular on the Office of the President. The assessment that Monitor did, which was presentel to the Regents in Septenber, highlighted a number of critical problems internal to the Cffice of the President, including that decisionmaking processes were slow, overly c omplex, and lacking in transparency. There was a tendency for the Office to act as a gatekeeper rather than as a partner with the campuses, policing instead of enabling, imposing administrative and business practices that were not sensitive to campus needs, and in some cases adding to campus costs. There was a lack of clarity about the role of the Office of the President in relation to the Regents and the campuses.

Provost Hume reported that the Working Group tackled these issues by focusing on the role of the President with respect to those of the Regents and Chancellors and then on the functions of the President's Office in suppor $t$ of that role. The report advises how to strengthen interfaces between the President and the Regents and between the President and the Chancellors, which is essential to the good governance of the institution.

Chancellor Vanderhoef reviewed the Wbrking Group's findings. The Group articulated the following core principles that characterize the University and guide the manner in which it is governed. The University:

- Is the designated research university within the public higher-education system of the State of California.
- Is an institution in the land grant tradition, with responsibilities to the people of the State of California for advanci ng their welfare, as well as pursuing scholarly and scientific inquiry. It is the University's obligation to pursue s olutions to societal problems.
- Operates under shared governance , with specific authorities, by the Regents, President, and Academic Senate. This requires ongoing consultation am ong the three.
- Is a single federation of campuses, benefitting from a balance between distinctive campus excellence and autonomy and the interests of the institution as a whole.
- Is an institution in which the Regents de legate authority f or management of the University's affairs to the President, supported by the administrative structure that he or she puts in place.

Chancellor Vanderhoef reported that the Groupidentified four complementary dimensions of the President's role: academic leader of the institution; chief executive officer; primary external advocate; and guardian of the public trust.

As academic leader of the institution, the President must develop, inspire, and support the long-range vision for the University and must lead the University in realizing its vision. In this role, the President will also be respons ible for fulfilling t he historic public service obligations of the University, ensuring its ©ntinuing contribution to the social and economic welfare of the people of California. The K-12 educational initiative is the m ost recent example of this kind of contribution, which necsarily focuses the creative energies and the resources of the whole University. The President must give leadership to such activities. The President will always promote and supporteducational access, affordability, and quality.

As the chief executive officer, the President dects, supports, and evaluates the Chancellors. The Chancellors are responsible for the acadenic quality and effective administration of the campuses. The President is the sole authoritative contact wi th the Regents. Cam puses formally communicate with the Regents only through the Office of the President, and vice versa. This is essential to ensuring consistent and clear com munication and the sm ooth running of the organization. The W orking Group concluded that the rules of this communication seem to have been lost during the last few years. I $n$ the role of chief executive, the President allocates system wide funds, another critical function of the University that supports individual campuses as well as the University as a whole.

In a third dim ension of the role, the Presid ent is the primary external advocate for the University and for higher education generally, responsible for representing the University
to the State and federal governments, and for pursuing a single budget for the University from the State of California.

Lastly, the President, as the guardian ofthe public trust, must set clear policies and monitor compliance with them, provide appropriate and tim ely information on the University's activities, and protect the University by proactively identifying and managing the risks that are always present in a large and complex institution.

Having established the President's role, it is easier to understand the related roles of the Regents and Chancellors. The Regents have a słemn responsibility as the fiduciaries of the University. In that role, they set policies and standards and provide direction $f$ or setting priorities and long-range goals. They select, support, and evaluate the performance of the President. They approve major systemwide operating decisions. They delegate authority to the President as appropriate, with efective oversight mechanisms. The Chancellors play a pivotal dual role as leaders of their campuses and primary colleagues and advisors to the President. Each Chancellor is responsible for leading faculty, students, and staff in pursuit of a campus vision. They are responsible for selecting, supporting, and ensuring the good performance of senior campus administrators and for representing their campuses within the University, with the Of fice of the President, and externally. Like the Pres ident, the Chancellors $m$ ust be guardians of the public trust, ensuring cam pus com pliance with University policies and exercising sound stewardship of resources. Chancellors also assist the President in, and share responsibility for, establishing a nd achieving Universitywide goals. In this regard, they are responsible not only for their cam puses but for all of those things that the Pr esident decides m ust be done as a system. It is the Chancellors' responsibility to $m$ ake sure that the cam puses are involving them selves in these Universitywide goals.

The Working Group defined the appropriate functions of the President's Office, given the respective roles of the President, the Regent s , and the Chancellors. There are functions required by law to be in that office and functions that support the four dim ensions of the President's role as leader, CEO, advocate, md guardian. There are other functions, however, located at the Office of the President thatsupport the system by coordinating or supporting campus efforts in, for example, technology transfer and student admissions, or by providing systemwide services such as the California Digital Library that it does not $m$ ake sense to replicate ten times. The Working Group found that, over time, the Office of the President has accumulated a variety of support functions and com bined these organizationally with those directly supporting the President. As a consequence, the Office has grown larger. In some cases it has become slower, and in some it has lost its focus.

To support the redesign of the Office of the President, the W orking Group recommended several organizational design principles. These include a preference for a strong President with a lean and well-6cused staff; sensitivity to the need to strike a balance between capus autonomy and the interests of the institution as a whole; the assurance that the President, Chancellors, and respective staf fs have clear role $s$ and thereby clear $m$ echanisms for
accountability; and the establishm ent of integrated i nformation and risk m anagement systems that not only support good decision-mking on the campuses but also in OP and that help manage risk and promote transparency and accountability. Several of these features have been deemed lacking in recent years.

Provost Hume commented that the Working Group's report had provided valuable advice in ways that will become apparent in more detail when the new budget for OP is presented at the March m eeting. In accordance with the W orking Group's recom mendations, the administration has worked to distinguish the functions in OP that support the President directly from those that support the system as a whole. W ith regard to the form er, work continues on developing the appropriate scale,organization, and integration of support that will make OP more effective and reduce its costs. With regard to the services that support the system, new models of governance and overs ight are being considered to ensure that those services are truly responsive to cappus clients. Systemwide support services are being identified that do not need to be located in Oakland - that would work better on a campus or if provided by a third party. Sone activities have been identified that neither support the President directly nor provide systemwide services. In those cases there are two options: move the activity to a campus or eliminate the function.

Restructuring the Of fice of the President, while dif ficult, is relatively straightf orward. Clarifying the re spective roles and responsibilities of the Regents and the Chancellors relative to the President and ensuring that \&fective accountability measures are put in place requires the active participation offhe Boardand close communication with the Chancellors. The Working Group made specific recommendations for strengthening the interface between the President and the Regents and the President and the Chancellors. The Working Group recommends reaffirming the Regental focus on filuciary and priority issues, 6rmalizing the Presidential performance evaluation process, and establishing and adhering to practical protocols for communications. With regard to the interface between the President and the Chancellors, the W orking Group recom mends greater delegation of adm inistrative and business functions from the President's Officeto the Chancellors when appropriate, clearer mechanisms for accountability of the Chancello rs for their specific aut horities and responsibilities, stronger performance management systems, and transparent and effective two-way communication processes.

Regent Marcus noted that the environm ent in which the University exists has changed dramatically in recent years. Sone fundamental and simple things must be recognized. The Committee on Governance, the Regents, the O ffice of the President, and the Chancellors must address the role of a centralized versus a decentralized model. The absence of an Executive Vice President for Developm ent and Alum ni Relations indi cates a misunderstanding of the c urrent environm ent when the State is facing a $\$ 400 \mathrm{~m}$ illion shortfall. He emphasized that the model that is established will need to last into the future.

Regent Gould acknowledged the im portance of the W orking Group at a tim e when the delineation of the respective roles and the evalution of functions and resources and their use
have become essential. Also, it sets some guidelines by which the Regents can assess their own performance as well as the performances of the President and Chancellors. Discussing these respective roles and functions provides a road ap for determining whether those roles are being adhered to.

Regent Schilling noted the efforts under way for Regents' orientation. She supported the recommendations to establish a mentoring program and a code of conduct for the Regents and to hold a retreat. She believed that theCommittees specifically could benefit from this and urged that it move forward as soon as possble. Regent Lansing expressed her hope that a retreat could be scheduled for the spring.

Regent Bugay recalled comments about support sevices and administrative roles that might, under a different structure, be perform ed elsewhere in the system and the potentia 1 cost savings projected. He requested further detailabout what financial benefit could be gained by the realignment of services. Provost Hum e responded that 10 percent reduction in expenditures within the Of fice of the Presiden $t$ was targeted. As the budget is recast, however, the administrative structure is also beng recast in order to sinplify and coordinate those services that support the President in the execution of his work. He reported that by March he expected to be in a position to provide a dollar figure. He noted that many of the areas that provide support to the campuses are funded by other mechanisms. Those areas also have been asked to reduce their administrative costs by at least 10 percent to put more of their services towards the $f$ unctions that they deliver. He recalled that a program to induce voluntary separations and early retirements in the Office of the President requires participants to declare their participation by theend of this month. At that time, the level of savings and therefore the need for layoffs can be assessed. As the restructuring continues, decisions will be made about the necessity for further action.

Regent Hopkinson believed that the three most important things that Regents can do are to define their roles, set priorities, and establish rethods to assure accountability. She believed that the roles of the Regents had changed ove time in ways that have become unworkable. Committee Chair Lansing emphasized that reestabishing Regental roles is essential in order to delineate a new President's responsibilities and the interface of that individual with the Board. She urged the Regents to continue their dialogue so that the process can $m$ ove forward expeditiously.

Regent Island commended the Working Group forits focus on the use of Regental approval for transactional decisions. He believed, howeverthat the subject deserved a mre in-depth analysis. He noted that there are policies, proesses, and procedures that bring to the Board for approval transactional decisions that $s$ hould not rise higher than the $O$ ffice of the President. He believed that the Regents had no basis upon which to $m$ ake many of these decisions. He urged the Working Group to examine whether recently adopted policies or practices should be elim inated so as to give the Board adequate tim e to address broader issues. Regent Schilling urged the Regents tqrovide their input to the Wrking Group with respect to such considerations. Regent Lansing acknowledged the Regents' responsibility
for creating some unworkable procedures. Sle suggested that recommendations for making changes in the way the Regents function be channeled through the Secretary and Chief of Staff.

Regent Kozberg noted that there are established principles for non-profit organizations and suggested that these be reviewed and shared with the Regents. She observed that, because there are not campus governing boards, the Chan cellors do turn to the Regents, and they require a sim ple way of com municating that will not hinder action. She also advocated establishing a schedule for the periodic assessment of the respective roles.

Regent Preuss noted that the role of Presidendlso has changed in recent years, to ephasize a focus on University- and state-centric concerns. UC presidents have not been known to be nation-centric. He envisioned appointing a new Pre sident who will be $m$ ore actively involved in national policies concerning eduction and attuned to political energencies and the moral status of the country. The appointment of a national figure who is immersed in such issues may result in a need for the Regents and the Office of the President to adopt a wider range of responsibilities.

Regent Marcus agreed with the remarks of Regent Island concerning transactional decisions. He stressed the importance of lining up the regulatory basis for Regental behavior so that compliance is made possible and issues such asretaining talent and supporting research can be addressed.

Regent Varner believed that new Regents wouldenefit from having the role of the Regents defined. He noted that often, when asking for advice from other Regents, he had received conflicting answers.

Regent Allen acknowledged the necessity of re-thinking the Regents' oversight role. He suggested adding more members to the Com mittee on Governance so as to im prove the likelihood of securing a quorum. He stressed the im portance of acknowledging the hard work of staff in OP, considering that morale there had reached a new low. He was hopeful that the restructuring of OP would not imair or eliminate vital functions such as community college outreach, institutional relationships, and student service work.

Regent Pattiz acknowledged that the role of Regents had changed substantially during his tenure. He cautioned that in the hast e to delineate the responsibilities am ong the various constituencies at the University the Regents mst take into account that in the imds of many the Board has the ultimate responsibility for everything. Because of the difficulties of the past few years, Regents have become involved in areas in which they had not previously been involved, sometimes inappropriately. He acknowledged, however, the im pact of Sarbanes-Oxley and the fact that the public has becom e increasingly interested in the Board's decisions. The Regents must not abdicate their responsibility for assuring that the University operates correctly in a variety of areas.

Regent Parsky also acknowledged the changes inRegental roles. He advocated examining each Committee to ensure that its responsibility includes oversight in its substant ive area. An appropriate measure of Regental involvement needs to be determined, but he believed that the involvement should be extensive. Thee is also the need to have Regents understand that being on the Board carries with it a substaial commitment of time. He commented that there is a need for a level of support to enablRegents to carry out their responsibilities. He stressed the direct responsibility of the Regents to oversee the safety and soundness of the University's financial condition, including the pension plan and the endowment.

In response to Regent Bugay's request for a timeline for action, Committee Chair Lansing reported that the Com mittee's work would proceed with Regent Kozberg continuing her work with the Secretary and Chief of Sta ff to de velop the orientation and m entoring programs and Regent Schilling working with the Secretary and Chieбf Staff to develop the code of conduct. The recommendations will be made available to the Regents in advance of the March meeting so that action may be taken. She reiterated the need to view the fnal document as being a living document that would be re-evaluated over time.

Regent Ruiz noted that the Board's com mittee structure posed challenges because of the open meeting law. He believed that the Regents' inability to participate in true committee meetings added to the Board's dysfunction.

Regent Hopkinson stated that she would find it useful to have General Counsel Robinson summarize the implications of Sarbanes-Oxley for the University.

Regent-designate Shewm ake stressed the need for an accelerated or ientation for those Regents with two-year term s. He believed that a sum mary of the $m$ ajor issues covered during the past ten or fifteen years would enhance their overall understanding of issues.

Regent Marcus recalled that the Board is a constitutional body. He was unclear, however, as to the role of the Legislature in relation to the duties and responsibilities of the Regents. He referred to SB 190, which m odified Regental conduct and suggested tha $t$ at the next meeting General Counsel Robinson briefly explain that relationship.

The meeting adjourned at 12:05 p.m.
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## Current Policies

## STATEMENT OF EXPECTATIONS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF REGENTS

Approved November 18, 2004
Amended November 16, 2006
Amended March 20, 2008

## Guidelines for Discharge of Regental Duties

The responsibility of individual Regents is to serve as trustees for the people of the State of California and as stewards for the University of California, acting to govern the University in fulfillment of its educational, research, and public service missions in the best interests of the people of California.

Recognizing the broad authority and responsibility vested in the Board of Regents for the governance and operation of the University of California, there is a specific expectation that members of the Board become knowledgeable regarding the educational, research, and public service programs of the University of California as well as the duties, responsibilities, and obligations of Regents.

## Preparation

Members of the Board are expected to prepare themselves for the issues coming before the Board and to base votes on the information available and their best judgment. An orientation is mandatory for all new Regents

## Attendance and Participation

Members of the Board are expected to attend and participate in meetings of Board and committees to which they are assigned. Board members are also welcome to attend meetings of other committees to which they are not assigned, but they are not required or expected to do so. Board members are also encouraged to attend and participate in other events at which Board member participation is appropriate.

## Cooperation

It is expected that Board members will abide by Board decisions and policies in a manner consistent with the member's fiduciary duties. This is not intended to preclude either forthright expression of opposition or efforts to change such policies or decisions. Expression of opinion or position at variance with such policies or decisions should clearly indicate that it is not to be construed as a position of the Board and that the opinion expressed is that of an individual Regent. Board members should respect the opinions of other Board members, University officials, faculty, students, and staff. Consistent with the Regents Policy on the President as Spokesperson for the University (effective J anuary 18, 1962), the President shall be the spokesperson for the University with the Chairman of the Board being the spokesperson for the Board.

## Confidentiality

Board members are expected to maintain the confidential nature of Board deliberations held in closed session, including written and verbal communication.

## Ethics

Regents are expected to serve the public trust and to fulfill their responsibilities ethically in a manner consistent with that obligation. This means that decisions are to be made solely to promote the best interests of the University as a public trust, rather than the interests of a particular constituency, and that Board members will disclose personal, familial, business relationships, or other potential conflicts of interest as appropriate.

## Fiduciary Responsibilities

Regents are expected to accept responsibility for the integrity of the financial, physical, and intellectual resources of the University.

## Policy Responsibilities

It is the responsibility of the Board to set policy and the responsibility of the University administration to implement and carry out policy, which includes responsibility for the day-to-day operations of the University.

## Support for the University

Regents are expected to be active supporters and advocates for the University and to take opportunities to help with fundraising, legislative advocacy, and other efforts on behalf of the University.

## Board Responsibilities

The Board is expected to:
A. Appoint, support, assess the performance of, and, if necessary, dismiss the President.
B. Appoint the Executive Vice Presidents, Senior Vice Presidents, other Vice Presidents, University Auditor, Chancellors and Laboratory Directors upon recommendation of the President pursuant to Standing Order 100.2 (b).
C. Approve and periodically review the appropriateness and consequences of all major institutional policies and programs, including addition or discontinuation of major programs and services consistent with the institution's mission and financial capacity.
D. Ensure that good planning is done periodically, participate in the process as appropriate, assess the quality of the outcomes, and monitor progress against goals.
E. Fulfill fiduciary responsibilities by approving and monitoring the annual budget, protecting the institution's financial and capital assets, ensuring responsible and prudent investment of funds, and ensuring a comprehensive compliance program and annual audit process.
F. Ensure adequate resources and their effective management. This includes serving as advocates for institutional needs with external constituencies.
G. Interpret the institution to the public and defend the institution, when necessary, from inappropriate intrusion.
H. Ensure that the Board's reputation is exemplary in the course of meeting its responsibilities.
I. Ensure that the institution serves as a good citizen in its relationships with other social, educational, and business enterprises through appropriate collaborations and partnerships.
J. Assess the Board's performance periodically through an appropriate process.

Additions shown by underscoring; deletions shown by strikethrough

| SCHEDULE OF REPORTS TO THE REGENTS <br> [Pursuant to Bylaw 16.8(a)] <br> Amended July 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Month(s) Presented or Mailed to Regents |
| BOARD |  |
| Report of the President Concerning University | January |
| Activities and Individuals (the President's Report) | March |
|  | May |
|  | July |
|  | September |
|  | November |
| COMMITTEE ON COMPENSATION |  |
| Annual Report on Executive Compensation for | March |
| Calendar Year ___ : Incumbents in Certain Senior |  |
| Management Positions |  |
| Annual Report on Compensated Outside Professional | March |
| Activities for Calendar Year: Incumbents in Certain |  |
| Senior Management Positions |  |
| Annual Report on Health Sciences Compensation | November |
| Plan Participants' Compensation that Exceed the |  |
| Reporting Threshold (mbm*) |  |
| COMMITTEE ON COMPENSATION and |  |
| COMMITTEE ON LONG RANGE PLANNING |  |
| Annual Accountability Sub-Report on Faculty | March |
| Competitiveness |  |
| COMMITTEE ON COMPLIANCE AND AUDIT |  |
| Annual Report on Internal Audit Plans | May |
| Annual Review of External Audit of Hastings College of the Law (mbm*) | March |
| Annual Report on Compliance | September |


| SCHEDULE OF REPORTS TO THE REGENTS <br> [Pursuant to Bylaw 16.8(a)] Amended July 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Month(s) Presented or Mailed to Regents |
| Annual Report of External Auditors for the Year Ended June 30, $\qquad$ | November |
| Annual Report on Internal Audit Activities | November |
| COMMITTEE ON EDUCATIONAL POLICY |  |
| Quarterly Report on Private Support, Major Donors, and Namings and Endowed Chairs (mbm*) | February <br> May <br> August |
| Statistical Summary of Students and Staff ( mbm *) | March |
| Annual Report on Student Financial Support (mbm*) | March |
| Annual Report on Undergraduate Admissions Requirements [effective 2013] (mbm*) | July |
| Annual Report on Proposals Seeking Research Funding from the Tobacco Industry ( mbm *) | September |
| Annual Report on the University Private Support Program | November |
| Report on Comprehensive Review (mbm*) | December |
| COMMITTEE ON EDUCATIONAL POLICY and COMMITTEE ON FINANCE |  |
| Annual Report on Self-Supporting Professional Degree Programs | November |
| COMMITTEE ON EDUCATIONAL POLICY and COMMITTEE ON LONG RANGE PLANNING |  |
| Annual Accountability Sub-Report on Student Success | July |
| Annual Accountability Sub-Report on Diversity at the University of California | September |


| SCHEDULE OF REPORTS TO THE REGENTS <br> [Pursuant to Bylaw 16.8(a)] Amended July 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Month(s) Presented or Mailed to Regents |
| Annual Accountability Sub-Report on the University of California Admissions and Enrollments | November |
| COMMITTEE ON FINANCE |  |
| Annual Report on Risk Management ( mbm *) | January |
| Annual Report on Use of Outside Counsel ( mbm *) | January |
| Annual Report on Settlements and Separation Agreements | January |
| Annual Report on University Housing Assistance Programs (mbm*) | January |
| Annual Report on Expenditures of Associates to the President and Chancellors (mbm*) | September |
| University of California Financial Reports | November |
| Annual University of California Retirement Plan Actuarial Valuation Report | November |
| Annual Report on Debt Capital and External Finance Approvals (mbm*) | November |
| Annual Report on the University of California Technology Transfer Program (mbm*) | November |
| COMMITTEE ON FINANCE and |  |
| COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT OF THE |  |
| DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY LABORATORIES |  |
| Annual Report on the Net Fee Income Received as | November |
| Owner of a Limited Liability Company Managing a |  |
| Department of Energy National Laboratory and |  |
| Expenditures Made Therefrom |  |


| SCHEDULE OF REPORTS TO THE REGENTS [Pursuant to Bylaw 16.8(a)] Amended July 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Month(s) Presented or Mailed to Regents |
| COMMITTEE ON GROUNDS AND BUILDINGS |  |
| Annual Report on Sustainable Practices | January |
| Annual Report on Chancellor's Residence and Other Capital Projects | September |
| Annual Report on Major Capital Projects Implementation ( $\mathrm{mbm}{ }^{*}$ ) | October |
| Budget for State Capital Improvements | November |
| University of California Five-Year Capital Program State Funds | November |
| Annual Report on Campus' Ten-Year Capital Financial Plans | November |
| COMMITTEE ON HEALTH SERVICES |  |
| Activity and Financial Status Report on Hospitals and | January |
| Clinics (mbm*) | March |
|  | May |
|  | June |
|  | July |
|  | November |
| COMMITTEE ON HEALTH SERVICES and |  |
| COMMITTEE ON LONG RANGE PLANNING |  |
| Annual Accountability Sub-Report on Health | November |
| Sciences |  |
| COMMITTEE ON INVESTMENTS |  |
| Annual Endowment Investment Report | February |
| Annual Report on Divestment Policies | September |
| Annual Report of the Treasurer | November |

## SCHEDULE OF REPORTS TO THE REGENTS

 [Pursuant to Bylaw 16.8(a)]Amended July 2009
Month(s) Presented or Mailed to Regents
COMMITTEE ON LONG RANGE PLANNING
Annual Accountability Sub-Report on University January
Private Support
Annual University of California Accountability May
Report
Annual Accountability Sub-Report on the Research November
Enterprise


The Regents
About The Regents
Committees
Biographies Contact the Regents
Student Regent
Faculty Representatives
Staff Advisors
Meetings
Agendas and Schedule
Approved Actions
Public Comment Policy
Bylaws
Standing Orders
Current Policies
Minutes
Officers of The Regents
Secretary and Chief of Staff
Chief Compliance and Audit Officer
General Counsel
Treasurer

Search Regents' Site
Regents' Home

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
OF THE REGENTS
1111 Franklin St. 12 th Floor
Oakland, CA 94607
tel (510) 987-9220
fax (510) 987-9224

Please email questions or comments about the Regents' website to Anne Shaw
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## Current Policies

## POLICY ON BOARD EDUCATION AND ASSESSMENT

Approved March 20, 2008
Amended July 17, 2008
(1) A formal orientation program be established for newly appointed Regents to assist them to perform their duties. The program shall provide information regarding the University's history and structure, the individual campuses, the broad range of policy issues expected to come before the Board as well as the recent history of issues before the Board, and the laws and policies that govern a Regent's fiduciary duties. Orientation sessions also shall be open to continuing Regents as appropriate.
(2) The Chair of the Committee on Governance, in consultation with the Chairman of the Board, assign continuing Regents to act as mentors on an ongoing basis for newly appointed Regents. In addition, Committee chairs shall consult with Regents newly appointed to their Committees to determine if a committee mentor is appropriate.
(3) The Board conduct periodic Board retreats to discuss governance and planning issues as needed. The Chairman of the Board, after consulting with the President and Board members, shall determine the timing, location, and agenda of the retreat. It is anticipated that the first retreat shall be conducted by the end of the third quarter of 2008.
(4) The Board evaluate Board performance through an appropriate process, determined by the Committee on Governance. The evaluation may be conducted in conjunction with a Board retreat or separately.

The Regents of the University of California
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## Preamble

The University of California is a public institution and a public trust. Its foundation and future depend on the continuing support of the people of California.

That support will only continue if the people-and their elected representatives-respect and trust those who lead the University system. That support will only continue if the people and their representatives are confident the University's executive leaders, senior managers, and Regents are doing all they should to ensure the institution is managed effectively and responsibly.

Trust and confidence in the administrative leadership of the University have declined precipitously over the last six months as unsettling and troubling information became known about a number of compensation-related activities and practices.

More specifically, the decline was the result of disclosures by the state's press and University officials that included cases of:

- Failure to release public compensation information in a clear and timely fashion.
- Inappropriate compensation, benefits, and perquisites for some executives in the University system.
- Inadequate attention to University compensation policies by leaders of the system and its campuses.
- Failure to consistently manage the institution's practices involving compensation in accord with fundamental, common sense business and management practices.
- Failure to report certain compensation-related information to the Regents as required and failure to comply with policies established by the Regents.

Such inappropriate and improper incidents as these-and the breakdown of effective, responsible administration of compensation-related policies that allowed them to occur-are all the more troubling when the University's history in this area is taken into account. In the early 1990s, in the wake of public controversy regarding executive compensation at the University, new policies were put in place by the Regents to prevent future problems in this area. At least some of the current problems would not have occurred if those policy reforms had been followed and enforced by the senior administrative leadership of the University system.

*     *         * 

While the nature and scope of compensation-related problems in the University system will not be known conclusively until several current inquiries are completed, it is already clear that the current situation is wholly unacceptable. Necessary steps must be initiated immediately at all levels to remedy the problems. Outdated policies and practices must be replaced. Necessary and appropriate checks and balances must be put in place and rigorously adhered to.

University executives and the Regents share overall responsibility for University policies and adherence thereto. They share responsibility for wise stewardship of University resources and vigorous, vigilant oversight of their use.
"[UC] is a public
institution and a
public trust. Its
foundation and
future depend on the
continuing support
of the people of

California."

The recent disclosures and the underlying details of those and other cases reviewed by the Task Force on UC Compensation, Accountability, and Transparency lead it to conclude that, at least as regards compensation, neither the executives who lead the University nor the Regents who oversee it have done all they could or should to fulfill their respective or shared responsibilities. Moreover, the current compensation policies and practices of the University are insufficient to achieve the high standards of accountability required by the people of California.

The Task Force-one of five official inquiries into compensation-related problems in the University system—was appointed in December 2005 by Gerald L. Parsky, chairman of the Regents of the University of California. Its charge was to conduct an independent review of the University's policies and practices on compensation for faculty and senior managers and on the release of public information regarding compensation and related matters, and to recommend improvements.

The Task Force met 10 times by telephone and in person. It reviewed information, reports, and studies on the policies and practices of the University regarding compensation and the release of public information. It reviewed information on compensation at peer universities. Various University officials were interviewed. At the eighth meeting of the Task Force, its members heard from and questioned the senior executive of the University of California, President Robert C. Dynes. The Task Force was assisted in all its efforts by staff from Deloitte Consulting LLP. Helpful information and background was provided on request by the Office immediately, ... and appropriate checks and balances...put
in place and
rigorously adhered
to." of the President of the University.

## * * *

Before proceeding to present its findings and recommendations, the Task Force underscores emphatically the fact that no question has been raised about the academic leadership of the University of California system. Esteem for the University's students and faculty-and their endeavors-is undiminished. Californians have a justifiably high view of the University as the capstone of public education in the state, as a global leader in education and scholarship, and as the site of cutting-edge research.

The University of California is one of the largest enterprises in the state. It is a vast, complex, and decentralized organization. More than 200,000 students and 120,000 faculty and staff are spread across 10 campuses, five medical centers, and three national laboratories. Its medical centers serve hundreds of thousands of patients and conduct more than three million outpatient visits annually.

If this public institution, so essential to California's present and future, is to stay strong, it must be carefully managed and operated effectively and efficiently. In this regard, the University of California system should operate in much the same way any other large enterprise must in today's intensely competitive and demanding environment.

But as the University pursues excellence in its future endeavors, it must not compromise its values or its fundamental missions, which are teaching, public service, and research. As necessary reforms are instituted, great care must be taken to ensure nothing is done that might undermine the quality, the confidence, or the high aspirations of the institution.

It is vitally important to the future of the University, and equally important to the future of the state and its people, that the University invest and spend what is required to maintain its position as one of the best university systems in the world. University compensation policies-and the total compensation packages it offers-must allow it to recruit and retain the faculty, administrators, and staff required to sustain its current standard of excellence.

The clear and unambiguous policy of the University must be to operate in a transparent manner and to make as much information as possible available to the public. Any information that is defined as public by statute or policy should be easily accessible, available in a reasonably short period following a request, and easily understandable to the average citizen. This is an essential component of restoring public confidence in the proper administration of the University system.

Going forward, the senior executives of the University must ensure that neither the appearance nor the reality develop yet again that the resources with which they are entrusted are used in ways that fall short of the public's reasonable expectations or the highest ethical and professional standards.

*     *         * 

Although the charge of the Task Force was to make recommendations regarding the University's policies and practices on compensation and on the release of public information regarding compensation and related matters, the Task Force feels obliged to assert that its recommendations will only be effective if the circumstances leading to the problems it reviewed are adequately and appropriately addressed.

The Task Force believes that the leadership of the University-both its senior executives and the Regents-must accept full responsibility for the problems that occurred and take whatever actions are necessary to ensure full accountability both retrospectively and in the future. To be effective-and accepted by the public whose trust and support are essential-accountability must include consequences, and the consequences must be consequential.

## "[Full] accountability must include

 consequences, and the consequences must beconsequential."

## Summary of Findings and Recommendations

## Disclosure and Transparency

1. The Task Force finds that the University of California has failed to honor, consistently and comprehensively, its obligation of public accountability. (p. 10)

## RECOMMENDATION (p. 10)

The University should develop and broadly communicate a systemwide policy governing the disclosure of compensation information to the public. Such a disclosure policy must balance public access, personal privacy, and institutional competitiveness by defining what UC considers public versus private/protected information. UC must also provide ongoing training for its leaders and managers about its compensation disclosure policies and practices.
2. The Task Force finds that, over the years, UC and its leaders have failed repeatedly to inform the Regents about the total compensation of senior managers as required by the Regents' 1992 Principles for Review of Executive Compensation. ${ }^{1}$ This failure has hindered the ability of the Regents to perform their responsibilities of governance and oversight in this key area and made it impossible to disclose such information to the public and the Legislature. (p.11)

## RECOMMENDATION (p. 11)

UC must ensure that all relevant information about compensation packages is provided to the Regents in advance of approval. Following Regents' approval, compensation information should be disclosed to the public in a timely manner.
3. The Task Force finds that UC's information systems are inadequate and unable to provide full and timely compensation information. (p. 12)

## RECOMMENDATION (p. 12)

The University should invest in a modern, comprehensive, integrated human-resources information system that enables compensation data to be quickly examined and analyzed-at the campuses, medical centers, national laboratories, and systemwide-so that UC can meet its obligation of public accountability.

Because the new systems will require a major investment of time, money, and staffing, the University should phase in implementation, beginning first with systems that track senior management compensation.
4. The Task Force finds that UC lacks a system to ensure reporting of total compensation for executives in accordance with policy. (p. 13)

RECOMMENDATION (p.14)
The University should establish clear protocols, procedures, and forms that allow for full and timely compensation reporting. These reports should include:

- Annual reports on base salaries for all UC employees.
- Annual reports on total compensation for UC executives.
- Annual reports on outside compensated professional activities.
- Compliance with annual reporting requirements to the Regents and the Legislature.
- Regular reviews of compensation policies and practices.
- Regular reports on compensation actions taken by the Regents at Board meetings as well as compensation actions taken between Board meetings.

5. The Task Force finds that current UC compensation policies are not well organized, not well understood, and difficult to access, either for internal or external use. (p. 14)

## RECOMMENDATION (p.14)

The University should improve public information and ensure that this information is readily available, including creating a new, easily accessible Web site for posting UC compensation information consistent with the other recommendations in this report.
6. The Task Force finds that the lack of consensus about what constitutes total compensation at the University of California exacerbates confusion about disclosure policies. (p.15)

## RECOMMENDATION (p. 15)

The Regents should reaffirm the definition of "total compensation" in the Regents' 1992 Principles for Review of Executive Compensation and further clarify some missing elements to ensure consistency with accepted standards and practices.
7. The Task Force finds that no one in the UC Office of the President is responsible for responding, on a systemwide basis, to public requests for information. (p. 15)

## RECOMMENDATION (p.15)

The UC Office of the President should immediately assign to one person the Public Information Practices Coordinator role. This staff member should coordinate all Public Records Act (PRA) requests and develop clear protocols and timelines for processing these requests.

Summary of Findings and Recommendations

## Governance and Accountability

1. The Task Force finds that UC's compliance with compensation policy is wholly inadequate and, in a number of cases, has failed or been circumvented. (p. 16)

RECOMMENDATION (p.17)
The Regents should examine specific aspects of the University's compliance mechanisms, and if necessary, make changes or introduce new oversight mechanisms to ensure compliance. Specifically, the Regents' Compensation Committee should have primary responsibility for setting compensation policies and providing necessary oversight to ensure compliance. In order for the Committee to exercise proper oversight, the President should designate a senior official from the Office of the President to serve as the administration's liaison to the Regents' Compensation Committee to implement the Committee's mandate. The University should also establish a compensation oversight committee to work with the administrative liaison to the Regents to ensure that recommendations reflect the needs of the campuses and the accountability requirements of the UC system.
2. The Task Force finds that the point of responsibility for compensation decision-making is inadequate, confusing, and poorly documented. The result is inadequate oversight. (p. 17)

## RECOMMENDATION (p.17)

The Regents should clearly delineate the respective authority of the Regents, the President, and the chancellors in approving compensation decisions. They should also specify which decisions can be delegated, the conditions under which decisions can be delegated, and the review and approval process for delegated decisions. Compensation decisions should be regularly audited to ensure that they are being made and approved at the appropriate levels.
3. The Task Force finds that UC grants so many exceptions to policy as to render the policies ineffective. Furthermore, these "exceptions" have become a convenient way to circumvent policies. (p. 18)

RECOMMENDATION (p. 18)
Compensation policies should include specific guidance about when exceptions to policy are appropriate, who may grant them, and through which mechanisms. Exceptions should be subject to rigorous review and advance approval by the appropriate higher authority. To monitor compliance, all exceptions should be reported to a central office or individual.
4. The Task Force finds few, if any, consequences for violating policy. (p. 18)

```
RECOMMENDATION (p.18)
```

Policies must include specific consequences for violations of compensation policy. Violations should be reported annually to the Regents' Compensation Committee and, where appropriate, sanctions should be issued.
5. The Task Force finds that UC's executive compensation problems are exacerbated by confusing, duplicative, overlapping, and sometimes conflicting compensation policies. (p. 19)

RECOMMENDATION (p. 19)
UC should immediately eliminate any conflicts in its compensation and related governance policies and clarify precisely which policies apply to different groups of employees.
6. The Task Force finds that the Regents' ability to provide oversight of compensation decisions has been weakened by the large number of compensation decisions they were expected to review. (p. 19)

## RECOMMENDATION (p.19)

The Task Force recommends that the Regents retain direct authority to approve compensation for the President, senior vice presidents, vice presidents, associate/assistant vice presidents, the university auditor, the university controller, principal officers of the Regents, chancellors and vice chancellors, national laboratory directors and deputy directors, medical center CEOs, professional school deans, and the top five most highly compensated positions at each UC location. This currently yields 264 individuals.
7. The Task Force finds that the University has an established whistleblower policy and should continue its education efforts regarding whistleblowers and ethical conduct. (p. 20)

## RECOMMENDATION (p. 20)

UC leaders should vigorously promote standards of ethical conduct and UC should continue to broadly communicate its whistleblower and anti-retaliation policies.

## Specific Policies and Practices

1. The Task Force finds that UC lacks clear guidelines on participation in externally compensated activities such as consulting or board service, which makes it impossible to ensure that outside activities do not interfere with the performance of UC duties. (p. 21)

RECOMMENDATION (p. 21)
The University should adopt specific limits on externally compensated activities to preclude conflicts of commitment on the part of senior executives. Based on leading best practices in governance from the public and private sectors, UC senior executives should be limited to serving on no more than three externally compensated boards.
2. The Task Force finds that existing UC policies governing senior managers' outside professional activities vary from those for faculty. This creates confusion for senior managers who also hold faculty appointments. (p.22)

RECOMMENDATION (p. 22)
Policies governing outside professional activities and board service for senior managers who also hold faculty appointments should be revised so that the senior manager policy prevails.
3. The Task Force finds that 1) UC executives have not followed University policies in granting paid leaves to departing chancellors and others; and 2) the Regents have not been properly informed at the time of appointment about the terms related to these leaves. (p. 22)

RECOMMENDATION (p.23)
The University should carefully review its policies on "administrative leaves in lieu of sabbaticals" for senior managers who also hold academic appointments, especially chancellors, and revisit the provision that these leaves be paid at the higher administrative salary rate rather than the faculty salary rate.
The University must also revisit the questionable practice of honoring sabbatical credits earned at other institutions to ensure it is in accordance with both the letter and the spirit of sabbatical policies. Furthermore, the Regents should eliminate the practice of making payments, at the commencement of employment, to compensate for forfeited sabbatical credits accrued at other institutions.

## Competitive Compensation

1. The Task Force finds that UC's compensation must be competitive if UC is to maintain its status as one of the great universities of the world. (p.24)
2. The Task Force finds that UC has entered a period of intense competition and that it is currently at a competitive disadvantage relative to most of its peers in the top tier of universities. (p.25)

RECOMMENDATION (p. 25)
The Regents should implement, in a vigorous and sustained manner, their compensation philosophy emphasizing the importance of competitive compensation as a means to maintain the quality of academic, management, and staff personnel.
3. The Task Force finds that the composition of UC's compensation program needs to be examined to assess its overall competitiveness. (p.26)

RECOMMENDATION (p.26)
The Regents should examine the composition of UC compensation to determine if the balance between cash compensation versus health and retirement benefits is optimal for recruitment and retention purposes. The Regents should approach this examination with the understanding that the underlying issues may differ among employee groups and that some issues are subject to the collective bargaining process.
4. The Task Force finds that regular benchmarking is the best way to ensure that compensation is competitive. (p.26)

RECOMMENDATION (p. 27)
The Regents should regularly benchmark the University's compensation against peer institutions to ensure that UC compensation remains competitive.
5. The Task Force finds that the Regents' Compensation Committee is the lynchpin to ensure proper compensation accountability, oversight, and competitiveness. (p. 27)

## RECOMMENDATION (p.27)

The Regents' Compensation Committee should identify and address as quickly as possible the key compensation challenges facing the University today, including the difficulties of competing for employees with better-funded institutions and the sometimes competing demands of market, merit, and equity.

## Findings and Recommendations

## Disclosure and Transparency

Full, proactive, and timely disclosure of information is central to the University of California's (UC) obligation to the people of California. Without full disclosure, the legitimacy of the University's role as a steward of public resources cannot be assured.

## "The University

 of California has1. The Task Force finds that the University of California has failed to honor, consistently and comprehensively, its obligation of public accountability.
> failed to honor, consistently and comprehensively, its obligation of public accountability."

For the University to fulfill its responsibilities as a public trust, there must be an institutional commitment to public disclosure. This commitment must be codified in disclosure policies that are clearly and broadly communicated, both internally and externally. Such policies must be implemented with vigor and monitored with care. In doing so, UC has the opportunity to emerge as a national leader.
The Task Force recognizes that the disclosure of compensation information may undercut UC's competitive position in recruiting and retaining top faculty, administrators, and staff. There is also a potential conflict between the public's right to full information and employees' privacy rights. The Regents should address this challenge with policies that balance public access, personal privacy, and organizational competitiveness.
In developing its disclosure policies, the University must clearly define what information will be released to the public and what will remain private or protected to guard the personal privacy rights of its employees, retirees, and vendors/contractors. At present, there is widespread confusion and debate throughout the UC Office of the President as well as campuses, schools, and departments about the appropriate level of disclosure. UC will need to revise employment applications and vendor contracts, where applicable, to obtain informed consent about the release of such information.

## RECOMMENDATION

The University should develop and broadly communicate a systemwide policy governing the disclosure of compensation information to the public. Such a disclosure policy must balance public access, personal privacy, and institutional competitiveness by defining what UC considers public versus private/protected information. UC must also provide ongoing training for its leaders and managers about its compensation disclosure policies and practices.
2. The Task Force finds that, over the years, UC and its leaders have failed repeatedly to inform the Regents about the total compensation of senior managers as required by the Regents' 1992 Principles for Review of Executive Compensation. ${ }^{2}$ This failure has hindered the ability of the Regents to perform their responsibilities of governance and oversight in this key area and made it impossible to disclose such information to the public and the Legislature.
In 1992, following an earlier controversy over executive compensation, the Regents adopted clear and comprehensive policies with respect to full disclosure of executive compensation-both to the Regents and to the public. However, on numerous occasions, the Regents have been provided information that is incomplete and inadequate for decision-making purposes. Such inadequate disclosure practices create the appearance that $U C$ exalts secrecy over openness and the public's right to information. The Regents must have complete information about compensation to perform their responsibilities of governance and oversight. By extension, full disclosure to the public of compensation approved by the Regents is essential to public accountability.

## RECOMMENDATION

UC must ensure that all relevant information about compensation packages is provided to the Regents in advance of approval. Following Regents' approval, compensation information should be disclosed to the public in a timely manner.

> "Full, proactive, and timely disclosure of information is central to the
> University of California's obligation to the people of California."
3. The Task Force finds that UC's information systems are inadequate and unable to provide full and timely compensation information.

UC can establish the best disclosure policies and practices but still fail to achieve them without a modern, integrated human-resources information system that enables comprehensive analysis, monitoring, and reporting of compensation information. UC's antiquated and decentralized human-resources information systems are inadequate to the task.
The problem cannot be overstated. The UC Office of the President and each of the 10 campuses, five medical centers, and three national laboratories all maintain their own systems that do not "talk" to each other. This makes it difficult, time-consuming, and expensive-if not impossible-to provide clear, consistent, and accurate systemwide data. Poor systems also hinder the University's ability to monitor policy compliance.

## "UCs's antiquated

## and decentralized

human-resources

## information

systems are
inadequate."
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For example, the University's payroll systems contain no universal coding for different types of compensation. Stipends may be coded as "stipends" on one campus and as "by-agreement payments" on another. Therefore, a search for "stipends" alone will yield incomplete data. Similarly, the category known as "by-agreement payments" includes stipends, incentive pay, clinical enterprise bonuses, and others. Verification of the purpose for these payments, as well as their compliance with policy, requires a manual search of campus paper files. In addition, inappropriate coding of compensation items could lead to improper inclusion for retirement and other benefit calculation purposes. More fundamentally, some relevant information, such as employee salary history, initial appointment date, non-salary compensation, and exceptions to policy are not currently captured systematically. This hinders the ability to audit compliance and prevents quick responses to the media, legislators, and the public. It can take weeks or months to respond to even the most basic public information requests. Two examples help to demonstrate this:

- Eligibility for the senior management deferred compensation (formerly "severance") program is based in part on an employee's appointment date, which is not maintained in the payroll record. So staff wishing to audit this program for appropriateness of payment must manually match payment information in one file with appointment data contained in a separate file.
- When the media asks whether a particular element of an employee's hiring package represents an exception to policy, UC Office of the President staff must first determine which policies apply and then gather information manually from paper files in multiple locations. They then must determine whether an exception to policy was made, and if so, whether it was properly justified. Finally, they must decide whether the exception was approved and disclosed properly. This lengthy process seriously undermines UC's public accountability.


## RECOMMENDATION

The University should invest in a modern, comprehensive, integrated human-resources information system that enables compensation data to be quickly examined and analyzed-at the campuses, medical centers, national laboratories, and systemwide-so that UC can meet its obligation of public accountability.
Because the new systems will require a major investment of time, money, and staffing, the University should phase in implementation, beginning first with systems that track senior management compensation.
4. The Task Force finds that UC lacks a system to ensure reporting of total compensation for executives in accordance with policy.

This extends to policies requiring disclosure to the Regents when they are asked to approve initial senior-level appointments, to the media and the public once Regents' compensation actions are taken, and in annual reports to the Regents and the Legislature.
Annual reports. An example of the lack of checks and balances is the administration's failure to submit required annual reports on executive compensation and compensated corporate board service for two years in a row. The reason given was that the staff person responsible for keeping track of reporting deadlines had retired. This is inexcusable. The University must fulfill its obligation to inform the Regents annually. In the future, such annual reports should be certified by the senior-most official responsible for the report's content, and then posted online following receipt by the Regents. Furthermore, the annual report to the Regents on executive compensation should be revised to include all elements of total compensation, as required by the 1992 Regents' Principles for Review of Executive Compensation.
Annual online reporting of all base salaries. The Task Force believes the University should annually release base salaries for all UC employees, not just senior managers. In considering this matter, the Task Force weighed two issues: 1) whether to limit reports just to senior managers, and not to all employees; and 2) whether to extend reporting from base salary to total cash compensation.
In the end, the Task Force believes that on the first issue, the public interest in disclosure extends to faculty, staff, athletic coaches, and others. Many public universities (the University of Michigan, University of Washington, University of Illinois, to name a few) proactively release a comprehensive listing of base salaries for all employees.
On the second issue, the Task Force is concerned that reporting more than base salary for all employees will put the University at a further competitive disadvantage in retaining top faculty. There have been reports that the recent media disclosure of UC total cash compensation has allowed competitors to make better and more informed offers to faculty.

These same factors do not apply to senior administrators. Therefore, the Task Force believes that the University should report online total compensation for the 264 individuals whose compensation has been recommended for approval by the Regents (see Governance and Accountability Recommendation \#6, p. 22).
"Annual Reports Checklist." The Secretary to the Regents should establish an "Annual Reports Checklist" to ensure compliance with reporting requirements to the Regents and the Legislature.
Regular review of policies. The Regents' Compensation Committee should direct a periodic review of policies and practices in the area of compensation to ensure that they are simple, clear, comprehensible, and functional.
Uniform forms of disclosure. The Task Force spent a considerable amount of time on the issue of reporting and developed a sample compensation disclosure form to serve as a prototype for providing information for executive appointments and promotions (see Appendix, pp. 38-39). Such a form should be presented to the Regents along with other background material when they are asked to approve the hiring or promotion of an executive. A form like this should be used throughout the UC system, so that others who review and approve such appointments and promotions also receive the same information.
"Confusion over
policy has contributed
to an impression that
rules and regulations
are being deliberately manipulated. [UC] must provide clear, simple, and easily accessible explanations of [its] compensation policies
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Immediately following the Regents' approval, the form could also be attached to the UC press release and posted on the Web, so that all elements of compensation are displayed in a clear, straightforward manner for the public and the media. Current practice in this area is inconsistent across the UC system. The UC Office of the President regularly reports base salary in its press releases on new appointments, whereas many campuses do not report even base salary. Consistency in disclosure across the UC system is paramount.
Regular and interim Board of Regents actions. The University also needs to refine its protocols to ensure timely Web-posting of compensation actions taken by the Regents at their regular board meetings.
With respect to actions taken between regular board meetings that can be approved by the President, the Chair of the Board of Regents, and the Chair of the Compensation Committee, the procedure is designed to provide flexibility in responding to urgent needs, including those involving recruitment and retention of key faculty and managers. These "interim board actions" are then reported to the full board at the next regularly scheduled meeting.
However, the lack of clear protocols has caused some high-profile items to "fall between the cracks"-leading to an impression that items are being hidden from the public and the full board.
The University needs to establish clear principles and procedures for determining what criteria need to be met (e.g., urgent retention cases that cannot wait for two months before the next board meeting) in order for a compensation package to be approved between regular board meetings.

## recommendation

The University should establish clear protocols, procedures, and forms that allow for full and timely compensation reporting. These reports should include:

- Annual reports on total compensation for UC executives.
- Annual reports on outside compensated professional activities.
- Compliance with annual reporting requirements to the Regents and the Legislature.
- Regular reviews of compensation policies and practices.
- Regular reports on compensation actions taken by the Regents at Board meetings as well as compensation actions taken between Board meetings.

5. The Task Force finds that current UC compensation policies are not well organized, not well understood, and difficult to access, either for internal or external use.
Confusion over policy has contributed to an impression that rules and regulations are being deliberately manipulated. The University must provide clear, simple, and easily accessible explanations of UC's compensation policies and practices.
A step in the right direction would be the creation of a proactive, regularly maintained Web site that is designed to provide easy-to-find information and explanations regarding UC compensation and benefits.

## RECOMMENDATION

The University should improve public information and ensure that this information is readily available, including creating a new, easily accessible Web site for posting UC compensation information consistent with the other recommendations in this report.

## 6. The Task Force finds that the lack of consensus about what constitutes total compensation at the University of California exacerbates confusion about disclosure policies.

The Regents' Principles for Review of Executive Compensation clearly define "total compensation" as "base salary, retirement and other benefits, perquisites, severance payments (except those made in connection with a dismissal or a litigation settlement), all forms of deferred compensation, supplemental retirement, all components of housing allowances or any other form of compensation applicable to the Officers of the University and the Principal Officers of the Regents...." ${ }^{3}$
The Task Force supports this definition and recommends that some specific elements of compensation receive further attention. For example, the Regents' Compensation Committee needs to decide whether "any other form of compensation" should include such items as: 1) performance-based incentive payments; 2) the value of Universityprovided housing for the President and the chancellors; 3) reimbursed expenses that are treated as taxable income; 4) the cost to UC of providing health insurance, net of employee contributions; 5) royalty payments from patents; or 6) the value of University-provided housing loans. In examining these and other elements, the Compensation Committee should consider leading standards and practices, such as those established by the federal Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for private corporations.

Policy statements should include glossaries of compensation terms that allow the Regents, employees, and the public to understand the meaning of terms such as "stipend," "relocation allowance," or "moving expenses."

## RECOMMENDATION

The Regents should reaffirm the definition of "total compensation" in the Regents' 1992 Principles for Review of Executive Compensation and further clarify some missing elements to ensure consistency with accepted standards and practices.
7. The Task Force finds that no one in the UC Office of the President is responsible for responding, on a systemwide basis, to public requests for information.

The UC Office of the President has not dedicated a position to dealing with Public Records Act (PRA) requests. This has led to confusion and delays, and has contributed to the impression that UC is not responsive to public requests for information.
Campuses each have PRA coordinators; so should the Office of the President. The position should ensure consistency and coordination among the campus PRA coordinators on systemwide issues, and be responsible not only for responding to information requests, but also for addressing proactively any emerging issues that may raise public concerns.

## RECOMMENDATION

The UC Office of the President should immediately assign to one person the Public Information Practices Coordinator role. This staff member should coordinate all Public Records Act (PRA) requests and develop clear protocols and timelines for processing these requests.

## Governance and Accountability

The Task Force heard from Regents, administrators, and faculty about the University of California's unique management challenges.

These challenges result from UC's size and complexity, including the breadth of its operations-from teaching and research on its 10 campuses, to providing health care and medical education at its five medical centers, to conducting research at its three national laboratories. Further complicating UC governance is that it is formally shared among the Board of Regents, the administration, and the faculty, with considerable authority for day-today operations delegated from the UC Regents to the President and to the campus chancellors, medical center directors, and national laboratory directors.

While the Task Force recognizes that innovation requires a certain amount of flexibility for

## "UC's compliance

 senior managers at the Office of the President as well as at the campus level, the need for local flexibility cannot be allowed to excuse or mask a failure to comply with existing policies and rules. Moreover, the University draws much of its strength from the fact that it is a single with compensation organization. Consistency in the application, interpretation, and enforcement of policy is a fundamental requirement.
## policy is wholly

inadequate and, in

1. The Task Force finds that UC's compliance with compensation policy is wholly inadequate and, in a number of cases, has failed or been circumvented.

## a number of cases,

## has failed or been

circumvented."

The Office of the President has failed to comply with the Regents' compensation policy and failed to enforce compliance by the campuses. This failure has undermined the University's credibility and appropriately led to demands for greater accountability.
The Task Force believes that the recently created Regents' Compensation Committee should have primary responsibility for setting compensation policies and providing necessary oversight to ensure compliance. The President should designate a senior official to serve as liaison to the Regents' Compensation Committee, and establish a compensation oversight committee to support the senior official. Such a joint Regents-administrative oversight structure would improve the interpretation and enforcement of policy and accountability for compensation practices.
In addition, the Task Force believes the Regents have taken a good first step by announcing the creation of a new Compliance Officer position. The Task Force supports the idea of a position whose sole responsibility is to monitor policy compliance and whose independence is reinforced by a direct reporting line to the Board.
These oversight mechanisms should also help to address another problem: the failure to ensure that University policies and principles survive leadership changes in management and on the Board of Regents. Ignorance of policy cannot be used to excuse noncompliance with policy.

## RECOMMENDATION

The Regents should examine specific aspects of the University's compliance mechanisms, and if necessary, make changes or introduce new oversight mechanisms to ensure compliance. Specifically, the Regents' Compensation Committee should have primary responsibility for setting compensation policies and providing necessary oversight to ensure compliance. In order for the Committee to exercise proper oversight, the President should designate a senior official from the Office of the President to serve as the administration's liaison to the Regents' Compensation Committee to implement the Committee's mandate. The University should also establish a compensation oversight committee to work with the administrative liaison to the Regents to ensure that recommendations reflect the needs of the campuses and the accountability requirements of the UC system.
2. The Task Force finds that the point of responsibility for compensation decision-making is inadequate, confusing, and poorly documented. The result is inadequate oversight.
The Task Force found, in many cases, that University policies are either silent or ambiguous about the respective responsibilities of the Regents, the President, and the chancellors with regard to compensation decisions; authorities that have been or should be delegated and to whom; and the controls in place to monitor and oversee this delegation and exercise of authority. In other cases, existing authority structures are unwieldy and ineffective.
This delegation is explained as decentralization that is necessary from an academic perspective: the essential work of the University, after all, is conducted not at the Office of the President, but at the campuses, the medical centers, and the national laboratories. Local decision-making is essential in an institution as vast as the University of California. While some degree of decentralization is appropriate, controls and guidelines must be in place to ensure that delegations are appropriate and fully documented and that all necessary approvals have been obtained.

## RECOMMENDATION

The Regents should clearly delineate the respective authority of the Regents, the President, and the chancellors in approving compensation decisions. They should also specify which decisions can be delegated, the conditions under which decisions can be delegated, and the review and approval process for delegated decisions. Compensation decisions should be regularly audited to ensure that they are being made and approved at the appropriate levels.

## APPENDIX 11

3. The Task Force finds that UC grants so many exceptions to policy as to render the policies ineffective. Furthermore, these "exceptions" have become a convenient way to circumvent policies.

Most Regents' policies do not specify a process for the granting of exceptions, yet the President and the chancellors regularly grant exceptions to policy. In some cases, exceptions have been documented, justified, and reported to the appropriate levels of authority. But in others, exceptions appear to have been made somewhat casually, without written justification or reporting to a higher level of authority. The Task Force understands that there are legitimate reasons to grant exceptions to compensation policies, particularly for recruitment or retention purposes. In these cases, the University should establish explicit exception mechanisms. On the other hand, some policies may be inviolate. The University should make clear when "exceptions" may be granted and by whom. We observed numerous examples of apparent policy violations (for example, relocation allowances for individuals who appear to be ineligible for such allowances) that were explained away by UC administration not as violations but as "exceptions" to policy. The Task Force is unimpressed by the distinctions. At minimum, a reasonable person might conclude that an "exception" to policy that is neither documented, justified, nor reported to and/or approved by higher levels of authority, constitutes a violation.

## RECOMMENDATION

Compensation policies should include specific guidance about when exceptions to policy are appropriate, who may grant them, and through which mechanisms. Exceptions should be subject to rigorous review and advance approval by the appropriate higher authority. To monitor compliance, all exceptions should be reported to a central office or individual.
4. The Task Force finds few, if any, consequences for violating policy.

An even greater concern to the Task Force is that University policy fails to specify consequences for violations or to contain adequate enforcement mechanisms. At the least, the Task Force believes violations should have consequences, ranging from negative impacts on an employee's performance review to outright dismissal.

## RECOMMENDATION

Policies must include specific consequences for violations of compensation policy. Violations should be reported annually to the Regents' Compensation Committee and, where appropriate, sanctions should be issued.

## "There are legitimate reasons to grant exceptions...[but] an

exception...that is neither documented, justified, [reported or
5. The Task Force finds that UC's executive compensation problems are exacerbated by confusing, duplicative, overlapping, and sometimes conflicting compensation policies.
The Task Force identified weaknesses in UC's compensation policies that limit the University's ability to ensure compliance. Compensation policies are out of date. Many of them duplicate and/or conflict with one another. For example, various aspects of compensation are addressed-not always consistently-in Regents' policy, in Presidential policy, and in faculty policies. The University should immediately revise its policies to eliminate conflicts among the various policies.

## RECOMMENDATION

UC should immediately eliminate any conflicts in its compensation and related governance policies and clarify precisely which policies apply to different groups of employees.
6. The Task Force finds that the Regents' ability to provide oversight of compensation decisions has been weakened by the large number of compensation decisions they were expected to review.

At present, the Regents approve compensation levels for more than 2,000 employees. The Regents should retain authority for approving compensation for the University's most senior positions, but the number of these decisions cannot be so large as to preclude thoughtful and thorough consideration of each decision.
The Task Force believes that approval by the Regents of compensation for the top 32 positions-a Board action recently adopted on an interim basis-is too limited to allow for appropriate Board-level oversight and accountability. In weighing these factors, the Task Force believes that the Regents should approve compensation for the President, senior vice presidents, vice presidents, associate/assistant vice presidents, the university auditor, the university controller, principal officers of the Regents, chancellors and vice chancellors, national laboratory directors and deputy directors, medical center CEOs, professional school deans (who occupy highly visible positions and are often among the most highly compensated), and the top five most highly compensated positions at each UC location. This group comprises 264 individuals.

## RECOMMENDATION

The Task Force recommends that the Regents retain direct authority to approve compensation for the President, senior vice presidents, vice presidents, associate/assistant vice presidents, the university auditor, the university controller, principal officers of the Regents, chancellors and vice chancellors, national laboratory directors and deputy directors, medical center CEOs, professional school deans, and the top five most highly compensated positions at each UC location. This group comprises 264 individuals.
7. The Task Force finds that the University has an established whistleblower policy and should continue its education efforts regarding whistleblowers and ethical conduct.

The University's whistleblower and anti-retaliation policies are well-established and its processes provide multiple avenues (including an independently operated hotline) for reporting complaints and policy violations. It has recently created a new Statement of Ethical Values and Standards of Ethical Conduct and is currently developing a Web-based training program to disseminate the values and standards broadly. ${ }^{4}$ These programs are essential to the promotion of a culture of ethical behavior and an environment where employees feel free to report any concerns without fear of retribution.
However, few of the issues recently covered by the media surfaced from employees through University "hotlines" for reporting malfeasance.

## RECOMMENDATION

UC leaders should vigorously promote standards of ethical conduct and UC should continue to broadly communicate its whistleblower and anti-retaliation policies.

## Specific Policies and Practices

Time and again, the Task Force heard about compensation practices that did not comport with University compensation policies. Further, we found an absence of checks and balances to monitor practices for policy compliance.
The pressure on department chairs, deans, provosts, chancellors, and the President is intensifying to recruit and retain outstanding faculty, administrators, and staff in an increasingly competitive environment at a time when the University's financial resources are severely limited by constraints on state spending. In this environment, policies and guidelines must be carefully crafted, transparent, well-understood, and rigorously implemented.
The Task Force was unable to review all the University's compensation policies. But a few areas deserve immediate attention.

## 1. The Task Force finds that UC lacks clear guidelines on participation in externally compensated activities such as consulting or board service, which makes it impossible to ensure that outside activities do not interfere with the performance of UC duties.

It is common at UC and other universities for senior administrators and faculty to engage in a variety of outside professional activities, from serving on corporate boards or national commissions to consulting. The Task Force recognizes that these pursuits add value to the University and to the individual. In fact, existing UC Regents' policy encourages UC's senior executives to serve on non-profit boards and public commissions, as well as civic and community boards. These activities are often an extension of senior executives' academic work and university leadership; they provide opportunities for senior leaders to engage with and serve their local communities; they extend UC's influence, reach, and usefulness to national and international arenas; and they are consistent with the practices at other public and private universities.
Notwithstanding these benefits, the Task Force strongly believes that UC senior executives' service on externally compensated boards should be limited based on a standard of reasonableness. Such service must not represent a conflict of commitment, thereby detracting from one's University duties. Nor should it create a conflict of interest. Furthermore, UC practices should be in accordance with leading standards prescribed for private corporations. Some recent cases at UC stand in stark contrast to emerging best practices in the private sector, as well as raise questions about conflict of commitment.
Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS), a leading company that advises investors on board issues, recently recommended that corporate executives should be limited to serving on three outside boards and CEOs should serve on no more than two. ${ }^{5}$ Moreover, in the three years since the Sarbanes-Oxley Act took effect, the national trend among CEOs seems to be going towards reducing board service, not increasing it. ${ }^{6}$
Further, UC leadership should be guided by common sense about whether paid service on multiple boards draws attention away from an individual's University responsibilities.

## RECOMMENDATION

The University should adopt specific limits on externally compensated activities to preclude conflicts of commitment on the part of senior executives. Based on leading best practices in governance from the public and private sectors, UC senior executives should be limited to serving on no more than three externally compensated boards.
2. The Task Force finds that existing UC policies governing senior managers' outside professional activities vary from those for faculty. This creates confusion for senior managers who also hold faculty appointments.

Policies governing outside professional activities for faculty and senior managers conflict in terms of how much time may be devoted to such activities, and approval and reporting requirements. This is a particular problem for senior managers who also hold faculty appointments, such as the chancellors. For these employees, both sets of conflicting policies currently apply.
The Task Force believes this must be remedied at once. For example, faculty policy permits full-time faculty members to engage in externally compensated activities for up to 39 days per academic year. But senior manager policy requires senior managers to use vacation time for these activities. The maximum vacation that any senior manager can accrue is 24 days per calendar year. So which policy prevails for a senior manager who is also a faculty member- 24 days or 39 days? Again, the appearance is that UC executives can simply choose the most advantageous policy-or in this case, multiple policies-to follow.

## RECOMMENDATION

Policies governing outside professional activities and board service for senior managers who also hold faculty appointments should be revised so that the senior manager policy prevails.
3. The Task Force finds that 1) UC executives have not followed University policies in granting paid leaves to departing chancellors and others; and 2) the Regents have not been properly informed at the time of appointment about the terms related to these leaves.

The practice at UC is for chancellors and other top administrators to take a one-year paid leave, at their administrative rate of pay, upon stepping down from office. Even though this type of leave-called an "administrative leave in lieu of sabbatical"-is allowed under policy, the arrangements and their terms have not been consistently disclosed to the Regents and the public, nor have these arrangements always adhered to the letter or the spirit of policy.
The Task Force is concerned about the following practices:

- The practice of "honoring" faculty sabbatical credits earned at a prior institution, as has been the case with some recent chancellor appointments;
- Paying year-long "administrative leaves in lieu of sabbatical" at the higher administrative salary rather than at the faculty salary;
- Waiving the requirement to return to performing faculty duties upon completion of the leave; and
- Making a lump-sum payment at the commencement of employment to compensate for forfeited sabbatical leave accrued at a prior institution. The Task Force is not aware of such a practice at any other institution.
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In an attempt to understand the policy rationales for these actions, the Task Force reviewed Regents' policies, presidential policies, and faculty policies. We found that policies
governing leaves for senior managers who also hold faculty appointments are particularly unclear and can be easily misinterpreted-and thus, easily manipulated to provide the desired outcome.

The Task Force finds this unacceptable because:

- The purpose of sabbatical leave is to enable faculty members, and senior managers returning to the faculty, to engage in extensive research and study, "to become more effective teachers and scholars and to enhance their services to the University." ${ }^{7}$ This is not accomplished unless there is a return to university service after the leave.
- Sabbatical leaves are granted for the benefit of the University, not for the benefit of the individual. This argues against the recent practice of honoring sabbatical credits accrued at another institution. Even more dramatically, it illustrates that making a lump-sum payment at the start of employment for sabbatical credits earned at a prior institution contradicts the sabbatical policy rationale. Such a payment is clearly a benefit to the individual and not to the institution, and it does not comport with the return-to-university-service requirement.
The Task Force found no evidence that other institutions honor sabbatical credits earned at a prior institution when recruiting senior administrators.
Under policy, designated administrators with faculty appointments-chancellors, provosts, deans, and others-who have served at least five years in their administrative positions and have accrued the required faculty sabbatical leave credits are allowed to take "administrative leave in lieu of sabbatical," immediately following their administrative service, up to a maximum of one year, at their higher administrative salaries, as long as they follow the return-to-UC-service requirement.
The Task Force supports the general policy of providing for a sabbatical-like leave for departing senior administrators to enable them to re-engage in academic pursuits, but it takes exception to the rate of pay. While the higher administrative rate of pay may be appropriate for deans on administrative leave in lieu of sabbatical (many of whom return to their dean positions following the leave), it is not appropriate for higher-level administrators. If the higher rate of pay is intended as a reward for serving as a senior manager or as a recruitment incentive at the time of hire, then the University should acknowledge this publicly and explicitly, and call it a signing bonus rather than granting it as a form of sabbatical leave.


## RECOMMENDATION

The University should carefully review its policies on "administrative leaves in lieu of sabbaticals" for senior managers who also hold academic appointments, especially chancellors, and revisit the provision that these leaves be paid at the higher administrative salary rate rather than the faculty salary rate.
The University must also revisit the questionable practice of honoring sabbatical credits earned at other institutions to ensure it is in accordance with both the letter and the spirit of sabbatical policies. Furthermore, the Regents should eliminate the practice of making payments, at the commencement of employment, to compensate for forfeited sabbatical credits accrued at other institutions.

## "Sabbatical leaves

are granted for
the benefit of the
University, not for
the benefit of the
individual."

## Competitive Compensation

The State of California is, by most measures, a nation-state. Its economy is the eighthlargest in the world. Its population is projected to reach 50 million by 2025. California is world-renowned for its leadership in industries built on scientific research and technological innovation. This leadership results from California's longstanding commitment to higher education and scientific research.

Other states now recognize what California realized long ago: that economic prosperity and social well-being in a global knowledge-based economy require public investment in knowledge resources. That is, regions must create and sustain a highly educated and innovative work force, supported through policies and investments in cutting-edge technology, a knowledge infrastructure, and human capital development. Key in this effort is the presence of world-class research universities.
"For UC to best serve the people of California,...the University must remain in the top tier of the world's research universities."

Throughout the past century, the people of California have benefited immensely from just such investments in building what many believe today to be the finest public university system in the world. Clearly the future of the state will depend even more on sustaining the global leadership of this remarkable institution, and this, in turn, will depend upon attracting and retaining top faculty talent in an ever more competitive global marketplace.

For UC to best serve the people of California, the Task Force believes that the University must remain in the top tier of the world's research universities. To maintain this level of distinction, it must be able to provide its faculty, administrators, and staff a level of compensation that is competitive with that offered by universities in its peer group. ${ }^{8}$

In November 2005, the UC Regents recognized this principle by adopting a statement of compensation philosophy to guide compensation decisions by the University:
The quality of academic, management, and staff personnel is essential to maintain the excellence of the University of California and its ability to contribute to the health and vitality of the State of California. UC's strategy is to attract and retain the highest-quality academic, managerial, and staff talent by offering competitive total remuneration. ${ }^{9}$

1. The Task Force finds that UC's compensation must be competitive if UC is to maintain its status as one of the great universities of the world.

It is vitally important to the future of the University, the state, and its people that the University invest and spend what is required to maintain its position as one of the best university systems in the world. University compensation policies and packages must allow UC to recruit and retain the faculty, administrators, and staff required to sustain its current standard of excellence.
2. The Task Force finds that UC has entered a period of intense competition and that it is currently at a competitive disadvantage relative to most of its peers in the top tier of universities.

The quality of a university is determined primarily by the ability of its faculty, administrators, and staff, all of whom are central to the University's success. The Task Force cannot emphasize strongly enough just how competitive the current faculty recruiting (and retention) environment has become, and how intense the pressure has become on department chairs, deans, and senior officers to maintain the quality of faculty, administrative, and staff leadership.
Leading public research universities face extraordinary challenges today when attracting the best employees. For example, the competition for top faculty among leading research universities has become what economists would call a "winner-take-all" market. In recruiting for all categories of employees, UC is at a distinct disadvantage relative to comparable private universities, which have seen their endowments soar after many years of extraordinary fundraising success and strong stock market performance. UC, by contrast, has suffered from shrinking state budgets that have restricted the University's resources and flexibility.

## RECOMMENDATION

The Regents should implement, in a vigorous and sustained manner, their compensation philosophy emphasizing the importance of competitive compensation as a means to maintain the quality of academic, management, and staff personnel.

## APPENDIX 11

3. The Task Force finds that the composition of UC's compensation program needs to be examined to assess its overall competitiveness.

Recent studies have confirmed that while total compensation programs at the University have eroded somewhat, they continue to be comparable to those of the leading public universities in America. However, the bias within UC compensation programs toward benefits rather than cash compensation has led to salaries that are no longer competitive for some employee groups, particularly when other factors such as the cost of living are considered.
The Task Force observed that the composition of UC's compensation program is weighted toward health and retirement benefits. In every employee category, UC cash compensation is significantly below the median level of the comparison group. This can put UC at a disadvantage because, while UC's retirement and health benefits are generous, many younger employees place a significantly greater value on cash compensation than on health or retirement benefits that will not be of value for several decades. The same is true for those employees who do not expect to remain employed by the University for their entire careers, and therefore may never realize the value of these benefits. For employees who join UC late in their careers, UC's benefits package may be of little value because these employees will not serve long enough to earn significant benefits. Additionally, median compensation figures do not take into account the differences in California's cost of livingand especially the very high housing costs in most of the regions where UC campuses are located-relative to the cost of living for comparable universities.
Because of the premium employees place on cash compensation (an area in which UC is well below market) and the exorbitant cost of housing in California, UC compensation, arguably, may be below market for many employees.
The Task Force understands that the issues to be examined in reviewing the balance of cash compensation versus health and retirement benefits are very complicated and may differ among employee groups. In addition, the Task Force recognizes that for represented employees, these important issues are subject to the collective bargaining process.

## RECOMMENDATION

The Regents should examine the composition of UC compensation to determine if the balance between cash compensation versus health and retirement benefits is optimal for recruitment and retention purposes. The Regents should approach this examination with the understanding that the underlying issues may differ among employee groups and that some issues are subject to the collective bargaining process.
4. The Task Force finds that regular benchmarking is the best way to ensure that compensation is competitive.

The financial challenges faced by the University put it at a distinct competitive disadvantage in recruiting and retaining faculty, senior leaders, and staff. This challenge is exacerbated by the location of the UC campuses in some of the most expensive communities in America, characterized by unusually high housing costs (or considerable commuting distances from affordable housing).
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The rising wealth of its peer universities and the escalating price of housing in UC campus communities make it essential that the University benchmark its compensation on a regular basis to ensure competitive compensation. Independent benchmarking data, like that used in the California Postsecondary Education Commission's and other independent market studies, is crucial to analyzing the competitiveness of UC compensation relative to its peers, particularly the top-tier public and private universities with which UC most often competes for talent.

## RECOMMENDATION

The Regents should regularly benchmark the University's compensation against peer institutions to ensure that UC compensation remains competitive.
5. The Task Force finds that the Regents' Compensation Committee is the lynchpin to ensure proper compensation accountability, oversight, and competitiveness.
Competitive compensation is critical to sustaining the University's excellence and contributions to California. In view of the intensely competitive marketplace for faculty, staff, and administrative leadership, the University must establish strong oversight of its compensation so that it does not find itself in this situation again. In January 2006, the Regents established a Compensation Committee to oversee these issues. The Task Force acknowledges and supports this move.
In the coming months and years, the Compensation Committee must address a number of critical questions. For example,

- How, in today's extremely competitive market and given its lower overall resources, compared especially with its private competitors, can the University compensate employees at the levels needed to maintain its quality and ensure its continued ability to contribute to the overall economic and social health of the State of California?
- How should the University's compensation practices best balance the need to respond to the demands of the market, recognize individual merit, and provide equity among employees?
- Are faculty and other salary scales out-of-step with today's market and, if so, has this misalignment contributed to increases in the number of "exceptions" to policy?
- Can and should compensation packages be simplified (for example, by combining one-time cash payments and special allowances for housing, transportation, etc. into a signing bonus at the time of appointment in cases where base pay is inappropriately low)?


## RECOMMENDATION

The Regents' Compensation Committee should identify and address as quickly as possible the key compensation challenges facing the University today, including the difficulties of competing with better-funded institutions for employees and the sometimes competing demands of market, merit, and equity.
"Competitive
compensation is
critical to sustaining
the University's
excellence and
contributions to

## California.

The Task Force believes it essential that the University's leadership carefully craft its compensation policies and practices, rigorously implement and enforce them, and hold senior leaders accountable.

UC needs a sea change in current policies and practices, as well as in a University culture long accustomed to using exceptions to work around inadequate or obsolete policies rather than establishing and ensuring compliance with clear guidelines. To institutionalize and sustain the Task Force's recommendations, the University must focus simultaneously on disclosure and transparency, governance and accountability, and competitive compensation.

The Task Force challenges the University to hold itself accountable to the public that supports
it. In approaching the tasks laid out in this report-which will require several years to complete if done well-the University must articulate its goals publicly, embark on a process that is appropriately open, and communicate the results to the public, the Governor and the Legislature, internal stakeholders, and other interested parties. Anything short of this will further erode the public trust and confidence necessary to sustain the University.

Additionally, as a final step in this accountability process, the Task Force urges the Regents to authorize, in three years, a similarly constituted, independent body to review and report back on the University's progress in these areas.

In closing, we state unequivocally that the Task Force takes this strong stance, expressed with a tone of urgency, to protect the institution that is the crown jewel in California's higher education system and the envy of every state as well as countless nations. The University of California is not only the finest public university in the world; it is a vital positive force in the everyday lives of the people of California. Indeed, the State of California has benefited enormously from its investment in the University.

It is clear that preserving the quality of the University of California requires compensation policies, programs, and practices capable of attracting and retaining top academic, administrative, and staff talent in an ever more competitive global marketplace. The leadership of the University must meet its obligations of stewardship for the considerable investments of past generations of Californians to build such a remarkable institution, while accepting the responsibilities to preserve and enhance this quality for future generations.
> "UC needs a sea change in...University culture....The Task Force takes this strong stance...to protect the institution that is the crown jewel in California's higher education

## Letter from Task Force Members

We, the members of the Task Force on UC Compensation, Accountability, and Transparency, declare that this report represents our best understanding, analysis, and guidance concerning the issues around UC compensation policies and practices. The issues raised in this report are substantive and demand diligent efforts at reform and rectification. We intend our recommendations to inform these efforts.

The extensive deliberations of the Task Force were based on information provided by a number of internal and external sources. Our recommendations were arrived at after considering all available information and by rigorously examining the practices, policies, and processes that have guided past compensation decision-making. We acknowledge that issues could arise in the future that might well affect our conclusions and recommendations.

We hereby submit this report.

Sincerely,


Robert M. Hertzberg
Co-Chair

aleut

B. Kipling Hagopian



Co-Chair


James E. Morley, Jr.
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## Dede Alpert
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Lozano is Publisher and Chief Executive Officer of La Opinión, the largest Spanish-language daily newspaper in the U.S., as well as Senior Vice President of ImpreMedia, LLC. She serves on numerous corporate and non-profit boards, and is a former member of the California State Board of Education. She is also a member of the UC Board of Regents.

## James E. (Jay) Morley, Jr.

Morley has served for the past 10 years as President and CEO of the National Association of College and University Business Officers (NACUBO), a Washington, D.C.-based organization dedicated to higher education administrative and financial issues. He is former Senior Vice President at Cornell University.

## John Oakley

Oakley is Chair of the UC systemwide Academic Senate, which represents the faculty in the shared governance of the University. Oakley is Professor of Law at the University of California, Davis, where he has been on the faculty since 1975. He is also an Associate in the Department of Philosophy at UC Davis.

## THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

December 19, 2005

Ms. Dede Alpert

## Dear Ms. Alpert:

Thank you for agreeing to serve on the Task Force on University Compensation that will be co-chaired by former Speaker Robert Hertzberg and Regent Joanne Kozberg. I greatly appreciate your investment of personal time in helping the University address critical issues of public accountability and institutional competitiveness as expressed through our compensation program.

The Task Force shall advise the Chairman of The Regents on how to improve the University of California's policies and practices governing the compensation of faculty and senior managers and the disclosure of such compensation to The Regents and the public. In order to accomplish this task, please:

1. Review the current Regents' compensation policies and practices for faculty and senior managers, and recommend appropriate changes, and
2. Review current disclosure policies and practices, and recommend appropriate changes to achieve the University's responsibilities as a public institution while also protecting the personal privacy rights of University employees as required by the law.

In doing so, please review the compensation policies and practices, as well as disclosure policies and practices, for faculty and senior managers at other universities.

As you carry out these tasks, please bear in mind that California's economic competitiveness and social well being, as well as the educational attainment and upward social mobility of its residents, is dependent on the University's academic excellence and student access. This, in turn, is dependent in some measure on achieving The Regents' goal of providing competitive compensation for its faculty and administrative employees.
I ask that you report the recommendations of the Task Force to me by March 1, 2006, and provide The Regents with an interim report at the January Regents' meeting. The report of the Task Force will be released to the public.

My office will be in touch with you shortly to schedule the first meeting of the Task Force. In the meantime, please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,


## REGENTS' POLICIES

## PRINCIPLES FOR REVIEW OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Approved November 19, 1993
WHEREAS, the Regents recognize that the people of California have entrusted them with the responsibility for careful stewardship of the resources of the University of California; and
WHEREAS, the Regents are committed to public access, awareness, knowledge, and understanding of The Regents' decision-making processes; and

WHEREAS, public concerns about the openness of Regents' deliberations with regard to executive compensation require a response; NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the following principles shall obtain with regard to activities involving executive compensation:

1. Executive compensation shall be defined as including base salary, retirement and other benefits, perquisites, severance payments (except those made in connection with a dismissal or a litigation settlement), all forms of deferred compensation, supplemental retirement, all components of housing allowances or any other form of compensation applicable to the Officers of the University and the Principal Officers of The Regents, as currently and as may subsequently be described in the Bylaws and Standing Orders of The Regents. Pursuant to Standing Order 100.1, the Officers of the University are the President, Senior Vice Presidents, Vice Presidents, Associate Vice Presidents, Assistant Vice Presidents, University Auditor, University Controller, Chancellors, Vice Chancellors, Directors and Deputy Directors of Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, and the Los Alamos National Scientific Laboratory, and Directors of University hospitals. The Principal Officers of The Regents, as consistent with Bylaw 20, are the Secretary, Treasurer and General Counsel; and
2. Discussions of and actions on executive compensation programs shall occur in open session of the Subcommittee on Officers' Salaries and Administrative Funds and/or the Committee on Finance. All members of the Board shall be invited to attend such meetings. Final action regarding such programs shall occur in open session of the Board at a meeting held no sooner than twenty days following the meeting at which a recommendation requiring Board approval shall have been approved by the Committee on Finance. Information and background materials shall fully and clearly disclose all relevant and material facts related to executive compensation programs, such as annual reviews of market data and comparison studies that form the analytical bases for the establishment of executive compensation levels. These materials shall be provided in advance of the meeting in such a manner as to afford sufficient opportunity for review and understanding of the contents; and
3. Discussions concerning appointment, status of employment, performance evaluations and compensation of individual officers specifically discussed in conjunction with such evaluations, and actions with respect to recommendations concerning such matters, shall occur in closed sessions of the Subcommittee on Officers' Salaries and Administrative Funds and/or the Committee on Finance, consistent with the Education Code and the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act. All members of the Board shall be invited to attend. Final action regarding such matters shall occur in closed session of the Board, except that final action regarding compensation for the President, Vice Presidents, Chancellors, Treasurer, Associate Treasurer, General Counsel, and Secretary shall occur in open session of the Board as the last action item on the agenda. The specific proposal will be made available to members of the public in attendance, prior to the commencement of the open session at which it will be voted upon.

Agendas for such meetings shall be provided to all Regents in advance of the meeting and shall contain information and background materials sufficient to lead to a full understanding of the matters under discussion, including all compensation elements relevant to each individual officer under consideration.

The meeting notice for any meeting at which compensation for the Principal Officers of The Regents, President, Vice Presidents, Chancellors, and Associate Treasurer shall be voted upon shall so state; and
4. Any paid leave of absence for Officers of the University, as defined above, granted by the President pursuant to Standing Order 100.4(e), shall be reported to the Board by the President. Any paid leave of absence for the President, or for Principal Officers of The Regents, as defined above, shall be approved by The Regents; and
5. All actions affecting executive compensation and paid leaves of absence for Officers of the University and Principal Officers of The Regents shall be released to the public in a timely manner consistent with Bylaw 14.7. It is the intention of The Regents that administrative mechanisms to implement this provision shall be coordinated, strengthened and refined; and
6. Effective July 1, 1992, and thereafter, annual reports on the level of compensation and funding sources for Officers of the University and Principal Officers of The Regents shall be approved by The Regents and submitted by the President to the California Postsecondary Education Commission, the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, and the relevant policy and fiscal committees of the Legislature and the Governor.
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## SAMPLE APPOINTMENT COMPENSATION DISCLOSURE FORM

## COMPENSATION FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF [INDIVIDUAL'S NAME] AS [POSITION] OF [UC LOCATION]

As [position] of [UC location], [individual's name] will receive a salary of $\$ 260,000$. (This is the same salary as his predecessor, [predecessor's name], as well as the salary for [same/comparable position at different UC location]). [Individual's name] currently receives a salary of $\$ 234,000$, representing an increase of $\$ 26,000$.
[Individual's name] will continue to participate in the University of California Senior Management Group which includes the Senior Management Supplemental Benefit Plan in which he is eligible to receive 5 percent of his base salary in supplemental contribution to his retirement plan. [Individual's name] will also receive a car allowance of $\$ 743$ per month. This brings his total ongoing compensation to $\$ 281,916$. There is no one-time compensation (e.g., signing bonus, relocation, other one-time expenses).

| TOTAL EMPLOYEE COMPENSATION <br> Including, if applicable, salary, perquisites, future benefits, <br> other (DETAILS ATTACHED) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| TOTAL ONE-TIME COMPENSATION |  |
| Including relocation and other one-time expenses |  |
| (DETAILS ATTACHED) | $\mathbf{\$ 2 8 1 , 9 1 6}$ |
| EXCEPTIONS TO POLICY <br> $\square$ YES <br> NO | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Signatory

Title

THESE PAGES WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC
UPON APPROVAL BY THE REGENTS
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# UC Board of Regents Compensation Disclosure and Oversight of Positions 4/4/06 

The UC Board of Regents needs to define the scope of positions for oversight and disclosure. This group should include the current 32 positions plus some combination of the highest position levels/titles and the highest compensated at each campus, medical center and laboratory. The goal is to increase the size of the group to a reasonable level to ensure comprehensive review and oversight by The Board.

Deloitte Consulting LLP was asked to review payroll data and UC organizational structure to identify key positions for Regental oversight. All data sources were provided by UCOP. Each individual is counted only one time, although they may qualify for more than one category (e.g., a Chancellor would fall into categories A, B, and C but is only counted once in Category A).

The breakdown for UC positions by title and pay level is as follows:
(Note: the numbers do not reflect any overlap - see Definitions for details)

| Categories | Total |
| :--- | :---: |
| A. Top 32 | 32 |
| B. Top 5 Highest Paid at each Campus, Medical Center and Laboratory | 52 |
| C. Top 5 Campus Positions | 56 |
| D. Additional Vice Chancellors | 27 |
| E. Top 5 Medical Center Positions | 25 |
| F. Top 3 Laboratory Positions | 6 |
| G. Professional School Deans | 22 |
| H. Senior Management (SMG) Group Deans | 67 |

## Suggested Combinations

The following outlines the suggested combinations of employees to balance The Regents' responsibility of compensation oversight with a reasonable number of positions.

| Combinations | Total |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. Top $32+$ Top 5 Highest Paid + Top Campus, Medical Center and Laboratory <br> Positions $(A+B+C+E+F)$ | 171 |
| 2. Top $32+$ Top 5 Highest Paid + Top Campus, Medical Center and Laboratory <br> Positions + Additional Vice Chancellors $(A+B+C+D+E+F)$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7}$ |
| 3. Top $32+$ Top 5 Highest Paid + Top Campus, Medical Center and Laboratory <br> Positions + All Vice Chancellors + Professional School Deans $(A+B+C+D+E+F+G)$ | 264 |
| 4. Top $32+$ Top 5 Highest Paid + Top Campus, Medical Center and Laboratory <br> Positions + All Vice Chancellors + Professional School Deans + All SMG Deans <br> $(A+B+C+D+E+F+G+H)$ | 286 |

## Deloitte Recommendation:

Deloitte recommends adopting either Combination \#1 or \#2. These groups allow The Regents to maintain a reasonable number of employees for effective and comprehensive review and oversight. Combination \# 2 includes all Vice Chancellors.

Alternatively, if The Regents want to limit the number of highest paid positions to the Top 3 by location, this would reduce the total number of positions to: Combination \#1 = 142; Combination \#2 $=168$. This total would be consistent with the 122 to 150 position range previously discussed by the Task Force.

| Definitions | Total |
| :--- | :---: |
| A. Top 32 - The Top 32 University Positions as defined by The Board of Regents | 32 |
| B. Top 5 Highest Paid - The top 5 highest paid positions at each location, defined <br> by total cash compensation. This is net of Chancellors, Laboratory Directors, and <br> Medical Center Directors already included in the Top 32. | 52 |
| C. Top 5 Campus Positions - The top 5 positions by title at each campus by title <br> plus any special positions identified by The Regents. (E.g., Certain Vice Chancellors <br> with administrative or fund-raising responsibilities.) This is net of any positions <br> already included in the Top 32 and Top 5 Highest Paid. | 56 |
| D. Additional Vice Chancellors - All Vice Chancellors, net of those included in <br> the Top 5 Campus Positions. | 27 |
| E. Top 5 Medical Center Positions - The top 5 positions by title at each medical <br> center. This is net of any positions already included in the Top 32 and Top 5 <br> Highest Paid. | 25 |
| F. Top 3 Laboratory Positions - The top 3 positions by title at each Laboratory. <br> This is net of any positions already included in the Top 32 and Top 5 Highest Paid. | 6 |
| G. Professional School Deans - Deans of certain professional schools such as <br> the Haas School of Business, not included in any other categories | 22 |
| H. Senior Management (SMG) Group Deans - as defined by UCOP | 67 |

Prepared by Deloitte Consulting, LLP 4/4/2006

# University of California Observations Regarding Proposed Compensation Disclosure Form 

## Proposed Disclosure Form

The University of California has proposed a format for reporting an individual's compensation to the Board of Regents, and ultimately the public, which is attached. Currently, there is no reporting format in place that captures all the elements of compensation and/or plan participation. The proposed reporting form is clearly a step in the right direction, as it consolidates all elements of pay and plan participation in one place.

## Observations

Deloitte Consulting LLP has reviewed the form and has a number of recommendations.

1. Leading Disclosure Practices - New SEC Rules
2. Leading Disclosure Practices - Other Universities
3. Proposed Disclosure Compared to Leading Practices
4. Disclosure of Expense Reimbursement for Senior Management

## 1. Leading Disclosure Practices - New SEC Rules

The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) recently proposed a number of changes to the reporting of executive compensation after more than a year of studying how to improve shareholders and other interested parties' understanding of the company's compensation practices. The most significant proposals are discussed below:

- Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD\&A): Companies will now be required to disclose, in narrative form, a detailed explanation of the company's pay practices. The SEC will require disclosure of the pay philosophy, the reasons for different compensation programs, the peer group (or groups) used to establish pay levels, performance measures considered in paying incentives or setting compensation levels, etc. Essentially, the SEC wants an explanation of "How Much", "How Provided" and "Why." The new CD\&A replaces the current compensation committee report, which has become a boilerplate discussion that conveys very little insight into the company's pay decisions.
- Summary Compensation Table (SCT): The SEC indicates in the proposing release that there has been significant demand from investors to have "one number" for total compensation. Thus, the new SCT includes a "Total Compensation" Column, and perhaps more importantly, requires the inclusion of several elements of compensation that were either not disclosed or were not monetized. For example, Total Compensation will now include the grant date value of stock options, interest on deferred compensation, the increase in the present value of an executive's defined benefit pension plan, perquisites if such amounts exceed $\$ 10,000$ in the aggregate (previously, amounts below $10 \%$ of base salary or $\$ 50,000$ did not need to be disclosed) and dividends on restricted stock.
- Wealth Accumulation Tables: The SEC has also proposed disclosure of amounts held at year-end or potentially payable to executives in the future. As proposed, deferred compensation balances, the present value of pension plans at retirement and severance payments upon termination of employment must all be disclosed under the new SEC reporting requirements.


## 2. Leading Disclosure Practices - Other Universities

We have also reviewed the disclosure policies and practices at 4 private universities and 7 public universities.

- Private Universities: Based on our research, we found that most private universities have designated compensation committees. These committees disclose compensation information based on existing requirements based on their Form 990 (non-profit) filing requirements. However, the Grassley Report goes beyond the Form 990 disclosures and recommends that the IRS "...revise the Forms 990 and 990-PF to require that a charitable organization disclose the full compensation paid to its chief executive officer and other officers." ${ }^{1}$ The goal is to provide greater transparency and to identify the practices of organizations that may be providing excessive compensation.
- Public Universities: Public universities who are required to comply with a state public disclosure act requirements primarily disclose and publish base salary information once a year. Most public universities do not have a specifically designated compensation committee of the board who is responsible for reporting or disclosing compensation information. Compensation reporting and disclosure is typically one of the other functions performed by the audit committee or full board.

Only one of the comparator universities disclosed compensation information proactively in a press release. Overall, among the universities reviewed, we did not identify any proactive compensation disclosure approaches that we would consider a leading practice.

## 3. Proposed Disclosure Compared to Leading Practices

We have the following observations regarding how the proposed disclosure format compares to "Leading Practices":

- Competitive reference point(s): The proposed reporting form has a column where the Regent's will be informed if the base salary is an "Exception to Policy." We assume a "No" response means the proposed salary is within the salary band assigned to that position.

We examined historical Senior Management Advisory Committee meeting and staff notes, Presidential salary actions and approval authority requests. It appears that the University conducts competitive market comparisons when recruiting/appointing specific executive positions or when facing an executive

[^7]retention situation. It is less clear if the practice of reviewing comparative market data occurs on a regular basis. We believe it is a leading practice for the Regents (or whoever has the responsibility for approving pay) to review a comparison of the proposed pay level to market data on an annual basis. The market comparison should benchmark to the $25^{\text {th }}, 50^{\text {th }}$ and $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile data. This data is essential for the Regents to exercise their fiduciary responsibility to monitor compensation levels. [It is possible this data is currently being provided to the Regents, and we believe it would be a very helpful addendum to the disclosure form. We do not recommend, however, that this addendum be disclosed to the public, only that it be part of the internal reporting package.]

- Multi-year data: The current reporting format focuses on one year of data. Due to the detailed nature of this information, we understand the desire to streamline the disclosure form. Based on our experience, however, we believe it is exceedingly important that the Regents have a detailed compensation history before approving a specific pay package. Thus, we would recommend, if it is not currently being provided to the Regents, that they receive at least three years of historical salary, incentive and deferred compensation data as part of the compensation approval process. This historical information would be another addendum to the proposed disclosure form.
- Monetization of all material amounts: We believe that the current disclosure form would be greatly enhanced if the incentive award opportunity and increase in retirement benefits from UCRP were monetized and disclosed. We would also recommend that all other material amounts be monetized and shown on the form. We note that the proposed disclosure form reports a monthly car allowance of $\$ 743$, which we believe is appropriate. However, it is very possible that the increase in the present value of the retirement plan as well as other arrangements could be significantly more valuable than the car allowance, and such amounts should be disclosed.
- Total Compensation: We also recommend that a Total Compensation line be added to the bottom of the Detailed Form. This will provide a comparable point-of-reference that facilitates compensation discussions. The proposed SEC rules would define "total compensation" to include salary, bonus, incentive plan compensation and all other compensation. "All other compensation" includes earnings on deferred compensation, increases in pension value, perquisites and other personal benefits, amounts paid or accrued in connection with any termination of employment, annual contributions or other allocations to vested and unvested defined contribution plans, the dollar value of any insurance premiums paid with respect to life insurance for the benefit of an executive, and "gross-ups" or other amounts reimbursed during the fiscal year for the payment of taxes. ${ }^{2}$
- Wealth Accumulation: We recommend that the end of year deferred compensation balance, present value of the UCRP retirement benefit and potential payments under any severance arrangement also be disclosed to the

[^8]Regents. These amounts represent significant obligations of the University, thus it is important that the Regents be fully informed about the value or potential value of these arrangements. Severance, in particular, has been an area of intense media scrutiny, and such arrangements need to be fully disclosed, internally as well as externally.

## 4. Disclosure of Reimbursable Expenses for Senior Management

First, the criteria for determining whether expenses are reimbursable are typically covered under Travel and Expense (T\&E) policies.

Whether reimbursable expenses should be disclosed is a separate issue. We recommend that reimbursable expenses should be disclosed if they are treated as taxable income to a recipient senior manager/executive.

Reimbursable expenses not considered "ordinary and necessary" business expenses are deemed a perquisite or of personal benefit to the individual This applies without regard to whether the expense may be provided for a business reason or for the convenience of UC, unless it is generally available on a non-discriminatory basis to ALL UC employees.

Additionally, reimbursable expenses not integrally and directly related to performing one's job are typically treated as taxable income to the senior manager/executive. Applying this test, any reimbursed expenses treated as taxable income should be disclosed. Examples would include reimbursed housing and other living expenses (including relocation assistance), club memberships not used exclusively for business and entertainment purposes, personal financial or tax advice, personal travel using vehicles owned or leased by UC, personal use of other property owned or leased by UC, etc. ${ }^{3}$

Further, we recommend the disclosure of non-taxable reimbursable expenses that exceed a threshold to be set forth in a policy statement to be reviewed on a regular basis by the to-be-appointed Compensation Disclosure Officer.

[^9]
## Summary Recommendations

- Monetize material items, such as incentive award opportunity and increase in retirement benefits from UCRP
- Add a Total Compensation line to bottom of detailed form
- Include severance benefits, bringing awareness to their existence and potential amount
- Disclose other wealth accumulation data such as pension present value
- Use two addendums when approving pay - multi-year data and competitive data
- Disclose all reimbursed expenses that are treated as taxable income and disclose non-taxable reimbursable expenses that exceed a threshold.

Prepared by Deloitte Consulting, LLP
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## Overview of Outside Board Positions 2/20/06

## University of California Policy Summary

1. The University of California has two policies regarding outside board service for administrators and one policy for faculty:
a. Administrators - Regental Policy: Outside Professional Activities for the President, Principal Officers of The Regents and Officers of The Regents (3/17/1995) and Presidential Policy: Guidelines for the Policy on Outside Professional Activities for University Officers \& Designated Staff (9/1/2000). Both policies stipulate that any outside activities should benefit the state, nation and public at large. They also state the following:
i. Any activities undertaken by the Principal Officers of Board, Officers of the Regents, the President, or Senior Managers must be approved in writing by a direct supervisor, or in the case of The Board of Regents the Chairman.
ii. University of California does not place any limitations on compensation or income that can be earned by the executive level group. However, they require all compensated and uncompensated participation to be reported and approved annually by the appropriate level.
iii. An individual must use vacation hours, if their outside activities interrupt their regular work time.
b. Faculty - Academic Personnel Manual Section 025 (APM-025) (7/1/2001) provides a mechanism to ensure activities outside the University do not interfere with fulfillment of faculty responsibilities. It states the following:
i. Serving on the board of directors of an outside entity is categorized as an activity that is unlikely to raise issues of conflict of commitment. It is "ordinarily accepted as a regularly performed compensated outside professional activities." Consequently, they are usually allowed without prior approval.
ii. Outside board service is allowed for a maximum of 39 days during an academic year appointment during the fall and spring semesters, and 48 days during a full year appointment. No limit is placed on outside service during summer months for academic year appointments or during vacation leave.
2. Designated officers of the University are required to file annually a Statement of Economic Interests (Form 700 or Form 700-U) in accordance with reporting requirements of the Political Reform Act and the Fair Political Practices Commission. As part of this report, they must disclose income from service on outside boards.

## Comparator Universities ( 7 responses to-date)

## Public Universities

1. At most public universities, no formal policies exist regarding outside Board positions; however, most rely on Conflict of Interest disclosures to identify any outside activities.
2. Several public universities rely on the approval process (by supervisor) with respect to outside activities. For example, there are no restrictions to the number of positions, however, must be disclosed and approved by the appropriate supervisor.
3. For some public universities, there are no restrictions or approval required for Board of Regents. For non-Board employees, supervisor approval is required.
4. One public university does not allow officers to serve on compensated Boards.
5. State law requires one public university to impose an earnings cap if individuals earn outside income within another state government agency.
6. One public university requires compensation received for outside service during normal work hours must be remitted back to the university, unless the individual is on vacation or leave.

## Private Universities

1. Among private universities, there are limitations based on time commitment.
2. Similar to public universities, outside Board positions must be disclosed and approved by the supervisor for most employees and are subject to Conflict of Interest policies.
3. One private university will not allow individuals to spend more than $20 \%$ of their time to outside interests. This university also establishes some constraint on the amount of outside income that can be earned.
4. Another private university focuses on the level of commitment required. An individual's involvement in outside activities is determined on a case-by-case basis. No restrictions for Board members on number of activities or outside income.

## External Resources

1. The Institutional Shareholder Services' report stated that executives should be limited to 3 outside Board positions. However, if the individual is a CEO, they should be limited to 2 outside Boards.
2. The Conference Board survey, Director Compensation and Board Practices in 2005, indicated that $26 \%$ of 425 companies in the manufacturing, financial and service industries place limitations on outside directorships.
3. Other research indicates that several large organizations, such as Sears and Allied Capital, limit the number of outside directorships; these can range from 2 to 5 .
4. Wall Street Journal reported that in 1997 S\&P 500 CEOs served on an average of 2 boards. Today, that number has dropped to less than one (0.9\%).

Prepared by Deloitte Consulting, LLP
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# University of California <br> Overview of Sabbatical or Academic Leave Policies 3/27/06 

## University of California Policy Summary

1. UC allows Senior Managers, who hold academic titles that enable them to qualify for accrual of sabbatical leave credit, continue to accrue credit while serving in an administrative role. Sabbatical leave taken by an eligible Senior Manager must be approved by the Chancellor. Professional leave may be approved for Senior Managers who accrue sabbatical credit only in lieu of taking sabbatical leave.
2. Sabbatical compensation shall be based on the administrative salary of the Senior Manager unless some of the sabbatical leave credit was accrued as an academic appointee, in which case an appropriate fraction of the sabbatical compensation shall be based on the salary of the academic appointment. Currently, there is no official process or policy which governs approval of exceptions.

## Comparator Universities ( 7 responses to-date)

As of March 27, 2006, we received responses from 7 universities, however not all 7 universities responded to all questions. The responses by topic are as follows:
a. General: Public Universities - 3 Responses; Private Universities - 2 Responses
b. Sabbatical Pay Determination for Faculty to Administrative Role: Public Universities - 3 Responses; Private Universities - 2 Responses
c. Payment of Sabbatical Accrued at Other Universities: Public Universities- 1 response; Private Universities - 3 Responses

## Public Universities

1. General
a. At most public universities, formal policies regarding sabbatical leaves for administrators do not exist.
b. At one public university the sabbatical or academic leave policy applies to all faculty members who have at least 7 years of service with the University. The leave must be approved / recommended by: the faculty member's department chair, the dean, the President and the Regents of the University.
2. Sabbatical Pay Determination for Faculty to Administrative Role
a. One public university does not provide sabbaticals to administrators. However, if an administrator is transitioning from an administrative role to a faculty role, he or she will be allowed one academic semester to prepare to teach. Additionally, the employee will be required to submit a report of work accomplished during their transition period.
b. Another public university removes all sabbatical privileges once a faculty member assumes an administrative role.

## 3. Payment of Sabbatical Accrued at Other Universities -

a. One public university does not pay sabbaticals that were accrued at other institutions. Other public university respondents did not respond to this question.

## Private Universities

1. General
a. Several private universities do not have official policies regarding sabbaticals and academic leaves.
b. One private university allows individual to negotiate the terms of their sabbatical. However, most administrators with tenured faculty appointments, who have served a full term of at least 5 years, and are returning to faculty, will receive a one-year leave.
2. Sabbatical Pay Determination for Faculty to Administrative Role
a. One private university offers administrative stipends for employees that transfer from a faculty to an administrative role with approval from the Executive Compensation Committee.
b. Another private university considers all tenured employees faculty, even if he/she takes on an administrative role. By adding an administrative component to the faculty role, the employee will receive an administrative supplement on top of their faculty salary. The administrative supplement vests $10 \%$ per year. Therefore if an employee works in an administrative role for 10 years, and then decides to return to faculty, he/she will take the entire ( $100 \%$ ) of the administrative supplement with them and will be paid at their vested salary rate. This salary rate will also apply to any sabbatical or paid leave.

## 3. Payment of Sabbatical Accrued at Other Universities

a. Two private universities do not allow the payment of a sabbatical accrued at another academic institution, nor would they offer a sign-on bonus to compensate for accrued sabbaticals.
b. One private university indicated that they have seen credit given toward a sabbatical earned at another institution. This happened in few cases when a recruit was on leave at the time of hire, and the new institution paid the "penalty" owed since the employee was not planning to return post leave. This university has offered credit toward eligibility for their dependent tuition plan, but never cash.
c. Another private university does not have a formal sabbatical policy or a policy to buy-out sabbaticals.
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## HR Benchmarking Assessment

Deloitte Consulting Study - August 2005
Summary of HR Technology Findings
2/28/06
Background: Deloitte Consulting LLP was engaged to conduct a systemwide assessment of HR activities including Office of the President (UCOP), 10 campuses, 5 medic al centers and 3 nationallaboratories. This included interviews of Chief Human Resource Officers (CHROs) at 19 loc ations, UCOP intervie ws and external benchmarking. HR activities for Ac ademic Affairs were excluded.

## Summary of Key Findings: HR Organization and Technology

- UC operates under a highly decentralized model. Certain functions are handled centrally at UCOP (laborrelations, benefits, senior management compensation, staff compensation (non-academic) and HR policy), but the locations (campuses, medical centers and labs) do not form ally report to UCOP HR.
- Each of the locations has adopted its own HR vision and strategy for HR operations - resulting in a wide variation of priorities, activities performed, deployed technologies and technology strategies.
- There is no central HR Information System. There is a central payroll system that is fed information from the locations; however, some of the locations have developed customized HR "systems" and databases which interface with the central payroll system.
- The current payroll system (PPS) is outd ated and requires extensive resources for development and maintenance. The absence of a central HRIS also contributes to the need for a higher number of technical/ professional positions across UC locations compared to external benchmarks.
- There are currently 6 sep arate ERP systems in place (Enterprise Resource Planning systems such as Peoplesoft and Oracle) and 15 separate data warehouses at the locations.
- Due to lack of central HR Information System and the multiple separate systems at the locations, there is lack of standardization in data reporting, extensive manual and redundant processes, and customized workarounds at some locations, le ading to inconsistency and potential risk to the University.
- Economy of scale has not beenrealized for UC's system-wide purchasing power, resulting in duplicative investments and resources.
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1 See pp. 31 for the Regents' Principles; also available at: www.universityofcalifornia.edu/regents/policies/6154.html.
2 See pp. 31 for the Regents' Principles; also available at: www.universityofcalifornia.edu/regents/policies/6154.html.

3 See pp. 36-37 for the Regents' Principles; also available at: www.universityofcalifornia.edu/regents/policies/6154.html.
4 The statement is available at: www.ucop.edu/ucophome/coordrev/policy/Stmt_Stds_Ethics.pdf.
5 Institutional Shareholder Services, "ISS U.S. Corporate Governance Policy 2006 Updates," p. 3, available at: www.issproxy.com/policy/index.jsp.

6 According to a 2005 report by the executive search firm Spencer Stuart, in 1998, S\&P 500 CEOs served on an average of two outside corporate boards; today, the average has dropped to less than one (0.9) per CEO. See Spencer Stuart, "Spencer Stuart 2005 Board Index," p. 10, available at: content.spencer-stuart.com/sswebsite/pdf/lib/SSBI-2005.pdf.

7 UC Academic Personnel Manual 740-0, Policy on Leaves of Absence/Sabbatical Leaves, available at: www.ucop.edu/acadadv/acadpers/apm/apm-740.pdf.

8 The eight universities UC compares itself with in faculty compensation surveys are: Harvard University, MIT, Stanford University, SUNY-Buffalo, University of Illinois, University of Michigan, University of Virginia, and Yale University. For chancellor and senior management compensation, UC compares itself with 26 comparator universities, consistent with surveys by the California Postsecondary Education Commission. For staff, depending on the employee group, UC compares itself with a broad range of relevant compensation surveys.

9
UC Regents' Resolution RE-61, available at: www.universityofcalifornia.edu/regents/regmeet/sep05/re61.pdf.

# Task Force on UC Compensation, Accountability, and Transparency Status of Implementing Regents' Approved Actions 

|  | Task Force Recommendation | Regents' Actions |  |  | Comments / Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Public Disclosure of Compensation Information and Actions <br> 1. The University should develop and broadly communicate a systemwide policy governing the disclosure of compensation information to the public. Such a disclosure policy must balance public access, personal privacy, and institutional competitiveness by defining what UC considers public versus private/protected information. UC must also provide ongoing training for its leaders and managers about its compensation disclosure policies and practices. | Establish a new disclosure policy (RE74b.1). <br> Review compensationrelated policies and procedures (RE74a.1). | $\sqrt{7}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | The new policy, adopted by the Regents in September 2006, applied new disclosure standards for the public posting of total compensation details prior to Regents' action and after approval. The Annual Report on Executive Compensation was expanded to capture all elements of total compensation and is presented to the Regents, public and legislature each year. The Report undergoes a series of checks to ensure quality and completeness of the information being reported, including individual certifications and local audits. <br> The following 10 policies have been amended, implemented, and in force: <br> - SMG Salary and Appointment <br> - SMG Performance Management <br> - SMG Hiring Bonus <br> - University-provided housing <br> - SMG Auto Allowance <br> - SMG Moving Reimbursement <br> - SMG relocation allowance <br> - Rehired Retirees <br> - Interim policy on Compensated Outside Professional Activities <br> The following 6 remaining policies are currently under final review and expected to be implemented later this year: <br> - Certain sections of the Regents Standing Orders and By Laws to provide further clarification and updates <br> - Outside Professional Activities policies (consolidated final policy) <br> - Migrating Deans from the SMG policies to Academic Personnel policies (not addressed by the Task Force) <br> - Transitional Leave policy (paid administrative leave in lieu of Sabbatical) <br> - Absence from Work (not addressed by the Task Force, but included to support other policies being revised) <br> - SMG Cash Recognition and Incentive Awards |
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# Task Force on UC Compensation, Accountability, and Transparency Status of Implementing Regents' Approved Actions 

|  | Task Force Recommendation | Regents' Actions |  |  | Comments / Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HR Information Systems for Tracking and Reporting <br> 3. The University should invest in a modern, comprehensive, integrated human-resources information system (HRIS) that enables compensation data to be quickly examined and analyzed-at the campuses, medical centers, national laboratories, and systemwide-so that UC can meet its obligation of public accountability. Because the new systems will require a major investment of time, money, and staffing, the University should phase in implementation, beginning first with systems that track senior management compensation. | Conduct a needs assessment (RE74d.1). <br> Phased implementation of new system (RE74d.2). <br> Implement a new Senior Leadership Information System to facilitate data collection and reporting (RE74d.3). | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | UC commissioned Deloitte in 2006 to conduct an assessment of systemwide HRIS needs. Although certain locations (UCB, UCDMC and LBNL) have 'stand-alone' HR information systems, an enterprise-wide solution has been examined. A significant cost and resource commitment would be necessary for the University to undertake the purchase and implementation of a comprehensive HRIS solution. Due to the current resource constraints, this project has been postponed until a later date. <br> However, because an HR information system is typically integrated with a payroll system, and the payroll systems require immediate overhaul, UC is initiating a systemwide project to design and implement a new payroll system which is expected to contain the essential components necessary to implement a broader, more comprehensive HR information system. This effort is in the very early stages of development, but has the support of all campuses. <br> A new Senior Leadership system was implemented in 2006 to capture detailed data used in the Annual Report on Executive Compensation and the bi-monthly transaction monitoring reports presented at each Regents' meeting. OP Human Resources partners with the campuses, lab and medical centers to collect and validate the data used to produce the Annual Report. |
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# Task Force on UC Compensation, Accountability, and Transparency Status of Implementing Regents' Approved Actions 

|  | Task Force Recommendation | Regents' Actions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Clarify and Define Exceptions to Policy <br> 3. Compensation policies should include specific guidance about when exceptions to policy are appropriate, who may grant them, and through which mechanisms. Exceptions should be subject to rigorous review and advance approval by the appropriate higher authority. To monitor compliance, all exceptions should be reported to a central office or individual. | Create and implement a new compensation disclosure template <br> (RE74k.1) <br> Create an information system that captures, tracks and monitors exceptions to policy (RE74k.2) <br> Review and revise policies (RE74k.3) <br> Annual audits will include examination of exceptions and the supporting rationale (RE74k.4). |  | $\checkmark$ | All compensation items that are presented to the Regents for approval now contain a detailed chart listing every element of total compensation provided to the individual, specifying whether it is within policy or an exception to policy. When exceptions to policy are requested, background information is provided so the Regents may understand the context and business rationale for the request. Exceptions require a higher level of review and approval, such that if actions within policy would normally be delegated to the Chancellor for approval, exceptions would require the President's review and approval; all SMG actions require Regental approval. Details of those SMG and other actions presented to the Regents for approval, including the compensation chart specifying whether the compensation elements are exceptions or within policy, are posted publicly at each Regents' meeting. Actions that are delegated by the Regents to the President, Chancellors and Lab Director are reported to the Regents at each meeting through the Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report and also capture the details of the actions including specifying exceptions to policies. <br> The Annual Report on Executive Compensation provides a comprehensive, detailed listing and description of the total compensation for all senior leadership at the University, clearly specifying those elements of compensation that are exceptions to policy. <br> Certain policies, as described in the first item in this report, are currently under review, with a number already amended and implemented. Final Regental approval of remaining policies will occur by the end of fiscal year 2009-10. Audits will be conducted periodically after implementation. |
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## Task Force on UC Compensation, Accountability, and Transparency Status of Implementing Regents' Approved Actions

|  | Task Force Recommendation | Regents' Actions |  | Comments / Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 先 | Policy Review and Revision <br> 3. The University should carefully review its policies on "administrative leaves in lieu of sabbaticals" for senior managers who also hold academic appointments, especially chancellors, and revisit the provision that these leaves be paid at the higher administrative salary rate rather than the faculty salary rate. The University must also revisit the questionable practice of honoring sabbatical credits earned at other institutions to ensure it is in accordance with both the letter and the spirit of sabbatical policies. Furthermore, the Regents should eliminate the practice of making payments, at the commencement of employment, to compensate for forfeited sabbatical credits accrued at other institutions. | Review the policy on "Administrative Leaves in Lieu of Sabbatical" in consultation with the Academic Senate (RE74r.1). | $\sqrt{7}$ | In 2006 the Regents disallowed the cashing out of sabbatical credits earned at other institutions for newly-hired SMG members. <br> A fully revised policy is substantially complete and is expected to be presented to Regents for approval later this year. |
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## WEBLINKS TO NEW and RECENTLY REVISED POLICIES for UC SENIOR MANAGEMENT GROUP

## SMG Salary and Appointment

http://atyourservice.ucop.edu/employees/policies employee labor_relations/personnel_policies/s mg_salary.pdf

## SMG Hiring Bonus

http://atyourservice.ucop.edu/employees/policies employee labor relations/personnel policies/s mg hire.pdf

## SMG Relocation Allowance

http://atyourservice.ucop.edu/employees/policies_employee labor_relations/personnel_policies/s mg relocate allow.pdf

## SMG Moving Reimbursement

http://atyourservice.ucop.edu/employees/policies employee labor_relations/personnel policies/s mg move reimburse.pdf

## SMG Automobile Allowance

http://atyourservice.ucop.edu/employees/policies_employee_labor_relations/personnel_policies/s mg auto allow.pdf

## SMG Performance Management

http://atyourservice.ucop.edu/employees/policies_employee_labor_relations/personnel_policies/s mg 505 process policy.pdf

University-Provided Housing
http://www.ucop.edu/ucophome/coordrev/policy/univprov hsing_policy.pdf

## Interim Policy on Compensated Outside Professional Activities

http://atyourservice.ucop.edu/employees/policies employee_labor_relations/personnel_policies/ opa-interim.html

Settlement of Litigation, Claims and Separation Agreements

http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/regents/policies/6126.html

## Reemployment of Retired Employees

$\underline{h t t p: / / a t y o u r s e r v i c e . u c o p . e d u / e m p l o y e e s / p o l i c i e s ~ e m p l o y e e ~ l a b o r ~ r e l a t i o n s / r e-e m p ~ r e t ~ e m p . p d f ~}$

## COMMITTEE ON COMPENSATION

## INFORMATION ITEM

For Meeting of May 7, 2009

## ANNUAL REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2008: INCUMBENTS IN CERTAIN SENIOR MANAGEMENT POSITIONS

As part of its commitment to transparency and public accountability, the University of California reports annually on compensation paid to senior managers. This report (Attachment 1) details all aspects of senior management compensation, and it is also posted on UC websites to provide public access to the information.

## KEY POINTS ABOUT UC EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION FOR 2008

The components of compensation for certain sectors of UC employees are dictated by prevailing practices in the market. In particular markets, such as health sciences, coaches, and investment professionals, compensation is divided into two distinct parts: (1) a guaranteed base salary; and (2) a contingent payment based on performance. In order to compete in these markets for the highest quality individuals, UC must and should reflect the same market practices, or our top quality health sciences professionals, for example, will merely transfer to a hospital that will pay competitively.

The highlights of the attached annual report are as follows:

- UC doctors and coaches remain the highest paid: As in previous years, the top earning employees at UC in 2008 based on total pay were either members of the health sciences personnel, some world-renowned specialists in their fields, or athletic coaches.
- Executive pay remains a tiny portion of payroll: Senior management salaries continue to represent less than 1 percent of UC's total payroll.
- No State funds for incentive/bonus pay: No State funds are used for UC incentive or bonus payments for clinical and health sciences personnel, or treasurer's office personnel, and all compensation for coaches and other athletics personnel is paid from non-State funds.
- Incentive pay used to promote good performance and university priorities: Like many employers nationwide, UC uses incentive compensation plans to encourage and reward sustained individual and group performance in support of key University goals:
o Medical centers: Incentive compensation plans for UC hospitals cover employees at all levels - from clerical staff to senior hospital leaders - and reward individual as well as group performance for upholding key priorities such as improving patient care and safety, operational efficiency, and good financial management.
o Treasurer's Office: Consistent with industry standards, the Treasurer's Office incentive compensation plan rewards recipients for maximizing long-term total investment returns while assuming appropriate levels of risk, and promotes teamwork. Awards are paid out over three years to help retain good performers, with future payouts forfeited if the participant leaves UC.
o Coaches: Coaches' pay is comprised of base salary plus bonuses and incentive pay tied to revenue generated from television and radio contracts, marketing arrangements with equipment and clothing manufacturers, and summer sports camps. Other awards are based on specific goals, such as winning a national championship, and are paid only if those goals are met. Other pay may include signing or retention bonuses.
- By the numbers: The 111 incentive and bonus payments in this report total \$4,849,243 approximately .054 percent of UC's $\$ 9$ billion annual payroll. The vast majority of these payments ( 67.6 percent) are attributable to the clinical enterprise and Treasurer's Office plans.


## REPORT FORMAT AND CONTENT

The content and layout of this report, compliant with legislative reporting requirements, was approved by the Regents at the January 2007 meeting. This report presents the following information for calendar year 2008:

Population covered: This report includes all incumbents in the "senior officials" listing as referenced in the Budget Act. This report also includes the population that currently requires Regental review and approval, e.g., those administrators in slotted positions, including those that are not slotted, such as coaches, whose potential total cash compensation exceeds the current reporting threshold of $\$ 214,000$.

The attached report displays compensation details on approximately 529 incumbents and former incumbents, including those in acting capacities, and those who stepped down or terminated employment. If an individual held more than one position during 2008, e.g., Acting Dean and then was appointed Dean, each position is reported.

Cash compensation: The report presents the following compensation in the following categories: annualized base salary, annualized stipends, actual payments received under incentive or bonus programs (see next section for more detail), total actual Health Sciences Compensation Plan (HSCP) payments (see below for more detail), and other cash compensation or cash payments. A subtotal of these elements is also provided. Please note that this information is a combination of data that represents actual payments and annualized figures. The annualized figures for base salary and stipends were chosen over
actual pay to avoid problems with partial year assignments. For example, actual pay for a new employee who starts employment with the University in November with an annualized base salary of $\$ 240,000$ would be only $\$ 40,000$. In many cases, stipends (which are represented in the report as annualized figures) may only be paid for a portion of the year and therefore may not reflect the amount actually received. In some cases, individuals received the stipend for less than the full year, but the annualized figure is still represented.

Incentive and Bonus Compensation: The incentive and bonus payments represented in the report can be categorized into one of the following: Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Plan (CEMRP), Treasurer's Annual Incentive Plan (AIP), awards paid to Coaches, or Other Local Incentive Plans. These programs are not State-funded. Some locally funded and administered Bonus plans may use State funds for a portion of the award.

There are 111 incentive and bonus payments included in this report, totaling \$4,849,243. The vast majority of these payments ( 75 payments, or 67.6 percent) and cost ( $\$ 3,757,212$, or 77.5 percent) are attributable to the Regentally approved CEMRP and AIP programs.

Clinical Enterprise Management Incentive Plan: Under the authority granted by the Board of Regents to the President in 1992, the purpose of CEMRP is to provide variable, non-base building salary recognition awards to those individuals responsible for attaining or exceeding key Clinical Enterprise objectives consistent with University objectives. Achievement is measured based on specific financial, non-financial/quality, and strategic objectives which relate to the Clinical Enterprise's mission and goals. The Plan focuses participants on the achievement of local and systemwide institutional objectives to encourage teamwork and to recognize joint effort required to meet challenging organizational goals. The Plan also uses individual performance measures to encourage participants to maximize their personal effort and to demonstrate individual excellence. There are 59 payments approved under policy ( 41 approved by the Regents, 18 approved by Chancellors) and reported in this category, totaling $\$ 2,260,492$ ( 46.6 percent of total payments reported).

CEMRP is a key component of the overall competitive pay for leadership at the hospitals. The program rewards participants for their performance against key initiatives such as improving quality of care, patient satisfaction and safety, as well as attaining or exceeding key financial objectives. This program is funded through clinical revenues and does not use State funds.

This Plan was most recently reviewed for market competitiveness in 2007 by Mercer Human Resources Consulting. The Plan was reviewed in comparison to market prevalent practices based on the Mercer 2007/2008 US Compensation Planning Survey, pertaining to pay practices in the Healthcare industry and in Government/Nonprofit. Adjustments to Plan provisions, intended to ensure Plan competitiveness with the market, relative to Plan Eligibility, Performance Measures and Award Opportunity were recommended to the

Regents at their November 2007 meeting. Adjustments were approved by the Regents at their January 2008 meeting, to be effective with the 2008-2009 plan year.

Treasurer's Annual Incentive Plan: Under the authority granted by the Board of Regents, the purpose of the AIP is to provide the opportunity for variable financial incentives to those employees responsible for attaining or exceeding key objectives in the Treasurer's Office which are consistent with University investment objectives. The Plan provides an annual non-base building cash incentive based on performance of the University's investment portfolio, various groups within the Treasurer's Office, e.g., management of particular asset classes, and the individual. The incentive award is earned based on the achievement of specific investment, non-financial, and strategic objectives relative to the mission and goals of the Treasurer's Office and the performance of the investment portfolio. The Plan focuses participants on maximizing long-term total returns for all funds managed while assuming appropriate levels of risk. It is intended to support teamwork so that members of the Treasurer's Office operate as a cohesive group. This Plan does not use State funds. There are 16 payments approved under policy (2 approved by the Regents, 14 approved by President Yudof) and reported in this category, totaling $\$ 1,496,720$ (30.9 percent of total payments reported).

At the May 2007 Regents meeting, the Committee on Investments approved performance benchmarks for the 2007-2008 plan year based on market data. If performance warrants payout at target levels, then the overall cash compensation for the individual is competitive with the median of the market for that particular position. The awards are paid out over three years in equal installments, with future payouts forfeited if the participant leaves UC employment.

This Plan was most recently reviewed for market competitiveness in 2007 by Mercer Human Resources Consulting. Each Plan feature was reviewed in comparison to market prevalent practices based on the Mercer 2007 Compensation Survey of Investment Groups within University Endowments and Foundations. The survey reported at the time that 82 percent of survey participants and 100 percent of the organizations over $\$ 5$ billion in assets under management had or were in the process of implementing an incentive plan. The range of incentive award amounts in not-for-profit investment organizations varied from 20 percent to 100 percent of base salary, depending on position level and compensation philosophy. For-profit organizations reported offering significantly higher incentive opportunities. The review conducted in 2007 included recommended adjustments to certain provisions of the Plan. Adjustments to the provisions were reviewed by the Regents at their July 2007 meeting, and approved at the September 2007 meeting.

Awards paid to Coaches or other Athletics Personnel: The compensation for coaches is comprised of a base salary plus various types of bonuses and incentives. These bonuses and incentives are recognition awards tied to revenue streams from contracts with television and radio, marketing arrangements with equipment and clothing manufacturers, and summer sports camps. Other awards are based on specific
performance goals and only pay out if attained, e.g., winning the national championship. Other forms of bonuses may include signing or retention bonuses.

The coaches shown in this report are paid from non-State funds, generally from specific gifts and donations or sports-related revenue sources. State funding is not used as a source for coach compensation. There are 20 payments (approved by the Regents or under their delegated authority) reported in this category, totaling $\$ 848,382$ (17.5 percent of total payments reported).

The compensation for coaches is tied directly to market demand, with a coach's success driving the demand. As win-records increase, national attention brings employment opportunities, which may require compensation terms to be adjusted when retention is necessary. The hiring of a new coach or the retention of an existing coach and the compensation offered to him/her is dependent upon the demand in the market to secure their services. The compensation offered to UC coaches is aligned with other coaches in the PAC-10, again, depending on the win/loss record of the coach.

Other Local Incentive and Bonus Plans: Provisions for payments made under local incentive plans vary by plan. Please refer to the report comments and addendum for details of each payment. There are 16 payments reported in this category, totaling $\$ 243,649$ ( 5.0 percent of total payments reported). Payments in this category made under the Staff Recognition and Development Program (SRDP) or other similar locally funded program on the campuses may us State funds for a portion of the award.

Health Sciences Compensation Plan: Health Sciences Compensation Plan (HSCP) payments are provided and displayed in a separate column for those individuals who participate in this program. The amounts displayed in this column reflect actual payments to the individuals and include income processed through the HSCP, per policy, from qualified outside activities, such as clinical services, research, speaking engagements, etc. Since an individual's outside activities fluctuate from year to year, the HSCP payments may also fluctuate accordingly. This report reflects 17 individuals receiving payment under the HSCP, totaling \$1,550,977.

This program is under the authority of the Regents of the University of California and was established in 1995 to create a mechanism by which UC faculty in clinical departments can be paid from revenues derived from delivery of health services or research, at wages that compete with the health care market in and outside of California. Income from services varies considerably between healthcare disciplines (nursing, dentistry, medicine, public health, etc.) so the HSCP is designed to function across a wide spectrum of health care providers or administrators of clinical programs. The funds distributed from the HSCP are not derived from State sources.

One-time payments/reimbursements made to the employee or on behalf of the employee to a third-party vendor, including relocation allowances, temporary housing reimbursements or allowances, and moving expense reimbursements are also included. Some of the
information displayed in this section is described in more detail in the Addendum, which is provided as Attachment 2.

Benefits and perquisites include leased auto or auto allowance, senior management benefits (including some or all of the following: life insurance, executive business travel insurance, executive salary continuation for disability), University-provided housing, severance benefits, senior management supplemental benefit program contributions, additional post-retirement benefits (including medical coverage, enhanced retirement income benefits, enhanced retirement vesting schedules, etc.), and home mortgage loans provided under the University programs (the original loan amount is presented). Auto allowances are represented as annualized figures. In some cases, individuals received the allowance for less than the full year, but the annualized figure is still represented.

## Data Collection, Review, Audit and Certification Process

This Annual Report on Executive Compensation was produced from data collected manually by each campus and laboratory using a variety of sources such as payroll, accounts payable, personnel records and other sources to populate a data warehouse, the Senior Leadership Information System (SLIS). Multiple data quality reviews were conducted by the Office of the President (OP) and local entities. The first certification occurred at the individual level when each person received a report from SLIS displaying his or her data and was asked to certify that the information was accurate and complete. In addition, campus and OP auditors reviewed the population and data to validate the accuracy and completeness of the data. Each Chancellor and the Laboratory Director reviewed their location data, certifying that the population contained in the report was accurate and complete. The President has also certified the report after the Chancellors and Laboratory Director.

## ANNUAL REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION FOR CALENDAR YEAR 200

| Name | Title | Location | Annualizeof | $\begin{gathered} \text { All Actual } \\ \text { Bonusllicentive } \\ \text { Payments } \end{gathered}$ | Sonusllncentive Comments | Total Actual Heath Science Compensation | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Annualized } \\ \text { Stipend } \end{array}$ | Other Cash Compensation or Payments | Subtotal: Cash Compensation | $\begin{array}{\|} \begin{array}{r} \text { Moving Costs } \\ \text { (Reimbursement) } \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Allowances: } \\ \text { Housing, Relo, } \\ \text { Recruitment } \end{array}$ | Auto Allowance | SMG Benefits | UC Provided Housing | Severance Benefits | sMG Supp Benefi | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Additional Post- } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { Retirement } \\ \text { Benefits } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | UC Provided Home Mortgage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BARbour,AnNe SAUNDERS | ATHLETIC DIRECTOR | UCB | \$273,450 | \$76,675 | Athletic contract: Payments consistent with terms of contract approved by the Regents on 11/16/06. |  |  | \$119,000 | \$469,125 |  | \$12,422 |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| BASRI,GIBOR | VICE CHANCELLOR - EQUITY AND inclusion | Uсв | \$200,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$200,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| BIIDY,FRANKLIN SCOTT | VICE CHANCELLOR-UNIVERSITY relations | UСВ | \$272,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$272,000 |  |  | ${ }^{88,916}$ | Y | N | N | 5\% | N | \$434,100 |
| BiRGENEAU,ROBERTJ. | CHANCELLOR | UC | \$436,800 |  |  |  |  |  | , 36,800 |  |  | s8,916 | Y | $r$ | N | 5\% | r |  |
| BLINDER, DAVID | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELIOR - | исв | \$240,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$240,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N | \$626,300 |
| BOYLE,JoANNE | HEAD COACH-WOMEN'S BASKETBALL | Uсв | \$241,688 | \$99,710 | Athletic contract: Payments consistent with terms of contraci approved by the Regents on 05/15/08. |  |  | \$311,148 | \$652,546 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| BRAun, BEN | FORMER HEAD COACH-MEN'S BASKETBALL | Uсв | \$156,000 | \$12,995 | Athletic contract: Payments consistent with terms of contract by the Regents on 07/19/07. |  |  | \$1,453,327 | \$1,622,322 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | $N$ |  |
| BRESLAUER,GEORGE W | EXECUTIVE VICE CHANCELLOR \& PROVOST | исв | \$309,100 |  |  |  |  |  | \$309,100 |  |  | \$8,916 | r | N | N |  | N |  |
| BROSTROM,NATHAN | VICE CHANCELLOR ADMINISTRATION | UCB | \$283,100 |  |  |  |  |  | \$283,100 |  |  |  | Y | $N$ | N | 5\% | N |  |
| SROUCHTON,JANET | DEAN-ARTS AND HUMANTITES | UCB | \$197,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$197,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| BURNSIIE,MARY ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | VIIEE CHANCELLOR-RESEARCH | UCB | \$248,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$248,500 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| CAMPBELL,THOMAS J. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEAN-HAAS SCHOOL OF } \\ & \text { BUSINESS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | исв | \$300,800 |  |  |  |  |  | \$300,800 |  |  |  | Y | $N$ | N |  | N |  |
| CIGNETTI,FRANK J. | OFFENSIVE COORDINATOR FOOTBAL | UCB | \$168,000 | \$6,000 | Athletic contract: Payments consistent with terms of contract ed by the Regents on 02/04/08. |  |  | \$165,558 | \$339,558 | \$13,061 |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| CUMMINs,John F | ASSOCIATE CHANCELLOR/CHIEF OF STAFF | Uсв | \$185,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$185,600 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 3\% | N |  |
| DAVII, BARBARA G | ASSIITANT VICE CHANCELLOREQUITY AND INCLUSION | Uсв | \$135,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$135,500 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 3\% | N |  |
| DAVIS,SAM | ACTING DEAN-COLLEGE OF ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN | UCB | \$208,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$208,600 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| DECUIRE,TRAVIS L. | ASSISTANT COACH-MEN'S BASKETBALL | UCB | \$130,000 |  |  |  |  | \$15,79 | \$145,779 | \$11,116 |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| DENTON,EDWARD J | VICE CHANCELLOR-FACILITIES SERVICES | Uсв | \$220,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$220,500 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| DEVRRIES,Jan | Acting dean-social sciences | Uсв | \$229,872 |  |  |  | \$34,478 |  | \$264,350 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| DRAGUN,DIANA WU | DEAN-UNIVERSITY EXTENSION | UCB | \$164,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$164,500 |  |  |  | Y | ${ }^{N}$ | N | $5 \%$ | N |  |
| dragun,diana wu | FORMER ACTING DEAN- UNIVERSITY EXTENSION | исв | \$131,600 |  |  |  | \$19,700 |  | \$151,300 |  |  |  | N | $N$ | N |  | N |  |
| durden, david a. | HEAD COACH-MEN'S SWIMMING AND DIVING | ucb | \$124,800 | \$41,250 | Athletic contract: Payments consistent with terms of contract approved by the Regents on 01/17/08. |  |  | \$133,202 | \$299,252 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| EDLEY,CHRISTOPHER | DEAN-SCHOOL OF LAW | UCB | \$307,000 |  |  |  | \$43,000 |  | \$350,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N | \$1,000,000 |
| ESQUER,DAVID | HEAD COACH-BASEBALL | UCB | \$111,180 | \$7,500 | Athletic contract: Payments consistent with terms of contract approved by the Regents on 09/21/06. |  |  | \$105,557 | \$224,237 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | $N$ |  |
| FELLER,RICHARD I | head coach-women's Volleyball | Uсв | \$111,240 | \$50,000 | Athletic contract: Payments consistent with terms of contract approved by the Regents on 07/19/07. |  |  | \$46,500 | \$207,740 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| FRAKER J, HARRISON S | DEAN-COLLEGE OF ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN | исв | \$205,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$205,400 |  |  |  | r | N | N | 5\% | N | \$325,600 |
| GILLESS, J Keith | FORMER ACTING DEAN-COLLEGE OF NATURAL RESOURCES | uc | \$115,800 |  |  |  | \$40,000 |  | \$155,800 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| GILESSS, J KeITH | DEAN-COLLEGE OF NATURAL RESOURCES | UСВ | \$172,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$172,300 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N |  |
| GJERDE,JON | Fomer dean - social sciences | UCB | \$192,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$192,700 |  |  |  | $r$ | N | N |  | N |  |
| GRANDE,HARRY LE | FORMER ACTING VICE CHANCELLOR-STUDENT AFFAIRS | UCB | \$160,105 |  |  |  | \$36,344 |  | \$196,449 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| GRANDE, HARRY LE | VICE CHANCELLOR-STUDENT AFFARS | UCB | \$215,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$215,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | 5\% | N |  |
| GREGORY,ROBERT | ASSISTANT COACH-Football | UCB | \$168,000 | \$46,000 | Athletic contract: Payments consistent with terms of contract approved by the Regents on 07/19/07. |  |  | \$78,791 | \$292,791 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| GRIMES, KEVIN | HEAD COACH-MENS SOCCER | UCB | \$110,000 | \$27,750 | Athletic contract: Payments consistent with terms of contract approved by the Regents on 07/21/08. |  |  | \$102,900 | \$240,650 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| HEATHCOCK,CLAYton | FORMER ACTING DEAN-COLLEGE OF CHEMISTRY | UCB | \$180,700 |  |  |  | \$46,600 | \$28,532 | \$255,832 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| HOLMES, CLAIRE A. | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLORPUBLIC AFFAIRS | UСВ | \$230,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$230,000 |  |  |  | N | N | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |  | N |  |

## ANNUAL REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2008

| Name | Title | Location | Annualizeof | $\begin{gathered} \text { All Actual } \\ \text { Bonusllicentive } \\ \text { Payments } \end{gathered}$ | Bonusllncentive Comments | Total Actual Heath Science Compensation | Annualized Stipend | Other Cash Compensation or Payments | Subtotal: Cash Compensation | Moving Costs (Reimbursement) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Allowances: } \\ \text { Housing, Relo, } \\ \text { Recruitment } \end{array}$ | Auto Allowance | SMG Benefits | UC Provided Housing | Severance Benefits | SMG Supp Benefit | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Additional Post- } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { Retirement } \\ \text { Benefits } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | UC Provided Home Mortgage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JOHN,JAY A. | ASSITTANT COACH-MEN'S BASKETBALL | บсв | \$100,000 |  |  |  |  | \$15,779 | \$115,779 | \$29,372 |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| Koshland, Catherine p | VICE PROVOST-ACADEMIC PLANNING AND FACILITIES | UCB | \$192,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$192,700 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N |  |
| LEONARD,THOMAS C | UNIVERSITY LIBRARIAN | UCB | \$196,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$196,000 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N |  |
| LEVI, DENNIS M. | DEAN-SCHOOL OF OPTOMETRY | UCB | \$223,000 |  |  |  |  | \$18,583 | \$241,583 |  | \$9,400 |  | Y | $N$ | N |  | N | \$685,000 |
| LIE,JOHN | dean-INTERNATIONAL AND AREA | исв | \$202,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$202,600 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N | \$750,000 |
| LYONS,RICHARD K | DEAN-HAAS SCHOOL OF BUSINESS | исв | \$373,200 |  |  |  |  |  | \$373,200 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| MATHIES,RICHARD A | DEAN-COLLEGE OF CHEMISTRY | UCB | \$233,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$233,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| MCGUIRE, NEIL J. | HEAD COACH - WOMEN'S SOCCER | บсв | \$108,150 | \$3,000 | Athletic contract: Payments consistent with terms of contract approved by the Regents on 07/19/07. |  |  | \$34,755 | \$145,905 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| MCKEEVER,TERIJ | HEAD COACH-WOMEN'S SWIMMING | исв | \$127,228 | \$8,500 | Athletic contract: Payments consistent with terms of contract approved by the Regents on 05/17/07. |  |  | \$66,393 | \$202,121 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | $N$ |  |
| MICHALCZIK,JIM | ASSIITANT COACH - Football | บсв | \$135,000 | \$31,000 | Athletic contract: Payments consistent with terms of contract approved by the Regents on 07/19/07. |  |  | \$52,192 | \$218,192 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| MIDANIK,Lorraine T | DEAN - SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WELFARE | บсв | \$200,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$200,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| MONTGOMERY,MICHAEL J. | HEAD COACH-MEN'S BASKETBALL | Uсв | \$250,000 |  |  |  |  | \$1,00,000 | \$1,25,000 | \$17,040 |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| NACHT,MICHAEL | DEAN-GOLDMAN SCHOOL OF PUBLCC POLICY | UCB | \$200,500 |  |  |  |  | \$12,956 | \$213,456 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| NINEMRE, DIANE L | HEAD COACH-SOFTBALL | บсв | \$136,875 | \$25,000 | Athletic contract: Payments consistent with terms of contract approved by the Regents on 07/17/08. |  |  | \$46,024 | \$207,899 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| owen,Willamg | FORMER DEAN-BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES | исв | \$217,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$217,400 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| PEARSON,P DAVID | DEAN-GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION | UСв | \$239,800 |  |  |  |  |  | \$239,800 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N | \$680,000 |
| PRICE,ROBERT M | $\underset{\text { RESEARCH }}{\text { INTER }}$ | UСв | \$129,600 |  |  |  | \$110,100 |  | \$239,700 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| QUIGLEY,JOHN M | FORMER ACTING DEAN- GOLDMAN SCHOOL OF PUBLIC POLICY | исв | \$213,700 |  |  |  | \$54,000 |  | \$267,700 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| REYnaud,Louis | FORMER ASSISTANT COACHMEN'S BASKETBALL | Uсв | \$128,750 | \$8,750 | Athletic contract:Payments consistent with terms of contract effective March 25, 2008 |  |  | \$27,250 | \$164,750 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| RICHARDS,MARK A | EXECUTIVE DEAN COLLEGE OF LETTERS AND SCIENCE AND DEAN-PHYSICAL SCIENCES | บсв | \$217,400 |  |  |  | \$32,600 |  | \$250,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| SASTRY,SOSALE SHANKAR | DEAN - COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING | UCB | \$275,000 |  |  |  |  | \$15,053 | \$290,053 |  |  |  | $r$ | N | N |  | N | \$265,000 |
| SAXENIAN,AnNaLEE | dean-School of information | исв | \$210,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$210,000 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N |  |
| SCHLISEL, MARK S | DEAN-BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES | UCB | \$259,100 |  |  |  |  |  | \$259,100 |  |  |  | r | $\cdots$ | N |  | N | \$250,000 |
| SHORTELL,STEPHEN M | DEAN-SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH | исв | \$327,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$327,600 |  |  |  | Y | $N$ | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |  | N |  |
| SMITH,MICHAEL R | $\substack{\text { ASSISTANT CHANCELLOR-LEGAL } \\ \text { AFFARS }}$ | UСв | \$180,900 |  |  |  |  |  | \$180,900 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 3\% | N |  |
| Stovall,tyler e | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEAN-UNDERGRADUATE } \\ & \text { DIVIIION } \end{aligned}$ | UСв | \$182,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$182,300 |  |  |  | r | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |  | N | \$562,500 |
| SZERI,ANDREW J | DEAN-GRADUATE DIVIIIION | UСВ | \$172,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$172,600 |  |  |  | $r$ | $n$ | N |  | N |  |
| TEDFORD,JEFF | head football coach | Јсв | \$225,000 | \$42,314 | Athletic contract: Payments consistent with terms of contract approved by the Regents on 03/15/07. |  |  | \$1,600,080 | \$1,867,394 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| TET,MICHAEL F. |  | UCB | \$110,000 |  |  |  |  | \$25,450 | \$135,450 | \$27,033 |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| WAGGENER,SHELTON | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANEELOR FOR NFRMATIN TECHNOOG Y AND CHEF INFORMATION OFFICER | исв | \$218,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$218,500 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| YEARY,FRANK D. | VICE CHANCELLOR | UCB | \$200,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$200,000 |  |  |  | $Y$ | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| ZEDECK,SHELDON | VICE PROVOST - ACADEMIC AFFAIRS AND FACULTY WELFARE | UCB | \$207,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$207,600 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N |  |
| ZIMBARDO,CHRISTINA MASLACH | VICE PROVOST-TEACHING AND LEARNING | UСв | \$180,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$180,300 |  |  |  | $r$ | N | N |  | N |  |
| ZIMBARDO,CHRISTINA MASLACH | FORMER ACTING DEAN- UNDERGRADUATE DIVISION | UСв | \$180,300 |  |  |  | \$27,000 |  | \$207,300 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N |  |
| ALFEn,NeAL VAN | DEAN - COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURAL \& ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES | UCD | \$240,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$240,700 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| ALVARADO, GLoria J | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR - HUMAN RESOURCES | UCD | \$214,200 | \$29,990 | CEMRP: Approved under local authority: Reported to the Regents in November 2008 Bi-monthly Transaction Report |  |  |  | \$244,190 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |

## ANNUAL REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION FOR CALENDAR YEAR 200

| Name | Title | Location | Annualized <br> Base Salary | $\begin{gathered} \text { All Actual } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Bonus/lincentive } \\ \text { Payments } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | Bonusllncentive Comments | Total Actual Health <br> Science <br> Compensation | Annualized Stipend | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Other Cash } \\ \text { Compensation or } \\ \text { Payments } \end{array}$ | Subtotal: Cash Compensation | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Moving Costs } \\ \text { (Reimbursement) } \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Allowances: } \\ \text { Housing, Relo } \\ \text { Recruitmen } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Auto Allowance | SMG Benefits | UC Provided Housing | Severance <br> Benefits | SMG Supp Benefit | Additional Post <br> Retirement <br> Benefits | UC Provided Home Mortgage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Biggart,NICOLE W | DEAN-GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT | UCD | \$281,100 |  |  |  |  |  | \$281,100 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| BOYD,MICHAEL W | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR PLANNING, DESIGN \& CONSTRUCTION | UCD | \$206,000 | \$37,000 | CEMRP: Approved under local authority; Reported to the Regents in November 2008 Bi-monthly Transaction Report |  |  |  | \$243,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | $N$ |  |
| BURTIS, KENNETH C | DEAN-COLLEGE OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES | UCD | \$192,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$192,300 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N | \$125,000 |
| DROWN,STEVEN A. | CAMPUS COUNSEL AND ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL | UCD | \$205,045 |  |  |  |  |  | \$205,045 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| duruisseau, Shelton J | SENIOR ADMINISTRATVE AND <br> PROESSISNAL ERRVICES <br> OFFFCCR, UC DAVIS HEALTH <br> SYSTEM | UCD | \$278,320 | \$38,220 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$316,540 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| FRANKEL,ANN D | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, financial services | UCD | \$207,600 | \$24,912 | Other: Staff Recognition and Development Program (SRDP) payment issued November 20, 2008, prior to Regents salary freeze item from January 14, 2009. |  |  |  | \$232,512 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| GIBELING, JEFFERY C | DEAN - GRADUATE STUDIES | UCD | \$179,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$179,500 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| HORWITz,BARBARA A | ACTING PROVIST AND EXECUTIVE VICE CHANCELOR | UCD | \$244,000 |  |  |  | \$36,600 |  | \$280,600 |  |  | \$8,916 | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| Horwitz,BARBARA A | VICE PROVOST - ACADEMIC PERSONNEL | UCD | \$244,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$244,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| Johnson,kevin R | DEAN - SCHOOL OFLAW | UCD | \$307,200 |  |  |  |  | \$825 | \$308,025 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| Johnson, VIICENT L | CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER OF THE UC DAVIS MEDICAL CENTER | UCD | \$450,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$450,000 | \$3,514 | \$25,000 |  | Y | N | N | \% | N |  |
| KLEIN,BARRY M | VIIE CHANCELLOR - RESEARCH | UCD | \$237,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$237,400 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N |  |
| Ko,winston t | DEAN - DIVISION OF MATHEMATICAL AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES, COLLEGE OF LETTERS AND SCIENCE | UCD | \$196,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$196,700 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| LACY, WLLLAM B | VICE PROVOST - UNIVERSITY OUTREACH AND INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS | UcD | \$165,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$165,600 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| LAVERNIA,ENRIQUE J | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EAN - COLLEGE OF } \\ & \text { ENGINEERING } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | UCD | \$246,800 |  |  |  |  | \$20,567 | \$267,367 |  |  |  | Y | $N$ | N |  | N |  |
| LEVIINE,HAROLD G. | DEAN-SCHOOL OF EDUCATION | UCD | \$186,800 |  |  |  | \$37,360 |  | \$224,160 |  |  |  | Y | $\cdots$ | N |  | N |  |
| MANGUN,GEORGER | ACTING DEAN - SOCIAL SCIENCES | UcD | \$278,460 |  |  |  |  |  | \$278,460 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| MC GOWAN,WILLAM H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER - UC } \\ & \text { DAVIS MEDICAL CENTER } \end{aligned}$ | UCD | \$419,700 | \$55,40 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents |  |  |  | \$475,100 |  |  |  | r | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| MEYER,JOHN A | VICE CHANCELLOR - RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AND PLANNING | UCD | \$200,200 |  |  |  |  |  | \$200,200 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | \% | N |  |
| MINEAR,MICHAEL N | CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER, DAVIS CAMPUS HEALTH SYSTEM | UCD | \$310,000 | \$32,000 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$342,000 | \$2,500 |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| NOSEK JR,STANLEY E | VICE CHANCELLOR - ADMINISTRATION | UCD | \$224,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$224,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| ORLOWSkI,ANNA | CHIEF HEALTH SCIENCES COUNSEL | UCD | \$200,590 | \$13,853 | CEMRP: Approved under loca authority; Reported to the Regents in November 2008 Bi-monthly Transaction Report |  |  | \$100 | \$214,543 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| OSBURN,BENNIE I | DEAN - SCHOOL OF VETERINARY MEDICINE | UCD | \$261,100 |  |  |  |  |  | \$261,100 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| OwENS, JESSIE ANn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEAN - DIVISION OF HUMANITIES, } \\ & \text { ARTS AND CUTTURA STUDIES, } \\ & \text { COLLEGE OF LETTERS AND } \\ & \text { SCIENCE } \end{aligned}$ | UcD | \$195,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$195,400 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | $N$ | \$575,00 |
| PENDLETON, DENNIS F | DEAN - UNIVERSIIY EXTENSION | UCD | \$166,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$166,500 |  |  |  | $Y$ | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| PERSCHBACHER,REX R | FORMER DEAN - SCHOOL OF LAW | UCD | \$260,200 |  |  |  |  |  | \$260,200 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N |  |
| POMEROY,CLAIRE | VICE CHANCELLOR - HUMAN HEALTH SCIENCES AND DEAN - SCHOOL OF MEDICINE | UCD | \$468,800 | \$84,380 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 | \$75,000 |  |  | \$628,180 |  |  |  | Y | $N$ | N |  | N |  |
| RICE,ANN MADDEN | CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER-UC DAVIS MEDICAL CENTER | UCD | \$584,300 | \$89,70 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | 9674,000 |  |  | 98,916 | $r$ | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| ROBINSON,CAROLA | SENIOR PATIENT CARE SERVICES OFFICER, UC DAVIS HEALTH SYSTEM | UCD | \$273,300 | \$39,400 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$312,700 |  |  |  | Y | $N$ | N | 5\% | $N$ |  |
| SANDEEN, BEVERLYA | VICE CHANCELLOR - UNIVERSITY | UcD | \$222,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$222,500 |  |  | \$8,916 | $r$ | $N$ | N | 5\% | N |  |
| SHARROW, MARILYN J | UNIVERSITY LIBRARIAN | UCD | \$191,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$191,300 |  |  |  | $r$ | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | N | \% | N |  |
| SHEFFRIN,STEVENM | FORMER DEAN-DIVISION OF SOCIAL SCIENCES, COLLEGE OF LETTERS AND SCIENCE | UCD | \$207,900 |  |  |  |  |  | \$207,900 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N |  |
| SIEFKIN,ALLAN D | CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER - UC DAVIS MEDICAL CENTER | UCD | \$342,000 | \$33,080 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$375,080 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| SIEGEL,PETER M | VICE PROVOST-INFORMATION \& EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY | UCD | \$262,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$262,500 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |

## ANNUAL REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2008

| Name | Title | Location | Annualized Base Salary | $\begin{array}{r}\text { All Actual } \\ \begin{array}{r}\text { Bonus/llncentive } \\ \text { Payments }\end{array} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Bonusllncentive Comments | Total Actual Health <br> Science <br> Compensation$\|$ | Annualized Stipend | $\text { orner Cash } \begin{array}{r} \text { Otensation or } \\ \text { Payments } \end{array}$ | Subtotal: Cash Compensation | $\begin{gathered} \text { Moving Costs } \\ \text { (Reimbursement) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \text { Allowances: } \\ \text { Housing, Reli, } \\ \text { Recruitment } \end{array}$ | uto Allowance | SMG Benefit | UC Provided Housing | Severance <br> Benefits | SMG Supp Bene | Additional Post- <br> Retirement <br> Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tURNER,PATRICIA A | VICE PROVOST- <br> UNDERGRADUATE STUDIES | UCD | \$175,900 |  |  |  |  |  | \$175,900 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| VANDERHOEF,LARRY | CHANCELLOR | UCD | \$315,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$315,000 |  |  | 58,916 | $r$ | r | N | 5\% | N |  |
| WHite, brucer | ACTING VIICE PROVOST- ACADEMIC PERSONNEL | UcD | \$212,512 |  |  |  |  |  | \$212,512 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| wood, FRED E. | VICE CHANCELLOR - STUDENT AFFAIRS | UCD | \$210,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$210,000 |  |  |  | Y N | N | N |  | N |  |
| Young, HEATHER M | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR FOR NURSING | UCD | \$290,000 |  |  | \$60,000 |  |  | \$350,000 | \$4,278 | \$72,500 |  | Y N | N | N |  | N |  |
| ALEXOPOULOS, NICOLAOS G | FORMER DEAN-HENRY SAMUELI SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING | UCI | \$221,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$221,700 |  |  |  | Y N | N | N |  | N | \$391,500 |
| BAILEY, DAvid N | VICE CHANCELLOR-HEALTH AFFAIRS | UCI | \$532,500 |  |  | \$50,000 |  |  | \$582,500 |  |  |  | Y N | N | N |  | N |  |
| BENNETT,ALBERT | DEAN-SCHOOL OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES | UCI | \$250,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$250,000 |  |  |  | Y N | N | N |  | N |  |
| BRAS,RAFAELL | DEAN - HENRY SAMUELI SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING | UCI | \$330,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$330,000 | \$36,233 | \$82,500 |  | Y N | N | N |  | N |  |
| BRASE,WENDELL C | VICE CHANCELLOR- ADMINISTRATVE AND BUSINESS SERVICES | UCI | \$224,600 |  |  |  | \$20,000 |  | \$244,600 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N | \$300,000 |
| BRYANT.SUSANV | VICE CHANCELLOR-RESEARCH | UCI | \$275,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$275,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { CHEMERINSKY,ERWIN } \\ \text { STUART }\end{array}$ | DEAN - DONALD BREN SCHOOL OF LAW | ucı | \$350,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$350,000 | \$30,739 | \$87,500 |  | Y N | N | N |  | N |  |
| DORMAIER,ROY E. | VICE CHANCELLOR-PLANNING AND budget | ucı | \$232,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$232,000 |  |  |  | Y N | N | N | 5\% | N | \$582,750 |
| Dosher,Barbara anve | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEAN-SCHOOL OF SOCIAL } \\ & \text { SCIENCES } \end{aligned}$ | ucı | \$195,900 |  |  |  |  |  | \$195,900 |  |  |  | Y N | N | N |  | N |  |
| douglass,Joseph P | HEAD COACH-MEN'S BASKETBALL | UCI | \$232,800 | \$3,000 | Athletic contract:Payments consistent with terms of contract effective July 1, 2002. |  |  | \$55,298 | \$291,098 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| DRAKE, MICHAEL V | CHANCELLOR | UCI | \$392,200 |  |  |  |  |  | \$392,200 |  |  | 98,916 | $r$ r | r | N | \% | N |  |
| FERNANDEZ,NOHEMA | FORMER DEAN-CLAIRE TREVOR SCHOOL OF THE ARTS | UCI | \$180,100 |  |  |  |  |  | \$180,100 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| FERNANDEZ,NOHEMA | FORMER DEAN-CLAIRE TREVOR SCHOOL OFTHE ARTS | UCI | \$180,100 |  |  |  |  |  | \$180,100 |  |  |  | Y N | N | N |  | N |  |
| GEOCARIS,DIANE FIELDS | CHIEF CAMPUS COUNSEL AND associate general counsel | UCI | \$223,045 |  |  |  |  |  | \$223,045 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| GILLESPIE,MICHAEL J. | Head coach-baseball | UCI | \$140,000 | \$5,000 | Athletic contract: Payments consistent with terms of contract approved by the Regents on 10/05/07. |  |  | \$7,691 | \$152,691 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| GLADSON,REBEKAH G | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR AND CAMPUS ARCHITECTDESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION SERVICES | UCI | \$200,000 |  |  |  | \$16,800 |  | \$216,800 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| Gomez, MANUEL N | VICE CHANCELLOR-STUDENT AFFAIRS | UCI | \$215,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$215,300 |  |  |  | Y N | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { GOTTFREDSON,MICHAEL } \\ \text { RYAN } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | EXECUTIVE VICE CHANCELLOR AND PROVOST | ucı | \$301,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$301,500 |  |  | \$8,916 | Y N | N | N |  | N | \$373,550 |
| GRosser,JoY MELANIE | FORMER CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER | ucı | \$301,600 | \$37,599 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$339,199 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N | \$375,000 |
| HEMMINGER,JOHN C. | DEAN-SCHOOL OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES | UCI | \$227,700 |  |  |  |  | \$18,463 | \$246,163 |  |  |  | Y N | N | N |  | N |  |
| HERRON,JAMES R | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR- ADMINISTRATION OF HEALTH AFFAIRS | UCI | \$298,800 |  |  |  |  |  | \$298,800 |  |  |  | Y N | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| Huff,CLARENCE Ronald | DEAN-SCHOOL OF SOCIAL ECOLOGY | ucı | \$195,200 |  |  |  |  |  | \$195,200 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| IZZ1,MICHAELA | DIRECTOR OF INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS | ucı | \$190,000 |  |  |  |  | . 000 | \$236,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| KING,RONALD L | CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER - HEALTH AFFAIRS | UCI | \$431,500 | \$60,518 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$492,018 |  |  |  | r | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| LEWIS, ELLENM | FORMER DIRECTOR OF CLINICAL <br> EDUCATION | ucı | \$138,900 |  |  |  |  |  | \$138,900 |  |  |  | Y N | N | N | 3\% | N |  |
| MITCHELL,THOMAS J. | VICE CHANCELLOR-UNIVERSITY ADVANCEMENT | UCI | \$275,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$275,000 |  |  | 98,916 | Y | N | N | 5\% | N | \$892,500 |
| MUNOFF,GERALDJ. | UNIVERSITY LIBRARIAN | UCI | \$188,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$188,000 |  |  |  | r | $N$ | N | 5\% | N | \$270,000 |
| MURRY,JIMMY MATHEW | ACTING CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER MEDICAL CENTER | UCI | \$274,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$274,300 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| POLICANO,ANDREW JOHN | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DEAN-PAUL MERAGE SCHOOL OF } \\ \hline \text { BUSINESS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | UCI | \$300,900 |  |  |  |  |  | \$300,900 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N |  |
| RAYBURN,SUSAN J. | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF CLINICAL ENTERPRISE | UCI | \$212,700 | \$28,401 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$241,101 |  |  |  | r | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| REISER,LISA M. | CHIEF PATIENT CARE SERVICES OFFICER | ucı | \$243,000 | \$26,507 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$269,507 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| RICHARDSON, DEBRAJ | DEAN-DONALD BREN SCHOOL OF INFORMATION AND COMPUTER SCIENCES | UCI | \$195,200 |  |  |  |  |  | \$195,200 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N | \$103,50 |
| RUIZ, VICKI LYN | DEAN - SCHool of humanities | ucI | \$221,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$221,300 |  |  |  | Y N | N | N |  | N | \$196,800 |
| SCHNEIDER,PETER | CHIEF HEALTH SCIENCES COUNSEL | UCI | \$220,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$220,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| SPIRTUS,EUGENE | CHIEF MEDICAL OFFIICER | ucı | \$310,000 | \$38,373 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$348,373 |  |  |  | Y N | N | N | 5\% | N |  |

## ANNUAL REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2008

| Name | Title | Location | Annualized Base Salary | $\begin{array}{c}\text { All Actuav } \\ \text { Bonusllicentive } \\ \text { Payments }\end{array}$ | Bonusllncentive Comments | Total Actual Health Science Compensation | Annualized Stipend | Other Cass <br> Compensation or <br> Payments$\|$ | Subtotal: Cash Compensation | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Moving Costs } \\ \text { (Reimbursement) } \end{array}$ | Allowances: Housing, Relo Recruitment | uto Allowance | SMG Benefits | $\text { s } \begin{aligned} & \text { UC Provided } \\ & \text { Housing } \end{aligned}$ | Severance <br> Benefits | SMG Supp Benef | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Additional Post } \\ \text { Retirement } \\ \text { Renefits } \end{array} \\ \hline \text { Ren } \end{array}$ | $\text { t. } \begin{gathered} \text { UC Provided } \\ \text { Home Mortgage } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TERRICCIANO,ALAN L | ACTING DEAN - CLAIRE TREVOR SCHOOL OF THE ARTS | UCI | \$116,278 |  |  |  | \$43,722 |  | \$160,000 |  |  |  | N | $N$ | N |  | N |  |
| THATCHER,PATRICIA D. | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-HR AND CUSTOMER SERVICE, MEDICAL CENTER | UCI | \$196,547 | \$17,542 | CEMRP: Approved under loca authority; Reported to the Regents in November 2008 Bi-monthly Transaction Report |  |  |  | \$214,089 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| WINNER,CYMTHIA A. | CHIEF AMBULATORY CARE OFFICER | UCI | \$238,200 | \$24,371 | CEMRP: Approved under local authority: Reported to the Regents in November 2008 Bi-monthly Transaction Report |  |  |  | \$262,571 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| WOon,PETER | CONTROLLER-FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION, MEDICAL CENTER | UCI | \$209,160 |  |  |  |  | \$12,021 | \$221,181 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| zehntner,Maureen l | ASSOCIATE VICE chancelloricher executive OFFICER - MEDICAL CENTER | UCI | \$555,000 | \$74,4 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$629,432 |  |  | \$8,916 | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| ZEHNTNER,MAUREENL | FORMER ACTING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER | UCI | \$453,300 |  |  |  | \$50,000 |  | \$503,300 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| ATCHISON,KATHRYN | VICE PROVOST - - INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY \& INDUSTRY RELATIONS | UCLA | \$234,000 |  |  | \$14,669 |  |  | \$248,669 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| BLOCK,GENE D. | CHANCELLOR | UCLA | \$416,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$416,000 |  |  | 58,916 | Y | Y | N |  | N |  |
| BOUBELIK,JANE ESTHER | CHIEF LEGAL COUNSEL, MEDICAL SCIENCES | UCLA | \$235,000 | \$23,500 | CEMRP: Approved under local authority; Reported to the Regents in November 2008 Bi-monthly Transaction Report |  |  |  | \$258,500 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| CALDWELL,YOLANDA NICOLE | HEAD WOMEN'S BASKETBALL COACH | UCLA | \$250,000 |  |  |  |  | \$68,416 | \$318,416 | \$19,157 |  |  | N | $N$ | N |  | N |  |
| CARPEnter,katharineo | CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER SANTA MONICA-UCLA MEDICAL CENTER AND ORTHOPAEDIC HOSPITAL | UCLA | \$266,800 | \$38,336 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$305,136 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| CHOW,Norman Yew heen | FOOTBALL OFFENSIVE COORDINATOR | UCLA | \$250,000 |  |  |  |  | \$61,801 | \$311,801 | \$822 |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| Cowan,MARIEJ | FORMER DEAN -- SCHOOL OF NURSING | ucla | \$215,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$215,500 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| CREEM,Mitchell r. | FORMER CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER -- UCLA MEDICAL SCIENCES | UCLA | \$471,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$471,700 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | $N$ |  |
| CROoks, HEIII | SR. ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR -OPERATIONS \& PATIENT CARE SERVICES | UCLA | \$266,800 | \$39,4 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$306,275 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| DANIELS,DONALD FRANCIS | ASSISTANT COACH, MEN'S BASKETBALL | UCLA | \$200,000 |  |  |  |  | \$16,203 | \$216,203 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| DAVIS,JAMES | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | UCLA | \$223,900 |  |  |  |  |  | \$223,900 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N | \$800,000 |
| DHIR,VIJAY K | DEAN -- SCHOOL OF ENGINERRING AND APPLIED SCIENCE | UCLA | \$270,300 |  |  |  |  | \$22,525 | \$292,825 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| DORR,AIMEE |  <br> INFO. STUDIES | UCLA | \$218,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$218,600 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| DORRELL,KARL JAMES | FORMER HEAD COACH, FOOTBALL | UCLA | \$150,000 | \$200,000 | Athletic contract:Payments consistent with terms of contract approved by the Regents on 07/19/06 |  |  | \$481,866 | \$831,866 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| ENTRIKIN,JN | ACTING VICE PROVOSTINTERNATIONAL STUDIES | UCLA | \$206,900 |  |  |  | \$23,800 |  | \$230,700 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| FEINBERG, DAVII T | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FOR THE HOSPITAL SYSTEM | UCLA | \$739,700 | \$83,448 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$823,148 |  |  | \$8,916 | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| FEINBERG, DAVID T | FORMER ACTING ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR/CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER | UCLA | \$265,100 |  |  |  | \$283,900 |  | \$549,000 |  |  | 58,916 | N | $N$ | N |  | $N$ |  |
| FLANNERY, DIANE M | MANAGER, UCLA-NPI CENTER FOR COMMUNITY HEALTH | UCLA | \$217,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$217,300 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| FLYNN,MARY FRANCES | DIRECTOR, MANAGED CARE PROGRAM, UCLA MEDICAL CENTER | UCLA | \$242,300 | \$34,261 | CEMRP: Approved under loca authority; Reported to the Regents in November 2008 Bi-monthly Transaction Report |  |  |  | \$276,561 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| GILLAAM JR,FRANKLIN D | DEAN .- SCHOOL OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS | UCLA | \$250,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$250,000 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N |  |
| GILLIAM JR,FRANKLIN D | FORMER ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR -- COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS | UCLA | \$214,800 |  |  |  |  |  | \$214,800 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| GUERRERO,DANIEL GENE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIRECTOR, INTERCOLLEGIATE } \\ & \text { ATHLETICS }\end{aligned}$ ATHLETICS | UCLA | \$465,000 | \$78,938 | Athletic contract:Payments consistent with terms of contract approved by the Regents in May 2008. |  |  | \$40,626 | \$584,564 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N | \$850,000 |
| HENDRICKSON,PETER E | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR, DESIGN \& CONSTRUCTION | UCLA | \$244,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$244,400 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| HOWLAND,BENJAMIN CLARK | HEAD COACH, MEN'S BASKETBALL BASKETBALL | UCLA | \$300,000 | \$75,000 | Athletic contract:Payments consistent with terms of contract dated 06/24/08 |  |  | \$1,827,211 | \$2,002,221 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N | \$900,000 |

## ANNUAL REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION FOR CALENDAR YEAR 200

| Name | Title | Location | Annualized | $\begin{array}{r} \text { All Actual } \\ \text { Bonusllncentive } \\ \text { Payments } \end{array}$ | BorusIIncentive Comments | Total Actual Health <br> Science <br> Compensation$\|$ | Annualized | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Other Cass } \\ \text { Compensation or } \\ \text { Payments } \end{array}$ | Subtotal: Cash Compensation | Moving Costs (Reimbursement) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Allowances: } \\ \text { Housing, Relo, } \\ \text { Recruitment } \end{array}$ | Auto Allowance | SMG Benefit | UC Provided Housing | Severance <br> Benefits | SMG Supp Benefi | Additional Post <br> Retirement <br> Benefits | UC Provided Home Mortgage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Klove, Carole a | CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER -UCLA HOSPITAL SYSTEM | UCLA | \$251,600 | \$38,244 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$289,844 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| Lever, gerald s. | VICE CHANCELLOR -- MEDICAL SCIENCES \& DEAN -- SCHOOL OF MEDICINE | UCLA | \$530,000 |  |  | \$207,000 |  | \$234 | \$737,234 |  |  | \$8,916 | Y | N | N | 5\% | N | \$800,000 |
| LEVIN,LUBBE | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR CAMPUS HUMAN RESOURCES | UCLA | \$200,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$200,000 |  |  |  | Y | N N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| LODGE-LEMON,BERNADETTA | DIRECTOR, REVENUE CYCLE, UCLA HOSPITAL SYSTEM | UCLA | \$218,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$218,700 |  |  |  | N | N N | N |  | N |  |
| Lu,SUSIE L. | ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR, OPRATINS: OUTPATIENTIANCILLARY STRVICSE, UCLA HOSPITAL SYSTEM | UCLA | \$243,600 | \$33,442 | CEMRP: Approved under local authority; Reported to the Regents in November 2008 Bi-monthly Transaction Report |  |  |  | \$278,042 |  | \$16,125 |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| LYDER,COURTNEYH | DEAN --SCHOOL OF NURSIING | UCLA | \$250,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$250,000 | ¢8,854 | \$62,500 |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| MACIAS,REYNALDO FLORES | ACTING DEAN, DIVISION OF SOCIAL SCIENCES, COLLEGE | UCla | \$210,600 |  |  |  | \$31,600 |  | \$242,200 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| MARTIN,WILLIAM W | HEAD COACH, MENS TENNIS | UCLA | \$104,500 |  |  |  |  | \$200,993 | \$305,493 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| MITCHELL-KERNAN,CLAUDIA | VICE CHANCELLOR -- GRADUATE STUDIES \& DEAN -- GRADUATE DIVISION | UCLA | \$203,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$203,700 |  |  |  | y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| MONTERO,JANINA | VICE CHANCELLOR -- STUDENT AFFAIRS | UCLA | \$228,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$228,400 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N | \$639,200 |
| MORABITO, | ADMIIISTRATIVE VICE CHANCELLOR | UCLA | \$265,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$265,500 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N | \$800,000 |
| NEUHEISEL,RICHARD GERALD | HEAD Football COACH | UCLA | \$250,000 |  |  |  |  | \$1,047,887 | \$1,297,887 | \$20,476 |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| NYAMATHI,ADELINE M | ACTING DEAN -- SCHOOL OF NURSING | UCLA | \$187,000 |  |  |  | \$28,100 |  | \$215,100 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| O'KELLEY, JOHN SHANNON | ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR, OPERATIONS -- CLINICAL SERVICES, UCLA HOSPITAL SYSTEM SPSTEM | UCLA | \$244,900 | \$35,825 | CEMRP: Approved under local authority; Reported to the Regents in November 2008 Bi-monthly Transaction Report |  |  |  | \$280,725 |  | \$10,750 |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| OLIAN,JUDY D. | DEAN - - ANDERSON SCHOOLOF MANAGEMENT | UCLA | \$373,200 |  |  |  |  | \$50,000 | \$423,200 |  | \$29,166 |  | Y | N | N |  | N | \$2,000,000 |
| olsen, Steven a. | VICE CHANCELLOR -- FINANCE, BUDGET \& CAPITAL PROGRAMS | UCLA | \$288,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$288,300 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | \% | N | \$709,400 |
| osman,ginger | CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER. GEFFEN SCHOOL OF MEDICINE | UCLA | \$231,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$231,400 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| PARK,No-HEE | dean - school of dentistry | UCLA | \$295,300 |  |  | \$66,500 |  | \$15,942 | \$377,742 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| PECCEI,ROBERTO | VICE Chancellor -- research | UCLA | \$248,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$248,400 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N | \$400,000 |
| REED,KEVVIN S. | VICE CHANCELLOR -- LEGAL AFFAIRS | UCLA | \$265,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$265,500 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| REISLER,EMIL | DEAN -- SCHOOL OF LIFE SCIENCES, COLLEGE OF LETTERS AND SCIENCE | UCLA | \$236,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$236,400 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| RICE,THOMAS H | VICE CHANCELLOR -- ACADEMIC PERSONNEL PERSONNEL | UCLA | \$236,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$236,500 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| ROSEn,Robert | DEAN -- SCHOOL OF THEATER, FILM AND TELEVISION | UCLA | \$203,800 |  |  |  |  | . 98 | \$220,783 |  |  |  | $Y$ | N | N |  | N |  |
| ROSENSTOCK,LINDA | DEAN -- SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH | UCLA | \$310,100 |  |  | \$34,100 |  | \$2,825 | \$347,025 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| ROSENTHAL,J THOMAS | CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER, UCLA HOSPITAL SYSTEM | UCLA | \$431,500 | \$49,41 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$480,915 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| ROTHMAN,JUDITH | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR MEDICAL SCIENCES AND SENIOR ASSOCIATE DEAN - SCHOOL OF MEDICINE | UCLA | \$244,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$244,300 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N | \$250,000 |
| RUBIN,Amir dan | CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER, UCLA HOSPITAL SYSTEM | UCLA | \$547,600 | \$83,23 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$630,835 |  | \$9,375 |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| RUDNICK,JOSEPH A | ACTING DEAN -- DIVIIION OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES | UCLA | \$225,500 |  |  |  | \$33,800 |  | \$259,300 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| SANDEEN,CATHY A. | DEAN - CONT, ED. AND UNEX | UCLA | \$185,6000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$185,600 |  |  |  | $Y$ | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| SAVAGE,JOHN JOSEPH | HEAD COACH, BASEBALL | UCLA | \$1185,000 |  |  |  |  | \$54,828 | \$239,828 |  |  |  |  | N | N |  | $\stackrel{N}{\text { N }}$ | \$850,000 |
| SHULTz,JAMES | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-- PHYSIICAN SUPPORT SERVICES | UCLA | \$238,200 | \$34,457 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$272,657 |  |  |  | Y | $N$ | N | 5\% | N |  |
| Smith,Judith L | DEAN AND VICE PROVOST UNDERGRADUATE EDUCATION, COLLEGE OF LETTERS AND sCIENCE | cla | \$235,800 |  |  |  |  |  | \$235,800 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| SPEARE,MARK A | SR. ASSOC. DIRECTOR, MARKETING, PATIENT RELATIONS AND HUMAN RESOURCES | cla | \$244,900 | \$36,054 | CEMRP: Approved under local authority; Reported to the Regents in November 2008 Bi -monthly Transaction Report |  |  |  | \$280,954 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| STATON,PAULA | CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, UCLA HOSPITAL SYSTEM | UCLA | \$310,800 | \$47,2 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$358,041 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| STOWELL,TIMOTHY A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEAN - DVIIIIN OF } \\ & \text { HUMMAITIES, COLLEGE OF } \\ & \text { LETTERS \& SCIENCE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | UCLA | \$203,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$233,000 |  |  |  | $r$ r | ${ }^{\sim}$ | N |  | ${ }^{N}$ |  |
| STRONG,GARY EUGENE | UUNVERSITY LIBRARIAN | UCLA | \$212,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$212,700 |  |  |  |  | N | N | 5\% | N |  |

## ANNUAL REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION FOR CALENDAR YEAR 200

| Name | Title | Location | Annualized Base Salary | $\begin{gathered} \text { All A Actual } \\ \text { Bonusll\|lcentive } \\ \text { Payments } \end{gathered}$ | Bonusllncentive Comments | Total Actual Health <br> Science <br> Compensation | Annualized Stipend | Other Cash compensation or Payments | Subtotal: Cash Compensation | Moving Costs (Reimbursement) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Allowances: } \\ \text { Housing, Relo } \\ \text { Recruitmen } \end{array}$ | Auto Allowance | SMG Benefits | UC Provided Housing | Severance Benefits | SMG Supp Benefit | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { Additional Post } \\ \text { Aetirement } \\ \text { Benefits } \end{array}$ | UC Provided Home Mortgage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TORRESSGIL,FERNANDO M | FORMER ACTING DEAN-SCHOOL of PUBLIC AFFAIRS | UCLA | \$216,000 |  |  |  | \$8,208 |  | \$224,208 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| TURTELTAUB,RHEAP | VICE CHANCELLOR -- EXTERNAL AFEAIRS | UCLA | \$300,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$300,000 |  |  | 98,916 | Y | N N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| turteltaub,Rheap | FORMER ACTING VICE CHANCELLOR - EXTERNAL AFFAIRS | UCLA | \$216,400 |  |  |  | \$32,460 |  | \$248,860 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| VALDIVIEZO,NORAL | DIRECTOR, FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, UCLA MEDICAL CENTER | UCLA | \$218,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$218,700 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| Walker,dewayne morris | FOOTBALL DEFENSIVE COORDINATOR | UCLA | \$195,000 |  |  |  |  | \$201,693 | \$396,693 |  |  |  | N | N N | N |  | N |  |
| WARD,CATHY RODGERS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIRECTOR, NURSING, UCLA } \\ & \text { HOSPITAL SYSTEM } \end{aligned}$ | UCLA | \$218,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$218,700 |  |  |  | N | N N | N |  | N |  |
| WATERMAN,CHRISTOPHER <br> ALAN | DEAN - - SCHOOL OF THE ARTS \& ARCHITETURE | UCLA | \$245,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$245,000 |  |  |  | Y | N N | N |  | N |  |
| WATKINs,Paul h. | DIRECTOR, SUPPORT SERVICES, UCLA HOSPITAL SYSTEM | UCLA | \$200,600 | \$30,490 | CEMRP: Approved under local authority; Reported to the Regents in November 2008 Bi-monthly Transaction Report |  |  |  | \$231,090 |  | \$9,250 |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| waugh,scott L | EXEC. VICE CHANCELLOR AND PROVOST | ucla | \$366,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$366,000 |  |  | 98,916 | Y | N N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| WAUGH,SCOTt L | FORMER ACTING EXEC. VICE CHANCELLOR AND PROVOST | UCLA | \$202,100 |  |  |  | \$83,500 |  | \$285,600 |  |  |  | Y | N | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | 5\% | N |  |
| YANCEY JR,WILLAM R | ASSISTANT DEAN- DENTISTRY | UCLA | \$171,900 |  |  |  |  | \$66,500 | \$237,400 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| ALLEY,KEITH EDWARD | EXECUTIVE VICE CHANCELLOR AND PROVOST | исм | \$240,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$240,500 |  |  | \$8,916 | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| BJORNSSON,HANS <br> CHRISTER CHRISTER | ACTING DEAN OF THE SCHOOL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES, HUMANITIES AND ARTS | Uсм | \$185,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$185,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| GARAMENDI II,JOHN <br> RAYMOND | VICE CHANCELLOR- UNIVERSITY RELATIONS | Uсм | \$207,200 |  |  |  |  |  | \$207,200 |  |  | \$8,916 | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| KANG,SUNG MO | CHANCELLOR | UCM | \$295,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$295,000 |  |  | 98,916 | Y | $Y$ | N |  | N |  |
| KOGUT,RICHARD MONROE | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLORCHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER | исм | \$187,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$187,700 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N | \$202,500 |
| LAWRENCE,JANE FIORI | VICE CHANCELLOR- STUDENT AFFARS | исм | \$181,800 |  |  |  |  |  | \$181,800 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N | \$324,000 |
| MILLER,MARY E | VICE CHANCELLOR- ADMIIISTRATION | UCM | \$203,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$203,500 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N | \$825,000 |
| MILLER,ROBERT BRUCE | UNIVERSITY LIBRARIAN | UCM | \$162,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,300 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| PALLAVICIIII,MARIA <br> GEORGINA | dean - natural sciences | Uсм | \$203,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$203,400 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N |  |
| TRAINA, SAMUEL JUStin | VICE CHANCELLOR OF RESEARCH AND DEAN OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL | Uсм | \$202,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$202,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N | \$276,000 |
| WRIGHT,JEFFREY R | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEAN - SCHOOL OF } \\ & \text { ENGINEERING } \end{aligned}$ | UCM | \$202,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$202,400 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N | \$350,100 |
| young,JANET ELIZABEth | ASSOCIATE CHANCELLOR AND CHIEF OF STAFF | UC | \$180,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$180,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 3\% | N | 0,350 |
| ABBASCHIAN, REZA | DEAN-ENGINEERING | UCR | \$240,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$240,500 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N | \$801,000 |
| ALDRICH,DANIEL G. | ACTING VICE CHANCELLOR- UNIVERSITY ADVANCEMENT | UCR | \$250,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$250,000 |  |  | \$8,916 | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| BALDWIN,THOMAS OAKLEY | DEAN-COLLEGE OF NATURAL AND AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES | UCR | \$235,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$235,000 |  | \$23,500 |  | r | N N | N |  | N |  |
| BoLAR,GRETCHENS. | VICE CHANCELLOR-ACADEMIC PLANNING \& BUDGET | UCR | \$197,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$197,600 |  |  |  | Y | N | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | 5\% | N |  |
| BOSSERT,STEVEN T. | DEAN-GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION | UCR | \$191,900 |  |  |  |  |  | \$191,900 |  |  |  | Y | N Y | r |  | N | \$380,000 |
| BYUs, CRAIG V | DEAN-DIVIIION OF BIOMEDICAL SCEINCES | UCR | \$131,800 |  |  | \$53,100 | \$55,000 |  | \$239,900 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| CHILDERS, JOSEPH | DEAN-GRADUATE DIVIIIION | UCR | \$172,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$172,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N | \$224,000 |
| COOKSEY, DONALD A. | FORMER ACTING DEAN-COLLEGE OF NATURAL AND AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES | UCR | \$111,800 |  |  |  | \$45,800 |  | \$157,600 |  |  |  | N | N | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |  | N |  |
| COYLE, MICHELE C. | CHIEF CAMPUS COUNSELASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL | UCR | \$193,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$193,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| CULLENBERG, Stephen e. | dean-college of humanities, ARTS, \& SOCIAL SCIENCES | UCR | \$198,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$198,500 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N | \$107,550 |
| DIAZ,ALPHONSO V. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VICE CHANCELLOR- } \\ & \text { ADMIISTRATION } \end{aligned}$ | UCR | \$244,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$244,000 |  |  |  | Y | N N | N | 5\% | N | \$877,500 |
| DUFFY,SHARON A. | ACTING DEAN-UNIVERSITY Extension | UCR | \$131,994 |  |  |  | \$52,006 |  | \$184,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| GREY, ROBERT D. | FORMER ACTING CHANCELLOR | UCR | \$300,000 |  |  |  |  | \$1,064 | \$301,064 | \$2,228 |  | 98,916 | r | r - | N |  | N |  |
| GUZE,PHYLIIS A | ACTING VICE CHANCELLOR MEDICAL SCIENCES AND DEAN SCHOOL OF MEDICINE | UCR | \$126,400 |  |  | \$180,533 |  |  | \$306,933 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| HACKWOod,SUSAN | SPECIAL ASSISTANT TO THE CHANCELLOR | UCR | \$222,080 |  |  |  |  |  | \$222,080 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N | \$272,250 |
| HARLOW, SUSAN A. | FORMER ACTING VICE CHANCELLOR-UNIVERSITY ADVANCEMENT | UCR | \$192,400 |  |  |  | \$38,500 |  | \$230,900 |  |  |  | ${ }^{N}$ | N | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |  | N |  |
|  | UNIVERSITY LIBRARIAN <br> VIIE CHANCELLOR-RESEARCH | UCR | \$189,300 $\$ 240000$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 189,300$ $\$ 240000$ |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | \$350,000 |

## ANNUAL REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION FOR CALENDAR YEAR 200

| Name | Title | Location | Annualizeof | All Actua Bonus/Incentiv Payments | BonusIIncentive Comments | Total Actual Health <br> Science <br> compensation$\|$ | Annualized Stipend | Other Cash <br> Compenation or <br> Payments | Subtotal: Cash Compensation | Moving Costs (Reimbursement) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Alowances } \\ \text { Housing, Relo } \\ \text { Recruitment } \end{array}$ | Auto Allowance | SMG Benefits | UC Provided Housing | Severance <br> Benefits | SMG Supp Benefit ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | Additional Post <br> Retirement Benefits | UC Provided Home Mortgage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RABENSTEIN,DALLAS L. | FORMER DEAN-GRADUATE DIVISION | UCR | \$209,200 |  |  |  |  |  | \$209,200 |  |  |  | Y | N N | N |  | N |  |
| SANDOVAL,JAMES W. | VICE CHANCELLOR--STUDENT AFFAIRS | UCR | \$209,900 |  |  |  |  |  | \$209,900 |  |  |  | Y | N N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| Stewart, DAVID W. | DEAN-A. GARY ANDERSON GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT | UCR | \$370,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$370,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N | \$500,000 |
| WARTELLA, ELLEN A. | EXECUTIVE VICE CHANCELLOR AND PROVOST | UCR | \$259,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$259,300 |  |  | \$8,916 | Y | N | N |  | N | \$675,000 |
| WHITE,TIMOTHY P | CHANCELLOR | UCR | \$325,000 |  |  |  |  | 5901 | \$325,901 | \$2,251 | \$25,000 | eased Auto | $r$ | Y | N |  | N |  |
| WOOLDRIDGE,JAMES A. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HEAD COACH - MEN'S } \\ & \text { BASKETBALL } \end{aligned}$ | UCR | \$200,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$200,000 |  | \$15,417 |  | N | N N | N |  | N |  |
| CARPENTER,Donna jean | VICE CHANCELLOR ADMIIISTRATIVE SERVICES | UCSB | \$203,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$203,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| CONOLEY, JAne Close | dean of gevirtz graduate SCHOOL OF EDUCATION | UCSB | \$219,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$219,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N | \$949,500 |
| CUNNINGHAM,GARY A | ATHLETICS DIRECTOR | UCSB | \$204,999 |  |  |  |  |  | \$204,999 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| FRENCH,MARK S | HEAD COACH WOMEN'S basketball | UCSB | \$172,475 |  |  |  |  | 55,000 | \$177,475 |  |  |  | N | N N | N |  | N |  |
| Galines, Steven | ACTING DEAN, MATHEMATICAL, LIFE AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES | ucsb | \$167,388 |  |  |  | \$39,260 |  | \$206,648 |  |  |  | N | N N | N |  | N |  |
| GOSSARD,ARTHUR | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR FOR ACADEMIC PERSONNEL | Ucsb | \$255,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$255,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N | \$200,000 |
| GOTTLLEB,LINDSAY C | ${ }^{\text {HEAD COACH WOM WEN'S }}$ | UCSB | \$155,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$155,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| HARRIS, HELEN | DEAN OF STUDENTS | UCSB UCSB | $\frac{\text { S155,333 }}{\text { S195, }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | \$155,333 | \$15.603 |  |  | N | N | N |  | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ |  |
| LUCAS,GLENNE | EXECUTIVE VICE CHANCELLOR | UCSB | ${ }_{\text {S }}{ }^{\text {S1267,900 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {\$ }}^{\text {\$1267,000 }}$ | \$15,003 | S11,875 | s8,916 | Y | N | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {N }}$ |  |
| LYTLE,LOY D | DEAN OF EXTENDED LEARNING SERVICES AND SUMMER SESSIONS | UCSB | \$156,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$156,400 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| MARSHALL, DAVID B | EXECUTIVE DEAN COLLEGE OF LETTERS \&CIINNE, EEAN OLVIIONOF HUMNITIES AND FINE ARTS | UCSB | \$217,900 |  |  |  | \$40,000 | \$18,158 | \$276,058 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| MASSARI,MARK W | DIRECTOR OF INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS | ucss | \$200,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$200,000 |  | \$50,000 |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| MORRISON,GALE M | DEAN-GRADUATE DIVIIIION | UCSB | \$172,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$172,000 |  |  |  | $r$ r | N | N |  | N |  |
| NISBET,MARY | ACTING DEAN, UNDERGRADUATE EDUCATION | ucsb | \$129,260 |  |  |  | \$38,778 |  | \$168,038 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| OLIVER,MELVINL | DEAN, DIVISION OF SOCIAL SCIENCES | UCSB | \$213,900 |  |  |  |  | \$17,825 | \$231,725 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N | \$1,000,000 |
| PUTNAM,THOMAS MLTON | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER | Ucsb | \$200,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$200,000 |  | \$19,583 |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| SOBE,MARIA HERRERA | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR FOR DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND ACADEMIC POLICY | UCSB | \$225,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$225,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| TIFFNEY, BRUCE H | DEAN OF COLLEGE OF CREATIVE <br> STUDIES | UCSB | \$153,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$153,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| TIRRELL,MATTHEW V | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEAN OF THE COLLEGE OF } \\ & \text { ENGINEERING } \end{aligned}$ | ucsb | \$270,900 |  |  |  |  | \$22,575 | \$293,475 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| Tobin,Ronald w | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR FOR ACADEMIC PROGRAMS | UCSB | \$172,520 |  |  |  |  |  | \$172,520 |  |  |  | N | N N | N |  | N |  |
| VON WEIZSACKER,ERNST ULRICH | dean of the bren school of ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE \& MANAGEMENT | UCSB | \$216,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$216,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| WIEMANN,JOHN M | VICE CHANCELLOR FOR INSTITUTIONAL ADVANCEMENT | UCSB | \$216,400 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,400 |  |  | 98,916 | Y | N N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| williams,Robert allen | HEAD COACH MEN'S BASKETBALL | UCSB | \$195,270 |  |  |  |  | \$48,000 | \$243,270 |  |  |  | N | N N | N |  | N |  |
| WLLTZUUS, PIERRE E | THE SUSAN AND BRUCE WORSTER DEAN OF SCIENCE | UCSB | \$255,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$255,000 | \$2,500 | \$63,750 |  | r | N N | N |  | N |  |
| WITHERELL,MICHAEL S | VICE CHANCELLOR FOR | UCSB | \$246,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$246,300 |  |  |  | Y | N N | N |  | N |  |
| WYNER,ALANJ | DEAN OF UNDERGRADUATE STUDIES, COLLEGE OF LETTERS \& SCIENCE | UCSB | \$164,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$164,300 |  |  |  | Y | N N | N |  | N |  |
| YANG, HENRY T. | CHANCELIOR | UCSB | \$315,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$315,000 |  |  | 98,916 | $r$ r | Y | N | 5\% | N |  |
| Young, MICHAEL D | VICE CHANCELLOR FOR STUDENT AFFAIRS | Ucsb | \$195,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$195,700 |  |  |  | Y | N N | N | \% | N |  |
| BLUMENTHAL,GEORGER | CHANCELLOR | UCSC | \$310,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$310,000 |  |  | 88,916 | r | Y | N |  | N |  |
| dean,Carolyn | FORMER ACTING DEAN-DIVISION OF THE ARTS | ucsc | \$92,500 |  |  |  | \$30,833 |  | \$123,333 |  |  |  | n | N | N |  | N | \$79,950 |
| Doyle,mary m | VICE CHANCELLOR, INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | ucsc | \$214,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$214,000 | \$6,607 | \$53,500 |  | r | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| GALLOWAY,ALISON | VICE PROVOST, ACADEMIC AFFAIRS | ucsc | \$171,600 |  |  |  | \$16,000 |  | \$187,600 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N |  |
| ISAACson,michael Saul | ACting dean school of ENGINEERING | ucsc | \$200,233 |  |  |  | \$41,247 |  | \$247,480 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N | \$679,500 |
| JED, MEREDITH M | VICE CHANCELLOR, PLANNING AND BUDGET | ucsc | \$195,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$195,700 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | \%\% | N |  |
| JONES,DAVID EVAN <br> KAMIENIECKI,SHELDON | ACTING DEAN OF THE ARTS | UCSC | \$102,222 |  |  |  | \$15,334 | \$33,549 | \$151,105 |  |  |  | N N |  | $N$ |  | N | \$1,000,000 |

## ANNUAL REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2008

| Name | Title | Location | Annualized | $\begin{array}{r}\text { All Actual } \\ \begin{array}{r}\text { Bonus/llncentive } \\ \text { Payments }\end{array} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | BonusIIncentive Comment | Total Actual Health <br> Science <br> Compensation$\|$ | Annualized Stipend | Other Cass <br> Compensation or <br> Payments | Subtotal: Cash Compensation | Moving Costs (Reimbursement) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Allowances: } \\ \text { Housing, Relo } \\ \text { Recruitment } \end{array}$ | Auto Allowance | SMG Benefits | UC Provided Housing | Severance Benefits | SMG Supp Benefil | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Additional Post- } \\ \text { t Retirement } \\ \text { Benefits } \end{array}$ | $\text { t- } \begin{array}{r} \text { uc Provided } \\ \text { Home Mortgage } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KLIGER,DAVII S | CAMPUS PROVOST AND EXECUTIVE VICE CHANCELLOR | ucsc | \$255,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$255,600 |  |  | ${ }^{\text {88,916 }}$ | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| LADUSAW, WILLIAM A | VICE PROVOST AND DEAN, <br> UNDERGRADUATE EDUCATION | ucsc | \$187,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$187,300 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| MARGON,BRUCE H | VICE CHANCELLOR, RESEARCH | UCSC | \$228,800 |  |  |  |  |  | \$228,800 |  |  |  | Y | ${ }^{\sim}$ | N |  | N | \$1,000,000 |
| MC Ginty, FLICIA ELAINE | VICE CHANCELLOR, STUDENT AFFAIRS | ucsc | \$200,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$200,000 | \$12,959 |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| MERKLEY,CALVINL | FORMER VICE PROVOST, INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | ucsc | \$201,100 |  |  |  |  |  | \$201,100 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N |  |
| MORSE,MARGARET E | FORMER ACTING DEAN - ARTS DIVIIION | ucsc | \$132,000 |  |  |  | \$19,800 |  | \$151,800 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| MURPHY,DONNA MARIE | VICE CHANCELLOR, UNIVERSITY RELATIONS | ucsc | \$214,100 |  |  |  |  |  | \$214,100 |  | \$10,160 | \$8,916 | Y | N | N | 5\% | N | \$760,000 |
| ROSSI,CAROLE ROSEMARIE | CHIEF CAMPUS COUNSEL AND ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL | UCsC | \$188,754 |  |  |  |  |  | \$188,754 | \$9,955 |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| sLoAn, LISA | VICE PROVOST AND DEAN, GRADUATE STUDIES | ucsc | \$156,900 |  |  |  |  |  | \$156,900 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| STEEL,VIRGINIA | UNIVERSITY LIBRARIAN | UCSC | \$170,900 |  |  |  |  |  | \$170,900 |  | \$7,000 |  | r | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | N |  | N | \$1,049,000 |
| THORSETT,STEPHENE | DEAN, PHYSICAL AND biological sciences | ucsc | \$203,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$203,300 |  |  |  | Y | $N$ | N |  | N |  |
| VAN DEN ABbEELE,GEORGES Y | dean, humanities | ucsc | \$189,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$189,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| VANI,THOMAS | VICE CHANCELLOR, BUSINESS AND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES | ucsc | \$226,100 |  |  |  |  |  | \$226,100 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N | \$310,500 |
| ADLER,STEVEN | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { PROVOST, EARL WARREN } \\ \text { COLLEGE } \end{array}$ | UCSD | \$140,200 |  |  |  |  |  | \$140,200 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| BABAKANIAN,EDWARD | CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER - UCSD HEALTHCARE | UCSD | \$284,200 | 2.630 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$326,830 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| BAGGETT,MARGARITA M | CHIEF PATIENT CARE SERVICES OFFICER, UCSD MEDICAL CENTER | UCSD | \$225,000 | \$37,800 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$289,800 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| BARRETT,JOHN GREGORY | ASSOC VC-DEVELOPMENT, HEALTH SCIENCES | UCSD | \$220,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$220,000 | \$10,770 |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| BARRETT,KIM ELAINE | DEAN- GRADUATE STUDIES | UCSD | \$174,900 |  |  |  |  |  | \$174,900 |  |  |  | r | ${ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ | N |  | N |  |
| BRENNER,DAVII ALLEN | VICE CHANC - HEALTH SCI AND DEAN, SCHOOL OF MEDICINE | ucsd | \$521,000 |  |  | \$220,000 |  |  | \$741,000 |  | \$31,250 |  | r | $N$ | N |  | N |  |
| CORNELIUS,ANN LORAINE | PROVOST, ELEANOR ROOSEVELT COLLEGE | UCSD | \$139,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$139,400 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 3\% | N |  |
| COWHEY,PETERF. | DEAN-GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS \& PACIFIC STUDIES | UCSD | \$190,600 |  |  |  | \$28,590 |  | \$219,190 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N | \$710,000 |
| CRAII, PAUL A | CHIEF RISK MANAGEMENT AND PATIENT SAFETY OFFICER | UCSD | \$201,600 | \$30,240 ${ }_{\text {in }}$ | CEMRP: Approved under local authority; Reported to the Regents in November 2008 Bi-monthly Transaction Report |  | \$20,160 |  | \$252,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | $N$ |  |
| DRAKE,PAUL W | SENIOR VICE CHANCELLOR - ACADEMIC AFFAIRS | ucsd | \$300,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$300,000 |  |  | 98,916 | r | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| ELIIS,ARTHUR BARON | VICE CHANCELLOR-RESEARCH | UCSD | \$251,100 |  |  |  |  |  | \$251,100 |  |  |  | Y | $N$ | N |  | N |  |
| ELMAN,JEFFREY L. | Former acting deandivision OF SOCIAL SCIENCES | UCSD | \$241,244 |  |  |  | 530,879 |  | \$272,123 |  |  |  | N | N | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |  | N | \$958,150 |
| ELMAN,JEFFREY L. | DEAN - DIVISION OF SOCIAL SCIENCES | UCSD | \$277,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$277,400 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N | \$958,150 |
| ESPIRITU,RONALDO G. | ASSISTANT VICE CHANCELLOR FOR BUSINESS AND FISCAL AFFAIRS | UCSD | \$221,600 | \$21,760 | Other: Clinical Senior Leaders Recognition Plan; award being repaid pending resolution of bonus/incentive status and authority to pay award. |  |  |  | \$243,360 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| FOX, MARYE ANNE | CHANCELLOR | UCSD | \$392,200 |  |  |  |  | 5985 | \$393,185 |  |  | 98,916 | r | $r$ | N |  | N |  |
| GIDDINGS, LELAND M | CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER, PRIVACY OFFICER, AND MEDICAL DIRECTOR FOR CLINICAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT | UCSD | \$233,200 | \$34,980 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$268,180 |  |  |  | r | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| HAVIS,ALLAN | PROVOST, THURGOOD MARSHALL COLLEGE | UCSD | \$144,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$144,300 |  |  |  | Y | N | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |  | N |  |
| HAYMET,ANTHONY DOUGLAS JOHN | VICE CHANCELLOR-MARINE SCIENCES DEAN OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MARINE SCIENCES \& DRECTOR SCRIPPS INTITUTION OF OCEANOGRAPHY | UCSD | \$261,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$261,500 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N | \$1,000,000 |
| hellmann,maxwell BOONE | ASSOC. VICE CHANCELLORFACILITIES DESIGN \& CONSTRUCTION | UCSD | \$197,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$197,600 |  |  |  | r | N | N | 3\% | N |  |
| HOFFERBER,SCOTT S. | CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER UCSD MEDICAL GROUP | UCSD | \$212,700 | \$30,660 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$243,360 |  |  |  | $r$ | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| Hogan,Robert w. | CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER-UCSD MEDICAL CENTER | ucsd | \$258,500 | N | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$296,015 |  |  |  | $r$ | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| JACKIEWICZ,THOMAS <br> EDWARD <br> KAYSIEVE | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER HEALTH SCIENCES | UCSD | \$490,000 | \$73,500 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$563,500 |  |  |  | $r$ | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| KAY,STEVE A | DEAN - DIVISION OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES | UCsD | \$250,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$250,000 |  |  |  | Y | $N$ | N |  | N |  |

## ANNUAL REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2008

| Name | Title | Location | Annualized <br> Base Salary | $\begin{array}{r}\text { All Actual } \\ \begin{array}{r}\text { BonusIl. } \\ \text { Paymentivents }\end{array} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Bonusllncentive Comments | $\left\|\begin{array}{r}\text { Total Actual Heatrh } \\ \text { Science } \\ \text { Compensation }\end{array}\right\|$ | Annualized Stipend | Other Cash <br> Compensation or <br> Payments | Subtotal: Cash Compensation | $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Moving costs) } \\ \text { (Reimbursement) } \end{array}\right)$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \text { Allowances: } \\ \text { Housing, Reli, } \\ \text { Recruitment } \end{array}$ | Auto Allowance | SmG Benefit | $\text { s } \begin{aligned} & \text { uc Provided } \\ & \text { Housing } \end{aligned}$ | Severance <br> Benefits | SMG Supp Benef | Additional Post <br> Retirement Benefits | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \begin{array}{r} \text { UC Provided } \\ \text { Home Mortgage } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KRAUS, DAVID V. | CHIEF CONTRACTING OFFICER- MEDICAL CENTER | UCSD | \$210,000 | \$16,042 | Other:Local medical center management incentive program. |  |  | \$5,000 | \$231,042 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| LARSEN,JULIANNE Joy | ACTING ASSOC VC UNIVERSITY development | ucsd | \$174,200 |  |  |  | \$26,130 |  | \$200,330 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| LARSEN,JULIANNE JOY | ASSOC VC UNIVERSITY dEVELOPMENT | UCSD | \$230,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$230,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| LARSON,DONALD A. | ASSISTANT VICE CHANCELLORBUSINESS AND FINANCIAL SERVICES, CONTROLLER | UCSD | \$159,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$159,300 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 3\% | N |  |
| LEEKWEG,RICHARD J | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER UCSD MEDICAL CENTER | ucsd | \$660,500 | \$88,725 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$749,225 |  |  | \$8,916 | Y | N | N | 5\% | $N$ |  |
| MATTHEWS,GARY CLIFFORD | VICE CHANCELLOR - RESOURCE management and planning | UCSD | \$225,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$225,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| MCAFEE, THOMAS VARDON | DEAN - CLINICAL AFFAIRS, | UCSD | \$447,200 | \$67,080 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$514,280 |  |  |  | $r$ | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| MORAN, DENNIS J | CHIEF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES OFFICER | ucsd | \$212,700 | \$29,700 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$242,400 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 3\% | N |  |
| NEUMAN,DEBRA G. | VICE CHANCELLOR-EXTERNAL RELATIONS | UCSD | \$295,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$295,000 | \$31,360 | 6,875 | 8,916 | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| NEWMAN,REBECCA | FORMER ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR-DEVELOPMENT | UCSD | \$216,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$216,400 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| ORESKES,NAOMI | PROVOST-SIXTH COLLEGE | UCSD | \$185,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$185,600 |  |  |  | $Y$ | $N$ | N |  | N |  |
| PARK,DANEL W | CHIEF CAMPUS COUNSEL AND ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL | UCSD | \$207,500 |  |  |  |  | \$2,400 | \$209,900 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| Relyea, Steven | FORMER ACTING VICE CHANCELLOR EXTERNAL RELATIONS | ucsd | \$240,000 |  |  |  | \$45,000 |  | \$285,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| RELYEA,Steven | VICE CHANCELLOR-BUSINESS AFFAIRS | UCSD | \$240,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$240,000 |  |  |  | $r$ | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| RUE,HENRIETTA ELIZABETH | VICE CHANCELLOR-STUDENT | UCSD | \$215,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$215,000 |  | \$13,438 |  | Y | $N$ | N | 5\% | N | \$819,900 |
| SCHOTTLAENDER,BRIAN E. | UNIVERSITY LIBRARIAN | UCSD | \$208,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$208,000 |  |  |  | $r$ | $N$ | N | 5\% | N | \$351,000 |
| SCIOSCIA,ANGELA LYMN | CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER | Ucsd | \$374,800 | \$47,250 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$422,050 |  |  |  | $r$ | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| SEIBLE, FRIEDER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEAN - JACOBS SCHOOL OF } \\ & \text { ENGINEERING } \end{aligned}$ | UCSD | \$326,100 |  |  |  |  |  | \$326,100 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| SHEFFIELD, SHAWN TIFFANY | ASSIST VICE CHANCELLOR RESOURCE STRATEGY \& PLANNING, HEALTH SCIENCES | UCSD | \$215,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$215,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| SMITH,SUSAN L | PROVOST, JOHN MUIR COLLEGE | ucso | \$120,100 |  |  |  |  |  | \$120,100 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | $N$ |  |
| SONNENSHEIN,MONAL | CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER. UCSD MEDICAL CENTER | UCSD | \$514,700 | \$47,610 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$562,310 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N | \$451,950 |
| SULIIVAN,ROBERT S. | DEAN - RADY SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT | UCSD | \$342,800 |  |  |  |  |  | \$342,800 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N |  |
| TAYLOR,PALMER W. | ASSOC VICE CHANCELLOR HEALTH SCIENCES AND FOUNDING DEAN-SCHOOL OF PHARMACY AND $\qquad$ | UCSD | \$317,400 | \$47,610 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$365,010 |  |  |  | $r$ | N | N |  | N |  |
| Thlemens,mark h | DEAN - DIVISION OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES | ucsd | \$297,400 |  |  |  |  | \$22,117 | \$319,517 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N | \$434,200 |
| WALSHOK,MARY LINDENSTEIN | ASSOC VICE CHAN. EXTENDED STUDIES AND PUBLIC SERVICE, DEAN-UNIVERSITY EXTENSION | UCSD | \$169,700 |  |  |  |  | \$2,031 | \$171,731 |  |  |  | $r$ | $N$ | N | 5\% | $N$ |  |
| WULBERT,DANIELE. |  |  | \$153,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$153,300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |  |
| Young, ERIC VAN | FORMER ACTING DEAN DIVISION OF ARTS AND HUMANITIES | UCSD | \$188,344 |  |  |  | \$15,919 |  | \$204,263 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | $N$ |  |
| ANTRUM,SHEILAE | CHIEF PATIENT CARE SERVICES OFFICER, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$250,000 | \$13,500 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$263,500 | 87,651 | \$18,542 |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| ASP,JAMES W |  relations | UCSF | \$251,900 |  |  |  |  |  | \$251,900 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | $N$ |  |
| AUTRY,SUSAN A | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-CLINICAL AND TRANSLATIONAL SCIENCE INSTITUTE | UCSF | \$218,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$218,600 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| BADE, MICHAEL G | interim assistant vice CHANCELLOR-CAPITAL PROJECTS AND CAMPUS ARCHITECT | UCSF | \$176,800 | \$8,757 | Other: Approved under local authority. Total potential cash compensation at time of approval was below ICL of $\$ 205,000$. Stipend began 10/01/08, bringing salary over ICL. |  | \$26,520 |  | \$212,077 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| BARCLAY,STEPHEN J | EENIOR VICE CHANCELLORRESOURCE MANAGEMENT AND CAPITAL PROJECTS | UCSF | \$216,480 |  |  |  |  |  | \$216,480 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N | \$1,192,250 |
| BARCLAY, STEPHEN J | FORMER SENIOR VICE CHANCELLOR-FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION | UCSF | \$360,800 |  |  |  |  |  | \$360,800 |  |  | \$8,916 | r | N | N | 5\% | N | \$1,192,250 |

ANNUAL REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION FOR CALENDAR YEAR 200\&

| Name | Title | Location | Annualized Base Salary | Bonus/Incentiv Payments | BonusIIncentive Comments | Total Actual Heath Science Compensation | Annualized Stipend | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Other Cass } \\ \text { Compensation or } \\ \text { Payments } \end{array}$ | Subtotal: Cash Compensation | Moving Costs (Reimbursement) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Allowances: } \\ \text { Housing, Relo } \\ \text { Recruitment } \end{array}$ | Auto Allowance | SMG Benefits | UC Provided | Severance <br> Benefits | SMG Supp Benefit | Additional Post <br> Retirement <br> Benefits | UC Provided Home Mortgage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BENNAN,JAMES | ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTORPERIOPERATIVE SERVICES, medical center | UCSF | \$192,600 |  |  |  |  | \$6,646 | \$199,246 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| BISHOP,JOHNM | CHANCELLOR | UC | \$402,200 |  |  |  |  |  | \$400,200 |  |  | \$516 | r | r | N |  | N |  |
| BLUESTONE, JEFFREY | INTERIM VICE CHANCELLOR - RESEARCH | UCSF | \$197,600 |  |  | \$156,000 |  |  | \$353,600 |  |  |  | N | N N | N |  | N |  |
| BREZMAN, RENE L | DIRECTOR-INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY APPLICATION, medical center | UCSF | \$228,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$228,300 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| BUTTER, , AREN A | UNIVERSITY LIBRARIAN \& ASSISTANT VICE CHANCELOR | UCSF | \$247,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$247,700 |  |  |  | r | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| CAFFEY, MARIE P | department manager PSYCHIATRY \& LANGLEY PORTER PSYCHIATRIC INSTITUTE | UCSF | \$199,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$199,400 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| CALARCO,PATRICIA G | DEAN-GRADUATE DIVIIIION | UCSF | \$200,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$200,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| CANNING, MARCIA J | $\qquad$ COUNSEL <br> EXECUTIT | UCSF | \$234,210 |  |  |  |  |  | \$234,210 |  |  |  | r | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| CHAPMAn,JOHN | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-CLINICAL SERVICES, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$220,000 |  |  |  | \$24,102 | \$11,801 | \$255,903 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| CHRISMAN,MAYE C. |  | UCSF | \$195,200 |  |  |  | \$29,280 |  | \$224,480 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| CLAUDIO,Jose R | DIRECTOR-INFRASTRUCTURE | UCSF | \$219,900 |  |  |  |  |  | \$219,900 |  |  |  | N | N N | N |  | N |  |
| COX,JULIE M | DIRECTOR-INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, CUSTOMER SERVICE, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$220,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$220,400 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| CZECH,JANE | DIRECTOR OF ADMINISTRATIONNEUROLOGY | UCSF | \$179,000 | \$19,318 | Other: Approved under local authority; Total cash compensation under ICL of $\$ 205,000$. Included in report due to max potential TCC |  |  |  | \$198,318 | \$8,520 |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| DRACUP,KATHLEENA | DEAN-SCHOOL OF NURSING | UCSF | \$290,000 |  |  | 560,000 |  |  | \$350,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N | \$972,600 |
| EHLERS, MARIO R | DEPUTY DIRECTOR-CLINICAL <br> TRIALS GROUP, IMMUNE TOLERANCE NETWORK | UCSF | \$225,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$225,000 | \$10,027 | \$39,375 |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| ELIISon,wanda | INTERIM ASSISTANT VICE CHANCELLOR-RESEARCH | UCSF | \$167,232 | \$16,723 | Other: Approved under local authority. Total potential cash compensation at time of approval was below ICL of $\$ 205,000$. Stipend began 11/01/08, bringing salary over ICL. |  | \$33,446 |  | \$217,401 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| FAWLEY,REECE | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-HEALTH PLAN STRATEGY \& REVENUE MANAGEMENT, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$247,700 | \$17,587 | CEMRP: Approved under local authority; Reported to the Regents in November 2008 Bi-monthly Transaction Report |  |  |  | \$265,287 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | $N$ |  |
| FEATHERSTONE,JOHN DB | DEAN-SCHOOL OF DENTISTRY | UCSF | \$300,000 |  |  | \$50,000 |  |  | \$350,000 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N | \$980,000 |
| FELLOURIS,MARA | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-PROGRAM MANAGEMENT OFFICE | UCSF | \$202,200 |  |  |  |  |  | \$202,200 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| FERNANDES,ROXANNE | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR- <br> CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL, MEDICAL <br> CENTER | UCSF | \$263,200 |  |  |  |  | \$4,617 | \$267,817 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| FRENCH,BARBARA J. | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLORUNIVERSITY RELATIONS | UCSF | \$251,900 |  |  |  |  |  | \$251,900 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| HAMLLTON,CECLILA A | ASSISTANT VICE CHANCELLOR AND CONTROLLER | UCSF | \$235,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$235,000 | \$11,689 |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| HARRIS,JOHN P | CHIEF STRATEGY \& BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT OFFICER, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$298,800 | \$12,414 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$311,214 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | $N$ |  |
| HAWGOOD,SAMUEL | INTERIM DEAN, SCHOOL OF MEDICINE | UCSF | \$192,300 |  |  | \$195,475 | \$181,425 |  | \$569,200 |  |  |  | N | N N | N |  | N |  |
| HAWKINS, ANGELA M. | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-BUDGET \& RESOURCE MANAGEMENT | UCSF | \$195,200 | \$9,662 | Other: Approved under local authority; Total cash compensation under ICL of $\$ 205,000$. Included in report due to max potential TCC |  |  |  | \$204,862 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| HINDERY,MICHAELA | VICE DEAN-ADMINISTRATION, FINANCE, AND CLINICAL PROGRAMS, SCHOOL OF MEDICINE | UCSF | \$335,000 |  |  |  |  | \$32,000 | \$367,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| HSU,STELLAY | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR- CAMPS LIIE SERICES AND INTERIM ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR-FACILTIES MANAGEMENT | UCSF | \$207,570 |  |  |  | \$27,430 |  | \$235,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| IDE, MARY B | DIRECTOR-QUALITY SERVICES, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$188,200 |  |  |  |  |  | \$188,200 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |

ANNUAL REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION FOR CALENDAR YEAR $200 \varepsilon$

| Name | Title | Location | Annualized Base Salary | $\begin{gathered} \text { All A Actual } \\ \text { Bonusll\|lcentive } \\ \text { Payments } \end{gathered}$ | Bonusllncentive Comment | Total Actual Health <br> Science <br> Compensation | Annualized Stipend | Other Cash compensation or Payments | Subtotal: Cash Compensation | Moving Costs | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Allowances: } \\ \text { Housing, Relo } \\ \text { Recruitmen } \end{array}$ | Auto Allowance | SMG Benefits | UC Provided Housing | Severance <br> Benefits | SMG Supp Benefi | Additional Post Retirement Benefits | UC Provided Home Mortgage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RRWIN,MICHAEL D | interim associate vice CHANCELLOR-UNIVERSITY DEVELOPMENT AND ALUMNI RELATIONS | UCSF | \$181,600 | \$9,080 | Other: Approved under local authority. Total potential cash compensation at time of approval was below ICL of $\$ 205,000$. Stipend began 09/20/08, bringing salary over ICL. |  | \$36,320 |  | \$227,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| Jones, KENNETH M | CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$470,200 | \$22,265 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$492,465 |  |  |  | Y | N | r | 5\% | N |  |
| KIMBLE,MARY ANNE | DEAN-SCHOOL OF PHARMACY | UC | \$290,000 |  |  | \$66,000 |  |  | 50,000 |  |  |  | Y | $N$ | $N$ | 5\% | N |  |
| kWAN,LUCIA | DIRECTOR-REVENUE CYCLE DRREVICES, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$199,650 |  |  |  |  | \$4,326 | \$203,976 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| LARET, MARK R | CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$739,700 | \$30,389 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents |  |  |  | \$770,089 |  |  | \$8,916 | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| LIMA,CYNTHA G | EXECUTIVE DIRECTORADMINISTRATION, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$221,200 | \$17,696 | CEMRP: Approved under local authority; Reported to the Regents in November 2008 Bi-monthly Transaction Report |  |  |  | \$238,896 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| LOPEZ, RANDYL | INTERIM VICE CHANCELLORFINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION | UCSF | \$277,500 |  |  |  | \$41,625 |  | \$319,125 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| Lotenero,LAWRENCE J | CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER, medical center | UCSF | \$310,800 | \$1,865 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$312,665 |  |  |  | Y | N | r | 5\% | N | \$836,600 |
| MAHANEY,TIMOTHY M | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-SUPPORT SERVICES, MEDICAL CENTER | CSF | \$247,700 | \$18,330 | CEMRP: Approved under local authority; Reported to the Regents in November 2008 Bi-monthly Transaction Report |  |  | \$10,452 | \$276,482 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| MARSHALL,SALLY J | VICE PROVOST-ACADEMIC AFFARS | UCSF | \$244,900 |  |  | \$16,300 |  |  | 1,200 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| MOORE,SUSAN B | DIRECTOR-FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$234,500 | \$16,415 | CEMRP: Approved under local authority; Reported to the Regents in November 2008 Bi-monthly Transaction Report |  |  |  | \$250,915 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| MORGAn,DAVID R. | EXECUTIVE DIRECTORAMBULATORY CARE SERVICES, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$262,000 | \$17,816 | CEMRP: Approved under local authority; Reported to the Regents in November 2008 Bi-monthly Transaction Report |  |  | \$1,907 | \$281,723 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| nadaf-RaHrov,sorena | CHIEF INFORMATICS OFFICERCANCER CENTER | ucsF | \$185,000 |  |  |  |  | ,00 | 5,000 | \$13,672 | \$46,250 |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| OdATO,DAVID | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-PATIENT \& EMPLOYEE SERVICES, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$264,400 | \$19,566 | CEMRP: Approved under local authority; Reported to the Regents in November 2008 Bi-monthly Transaction Report |  |  | \$10,138 | \$294,104 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | $N$ |  |
| paulsen,lynn | DIRECTOR-PHARMACY SERVICES, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$218,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$218,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| PECKENPAUGH,RUSSELL | DIRECTOR-INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, MEDICAL CENTER |  | \$228,600 |  |  |  |  | \$9,200 | \$237,800 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| PURCELL,ALEXIS H | FORMER SENIOR ASSOCIATE DEAN-ADMINISTRATION \& FINANCE, SCHOOL OF DENTISTRY | UCSF | \$189,800 | \$28,470 | Other: Approved under loca authority and paid 10/10/08. Schoo of Dentistry Management Incentive Plan |  |  |  | \$218,270 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| Rago,karen a | EXECUTVE DIRECTOR-SERVICE LINE ADMIIISTRATION AND DIRECTOR-CARDIOVASCULAR SERVICES, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$225,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$225,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| REAVES,JOHN W | DIRECTOR-INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY PROJECT MANAGEMENT, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$201,467 |  |  |  |  |  | \$201,467 | \$5,447 |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| REIN,DAVID | INTERIM CLINICAL COMPLIANCE OFFICER AND DIRECTORFACULTY MEDICAL GROUP. SCHOOL OF MEDICINE | UCSF | \$179,400 |  |  |  | \$26,910 |  | \$206,310 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| RICKLEY,JOANN B | DIRECTOR-PERIOPERATIVE PATIENT CARE, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$195,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$195,600 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| RING, ERNEST J | FORMER CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$409,500 | \$32,76 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | 42,260 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| ROBERTS,CLIFFORD | INTERIM ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR-RESEARCH | UCSF | \$205,000 |  |  |  | \$41,000 |  | \$246,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| RYBA,TOMI | CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$547,600 | \$25,19 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | 5572,790 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N | \$1,535,000 |
| SCHNETZLER,GRETA | CHIEF HEALTH SCIENCES COUNSEL | UCSF | \$200,930 | \$6,883 | Other: Approved under local authority; Total cash compensation under ICL of $\$ 205,000$ at time of approval. OGC system-wide equities increased base salary over ICL. Included in report due to max potential TCC. |  |  |  | \$207,813 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| SHOWSTACK,JONATHAN A | ASIISTANT VICE CHANCELLOR \& CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER | UCSF | \$247,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$247,700 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | ${ }^{5 \%}$ | N |  |

## ANNUAL REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION FOR CALENDAR YEAR 200

| Name | Title | Location | Annualized | $\begin{array}{r}\text { All Actual } \\ \begin{array}{r}\text { Bonus/llncentivg } \\ \text { Payments }\end{array} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Bonusllncentive Comment | Total Actual Health <br> Science <br> Compensation$\|$ | Annualized Stipend | Other Cass <br> Compensation or <br> Payments | Subtotal: Cash Compensation | Moving Costs (Reimbursement) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Allowances: } \\ \text { Housing, Relo } \\ \text { Recruitment } \end{array}$ | Auto Allowance | SMG Benefits | UC Provided Housing | Severance <br> Benefits | SMG Supp Benef | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Additional Post- } \\ \text { t Retirement } \\ \text { Benefits } \end{array}$ | $\text { t- } \begin{array}{r} \text { uc Provided } \\ \text { Home Mortgage } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPARKMAN,ANN | PRINCIPAL COUNSEL | UCSF | \$200,930 | 56,883 | Other: Approved under local authority; Total cash compensation under ICL of $\$ 205,000$ at time of approval. OGC system-wide equities increased base salary over ICL. Included in report due to max potential TCC. |  |  |  | \$207,813 |  |  |  |  | N | N |  | N |  |
| SPAULIING,BRUCE W | SENIOR VICE CHANCELLOR- UNIVERSITY ADVANCEMENT \& PLANNING | UCSF | \$376,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$376,600 |  |  | \$8,916 | Y | N N | N | 5\% | N | \$273,800 |
| SPICER,JoAn | ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTORHOME HEALTH CARE, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$188,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$188,300 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| TYBURSKI,LAWRENCE M | DIRECTOR-CAMPUS HUMAN RESOURCES | UCSF | \$228,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$228,400 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| Uibel, ISABEL C | DIRECTOR-PATIENT CARE, MEDICAL CENTER | UCSF | \$190,800 |  |  |  |  |  | \$190,800 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| VERMILLON,ERIC B | ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLORfinance | UCSF | \$277,500 |  |  |  | \$20,813 |  | \$298,313 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| WASHINGTON, A EUGENE | EXECUTIVE VICE CHANCELLOR \& PROVOST | UCSF | \$385,300 |  |  | \$52,300 |  | \$13,194 | \$450,794 |  |  | \$8,916 | Y | N N | N |  | N |  |
| Wiesenthal,steven m | FORMER ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR-CAPITAL PROJECTS \& FACILITES MANAGEMENT | UCSF | \$234,600 |  |  |  |  | \$1,999 | \$236,599 |  |  | \$443 | N | N | N |  | $N$ |  |
| WISSMILLER,ANDREW P | FORMER DIRECTOR- INFRRMATON TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS, OFFICE OF ACADEMIC \& AMIIISTRATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEMS | UCSF | \$198,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$198,400 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| WOLITZKY,BARRY | CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER- IMMUNE TOLERANCE NETWORK | UCSF | \$195,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$195,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| WONG,JANE Y. | NTERIM CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER AND DEPUTY CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER | UCSF | \$199,800 |  |  |  | \$19,980 |  | \$219,780 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| YAMAUCHILLORIL | ASSISTANT VICE ChancellorCAMPUS PLANNING | UCSF | \$230,600 | \$23,060 | Other: Staff Recognition and Development Program (SRDP) payment issued October 31, 2008, prior to Regents salary |  |  |  | \$253,660 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| ALLEN-daz, barbara h | ASSISTANT VICE PRESIDENT - PROGRAMS, AGRICULTURE AND NATURAL RESOURCES | ANR | \$180,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$180,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |
| DOOLEY, DANIEL M. |  | ANR | \$320,000 |  |  |  | \$50,000 |  | \$370,000 |  |  | 98,916 | r | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| STANIFORD,RICHARD B. | ASSOCIATE VICE PRESIDENT | ANR | \$181,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$181,500 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | \% | N |  |
| TABER,KAY H | ASSISTANT VICE PRESIDENT ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES | ANR | \$150,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$150,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | \% | N |  |
| ALIVISATOS, A PAUL | DEPUTY DIRECTOR | LBNL | \$357,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$357,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | $N$ |  |
| ALVAREz,Rosio | OPERATIONS DIVISION DIRECTOR | LBNL | \$228,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$228,600 | \$11,550 |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| CHU,STEVEN | LABORATORY DIRECTOR | LBNL | \$408,400 |  |  |  |  | \$240 | \$408,640 |  |  | 98,916 | Y | N | N |  | r | \$1,000,000 |
| DEPAOLO,DONALD J | SCIENTIFIC DIVIIION DIRECTOR FACULTY | BNL | \$262,800 |  |  |  | \$43,420 |  | \$306,220 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| FALCONE,ROGER W | SCIENTIFIC DIVISION DIRECTOR FACULTY | BNL | \$199,333 |  |  |  | \$29,900 |  | \$229,233 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| FERNANDEZ,JEFFREY A | CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER | LBNL | \$259,980 |  |  |  |  |  | \$259,980 |  |  |  | r | $\cdots$ | N | \% | N |  |
| GRAY,JOE W | ASSOCIATE LABORATORY DIRECTOR | ${ }^{\text {LBNL }}$ | \$313,488 |  |  |  |  |  | \$313,488 |  |  |  | r | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| GURSAHANI,ANITAP | DEPUTY CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER | LBNL | \$232,800 |  |  |  |  |  | \$232,800 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| HATAYAMA,HOWARD K | OPERATIONS DIVISION DIRECTOR | LBNL | \$229,800 |  |  |  |  |  | \$229,800 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| KEASLING, JAY D | SCIENTIFIC DIVISION DIRECTOR FACULTY | BNL | \$295,200 |  |  |  | \$44,280 |  | \$339,480 |  |  |  | N | N N | N |  | N |  |
| K | CHIIEF OPRERAING OFFICER | ${ }_{\text {LBNL }}^{\text {LBNL }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { \$300,744 } \\ \$ 227,502}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ ${ }_{\text {\$300,744 }}^{\$ 227,602}$ |  |  |  | N | N N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| MAJumDar,Arunava | SCIENTIFIC DIVISION DIRECTOR | LBNL | \$199,200 |  |  |  | \$29,880 |  | \$229,080 |  |  |  | N | N ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | N |  | N |  |
| NEUMARK,DANIEL M | SCIENTIFIC DIVISION DIRECTOR FACULTY | LBNL | \$192,667 |  |  |  | \$28,900 |  | \$221,567 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| Potapenko,vera | OPERATIONS DIVISION DIRECTOR | LBNL | \$228,240 |  |  |  |  |  | \$228,240 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| RIDGEWAY,JENNIFERS | OPS DIVISIION DIRECTOR | LBNL | \$217,560 |  |  |  |  |  | \$217,560 |  |  |  | $N$ | N | N |  | N |  |
| ROBINSON,KEM EDWARD | OPERATIONS DIVISION DIRECTOR | ${ }^{\text {LBNL }}$ | \$245,232 |  |  |  |  |  | \$245,232 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| RUBIN,EDWARD M SALMERON,MIQUEL |  | ${ }_{\text {LBNL }}$ | \$275,496 $\$ 239,000$ |  |  |  |  |  | \$275,496 $\$ 239,000$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{ }$ |  | N |  |
| SIEGRIST,JAMES L | ASSOCIATE LABORATORY | ${ }^{\text {LBNL}}$ | \$278,016 |  |  |  |  |  | \$278,016 |  |  |  | Y | N | N |  | N |  |

## ANNUAL REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2008

| Name | Title | Location | Annualized <br> Base Salary | $\begin{gathered} \text { All Actual } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Bonus/lincentive } \\ \text { Payments } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | - Bonusllncentive Comment | Total Actual Health <br> Science <br> Compensation | Annualized Stipend | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Other Cash } \\ \text { Compensation or } \\ \text { Payments } \end{array}$ | Subtotal: Cash Compensation | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Moving Costs } \\ \text { (Reimbursement) } \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Allowances: } \\ \text { Housing, Relo } \\ \text { Recruitmen } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Auto Allowance | SMG Benefits | UC Provided Housing | Severance <br> Benefits | SMG Supp Benefit | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Additional Post- } \\ \text { tetirement } \\ \text { Renefits } \end{array}$ | UC Provided Home Mortgage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SIMON,HORST D | ASSOCIATE LABORATORY | LBNL | \$293,556 |  |  |  |  |  | \$293,556 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| SYMONS,TIMOTHY J | SCIENTIFIC DIVISION DIRECTOR | LBNL | \$238,944 |  |  |  |  |  | \$238,940 |  |  |  | N | ${ }^{N}$ | N |  | N |  |
| wOods,GLENN R | CHIEF LABORATORY COUNSEL | LBNL | \$227,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$227,400 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| YELCC,KATHERINE A | SCIENTIFIC DIVISION DIRECTOR FACULTY | LBNL | \$155,467 |  |  |  | \$66,540 |  | \$222,007 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| ANANTHASWAMY,SATISH | SEnIor Portrolio manager | UCOP | \$254,065 | \$33,990 | Treasurer's AIP: Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008 |  |  |  | \$288,055 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| BEAM,JoANNA MCKEE | ACTING DEPUTY GENERAL counsel | UCOP | \$202,360 |  |  |  | \$22,640 |  | \$225,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| BECKWITH,STEVEN VAN WALTER | VICE PRESIDENT, RESEARCH AND GRADUATE STUDIES | UCop | \$320,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$320,000 | \$29,983 | 540,000 | \$8,916 | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| BERGGREN, MARIE N | CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER AND VICE PRESIDENTNVESTMENTS AND ACTING TREASURER | UCOP | \$470,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$470,000 |  |  | \$8,916 | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| BIRELY,JOHN H | ASSOCIATE VICE PRESIDENT.- PROGRAMS | UCOP | \$318,200 |  |  |  |  |  | \$318,200 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| BIRNBAUM, DAVIID MARK | ACTING DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL | ucop | \$177,375 |  |  |  | \$47,625 |  | \$225,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| BLAR, JEFFREY A | ACTING DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL | UCOP | \$175,821 |  |  |  | \$52,379 |  | \$228,200 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| BOCCHICCHIO,MICHAEL J | ASSOCIATE VP--FACILITIES ADMIIISTRATION | UCOP | \$185,848 |  |  |  |  |  | \$185,848 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| BROOME,ANNE C | VICE PRESIDENT.-FINANCE | UCOP | \$305,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$305,000 |  |  | 58,916 | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| CAMMIDGE,JOHN | ACTING ASSOCIATE VICE PRESIDENT HUMAN RESOURCES AND BENEFITS | UCOP | \$160,095 | \$2,00 | Other:SRDP awarded under local authority, prior to assuming Acting AVP role. |  | \$31,908 |  | \$194,003 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| CHOI,LYNDA HEE | MANAGING DIRECTOR ABSOLUTE RETURN | UCOP | \$270,657 | \$193,130 | Treasurer's AIP: Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008 |  |  |  | \$463,787 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N | \$523,600 |
| COAKER,WILLIAM Jordan | SENIOR MANAGING DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC EQUITY INVESTMENTS | ucop | \$275,000 | \$61,700 | Treasurer's AIP: Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008 |  |  |  | \$336,700 | \$2,758 |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| CRICKETTE,GRACE MARGUERITE | CHIEF RISK OFFICER | ucop | \$196,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$196,700 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| CUCULLU,MICHELE ELISE | INVESTMENT OFFICER-PRIVATE EQUITY INVESTMENTS | UCOP | \$170,000 | \$95,440 | Treasurer's AIP: Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008 |  |  |  | \$265,440 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| DARLING, BRUCE B | EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIIENT | UCOP | \$391,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$391,400 |  |  | 58,916 | Y | N | N | 5\% | N | \$573,750 |
| OYNES,Robert C | FORMER PRESIDENT OF THE UNIVERSITY | UCOP | \$405,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$405,000 | 744 |  | \$8,916 | Y | r | N | 5\% | N |  |
| ERNST,DAVID JOSEPH | CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER AND ASSOCITEEVVCE PRESIENTOR INFORMAION TECHNOLOGY | UCOP | \$238,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$238,000 | \$4,000 |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | $N$ |  |
| EVANs, Kım $\quad$ b | SENIOR PORTFOLIO MANAGER | UCOP | \$259,250 | \$58,960 | Treasurer's AIP: Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008 |  |  |  | \$318,210 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| FALLE,JOHN GARY | DIRECTOR, NATIONAL LABORATORY AFFAIRS | UCOP | \$244,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$244,500 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| Foley, S Robert | vp-LABoratory management | ucop | \$393,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$393,000 |  |  | \$8,916 | Y | N | N | 5\% | N | \$850,000 |
| FRENCH,KAREN KRISTINE | FORMER INTERIM VICE PRESIDENT - STATE GOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS | UCOP | \$160,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$160,000 |  |  |  | N | $N$ | N |  | $N$ |  |
| FRIED,LINDA | INVESTMENT OFFICER | UCOP | \$269,620 | \$61,320 | Treasurer's AIP: Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008 |  |  |  | \$330,940 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| GARVIN,ANTHONY O | ACTING DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL | UCOP | \$159,130 |  |  |  | \$40,870 |  | \$200,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| GIL,GLORIA BROWNING | MANAGING DIRECTOR - REAL ASSETS | UCOP | \$279,990 | \$149,850 | Treasurer's AIP: Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008 |  |  |  | \$429,840 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N | \$726,200 |
| GREENSTEIN,DANIEL I | VICE PROVOST-ACADEMIC INFORMATION AND STRATEGIC SERVICES | UCOP | \$244,700 |  |  |  |  |  | \$244,700 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| GREY,Robert dean | INTERIM PROVOST \& EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT - ACADEMIC AFFAIRS | UCOP | \$350,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$350,000 | \$7,663 |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| GRIFFITHS,DIANE MARIE | SECRETARY AND CHIEF OF STAFF TO THE REGENTS | UCop | \$295,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$295,000 |  |  | 98,916 | Y | N | N | 5\% | r |  |
| HAYWARD, BRAD | FORMER ACTING ASST VICE PRESIDENT, STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS | UCOP | \$139,259 | \$5,16 | Other:SRDP departmental award granted under local authority in February 2008 |  | \$20,888 |  | \$165,315 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| henrich,Carolyn elaine | ACTING ASSOCIATE VICE PRESIDENT FOR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT RELATIONS | UCOP | \$110,980 |  |  |  | \$33,294 |  | \$144,274 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | $N$ |  |
| Hirahara, JAMES Shigeru | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-BUSINESS AND FINANCE OPERATIONS | UCOP | \$217,002 |  |  |  |  |  | \$217,002 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| HUGHEs, DAVII douglas | INVESTMENT OFFICEREXTERNALLY MANAGED investments | UCOP | \$180,438 | \$46,940 | Treasurer's AIP: Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008 |  |  |  | \$227,378 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |

## ANNUAL REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION FOR CALENDAR YEAR 200

| Name | Title | Location | Annualizeof | $\begin{array}{r}\text { All Actual } \\ \begin{array}{r}\text { Bonusllncentive } \\ \text { Payments }\end{array} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Bonusllncentive Comments | Total Actual Health <br> Science <br> compensation$\|$ | Annualized Stipend | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Other Cash } \\ \text { Compensation or } \\ \text { Payments } \end{array}$ | Subtotal: Cash Compensation | Moving Costs (Reimbursement) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Alowances: } \\ \text { Housing, Rele } \\ \text { Recruitment } \end{array}$ | Auto Allowance | SMG Benefits | UC Provided Housing | Severance Benefits | SMG Supp Benefit | Additional Post <br> Retirement Benefits | UC Provided Home Mortgage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JESTER,PAMELA J | DIRECTOR, CONTINUING EDUCATION OF THE BAR | ucop | \$203,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$203,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| JEWELL,NICHOLAS PATRICK | FORMER VICE PROVOST ACADEMIC PERSONNEL | ucop | \$263,750 |  |  |  |  |  | \$263,750 |  |  |  | r | N | N |  | N |  |
| JUAREZ,STEVE |  <br> DIRECTOR, STATE GOVERNMENT <br> RELATINSS | ucop | \$230,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$230,000 | \$592 |  | \$8,916 | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| KIM,SANDRA H | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR EXTERNAL FINANCE | ucop | \$225,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$225,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| KOonce, James F | DEPUTY ASSOCIATE VICE PRESIDENT | UCOP | \$254,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$254,500 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| LAPP, KATHERINE N | EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT - BUSINESS OPERATIONS | UCop | \$416,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$416,000 | \$289 | 545,000 | \$8,916 | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| LARSEN, DENNIS KEITH | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION \& PERFORMANCE MGMT | UCOP | \$216,744 |  |  |  |  |  | \$216,744 |  |  |  | ${ }^{N}$ | $N$ | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |  | N |  |
| LENZ.PATRICK JAMES | VICE PRESIDENT - BUDCET | UCOP | \$272,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$272,500 |  |  | 88,916 | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| LuU,ALEEN | ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR | ucop | \$180,438 | \$62,590 | Treasurer's AIP: Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008 |  |  |  | \$243,028 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| LURQUIN,THOMAS JOHAN | director-PRIVATE EQUITY | ucop | \$213,466 | \$147,840 | Treasurer's AIP: Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008 |  |  |  | \$361,306 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| MANDLE,JONATHAN R | INVESTMENT OFFICERABSOLUTE RETURNS | UCOP | \$180,483 | \$103,100 | Treasurer's AIP: Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008 |  |  |  | \$283,583 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| munoz,SANTIAGO | ASSOCIATE VICE PRESIDENTCLINICAL SERVICES DEVELOPMENT | ucop | \$201,400 | \$30,210 | CEMRP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$231,610 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| NATION,CATHRYNL | ASSOCIATE VICE PRESIDENTHEALTH SCIENCES | UCOP | \$185,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$185,000 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| NELSON,RONALD A | DIRECTOR-CONTRACTS MANAGEMENT | UCOP | \$224,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$224,300 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 3\% | N |  |
| NESS,Robert van | ASSOC VP--LABORATORY OPERATIONS \& ADMINISTRATION | UCOP | \$318,200 |  |  |  |  |  | \$318,200 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| NORRIS,JANET C. | ACTING DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL | UCOP | \$185,664 |  |  |  | \$14,336 |  | \$200,000 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| ObLey, debora | ASSISTANT VP.--BUDGET OPERATIONS | ucop | \$198,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$198,300 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| O'NEILL,GEOFFREY A | ASSISTANT VICE PRESIDENT- INSTITUTIONAL ADVANCEMENT | UCop | \$178,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$178,500 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| PHILLPSS,JESSEL | SENIOR MANAGING DIRECTOR, RISK MANAGEMENT | ucop | \$301,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$301,600 |  |  |  | r | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| Plotts,John e | ASST VP---INANCIAL MANAGEMENT | UCOP | \$236,108 | \$23,611 | Other:Performance bonus approved by Regents in January 2008, for performance year ending 06/30/07 |  |  |  | \$259,719 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| RECKER,TIMOTHY JACOB | MANAGING DIRECTOR-PRIVATE EQUITY INVESTMENTS | ucop | \$270,135 | \$180,800 | Treasurer's AIP: Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008 |  |  |  | \$450,935 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N | \$1,000,000 |
| REED, PATRICK V | UNIVERSITY AUDITOR | UCOP | \$246,100 |  |  |  |  |  | \$246,100 |  |  |  | r | $N$ | N | 5\% | N |  |
| REESE,MICHAEL | ASSOCIATE VICE PRESIDENT business operations | UCOP | \$236,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$236,300 |  |  |  | r | N | N | 5\% | N | 45,000 |
| ROBINSON,CHARLES F | GENERAL COUNSEL \& VP-LEGAL AFFAIRS | UCOP | \$416,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$416,000 |  |  | \$8,916 | r | N | N | 5\% | N | \$800,000 |
| SAKAKI,JUDY KAORU | VICE PRESIDENT--STUDENT AFFAIRS | UCop | \$246,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$246,300 |  |  | \$8,916 | Y | N | N | 5\% | N | \$589,650 |
| SAMPSON,DANIEL C | ASST VP FINANCIAL CONTROLS AND ACCOUNTABILITY | UCOP | \$213,200 | \$21,320 | Other: Performance based incentive in the amount of $10 \%$, approved by the Regents January 2008. |  |  |  | \$234,520 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 5\% | $N$ |  |
| SCHROEDER,DAVII W | SENIOR PORTFOLIO MANAGER | UCOP | \$269,620 | \$94,210 | Treasurer's AIP: Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008 |  |  |  | \$363,830 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| SCOTT,RANDOLPH R. | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRATEGIC PLANNING \& WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT | UCOP | \$224,398 |  |  |  |  |  | \$224,398 |  |  |  | N | $N \quad$ | N |  | N |  |
| SHAW,ANNE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASSOCIATE SECRETARY OF THE } \\ & \text { REGENTS } \end{aligned}$ | UCOP | \$129,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$129,400 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| Stanton,melvin L | ASSOCIATE CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER | UCOP | \$300,800 | \$54,690 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Treasurer's AlP: Approved by the } \\ & \text { Regents November } 2008 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | \$361,490 |  |  |  | $r$ | N | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | 5\% | N |  |
| STOBO, John david | SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT - HEALTH SCIENCES AND SERVICES | UCOP | \$580,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$580,000 | \$16,681 |  | 88,916 | Y | N | $N$ | 5\% | $N$ |  |
| STOFAN,JAMES E | ASSISTANT VICE PRESIDENT. ALUMNI AFFAIRS AND PROTOCOL | ucop | \$162,300 |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,300 |  |  |  | Y | N | N | 3\% | N |  |
| TUCKER,WILLIAM TINSLEY | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER AND RESEARCH ADMINISTRATION | UCOP | \$189,800 |  |  |  |  |  | \$189,800 |  |  |  | $r$ | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| VACCA, SHERYL JEANNE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT - CHIEF } \\ & \text { COMPLIANCE AND AUDIT } \\ & \text { OFFICER } \end{aligned}$ | ucop | \$405,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$405,000 | \$17,486 |  | \$8,916 | Y | $N$ | N | 5\% | N |  |
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| Name | Title | Location | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annualized } \\ \text { Base Salary } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { All Actual } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Bonus/lincentive } \\ \text { Payments } \end{array} \end{array}$ | Borusllncentive Comments | Total Actual Health <br> Science <br> Compensation | Annualized Stipend | Other Cash Compensation or Payments | Subtotal: Cash Compensation | $\underset{\text { Moving Costs }}{\text { (Reimbursement) }}$ | Allowances: Housing, Relo Recruitment | to Allowance | SMG Benefit | UC Provided Housing | Severance <br> Benefits | SMG Supp Bene | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Additional Post- } \\ \text { Retirement } \\ \text { Renefits } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { UC Provided } \\ \text { Home Mortgage } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WEding, Randolphe | SENIOR MANAGING DIRECTORFIXED INCOME INVESTMENTS | UCOP | \$306,800 | \$83,8 | Treasurer's AIP: Approved by the Regents November 2008 |  |  |  | \$390,660 |  |  |  | Y N | N | N | 5\% | N |  |
| WILLAMS, LINDA | ASSOCIATE TO THE PRESIDENT DIRECTOR, UNIVERSITY PRESS | UCOP UCOP | $\underset{\substack{\text { \$200,4044 } \\ \$ 207,000}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  | \$200,404 $\$ 207,000$ |  |  |  | N <br> N <br> Y | N | \$100,202.00 |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ | ¢832,500 |
| YEE,ALICEL | SENIIR PORTFOLIO MANAGER | UCop | \$197,030 | \$68,300 | Treasurer's AIP: Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008 |  |  |  | \$265,330 |  |  |  | N | N | N |  | N |  |
| YUDOF,MARK GEORGE | PRESIDENT OF THE UNIVERSITY | UCOP | \$591,084 |  |  |  |  |  | \$591,084 | \$92,246 |  | 98,916 | Y | Y | N |  | Y |  |
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| ABBASCHIAN,REZA UCR DEAN-ENGINEERING |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Exceptional Vacation accrual | Approved at Sept. 2006 Regents Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding, Guideline \#A-3. |
| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefits. |
| ADLER,STEVEN UCSD PROVOST, EARL WARREN COLLEGE |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| ALIVISATOS,A PAUL LBNL DEPUTY DIRECTOR |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |

Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit.

## ALLEY,KEITH EDWARD UCM <br> EXECUTIVE VICE CHANCELLOR AND PROVOST

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Exceptional Vacation accrual
Approved at Sept. 2006 Regents Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding, Guideline \#A-3.

## ALVARADO,GLORIA J UCD <br> EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR - HUMAN RESOURCES

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

## University of California Office of the President
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Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program

## ALVAREZ,ROSIO LBNL <br> OPERATIONS DIVISION DIRECTOR

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

| Moving Expenses - Relocation/LBNL Only | \$11,550.00 | Per Laboratory policy, reimbursement for moving expenses. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANANTHASWAMY,SATISH UCOP SENIOR PORTFOLIO MANAGER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Treasurer's AIP Plan | \$33,990.00 | Treasurer's Annual Incentive Plan: Actual pay-out is based on targets established at the beginning of the Plan year. Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008. |
| ANTRUM,SHEILA E UCSF <br> CHIEF PATIENT CARE SERVICES OFFICER, MEDICAL CENTER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$13,500.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Relocation Allowance | \$18,542.00 | $\$ 50,000$ approved by Regents on 09/20/07 to offset higher cost of living in the Bay Area from San Diego. Incumbent is subject to standard payback parameters as outlined in policy. |
| ATCHISON,KATHRYN UCLA <br> VICE PROVOST -- INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY \& INDUSTRY REL | TIONS |  |

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Addendum to Annual Report on Executive Compensation for Calendar Year 2008

| Health Sciences Compensation Plan | \$14,669.00 | Per policy, eligible to participate in Health Sciences Compensation Plan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BABAKANIAN,EDWARD UCSD <br> CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER - UCSD HEALTHCARE |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$42,630.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| BADE,MICHAEL G UCSF <br> INTERIM ASSISTANT VICE CHANCELLOR-CAPITAL PROJECTS AND CAMPUS ARCHITECT |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$26,520.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective October 1, 2008 - September 30, 2009 for responsibilities associated with Interim Assistant Vice Chancellor-Capital Projects and Campus Architect role. Approved by Regents 09/23/2008. |
| Incentive | \$8,757.00 | Eligible to participate in Financial \& Administrative Services Incentive Plan (FAS) annually with a maximum potential payout of $10 \%$, actual amount based on performance related to goals. Approved under local authority as incumbent's total cash was below $\$ 205,000$ at the time. |
| BAGGETT,MARGARITA M UCSD <br> CHIEF PATIENT CARE SERVICES OFFICER, UCSD MEDICAL CENTER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$37,800.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $20 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| BAILEY,DAVID N UCI VICE CHANCELLOR-HEALTH AFFAIRS |  |  |

Addendum to Annual Report on Executive Compensation for Calendar Year 2008
Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

| Health Sciences Compensation Plan | \$50,000.00 | Per policy, eligible to participate in Health Sciences Compensation Plan. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BARBOUR,ANNE SAUNDERS UCB ATHLETIC DIRECTOR |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Annual Base | \$273,450.00 | Base salary approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$85,750.00 | Approved as part of contract. Payout based on standard participation in outside events representing UCB. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$25,000.00 | Approved as part of contract. Payout based on participation on TV; nonbase building. |
| Exceptional Vacation accrual |  | Approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
|  | \$7,950.00 | Housing allowance: Requested as an exception at time of appointment. Total payout of $\$ 106,000$ paid out between October 1, 2004 - September 30, 2008. |
| Housing Allowance | \$4,472.00 | Relocation allowance to be paid over 4 years (October 1, 2004 through September 30, 2008)with $40 \%$ provided the first year, $30 \%$ the second, $20 \%$ the third, and $10 \%$ the last year. Total to be paid out is $\$ 59,625$. $\$ 4.472$ is amount paid in 2008. |
| Other - Include in Total Cash Compensation | \$8,250.00 | Courtesy vehicle approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Coach - Incentive | \$76,675.00 | $\$ 10,518$ for Women's basketball appearance at NCAA; $\$ 10,938$ for performance of football team; $\$ 44,701$ for academic achievements of student athletes; $\$ 10,518$ for performance of tennis team. |
| BARCLAY,STEPHEN J UCSF <br> SENIOR VICE CHANCELLOR-RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AND CAPITAL PROJE |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Annual Base | \$216,480.00 | 60\% time appointment for a fixed period 08/11/08-08/15/09. |
| BARRETT,JOHN GREGORY UCSD |  |  |
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| ASSOC VC-DEVELOPMENT, HEALTH SCIENCES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Temporary Housing | \$1,492.00 | Includes cost of hotel. |
| Moving Expenses - Initial Househunting | \$200.00 | Move from Chicago, IL to San Diego, CA |
| Moving Expenses - Family's Move | \$369.00 | Move from Chicago, IL to San Diego, CA |
| Moving Expenses - Other-Excl from Total Cash Comp | \$126.00 | Move from Chicago, IL to San Diego, CA |
| Moving Expenses - Shipment of Household Goods | \$8,583.00 | Move from Chicago, IL to San Diego, CA |
| BARRETT,KIM ELAINE UCSD DEAN - GRADUATE STUDIES |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| BASRI,GIBOR UCB <br> VICE CHANCELLOR - EQUITY AND INCLUSION |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| BEAM,JOANNA MCKEE UCOP |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |

Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009 for responsibilities associated with Acting Deputy General Counsel role. Due to administrative error, overpayment of stipend in amount of $\$ 1,865.83$ is being repaid.
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| BECKWITH,STEVEN VAN WALTER UCOP VICE PRESIDENT, RESEARCH AND GRADUATE STUDIES |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Senior Management Supplement | Due to an administrative error the Senior Management Supplemental Benefit was granted along with a tenured academic appointment. Contributions made to date under this benefit will be repaid and participation canceled. |
| BENNAN,JAMES UCSF <br> ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR-PERIOPERATIVE SERVICES, MEDICAL CENTER |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Other Cash Payment \$6,646.00 | Paid Time Off payment. Per UCSF Medical Center PTO policy approved by Assoc VP-HR \& Benefits in 2004. |
| BENNETT,ALBERT UCI DEAN-SCHOOL OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| BIDDY,FRANKLIN SCOTT UCB VICE CHANCELLOR-UNIVERSITY RELATIONS |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| BIRGENEAU,ROBERT J. UCB CHANCELLOR |  |
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| Exceptional Vacation accrual |  | Approved at Sept. 2006 Regents Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding, Guideline \#A-3. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Sabbatical Payment/Transfer |  | Transfer of 24 sabbatical credits upon recruitment approved at September 2006 Regents' Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding. |
| Post Retirement Agreement |  | As part of the appointment item that was approved by The Regents July 27, 2004, the University will provide a supplement to his earned retirement under UCRP, calculating his retirement benefit on the full amount of his base salary. |
| Other Benefit |  | Accelerated vesting in retiree health premium approved at September 2006 Regents' Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding. |
| Other - Exclude from Total Cash Compensation |  | Future participation in GP MOP approved at September 2006 Regents' Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding. |
| BIRNBAUM,DAVID MARK UCOP ACTING DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$47,625.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009 for responsibilities associated with Acting Deputy General Counsel role. Due to administrative error, overpayment of stipend in the amount of $\$ 924.25$ is being repaid. |
| BISHOP,JOHN M UCSF CHANCELLOR |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Auto Allowance | \$516.00 | Imputed income for personal use of a university provided vehicle. |
| BLAIR,JEFFREY A UCOP ACTING DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
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| Stipend | \$52,379.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009 for responsibilities associated with Acting Deputy General Counsel role. Due to administrative error, overpayment of stipend in the amount of $\$ 925.30$ is being repaid. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BLINDER,DAVID UCB <br> ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR - UNIVERSITY RELATIONS |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| MOP Loan |  | Approved by The Regents as an exception to policy. |
| SHLP Loan |  | Approved by The Regents as an exception to policy. |
| BLOCK,GENE D. UCLA CHANCELLOR |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Other Benefit |  | Paid Administrative Leave: Credit of 6 months of paid administrative leave to be taken immediately following at least 5 years of service as Chancellor. Approved by Regents 12/2006. |
| Other Benefit |  | Relocation of Personal Belongings: Relocation of personal belongings from the Chancellor's residence to a location within the greater LA area upon stepping down as Chancellor. Approved by Regents 12/2006. |
| BLUESTONE,JEFFREY UCSF <br> INTERIM VICE CHANCELLOR - RESEARCH |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |

ncumbent holds a without salary (WOS) appointment as Interim Vice Chancellor-Research. All compensation elements reflect academic salary only as reported/confirmed by department.
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| CHANCELLOR |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Moving Expenses - Shipment of Household Goods | Packing \& relocation of household goods per policy for move into required University Housing. |
| Moving Expenses - Strg of Hsehld Goods-short-term | Approved for a maximum of $\$ 10,000$ for up to 6 months over the 1 month allowed by policy for a total of 7 months. |
| BOSSERT,STEVEN T. UCR <br> DEAN-GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Exceptional Vacation accrual | Approved at Sept. 2006 Regents Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding, Guideline \#A-3. |
| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Severance/Separation Agreement | Approved at Sept. 2006 Regents Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding, Guideline \#A-2. Provision included in offer letter. |
| BOUBELIK,JANE ESTHER UCLA CHIEF LEGAL COUNSEL, MEDICAL SCIENCES |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program \$23,500.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by Chancellor November 2008 and reported to The Regents at November 2008 meeting in the Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report. |
| BOYD,MICHAEL W UCD <br> EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR - PLANNING, DESIGN \& CONSTRUCTION |  |
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| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$37,000.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $20 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by Chancellor November 2008 and reported to The Regents at November 2008 meeting in the Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report. |
| BOYLE,JOANNE UCB <br> HEAD COACH-WOMEN'S BASKETBALL |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Annual Base | \$241,688.00 | Base salary approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. Due to retroactive approval, 2007 retroactive salary of $\$ 43,000$ paid in 2008. |
| Bonus | \$2,730.00 | Bonus paid based on number of tickets sold, approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$301,688.00 | Approved as part of contract. Payout based on standard participation in outside events representing UCB. Due to retroactive approval of contract, a 2007 retroactive payment of $\$ 85,593$ was paid in 2008. |
| Exceptional Vacation accrual |  | Approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Other - Include in Total Cash Compensation | \$6,850.00 | Courtesy vehicle approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Coach - Bonus | \$96,980.00 | Payout based on Pac-10 wins, Pac-10 Championships,NCAA participation, coaching awards, academic achievement of team, recruiting success. Approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. \$73,500 NCAA participation; \$15,750 Academic Achievement; \$5000 signing bonus; $\$ 2730$ ticket sales. |
| BRASE,WENDELL C UCI <br> VICE CHANCELLOR-ADMINISTRATIVE AND BUSINE |  |  |

Ongoing stipend for additonal duties associated with his role as Project Manager in the oversight of the Medical Center's seismic replacement hospital project. Effective February 1, 2005 - January 31, 2009. Approved by The Regents 5/27/2005
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| BRAUN,BEN UCB <br> FORMER HEAD COACH-MEN'S BASKETBALL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Annual Base | \$156,000.00 | Base salary approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. Terminated 4/30/2008. Actual earnings \$52,000. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$794,000.00 | Approved as part of contract. Payout based on standard participation in outside events representing UCB. Terminated 4/30/2008. Actual earnings were $\$ 238,200$. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$657,291.00 | Coach was terminated at end of the 2008 Basketball season. |
| Exceptional Vacation accrual |  | Approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Other Benefit |  | Approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Other - Exclude from Total Cash Compensation | \$7,500.00 | Apparel consideration approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Coach - Bonus | \$12,995.00 | For participating in the NIT in March 2008. |
| BRENNER,DAVID ALLEN UCSD |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Health Sciences Compensation Plan | \$220,000.00 | Per policy, eligible to participate in Health Sciences Compensation Plan. |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Relocation Allowance | \$31,250.00 | $\$ 125,000(25 \%)$ to be distributed over 4 years in equal annual payments of $\$ 31,250$. Subject to repayment if resigns within first 4 years. |
| BROSTROM,NATHAN UCB |  |  |
| VICE CHANCELLOR - ADMINISTRATION |  |  |
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| BROUGHTON,JANET UCB DEAN-ARTS AND HUMANITIES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Other Benefit |  | Based on policy at the time of leave,should the accrued sabbatical credits not yield the equivalent of $100 \%$ of the faculty salary, the campus will provide a research fund which can be used to supplement the sabbatical up to $100 \%$ in accordance with APM 74 |
| CALARCO,PATRICIA G UCSF DEAN-GRADUATE DIVISION |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| CALDWELL,YOLANDA NICOLE UCLA |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Annual Base | \$250,000.00 | Per negotiated contract. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$18,278.00 | Contract compensation approved by Chancellor under Recruitment Authority granted by Regents in March 2008. Payout based on camp income. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$49,500.00 | Part of guaranteed compensation, based on negotiated contract. |
| Other - Include in Total Cash Compensation | \$638.00 | UCLA Sporting Event Tickets |
| CAMMIDGE,JOHN UCOP <br> ACTING ASSOCIATE VICE PRESIDENT HU | BENEFITS |  |
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Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

| Stipend | \$31,908.00 | Annualized stipend reflected for additional duties as Acting Assoc VP-HR\&B. Stipend approved beginning 10/01/2008 and lasting 12 months or until the appointment of a new Vice President - HR is appointed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bonus | \$2,000.00 | Departmental bonus payment issued in July 2008, prior to start of acting appointment. |
| CAMPBELL,THOMAS J. UCB DEAN-HAAS SCHOOL OF BUSINESS |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Annual Base | \$300,800.00 | Stepped down as dean 6/30/2008 |
| Exceptional Vacation accrual |  | Approved at September 2006 Regents' Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding, Guideline \#A-3. |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| CANNING,MARCIA J UCSF <br> CHIEF CAMPUS COUNSEL/ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Senior Management Supplement |  | Originally 3\% and increased to 5\% approved by The Regents on 7/17/08 with an effective date of 8/1/08. |
| CARPENTER,KATHARINE O UCLA CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, SANTA MONICA--UCLA MEDICAL CENTER AND ORTHOPAEDIC HOSPITAL |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$38,336.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $20 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
|  |  | e 13 of 88 |
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| CHAPMAN,JOHN UCSF EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-CLINICAL SERVICES, MEDICAL CENTER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$24,102.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective September 23, 2007 - June 30, 2008 for duties as Interim Executive Director-Clinical Services prior to appointment as Executive DirectorClinical Services. Approved by Regents on 07/17/2008. Stipend terminated with incumbents' appointment effective 07/01/08. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$11,801.00 | Paid Time Off payment. Per UCSF Medical Center PTO policy approved by Assoc VP-HR \& Benefits in 2004. |
| CHOI,LYNDA HEE UCOP <br> MANAGING DIRECTOR - ABSOLUTE RETURN |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Treasurer's AIP Plan | \$193,130.00 | Treasurer's Annual Incentive Plan: Actual pay-out is based on targets established at the beginning of the Plan year. Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008. |
| CHOW,NORMAN YEW HEEN UCLA FOOTBALL OFFENSIVE COORDINATOR |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Other Cash Payment | \$50,000.00 | Part of guaranteed compensation based on negotiated contract. |
| Other - Include in Total Cash Compensation | \$2,554.00 | UCLA Sporting Event Tickets |
| Other - Include in Total Cash Compensation | \$9,247.00 | Courtesy Vehicle (imputed income). Approved by Regents per employment contract. |
| CHRISMAN,MAYE C. UCSF <br> CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER AND INTERIM CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, DEPARTMENT OF MEDICINE, SCHOOL OF MEDICINE |  |  |

## Addendum to Annual Report on Executive Compensation for Calendar Year 2008




## Addendum to Annual Report on Executive Compensation for Calendar Year 2008

| Treasurer's AIP Plan \$61,700.00 | Treasurer's Annual Incentive Plan: Actual pay-out is based on targets established at the beginning of the Plan year. Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Executive Disability | Per policy, must meet 5yr vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| COOKSEY,DONALD A. UCR <br> FORMER ACTING DEAN-COLLEGE OF NATURAL AND AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Stipend \$45,800.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective March 12, 2007 - June 30, 2008 for responsibilities associated with Acting Dean - College of Natural and Agricultural Sciences role. Extension beyond 1 year approved as exception to policy by The Regents January 2008. |
| COWHEY,PETER F. UCSD <br> DEAN-GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS \& PACIFIC STUDIES |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Stipend \$28,590.00 | Stipend extension approved by the Board of Regents at their May 2008 meeting. Stipend has been in effect since January 1, 2007. |
| COYLE,MICHELE C. UCR <br> CHIEF CAMPUS COUNSEL/ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| CRAIG,PAUL A UCSD <br> CHIEF RISK MANAGEMENT AND PATIENT SAFETY OFFICER |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
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| Stipend \$20,160.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective October 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009 for responsibilities associated with Acting Chief Human Resources Officer role held concurrently with his position as Chief Risk Management and Patient Safety Officer. Approved by the Chancellor. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program \$30,240.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $20 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by Chancellor October 2008 and reported to The Regents November 2008 in the Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report. |
| CREEM,MITCHELL R. UCLA <br> FORMER CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER -- UCLA MEDICAL SCIENCES |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - had not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. (Separated 5-30-08) |
| CRICKETTE,GRACE MARGUERITE UCOP CHIEF RISK OFFICER |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Exceptional Vacation accrual | Approved at September 2006 Regents' Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding, Guideline \#A-3. |
| CROOKS,HEIDI UCLA <br> SR. ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR -- OPERATIONS \& PATIENT CARE SERVICES |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program \$39,475.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $20 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| CUCULLU,MICHELE ELISE UCOP INVESTMENT OFFICER-PRIVATE EQUITY INVESTMENTS |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
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| Annual Base | \$170,000.00 | Exception to policy at the time due to promotional increase over 25\%. Approved by The Regents March 2008. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treasurer's AIP Plan | \$95,440.00 | Treasurer's Annual Incentive Plan: Actual pay-out is based on targets established at the beginning of the Plan year. Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008. |
| CULLENBERG,STEPHEN E. UCR DEAN-COLLEGE OF HUMANITIES, ARTS, \& SOCIAL SCIENCES |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| CUMMINS,JOHN F UCB ASSOCIATE CHANCELLOR/CHIEF OF STAFF |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Annual Base | \$185,600.00 | Retired June 28, 2008. |
| CZECH,JANE UCSF <br> DIRECTOR OF ADMINISTRATION-NEUROLOGY |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |

Eligible to participate in School of Medicine Management Incentive Program annually with a maximum potential of $20 \%$, actual amount based on performance related to goals. Approved under local authority as incumbent's total cash compensation is less than \$205,000.

## DANIELS,DONALD FRANCIS UCLA

## ASSISTANT COACH, MEN'S BASKETBALL

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Other Cash Payment
Other - Include in Total Cash Compensation
\$10,000.00
\$6,043.00

Payout is based on camp income under negotiated contract.
UCLA Sporting Event Tickets
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| Other - Include in Total Cash Compensation | \$160.00 | Spouse Travel. Per contract. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEAN,CAROLYN UCSC FORMER ACTING DEAN-DIVISION OF THE ARTS |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$30,833.00 | Three summer ninths stipend added to 9-month professorial salary for service as Acting Dean. Served in role temporarily during summer months only after previous Acting Dean left and prior to new Acting Dean Jones appointment in September. Total actual amount paid was $\$ 31,078.71$. Overpayment of $\$ 245.71$ being repaid in calendar year 2009. |
| DENTON,EDWARD J UCB <br> VICE CHANCELLOR-FACILITIES SERVICES |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Exceptional Vacation accrual |  | Approved at September 2006 Regents' Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding, Guideline \#A-3. |
| DEPAOLO,DONALD J LBNL SCIENTIFIC DIVISION DIRECTOR FACULTY |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$39,420.00 | Stipend used strictly as method of payment for this ongoing assignment as Scientific Div/Dir Faculty. LBNL reimburses UCB for $50 \%$ of his base salary for 9 months and pays $100 \%$ during the 3 summer months. Campus administers base pay and benefits. |
| Stipend | \$4,000.00 | \$4,000 Administrative Stipend (1.52\% this year) charged to State funds for his joint appointment as UCB/LBNL Director of the Center of Isotope Geochemistry. |
| DEVRIES,JAN UCB <br> ACTING DEAN-SOCIAL SCIENCES |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
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|  |  | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective November 5, 2008 - November 4, 2009 for responsibilities associated with Interim Senior Vice President--External Relations and Vice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stipend | \$50,000.00 | President-Agriculture and Natural Resources roles. Approved by Regents 11/2008. |

## DORRELL,KARL JAMES UCLA <br> FORMER HEAD COACH, FOOTBALL

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Annual Base
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Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective October 1, 2007 - September 30, 2009 for responsibilities associated with Acting Dean - University Extension role. Extension beyond 1 year approved as an excption to policy by The Regents September 2008.
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The $12.8 \%$ stipend was $\$ 30,000$ based on salary in effect at the time of Regental approval, but was increased to reflect $12.8 \%$ of the new 10/1/07 salary following approval of faculty scale adjustments.
ENTRIKIN,J N UCLA
ACTING VICE PROVOST-INTERNATIONAL STUDIES

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Stipend

ESPIRITU,RONALDO G. UCSD
ASSISTANT VICE CHANCELLOR FOR BUSINESS AND FISCAL AFFAIRS

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Incentive

Per policy, is eligible for an incentive payment up to $10 \%$ of base salary annually under UCSD Clinical Senior Leaders Recognition Plan. Award based on performance as judged against predetermined goals in key results areas. Although payment was received, it has yet to be authorized by the President and Regents, therefore, Mr. Espiritu has agreed to repay the incentive payment until the matter is resolved.

ESQUER,DAVID UCB
HEAD COACH-BASEBALL

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Annual Base
\$111,180.00
\$40,000.00

Other Cash Payment
\$44,157.00

Base salary approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract

Talent Fees are part of guaranteed compensation for standard participation in outside events representing the campus as negotiated in the contract.

Payout based on annual participation in summer camps for youth approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract

## Addendum to Annual Report on Executive Compensation for Calendar Year 2008

| Coach - Bonus | \$7,500.00 | Bonus paid based on team performance per negotiated contract. Participation in NCAA tournament. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EVANS,KIM B UCOP SENIOR PORTFOLIO MANAGER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Treasurer's AIP Plan | \$58,960.00 | Treasurer's Annual Incentive Plan: Actual pay-out is based on targets established at the beginning of the Plan year. Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008. |
| FALCONE,ROGER W LBNL SCIENTIFIC DIVISION DIRECTOR FACULTY |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$29,900.00 | Stipend used strictly as method of payment for this ongoing assignment as Scientific Div/Dir Faculty. LBNL reimburses UCB for $50 \%$ of his base salary for 9 months and pays $100 \%$ during the 3 summer months. Campus administers base pay and benefits. |
| FAWLEY,REECE IUCSF <br> EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-HEALTH PLAN STRATEGY \& REVENUE MANAGEMENT, MEDICAL CENTER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$17,587.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $20 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by the Chancellor and reported to the Regents at the November 2008 meeting in the Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report. |
| FEATHERSTONE,JOHN D B UCSF DEAN-SCHOOL OF DENTISTRY |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Page 25 of 88 |  |  |
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| FEINBERG,DAVID T UCLA ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR AND CHIEF EXEC | R FOR THE | SYSTEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$83,448.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually beginning in 2008 with a maximum potential of 20\%, actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by Regents November 2008. |
| FEINBERG,DAVID T UCLA FORMER ACTING ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR/CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$283,900.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008 for responsibilities associated with Acting Associate Vice Chancellor/Chief Executive Officer role. |
| FELLER,RICHARD I UCB HEAD COACH-WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Annual Base | \$111,240.00 | Base salary approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$46,500.00 | Payout based on annual participation in summer camps for youth approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Other Benefit | \$364.00 | Per negotiated athletics' contract, spouse/partner travel/events outside San Francisco Bay Area. |
| Other - Exclude from Total Cash Compensation | \$5,000.00 | Apparel consideration approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Coach - Bonus | \$50,000.00 | Bonus for appearing in 2007 NCAA tournament. Bonus paid in January 2008. |
| FERNANDES,ROXANNE UCSF EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL, MEDICAL CENTER |  |  |
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| Other Cash Payment \$4,617.00 | Paid Time Off payment. Per UCSF Medical Center PTO policy approved by Assoc VP-HR \& Benefits in 2004. |
| :---: | :---: |
| FERNANDEZ,JEFFREY A LBNL CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| FERNANDEZ,NOHEMA UCI FORMER DEAN-CLAIRE TREVOR SCHOOL OF THE ARTS |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| FLYNN,MARY FRANCES UCLA DIRECTOR, MANAGED CARE PROGRAM, UCLA MEDICAL CENTER |  |

Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $20 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by Chancellor November 2008 and reported to The Regents November 2008 in the Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report.

## FOX,MARYE ANNE UCSD

CHANCELLOR
Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

## University of California Office of the President
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| Exceptional Vacation accrual | Approved at September 2006 Regents' Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding, Guideline \#A-3. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| University Housing | As a non-taxable benefit, per the offer letter, a house is being rented off campus, pending renovation of University House. |
| Other Payment - Benefits \$985.00 | Annual membership renewal payment made to Cosmos Club to cover Chancellor Fox's 2008-09 membership fee. Membership is used to secure meeting and lodging facilities during business travel. |
| FRAKER,HARRISON S JR UCB DEAN-COLLEGE OF ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Annual Base $\quad \mathbf{2 0 5 , 4 0 0 . 0 0}$ | Stepped down as Dean 06/30/2008 |
| Exceptional Vacation accrual | Approved at September 2006 Regents' Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding, Guideline \#A-3. |
| FRENCH,KAREN KRISTINE UCOP <br> FORMER INTERIM VICE PRESIDENT - STATE GOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Annual Base \$160,000.00 | Appointment ended 08/31/08. Annualized base salary reflected. |
| FRIED,LINDA UCOP INVESTMENT OFFICER |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Treasurer's AIP Plan \$61,320.00 | Treasurer's Annual Incentive Plan: Actual pay-out is based on targets established at the beginning of the Plan year. Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008. |
| GAINES,STEVEN UCSB ACTING DEAN, MATHEMATICAL, LIFE AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES |  |

## University of California Office of the President
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## Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

| Stipend | \$39,260.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective July 1, 2007 - September 30, 2008 as an exception to policy for responsibilities associated with Acting Dean - Mathematical, Life and Physical Sciences role. Approved by Regents. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GALLOWAY,ALISON UCSC VICE PROVOST, ACADEMIC AFFAIRS |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$16,000.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009 for additional responsibilities associated with temporary increase in duties to include UNEX responsibilities. Approved by Regents. |
| GARAMENDI,JOHN RAYMOND II UCM VICE CHANCELLOR- UNIVERSITY RELATIONS |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Exceptional Vacation accrual |  | Approved at September 2006 Regents' Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding, Guideline \#A-3. |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| GARVIN,ANTHONY O UCOP ACTING DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL |  |  |

Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009 for responsibilities associated with Acting Deputy General Counsel role. Due to administrative error, overpayment of stipend in the amount of $\$ 2,560.83$ is being repaid.
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Stipend
GLADSON,REBEKAH G. UCI

ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR AND CAMPUS ARCHITECT-DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION SERVICES | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective July 1,2007 - June 30,2008 for |
| :--- |
| responsibilities associated with Acting Dean - College of Natural Resources role. Approved by The |
| Regents May 2007. |
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Coach - Bonus

```
GREY,ROBERT D. UCR
FORMER ACTING CHANCELLOR
Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments
```

| Annual Base | \$300,000.00 | Annualized rate shown. Appointment began June 29, 2007. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University Housing |  | Required to live in the Chancellor's residence as approved at the June 2007 Regents' meeting. |
| Temporary Housing | \$2,228.00 | Residence was not available upon appointment. |
| GRIFFITHS,DIANE MARIE UCOP <br> SECRETARY AND CHIEF OF STAFF TO THE REGENTS |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | As an exception to policy, eligible immediately upon hire. Normally must wait 5 years. |
| Post Retirement Agreement |  | Full University contribution for retiree health benefits. |
| GRIMES,KEVIN UCB HEAD COACH-MEN'S SOCCER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Annual Base | \$110,000.00 | Base salary approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$90,000.00 | Payout based on annual participation in summer camps for youth approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$5,400.00 | Payment in lieu of courtesy vehicle approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Other Benefit |  | Spouse travel for events outside Bay Area approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |



Addendum to Annual Report on Executive Compensation for Calendar Year 2008

Coach - Bonus

## GROSSER,JOY MELANIE UCI <br> FORMER CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program
\$37,599.00
Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $20 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008.

## GUERRERO,DANIEL GENE UCLA

DIRECTOR, INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Incentive
\$78,938.00
\$26,361.00 Courtesy Vehicles (imputed income)
\$3,500.00

Supplemental Compensation Opportunities (2007) based on performance related goals set in employment contract.

UCLA Sporting Event Tickets
Spouse Travel

Annual Physical Exam. Approved by Regents in May 2008.

## HARLOW, SUSAN A. UCR

FORMER ACTING VICE CHANCELLOR-UNIVERSITY ADVANCEMENT

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective September 9, 2007 - Ocober 15, 2008 for responsibilities associated with Acting Dean - College of Natural Resources role. Extension (25\% time from 9/10-10/15/2008) beyond 1 year approved as an exception to policy by Regents 09/2008.

```
HARRIS,JOHN P UCSF
CHIEF STRATEGY & BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT OFFICER, MEDICAL CENTER
```
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| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$12,414.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| HAVIS,ALLAN UCSD |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| INTERIM DEAN, SCHOOL OF MEDICINE |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$181,425.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective December 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009 necessary to provide market level income. Approved by Regents 09/18/2008. |
| Health Sciences Compensation Plan | \$195,475.00 | Health Sciences Compensation Plan: Payout reduced from $\$ 248,029$ to $\$ 195,475$. Approved by The Regents 9/18/2008. |
| HAWKINS,ANGELA M. UCSF EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-BUDGET \& RESOURCE MANAGEMENT |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |

## HAYMET,ANTHONY DOUGLAS JOHN UCSD

VICE CHANCELLOR-MARINE SCIENCES, DEAN OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MARINE SCIENCES \& DIRECTOR SCRIPPS INSTITUTION OF OCEANOGRAPHY
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## Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments



Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective September 1, 2008 - Jauary 31, 2009 for responsibilities associated with Acting Associate Vice President for Federal Government Relations role.
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| HERRON,JAMES R UCI ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR-ADMINISTRATION OF HEALTH AFFAIRS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| HINDERY,MICHAEL A UCSF <br> VICE DEAN-ADMINISTRATION, FINANCE, AND CLINICAL PROGRAMS, SCHOOL OF MEDICINE |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| HOFFERBER,SCOTT S. UCSD CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER - UCSD MEDICAL GROUP |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$30,660.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| HOGAN,ROBERT W. UCSD <br> CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER-UCSD MEDICAL CENTER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$37,515.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| ACTING PROVOST AND EXECUTIVE VICE CHANCELLOR |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
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| Stipend | \$36,600.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective July 1, 2007 - December 31, 2008 for responsibilities associated with Acting Provost and Executive Vice Chancellor role. Extension beyond 1 year approved as exception to policy by Regents 05/14/08. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Executive Auto Allowance | \$8,916.00 | Continuation of allowance due to the continued expectation that Ms. Horwitz will be serving at 100\% time for a total of 18 months performing the full scope of this position which, on a permament basis, is eligible under policy for auto allowance. |
| HORWITZ,BARBARA A UCD VICE PROVOST - ACADEMIC PERSONNEL |  |  |


| Annual Base | Only compensation reflected for the acting appointment is paid while serving in an acting capacity. |
| :--- | :--- |
| HOWLAND,BENJAMIN CLARK UCLA <br> HEAD COACH, MEN'S BASKETBALL |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Annual Base | $\$ 300,000.00$ | | Base salary approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| :--- |
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Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

| Stipend | \$27,430.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective April 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009 for responsibilities associated with Associate Vice Chancellor-Campus Life Services and Interim Associate Vice Chancellor-Facilities Management roles. Approved by Regents 07/17/2008. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HUGHES,DAVID DOUGLAS UCOP INVESTMENT OFFICER-EXTERNALLY MANAGED INVESTMENTS |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Treasurer's AIP Plan | \$46,940.00 | Treasurer's Annual Incentive Plan: In accordance with the Plan document, and as approved by the President Yudof and Regent Watcher, overall weightings were changed to 50\% Entity and 50\% Subjective, and the subjective award was NOT contingent on quantitative measures, for this fiscal year only. |
| IRWIN,MICHAEL D UCSF <br> INTERIM ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR-UNIVERSITY DEVELOPMENT AND ALUMNI RELATIONS |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$36,320.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective September 20, 2008 - September 19, 2009 for responsibilities associated with Interim Associate Vice Chancellor-University Development and Alumni Relations role. Approved by the Chancellor under delegated authority. |
| Bonus | \$9,080.00 | Eligible to participate in Staff Recognition \& Development Plan annually with a maximum potential payout of up to $10 \%$ of base salary. Approved under local authority as incumbent's actual total cash was below $\$ 205,000$. Stipend began 09/20/08 bringing salary total cash compensation over ICL. |
| ISAACSON,MICHAEL SAUL UCSC ACTING DEAN SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING |  |  |
| Compensation Elemen |  |  |
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Stipend

| JACKIEWICZ,THOMAS EDWARD UCSD |
| :--- |
| ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER - HEALTH SCIENCES |


| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |
| :--- |


| Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective July $1,2008-$ June 30,2009 for |
| :--- |
| responsibilities associated with Acting Dean - School of Engineering role. Exception to policy (prior |
| to policy change) for $20 \%$ stipend approved by Regents $07 / 17 / 08$. |

Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program
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| Stipend | \$15,334.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective September 16, 2008 - June 30, 2009 for responsibilities associated with Acting Dean of the Arts role. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Cash Payment | \$33,549.00 | Annualized College Provost compensation reflected. Maintains 25\% appointment as Provost while serving as Acting Dean of the Arts at $75 \%$. |
| University Housing |  | Campus Academic Personnel Manual (CAPM) 306.240 requires the College Provost to live in the college residence where provided. This requirement is a part of his .25 appointment as College Provost, and not related to the Acting Dean appointment. |
| JONES,KENNETH M UCSF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, MEDICAL CENTER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$22,265.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $20 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| Severance/Separation Agreement | \$353,900.00 | 12 months of pay if University terminates incumbent for other than just cause. Per offer letter from 2001. Offer letter pre-dates need for Regental approval. This component was approved locally. |
| KAMIENIECKI,SHELDON UCSC DEAN, SOCIAL SCIENCES |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| KAY,STEVE A UCSD <br> DEAN - DIVISION OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| KEASLING,JAY D LBNL <br> SCIENTIFIC DIVISION DIRECTOR FACULTY |  |  |
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Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments
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| Incentive \$16,042.00 | Per policy, as a member of medical center management, is eligible for annual incentive payment up to $10 \%$ of base salary, based on performance as judged against predetermined goals in key results areas. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Other One-Time Payment \$5,000.00 | Payment as UNEX instructor |
| KRUPNICK,JAMES T LBNL CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Executive Disability | Per policy eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| KWAN,LUCIA UCSF <br> DIRECTOR-REVENUE CYCLE SERVICES, MEDICAL CENTER |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Other Cash Payment \$4,326.00 | Paid Time Off payment. Per UCSF Medical Center PTO policy approved by Assoc VP-HR \& Benefits in 2004. |
| LACY,WILLIAM B UCD <br> VICE PROVOST - UNIVERSITY OUTREACH AND INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |

Approved at September 2006 Regents' Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding, Guideline \#A-3.

LADUSAW,WILLIAM A UCSC
VICE PROVOST AND DEAN, UNDERGRADUATE EDUCATION
Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments
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| LAPP,KATHERINE N UCOP EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT - BUSINESS OPERATIONS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Relocation Allowance | \$45,000.00 | Per policy, Total \$90,000: $23.7 \%$ of current base salary to be paid out in 3 annual payments: $50 / 30 / 20 \%$ of total. If she terminates before 3 years remaining payments are forfeited. The payment amount issued in 2008 was $\$ 45,000$. |
| Moving Expenses - Other-Incl in Total Cash Comp | \$289.00 | Per policy and approved by the Regents in conjunction with appointment, reimbursement for additional moving expenses. |
| LARET,MARK R UCSF |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$30,389.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| LARSEN,JULIANNE JOY UCSD |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$26,130.00 | Administrative stipend in the amount of $15 \%$ for additional duties as Acting Assoc VC Univ Development. |
| LAVERNIA,ENRIQUE J UCD |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
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| Summer Salary | \$20,567.00 | Exception allowed by SMG policy, payment for summer research conducted in July 2008 and funded by the Office of Naval Research and Army Research Office. Per policy, equivalent number of days of vacation was forfeited (22 days). Approved by The Regents, July 2008. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAWRENCE,JANE FIORI UCM VICE CHANCELLOR- STUDENT AFFAIRS |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Exceptional Vacation accrual |  | Approved at September 2006 Regents' Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding, Guideline \#A-3. |
| LE GRANDE,HARRY UCB <br> FORMER ACTING VICE CHANCELLOR-STUDENT AFFAIRS |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$36,344.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective January 1, 2007 - May 31, 2008 for responsibilities associated with Acting Vice Chancellor-Student Affairs role. Extension approved as exception to policy due to duration beyond 1yr at January 2008 Regents meeting. |
| LE GRANDE,HARRY UCB VICE CHANCELLOR-STUDENT AFFAIRS |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| LENZ,PATRICK JAMES UCOP VICE PRESIDENT - BUDGET |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. Will be eligible 2/11/2013. |
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## LEVEY,GERALD S. UCLA <br> VICE CHANCELLOR -- MEDICAL SCIENCES \& DEAN -- SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

| Health Sciences Compensation Plan | \$207,000.00 | Per policy, eligible to participate in Health Sciences Compensation Plan. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other - Include in Total Cash Compensation | \$234.00 | Regency Club Membership: Primarily used for business. Some personal usage, prorated and calculated as imputed income in 2008. |
| LEVI,DENNIS M. UCB DEAN-SCHOOL OF OPTOMETRY |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Exceptional Vacation accrual |  | Approved at September 2006 Regents' Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding, Guideline \#A-3. |
| Relocation Allowance | \$9,400.00 | To be paid over 8 years with lump sum of $\$ 75,000$ in year seven. The total payout is $\$ 150,000$. Effective July 1, 2001 - June 30, 2009. \$9,400 reflects the amount paid in 2007. Approved as exception by Provost King and disclosed to The Regents in July 2001. |
| Summer Salary | \$18,583.00 | Exception allowed by SMG policy, payment for 21 days of summer research conducted in July and August 2008, and funded by the National Eye Institute. Per policy, 21 days of vacation was forfeited. Approved by The Regents, July 2008. |

## LEVINE,HAROLD G. UCD <br> DEAN-SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective October 1, 2007 - March 31, 2009 for additional duties assisting UCOP with educational initiatives; the development of an educational imperative initiative; and creation and implementation of UC system-wide strategies for a coordinated and ongoing engagement with California's public P-12 educational system. Extension approved by The Regents as exception to policy for duration beyond 1yr.
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| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exceptional Vacation accrual |  | Approved at September 2006 Regents' Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding, Guideline \#A-3. |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Sabbatical Payment/Transfer |  | One additional sabbatical credit accrued each year from July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2006 to balance lower initial salary offer. Approved retroactively by The Regents at their November 2006 meeting. |
| LIEKWEG,RICHARD J UCSD <br> ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER-UCSD MEDICAL CENTER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$88,725.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| LIMA,CYNTHIA G UCSF <br> EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-ADMINISTRATION, MEDICAL CENTER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$17,696.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $20 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by the Chancellor and reported to the Regents at the November 2008 meeting in the Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report. |
| LIU,AILEEN UCOP |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
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| MARSHALL,DAVID B UCSB <br> EXECUTIVE DEAN COLLEGE OF LETTERS \& SCIENCE, DEAN, DIVISION OF HUMANITIES AND FINE ARTS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$40,000.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective October 1, 2005 - September 30, 2011 for responsibilities associated with Executive Dean College of Letters \& Science, Dean, Division of Humanities and Fine Arts role in addition to role as Dean-Division of Humanities \& Fine Arts. Approved by the Regents. |
| Summer Salary | \$18,158.00 | As an exception allowed by SMG policy, payment for 21 days of summer research conducted in August. 2008. Per policy 21 days of vacation was forfeited. Research for book. |
| MARSHALL,SALLY J UCSF VICE PROVOST-ACADEMIC AFFAIRS |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Health Sciences Compensation Plan | \$16,300.00 | Per policy, eligible to participate in Health Sciences Compensation Plan. |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| MARTIN,WILLIAM W UCLA HEAD COACH, MEN'S TENNIS |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Annual Base | \$104,500.00 | Base salary approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$196,540.00 | Payout based on annual participation in summer camps for youth approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Other - Include in Total Cash Compensation | \$1,153.00 | UCLA Sporting Event Tickets |
| Other - Include in Total Cash Compensation | \$3,300.00 | Courtesy Vehicle (imputed income). Approved by Regents in January 2007. |
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| FORMER ACTING DEAN-UNDERGRADUATE DIV |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$27,000.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008 for responsibilities associated with Acting Dean - Undergraduate Division role. She will then resume duties as Vice Provost-Undergrad Ed \& Instructional Technology. |
| MATHIES,RICHARD A UCB DEAN-COLLEGE OF CHEMISTRY |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| MATTHEWS,GARY CLIFFORD UCSD <br> VICE CHANCELLOR - RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AND PLANNING |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| MC GINTY,FELICIA ELAINE UCSC VICE CHANCELLOR, STUDENT AFFAIRS |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Moving Expenses - Other-Incl in Total Cash Comp | \$1,386.00 | Reimbursement for additional moving expenses for move from Pennsylvania, per policy. |
| Moving Expenses - Shipment of Household Goods | \$1,670.00 | Payment for shipment of household goods from Pennsylvania, per policy. |
| MC GOWAN,WILLIAM H UCD <br> CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER - UC DAVIS MEDICAL |  |  |
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| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$55,400.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| MCAFEE,THOMAS VARDON UCSD DEAN - CLINICAL AFFAIRS, HEALTH SCIENCES |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$67,080.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential of 20\%. Actual amount based on performance related to goals. Approved by Regents November 2008. |
| MCGUIRE,NEIL J. UCB |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Annual Base | \$108,150.00 | Base salary approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$29,355.00 | Payout based on annual participation in summer camps for youth approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Coach - Bonus | \$3,000.00 | For participation in the NCAA tournament |
| MCKEEVER,TERI J UCB |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Annual Base | \$127,228.00 | Base salary approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$11,393.00 | Payout based on annual participation in summer camps for youth approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$15,000.00 | Approved as part of contract. Payout based on standard participation in outside events representing UCB. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$40,000.00 | Athletic Equipment supplier agreement approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
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| Exceptional Vacation accrual |  | Approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coach - Bonus | \$8,500.00 | For participation in the NCAA tournament. |
| MERKLEY,CALVIN L UCSC FORMER VICE PROVOST, INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Annual Base | \$201,100.00 | Last day on pay status 3/7/08 |
| MICHALCZIK,JIM UCB ASSISTANT COACH - FOOTBALL |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Annual Base | \$135,000.00 | Annualized base salary approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$40,000.00 | Approved as part of contract. Payout based on standard participation in outside events representing UCB. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$9,942.00 | For participation in summer camps. Includes \$121 payment from 2007 summer camp. |
| Coach - Bonus | \$31,000.00 | Includes \$25,000 from Tedford bonus pool and \$6000 for participation in the Emerald Bowl. |
| MIDANIK,LORRAINE T UCB <br> DEAN - SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WELFARE |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| MILLER,MARY E UCM VICE CHANCELLOR-ADMINISTRATION |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
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| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| :---: | :---: |
| MINEAR,MICHAEL N UCD CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER, DAVIS CAMPUS HEALTH SYSTEM |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Annual Base \$310,000.00 | Monthly amount corrected so that annual salary will match amount approved by Regents. (Corrected from \$310,008 to \$310,000 on 10/20/2008). |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program \$32,000.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| MOORE,SUSAN B UCSF <br> DIRECTOR-FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING, MEDICAL CENTER |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program \$16,415.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by the Chancellor and reported to the Regents November 2008 in the Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report. |
| MORAN,DENNIS J UCSD CHIEF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES OFFICER |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program \$29,700.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| MORGAN,DAVID R. UCSF <br> EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-AMBULATORY CARE SERVICES, MEDICAL CENTER |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
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| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$17,816.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $20 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by the Regents November 2008 in the Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Cash Payment | \$1,907.00 | 2008 payout per UCSF Med Ctr PTO policy approved by Assoc. VP-HR \& Benefits in 2004. |
| MORSE,MARGARET E UCSC |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$19,800.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective Sept. 15, 2007 - June 30, 2008 for responsibilities associated with Acting Dean - Arts Division role. |
| MUNOZ,SANTIAGO III UCOP ASSOCIATE VICE PRESIDENT-CLINICAL SERVICES DEVELOPMENT |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$30,210.00 | CEMRP: Approved at November 2008 Regents' meeting. Actual payout is based on goals and objectives established at the beginning of the plan year. |
| MURPHY,DONNA MARIE UCSC |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Relocation Allowance | \$10,160.00 | Per policy, relocation allowance paid out in decreasing increments over 4 years in support of move from Oklahoma. |
| NACHT,MICHAEL UCB DEAN-GOLDMAN SCHOOL OF PUBLIC POLICY |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
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| Annual Base | Stepped down as dean 6/30/2008. |
| :--- | :--- |
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| Other - Include in Total Cash Compensation | \$8,534.00 | UCLA Sporting Event Tickets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NEUMAN,DEBRA G. UCSD VICE CHANCELLOR-EXTERNAL RELATIONS |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Relocation Allowance | \$36,875.00 | Relocation allowance in the amount of $\$ 73,750$ to be distributed over 2 years in equal payments of $\$ 36,875.00$. Subject to repayment if resigns within first 4 years. |
| Temporary Housing | \$5,478.00 | Includes $\$ 1,488$ for cost of La Jolla Del Sol faculty and staff apartment complex and \$3,990 for additional hotel, meals and other expenses upon arrival to San Diego. |
| Moving Expenses - Initial Househunting | \$5,017.00 | One coach-fare house hunting trip. |
| Moving Expenses - Family's Move | \$1,175.00 | Family travel expense to move to San Diego. |
| Moving Expenses - Other-Incl in Total Cash Comp | \$699.00 | Airfare to retrieve auto from Atlanta. |
| Moving Expenses - Moving Services | \$18,991.00 | Moving services required to move to San Diego. |
| NEUMARK,DANIEL M LBNL SCIENTIFIC DIVISION DIRECTOR FACULTY |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$28,900.00 | Stipend used strictly as method of payment for this ongoing assignment as Scientific Div/Dir Faculty. LBNL reimburses UCB for $50 \%$ of his base salary for 9 months and pays $100 \%$ during the 3 summer months. Campus administers base pay and benefits. |
| NINEMIRE,DIANE L UCB HEAD COACH-SOFTBALL |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Annual Base | \$136,875.00 | Base salary approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
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| Other Cash Payment | Athletic Equipment supplier consideration approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. <br> Includes $\$ 3000$ payment from 2007. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Exceptional Vacation accrual | Approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |  |
| Coach - Bonus | $\$ 25,000.00$ | For participation in NCAA tournament. | | NISBET,MARY UCSB |
| :--- |
| ACTING DEAN, UNDERGRADUATE EDUCATION |
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| Stipend | \$28,100.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective March 1, 2008 - July 31, 2008 for responsibilities associated with Acting Dean - School of Nursing role. Approved by Regents 5/2008. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O'KELLEY,JOHN SHANNON UCLA <br> ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR, OPERATIONS -- CLINICAL SERVICES, UCLA HOSPITAL SYSTEM |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$35,825.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $20 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by Chancellor November 2008 and reported to The Regents November 2008 in the Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report. |
| Relocation Allowance | \$10,750.00 | Per policy, relocation allowance of $\$ 53,750$ to be paid over a period of four years. Approved by Regents September 2006. |
| O'NEILL,GEOFFREY A UCOP <br> ASSISTANT VICE PRESIDENT - INSTITUTIONAL ADVANCEMENT |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| ODATO,DAVID UCSF <br> EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-PATIENT \& EMPLOYEE SERVICES, MEDICAL CENTER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$19,566.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $20 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by the Chancellor and reported to the Regents November 2008 in the Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$10,138.00 | Paid Time Off payment. Per UCSF Medical Center PTO policy approved by Assoc VP-HR \& Benefits in 2004. |
| OLIAN,JUDY D. UCLA <br> DEAN -- ANDERSON SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT |  |  |
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| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Cash Payment | \$50,000.00 | Annual housing differential approved in Regents' Item RE 74 Guideline \#A-2 at September 2006 meeting. |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Relocation Allowance | \$29,166.00 | Per policy, relocation allowance of \$87,500 to be paid in installments over 3 years. |
| OLIVER,MELVIN L UCSB DEAN, DIVISION OF SOCIAL SCIENCES |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Summer Salary | \$17,825.00 | As a exception allowed by SMG policy, payment for 24 days of summer research on research on homeownership and equity accumulation was conducted in 2008 and funded by campus unrestricted funds. Per policy, 24 days of vacation was forfeited. Approved by The Regents, July 2008. |
| ORESKES,NAOMI UCSD PROVOST-SIXTH COLLEGE |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| ORLOWSKI,ANNA UCD CHIEF HEALTH SCIENCES COUNSEL |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$13,853.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by the Chancellor and reported to the Regents November 2008 in the Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report. |
| OWEN,WILLIAM G UCB FORMER DEAN-BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES |  |  |
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| DEAN-GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Exceptional Vacation accrual |  | Approved at September 2006 Regents' Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding, Guideline \#A-3. |
| PECKENPAUGH,RUSSELL L UCSF DIRECTOR-INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, MEDICAL CENTER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Other Cash Payment | \$9,200.00 | Paid Time Off payment. Per UCSF Medical Center PTO policy approved by Assoc VP-HR \& Benefits in 2004. |
| PLOTTS,JOHN E UCOP ASST VP--FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Incentive | \$23,611.00 | Performance incentive pay for review period ending June 30, 2007. Incentive pay approved by the Board of Regents at their meeting of January 2008. |
| POLICANO,ANDREW JOHN UCI DEAN-PAUL MERAGE SCHOOL OF BUSINESS |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| POMEROY,CLAIRE UCD <br> VICE CHANCELLOR - HUMAN HEALTH SCIENCES AND DEAN - SCHOOL OF MEDICINE |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Health Sciences Compensation Plan | \$75,000.00 | Health Sciences Comp Plan, monthly payments of \$6250 come to \$75,000 in 2008. |
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| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$84,380.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exceptional Vacation accrual |  | Approved at September 2006 Regents' Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding, Guideline \#A-3. |
| PRICE,ROBERT M UCB <br> INTERIM VICE CHANCELLOR-RESEARCH |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Annual Base | \$129,600.00 | The amount is a fiscal year faculty salary. |
| Stipend | \$110,100.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective November 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009 for responsibilities associated with Interim Vice Chancellor-Research role. Approved by Regents 11/2008. |
| PURCELL,ALEXIS H UCSF <br> FORMER SENIOR ASSOCIATE DEAN-ADMINISTRATION \& FINANCE, SCHOOL OF DENTISTRY |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Incentive | \$28,470.00 | School of Dentistry Management Incentive Program: 2008 payment for performance related achievement. Approved and paid on 10/10/08 consistent with September 2008 Regents' approved delegated authority to the campus. |
| PUTNAM,THOMAS MILTON III UCSB <br> ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR - INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Annual Base | \$200,000.00 | Annualized rate shown. Appointmrnt began Aug. 15, 2007. |
| QUIGLEY,JOHN M UCB |  |  |
| FORMER ACTING DEAN-GOLDMAN SCHOOL OF PUBLIC POLICY |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
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## Stipend

Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective September 1, 2008 - August 31, 2009 for responsibilities associated with Interim Clinical Compliance Officer role. Approved by the Regents on 09/18/2008.
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| REISER,LISA M. UCI <br> CHIEF PATIENT CARE SERVICES OFFICER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$26,507.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| REISLER,EMIL UCLA <br> DEAN -- SCHOOL OF LIFE SCIENCES, COLLEGE OF LETTERS AND SCIENCE |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| RELYEA,STEVEN UCSD <br> FORMER ACTING VICE CHANCELLOR EXTERNAL RELATIONS |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$45,000.00 | Administrative stipend in the amount of $18.8 \%$ for additional duties as Acting Vice Chancellor External Relations. |
| REYNAUD,LOUIS UCB FORMER ASSISTANT COACH-MEN'S BASKETBALL |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Other Cash Payment | \$20,000.00 | Approved as part of contract. Payout based on standard participation in outside events representing UCB. |
| Exceptional Vacation accrual |  | Approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Other - Include in Total Cash Compensation | \$7,250.00 | Courtesy vehicle approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
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| Coach - Bonus | \$8,750.00 | For participation in the NIT tournament. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RICE,ANN MADDEN UCD |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$89,700.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| RICE,THOMAS H UCLA VICE CHANCELLOR -- ACADEMIC PERSONNEL |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| RICHARDS,MARK A UCB <br> EXECUTIVE DEAN COLLEGE OF LETTERS AND SCIENCE AND DEAN-PHYSICAL SCIENCES |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$32,600.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective July 1, 2006 - December 31, 2008 for responsibilities associated with Executive Dean College of Letters and Science role. Stipend ends December 31,2008 because Dean Richards will be on sabbatical for the period January 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009. |
| RING,ERNEST J UCSF <br> FORMER CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER, MEDICAL CENTER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$32,760.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
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| ROBINSON,CAROL A UCD <br> SENIOR PATIENT CARE SERVICES OFFICER, UC DAVIS HEALTH SYSTEM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$39,400.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $20 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| ROBINSON,CHARLES F UCOP |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| ROSEN,ROBERT UCLA |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Summer Salary | \$16,983.00 | As an exception allowed by SMG policy, payment for summer research conducted in July 2008 and funded by state funds. Per policy, equivalent number of days of vacation was forfeited. Approved by the Regents July 2008. |
| ROSENSTOCK,LINDA UCLA DEAN -- SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Health Sciences Compensation Plan | \$34,100.00 | Per policy, eligible to participate in Health Sciences Compensation Plan. |
| Other - Include in Total Cash Compensation | \$2,825.00 | Regency Club Membership: Used for business and personal. Personal usage prorated and calculated as imputed income in 2008. |
| ROSENTHAL, J THOMAS UCLA CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER, UCLA HOSPITAL SYSTEM |  |  |
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| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$49,415.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $25 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| ROSSI,CAROLE ROSEMARIE UCSC <br> CHIEF CAMPUS COUNSEL AND ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Other One-Time Reimbursement | \$15.00 | Reimbursement for mileage during move from San Francisco, per policy. |
| Moving Expenses - Other-Incl in Total Cash Comp | \$1,558.00 | Reimbursement for temporary living costs within policy to support move from San Francisco. |
| Moving Expenses - Shipment of Household Goods | \$6,050.00 | Reimbursement for shipment of household goods during move from San Francisco, per policy. |
| Moving Expenses - Strg of Hsehld Goods-short-term | \$2,347.00 | Reimbursement for storage charges within policy in support of move from San Francisco. |
| RUBIN,AMIR DAN UCLA CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER, UCLA HOSPITAL SYSTEM |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$83,235.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $25 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Relocation Allowance | \$9,375.00 | Per policy, relocation allowance totaling \$93,750 paid out incrementally. |
| RUDNICK,JOSEPH A UCLA <br> ACTING DEAN -- DIVISION OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES |  |  |
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## Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

| Stipend | \$33,800.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective October 1, 2006 - September 30, 2009 for responsibilities associated with Acting Dean - Division of Physical Sciences role. Extension approved by the Regents $9 / 2008$ as exception to policy for duration beyond 1yr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RUE,HENRIETTA ELIZABETH UCSD VICE CHANCELLOR - STUDENT AFFAIRS |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Relocation Allowance | \$13,438.00 | Per policy, $\$ 53,750(25 \%)$ to be distributed over 4 years in equal annual payments of $\$ 13,437.50$. Subject to repayment if resigns within first 4 years. |
| RYBA,TOMI UCSF CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER, MEDICAL CENTER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$25,190.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $20 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| SAMPSON,DANIEL C UCOP ASSISTANT VP FINANCIAL CONTROLS AND ACCOUNTABILITY |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Incentive | \$21,320.00 | Performance based incentive in the amount of 10\%, approved by the Regents January 2008. |
| SANDEEN,CATHY A. UCLA DEAN -- CONT. ED. AND UNEX |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
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| Other Benefit |  | Educational Loans: Transfer of loan balance of $\$ 35,596.50$ to UCLA from UCSC approved by Regents upon Sandeen appointment 11/29/06. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SASTRY,SOSALE SHANKAR UCB DEAN - COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Summer Salary | \$15,053.00 | As an exception allowed by SMG policy, payment for 15 days of summer research conducted in July 2008, and funded by the National Science Foundation. Per policy, 15 days of vacation were forfeited. Approved by The Regents, July 2008. |
| SAVAGE,JOHN JOSEPH UCLA HEAD COACH, BASEBALL |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Annual Base | \$185,000.00 | Base salary approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$51,304.00 | Payout based on annual participation in summer camps for youth approved as part of negotiated athletics' contract. |
| Other - Include in Total Cash Compensation | \$3,524.00 | Courtesy Vehicle (imputed income). Per employment contract. |
| SAXENIAN,ANNALEE UCB DEAN-SCHOOL OF INFORMATION |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| SCHILL,MICHAEL H UCLA DEAN -- SCHOOL OF LAW |  |  |

## University of California Office of the President

## Addendum to Annual Report on Executive Compensation for Calendar Year 2008

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHLISSEL,MARK S UCB DEAN-BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| SCHNETZLER,GRETA UCSF CHIEF HEALTH SCIENCES COUNSEL |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Incentive | \$6,883.00 | Eligible to participate in Financial \& Administrative Services Incentive Plan (FAS) annually with a maximum potential payout of $10 \%$, actual amount based on performance related to goals. Approved under local authority as incumbent's total cash was below $\$ 205,000$ at the time. |
| SCHROEDER,DAVID W UCOP SENIOR PORTFOLIO MANAGER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Treasurer's AIP Plan | \$94,210.00 | Treasurer's Annual Incentive Plan: Actual pay-out is based on targets established at the beginning of the Plan year. Approved by President Yudof August 29, 2008. |
| SCIOSCIA,ANGELA LYNN UCSD CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$47,250.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $20 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
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| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| :---: | :---: |
| SEIBLE,FRIEDER UCSD <br> DEAN - JACOBS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| SHORTELL,STEPHEN M UCB DEAN-SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Annual Base $\quad \$ 327,600.00$ | During 2007, on sabbatical leave January 1, 2007 - June 30, 2007. |
| SHOWSTACK,JONATHAN A UCSF ASSISTANT VICE CHANCELLOR \& CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| SHULTZ,JAMES UCLA <br> EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR -- PHYSICIAN SUPPORT SERVICES |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program \$34,457.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| SIEFKIN,ALLAN D UCD <br> CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER - UC DAVIS MEDICAL CENTER |  |

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments
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Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program
$\$ 33,080.00$

Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008.

SIMON,HORST D LBNL
ASSOCIATE LABORATORY DIRECTOR
Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Executive Disability
Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit.

## SLOAN,LISA UCSC

VICE PROVOST AND DEAN, GRADUATE STUDIES
Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Executive Disability
Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit.

## SMITH,SUSAN L UCSD <br> PROVOST, JOHN MUIR COLLEGE

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Executive Disability
Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit.

## SONNENSHEIN,MONA L UCSD

CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER, UCSD MEDICAL CENTER

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout Program of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. Total award for 2007/2008 plan year should be $\$ 73,380$. Ms. Sonnenshein was incorrectly paid in 2008 . The difference will be paid in 2009 and reflected in 2009 report.
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| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| :--- | :--- |
| SPARKMAN,ANN UCSF |  |
| PRINCIPAL COUNSEL |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Incentive | $\$ 6,883.00$ | | Eligible to participate in Financial \& Administrative Services Incentive Plan (FAS) annually with a |
| :--- |
| maximum potential payout of $10 \%$ actual amount based on performance related to goals. |

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program

## SPIRITUS,EUGENE UCI

CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER
Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program
\$38,373.00
Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $20 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008.

## STANDIFORD,RICHARD B. ANR

ASSOCIATE VICE PRESIDENT
Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

[^11]Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by Chancellor November 2008 and reported to The Regents November 2008 in the Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report.

Holds academic title of Cooperative Extension Specialist at UCB that is not tenured, therefore eligible to participate in Sr. Mgmt Supplemental Benefit Program.
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| STANTON,MELVIN L UCOP ASSOCIATE CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Treasurer's AIP Plan | \$54,690.00 | Treasurer's Annual Incentive Plan: Approved at November 2008 Regents' meeting. Actual pay-out is based on targets established at the beginning of the Plan year. |
| STATON,PAUL A UCLA CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, UCLA HOSPITAL SYSTEM |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$47,241.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| STEWART,DAVID W. UCR <br> DEAN-A. GARY ANDERSON GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| STOBO,JOHN DAVID DR. UCOP SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT - HEALTH SCIENCES AND SERVICES |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy has not yet met 5yr vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| Relocation Allowance |  | Approved by The Regents at maximum allowed under policy of $25 \%$ of base salary or $\$ 145,000$, to be paid out in one lump sum or in installments. If paid out in a lump sum a payback formula will be in place if Mr. Stobo leaves within 4 years. No payments were made in 2008. |
| Temporary Housing | \$4,868.00 | Approved as an exception to policy for a period of 60 days instead of the 30 days allowed for in policy. Used for just over 1 month. |
|  |  | e 75 of 88 |
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```
STOVALL,TYLER E UCB
DEAN-UNDERGRADUATE DIVISION
Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments
```

Executive Disability Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit.
STOWELL,TIMOTHY A UCLA
DEAN -- DIVISION OF HUMANITIES, COLLEGE OF LETTERS \& SCIENCE

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Executive Disability
Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit.

```
SULLIVAN,ROBERT S. UCSD
DEAN - RADY SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT
```

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Exceptional Vacation accrual
Approved at September 2006 Regents' Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding, Guideline \#A-3.

SZERI,ANDREW J UCB
DEAN - GRADUATE DIVISION

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Executive Disability
Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit.

```
TAYLOR,PALMER W. UCSD
ASSOC VICE CHANCELLOR HEALTH SCIENCES AND FOUNDING DEAN-SCHOOL OF PHARMACY AND PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES
```

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments
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Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective July 16, 2008 - June 30, 2009 for responsibilities associated with Acting Dean-Claire Trevor School of the Arts role. Approved by Regents 08/08/2008.
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```
THATCHER,PATRICIA D. UCI
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-HR AND CUSTOMER SERVICE, MEDICAL CENTER
```

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by Chancellor November 2008 and reported to The Regents November 2008 in the Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report.

## THIEMENS,MARK H UCSD <br> DEAN - DIVISION OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments


Executive Disability
Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit.
TIRRELL,MATTHEW $V$ UCSB
DEAN OF THE COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

As an exception allowed by SMG policy, payment for 21 day of summer research conducted in August 2008. 21 days vacation forfeited. Funded by unrestricted gift funds.

## TORRES-GIL,FERNANDO M UCLA <br> FORMER ACTING DEAN-SCHOOL OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments

## University of California Office of the President
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| Stipend \$8,208.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective August 1, 2007 - August 31, 2008 for responsibilities associated with Acting Dean - School of Public Affairs role. Extension approved by the Regents $7 / 2008$ as exception to policy for duration beyond 1yr. |
| :---: | :---: |
| TRAINA,SAMUEL JUSTIN UCM VICE CHANCELLOR OF RESEARCH AND DEAN OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - has not met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| TURTELTAUB,RHEA P UCLA <br> FORMER ACTING VICE CHANCELLOR - EXTERNAL AFFAIRS |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Stipend \$32,460.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective October 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008 for responsibilities associated with Acting Vice Chancellor - External Affairs role. Approved at Sept 2007 Regents' meeting. |
| VACCA,SHERYL JEANNE UCOP <br> SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT - CHIEF COMPLIANCE AND AUDIT OFFICER |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| VAN DEN ABBEELE,GEORGES Y UCSC DEAN, HUMANITIES |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |
| Executive Disability | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| VAN YOUNG,ERIC UCSD |  |
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| FORMER ACTING DEAN - DIVISION OF AR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$15,919.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008 for responsibilities associated with Acting Dean - Division of Arts and Humanities role. Per policy, approved by Chancellor Fox. |
| VERMILLION,ERIC B UCSF ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR-FINANCE |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$20,813.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective June 1, 2008 - May 31, 2009 for additional responsibilities assumed due to the retirement of the Senior Vice Chancellor-Finance and Administration. Approved by Regents 07/17/2008. |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| WAGGENER,SHELTON UCB |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| WALKER,DEWAYNE MORRIS UCLA FOOTBALL DEFENSIVE COORDINATOR |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Other Cash Payment | \$180,000.00 | Approved as part of contract. Payout based on standard participation in outside events representing UCLA. |
| Other - Include in Total Cash Compensation | \$1,277.00 | UCLA Sporting Event Tickets |
| Other - Include in Total Cash Compensation | \$14,216.00 | Courtesy Vehicle (imputed income). Approved by Regents per employment contract. |
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| WALSHOK,MARY LINDENSTEIN UCSD <br> ASSOC VICE CHAN. EXTENDED STUDIES AND PUBLIC SERVICE, DEAN-UNIVERSITY EXTENSION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Other Payment - Benefits | \$1,631.00 | University Club membership: Monthly dues payment made to University Club to cover her 2008 membership fee. Membership is used to provide a location for business meetings. |
| Other Payment - Benefits | \$400.00 | Rotary Club membership fees. |
| WARTELLA,ELLEN A. UCR |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Exceptional Vacation accrual |  | Approved at September 2006 Regents' Meeting in response to RE 74 Corrective Actions - BSA \& Internal Audit Finding, Guideline \#A-3. |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| WASHINGTON,A EUGENE UCSF EXECUTIVE VICE CHANCELLOR \& PROVOST |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Health Sciences Compensation Plan | \$52,300.00 | Per policy, eligible to participate in Health Sciences Compensation Plan. Per UCOP counsel in 03/2007. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$13,194.00 | Outside Activity income processed thru HSCP, non-patient care activity. Per Regental approval 03/07. |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| WATKINS,PAUL H. UCLA |  |  |
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| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$30,490.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $20 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by Chancellor November 2008 and reported to The Regents November 2008 in the Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Relocation Allowance | \$9,250.00 | Per policy, relocation allowance of $\$ 46,250$ to be paid over a period of four years. Approved by Regents September 2006. |
| WAUGH,SCOTT L UCLA FORMER ACTING EXEC. VICE CHANCELLOR AND PROVOST |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$83,500.00 | Annualized stipend reflected. Actual stipend effective October 1, 2007 - December 31, 2008 for responsibilities associated with Acting Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost role. |
| WEDDING,RANDOLPH E UCOP <br> SENIOR MANAGING DIRECTOR-FIXED INCOME INVESTMENTS |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Treasurer's AIP Plan | \$83,860.00 | Treasurer's Annual Incentive Plan: Approved at November 2008 Regents' meeting. Actual pay-out is based on targets established at the beginning of the Plan year. |
| WHITE,BRUCE R UCD <br> ACTING VICE PROVOST - ACADEMIC PERSONNEL |  |  |

As an exception to policy, acting appt extension will be effective 7/1/08-12/31/08; this extends the stipend beyond the 1 yr allowed by policy; if base salary adjusted during acting appt the stipend will be recalculated against the new base.

## WHITE,TIMOTHY P UCR <br> CHANCELLOR

Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments
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| Executive Disability |  | Credit for 5 yrs. prior UC service recognized. This satisfied vesting requirement for eligibility. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Payment - Benefits | \$901.00 | Taxable items for personal use per policy; $\$ 228.85$ Cable TV $+\$ 672.04$ personal use of leased auto |
| WIESENTHAL,STEVEN M UCSF <br> FORMER ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR-CAPITAL PROJECTS \& FACILITIES MANAGEMENT |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Auto Allowance | \$443.00 | Imputed income for his personal use of a university provided pool vehicle. |
| Other Cash Payment | \$1,999.00 | Tax preparation reimbursement due to error made by campus that caused a cost to the employee for recalculation of tax returns. Consistent with others who received a corrected W-2. |
| WILLIAMS,ROBERT ALLEN UCSB HEAD COACH MEN'S BASKETBALL |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Other Cash Payment | \$39,000.00 | Per contract, payment for conducting basketball camps. |
| WINNER,CYNTHIA A. UCI CHIEF AMBULATORY CARE OFFICER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$24,371.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of $20 \%$. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by Chancellor November 2008 and reported to The Regents November 2008 in the Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report. |
| WONG,JANE Y. UCSF <br> INTERIM CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER AND DEPUTY CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
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Stipend
WOOLDRIDGE,JAMES A. UCR

HEAD COACH - MEN'S BASKETBALL | responsibilities associated with Interim Chief Operating Officer and Deputy Chief Information Officer |
| :--- |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |
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FORMER ACTING DEAN-UNIVERSITY EXTENSION
Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments
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| YELICK,KATHERINE A LBNL SCIENTIFIC DIVISION DIRECTOR FACULTY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Stipend | \$66,540.00 | Stipend used strictly as method of payment for this ongoing assignment as Scientific Div/Dir Faculty. LBNL reimburses UCB for $50 \%$ of his base salary for 9 months and pays $100 \%$ during the 3 summer months. Campus administers base pay and benefits. |
| YOUNG,HEATHER M UCD ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR FOR NURSING |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Health Sciences Compensation Plan | \$60,000.00 | Participation will comply with all University of California Health System parameters. |
| Standard Benefits |  | Waiting for Regental approval for the Proposed Betty Irene Moore School of Nursing and Ms. Young's subsequent title of Founding Dean. |
| Executive Life |  | Waiting for Regental approval for the Proposed Betty Irene Moore School of Nursing and Ms. Young's subsequent title of Founding Dean. |
| Executive Business Travel |  | Waiting for Regental approval for the Proposed Betty Irene Moore School of Nursing and Ms. Young's subsequent title of Founding Dean. |
| Executive Disability |  | Waiting for Regental approval for the Proposed Betty Irene Moore School of Nursing and Ms. Young's subsequent title of Founding Dean. |
| YUDOF,MARK GEORGE UCOP PRESIDENT OF THE UNIVERSITY |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Senior Management Supplement |  | Ineligible due to dual tenured faculty appointment. |
| University Housing |  | 2yr leased housing at a cost of $\$ 9,990.90 / \mathrm{mo}$ plus $\$ 1,360 / \mathrm{mo}$ for rental of furnishings due to repairs needed at Blake house. |
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| Post Retirement Agreement |  | beyond, funding will occur in accordance with the normal UCRP funding policy that is applicable to funding the benefits of all UCRP members. The University contribution for 2008 is $\$ 228,000$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accrual of Sabbatical Credits |  | Eligible to accrue sabbatical credits due to dual appointment as tenured faculty member. |
| Moving Expenses - Initial Househunting | \$2,598.00 | Per policy, temporary housing was provided while permanent housing was obtained. |
| Moving Expenses - Other-Excl from Total Cash Comp | \$15,292.00 | Consistent with academic policy Mr. Yudof's professional library was moved from Austin. This was separate from the move of his personal items. |
| Moving Expenses - Shipment of Household Goods | \$74,356.00 | As an exception to policy allowing $\$ 25,000$ in moving costs, $\$ 86,098.89$ was approved by The Regents. |
| ZEDECK,SHELDON UCB <br> VICE PROVOST - ACADEMIC AFFAIRS AND FACULTY WELFARE |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Executive Disability |  | Per policy, eligible - has not yet met five year vesting requirement in SMG to receive benefit. |
| ZEHNTNER,MAUREEN L UCI ASSOCIATE VICE CHANCELLOR/CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER - MEDICAL CENTER |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
| Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Program | \$74,432.00 | Eligible to participate in CEMRP annually with a maximum potential payout of 20\%. Actual payout based on performance related to goals. Approved by The Regents on 11/20/2008. |
| ZEHNTNER,MAUREEN L UCI |  |  |
| Compensation Element Amount Staff Comments |  |  |
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alized stipend reflected. Actual stipend approved to be effective November 1, 2005-February 29, 2008 for responsibilities associated with Acting Chief Executive Officer role. Extension approved by the Regents 11/2007 as exception to policy for duration beyond 1yr.

## APPENDIX 15

## REPORT ON 2008 EMPLOYEE PAYROLL* <br> University of California

May 2009

## Introduction

Consistent with its commitment to transparency and public accountability, the University of California discloses employee payroll information annually, and makes it broadly available to the public as well as to news media. This information includes an employee-by-employee listing of compensation, breakdowns of UC pay by personnel category and fund sources, and a summary of compensation for the top university leaders.

UC competes in many different labor markets for personnel - physicians, faculty members, nurses, administrative staff, investment professionals, athletic coaches, etc. - and components of compensation are dictated by prevailing practices in each market. In order to compete in these markets, UC must and should reflect the same market practices. In particular markets, such as health sciences, coaches and investment professional, compensation is divided into two distinct parts: (1) a guaranteed base salary; and (2) a contingent payment based on performance. Other market practices also dictate a combination of compensation components. For example, some nurses and other UC hospital employees are paid with a combination of base pay and shift differential pay for working evening or night shifts. Similarly, compensation for clinical faculty who treat patients includes base pay along with an amount for treating patients. Other faculty compensation might also include pay for teaching summer courses. Staff and administrators receive most of their income from base pay, but can also earn overtime or stipends for performing additional temporary responsibilities.

Total pay for many UC employees is made up of several components, including base salary, overtime, and other compensation defined as "above base pay" which includes stipends, housing allowances, and payouts of accrued vacation upon retirement. Total pay does not include standard health, retirement, and other benefits.

## Key Points about UC's 2008 payroll data

1. 2008 total payroll grows, due to workforce growth and market demands

- The delivery of educational services, research and health care is labor-intensive, and personnel costs are roughly $50 \%$ of UC's $\$ 20$ billion operating budget.
- UC's total payroll of $\$ 8.9$ billion in 2007 grew to $\$ 9.6$ billion for 2008, an increase of roughly $7.4 \%$.
- UC's workforce grew roughly $2.5 \%$ (more than 4,200 employees, not including national laboratory employees) between 2007 and 2008, driven by increases in services provided by UC:
- Student enrollments grew by nearly 3.6\% between 2007 and 2008
- Overall research expenditures at UC grew 10.7\%
- Inpatient days at UC medical centers grew $1.4 \%$

2. How the 2008 payroll data breaks down

- Approximately $40 \%$ of compensation in 2008 went to professors, clinical professors, other teaching faculty, research titles, and other academic positions employees directly engaged in the University's academic mission. The remainder went to non-academic employees, including support services for students and patients.
- Over $21 \%$ of the funding for systemwide compensation came from professional fees, clinical revenue, and other activities associated with UC's teaching hospitals. Approximately $27 \%$ of the funding came from State of California funds, and $9.2 \%$ from student fees with the majority of student fees coming from the education fee, summer sessions and University Extension courses.
- As in previous years, the "top 10 earning" employees at UC in 2008 based on total pay were either members of the health sciences faculty - typically world-renowned specialists in their fields - or athletic coaches.
- "Above base pay" decreased by $1 \%$ in 2008, with the vast majority - over $75 \%$-- being paid to academic employees, and particularly to health sciences faculty in connection with their patient care responsibilities.

```
:: :: ::
```

*In previous years, this report used fiscal year data and was issued in the fall. Beginning with the 2007 report, this report was based on calendar year data, to better align with year-end tax reporting, and the production of UC's annual compensation reports for senior managers which are issued each March. Additionally, the 2007 \& 2008 reports focused on total payroll data, versus "above base pay" as in previous years, to provide a more complete picture of UC compensation.

TABLE 1
TOTAL PAY BY APPOINTMENT CLASS TITLE OULINE CODE CY 2007

## Primary Occupation Group

## Academic

Clinical Professors, Lecturers and Other Teaching Faculty
Teaching Faculty - Ladder \& Acting Ranks
Research
University Extension \& Cooperative Extension
Other Academic Personnel, Pay Codes, and Librarians
Student Assistants
Academic Administrative Officers

CY 2007

| $\$$ | \% of Total |
| ---: | ---: |
| $913,857,681.12$ | $10.3 \%$ |
| $1,121,235,029.93$ | $12.6 \%$ |
| $599,263,555.65$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| $73,735,672.82$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| $87,239,965.35$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| $568,042,037.46$ | $6.4 \%$ |
| $69,525,075.43$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| $, 432,899,017.76$ | $38.6 \%$ |

## Non-Academic

Health Care and Allied Services
Fiscal, Management and Staff Services
Managers
Student Services
Clerical \& Allied Services
Other non-academic
Maintenance, Fabrication and Operations
Sciences, Laboratory and Allied Services
Executive Program
Coaches \& Related Professional
Protective Services
Food \& Linen Services
Communication, Arts and Graphics
Architecture, Engineering and Allied Services

Total Gross Pay

| $1,780,517,291.73$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $1,286,534,016.90$ | $14.5 \%$ |
| $495,793,216.54$ | $5.6 \%$ |
| $200,648,840.52$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| $665,585,670.72$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| $20,759,938.78$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| $318,277,307.26$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| $291,725,277.19$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| $72,894,107.16$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| $13,862,385.33$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| $50,621,877.97$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| $73,069,030.13$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| $104,610,056.75$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| $91,125,051.44$ |  |
| $5,466,024,068.42$ |  |
|  |  |

TABLE 1
TOTAL PAY BY APPOINTMENT CLASS TITLE OUTLINE CODE CY 2008 vs CY 2007

Primary Occupation Group

## Academic

Clinical Professors, Lecturers and Other Teaching Faculty Teaching Faculty - Ladder \& Acting Ranks
Research
University Extension \& Cooperative Extension
Student Assistants
Other Academic Personnel, Pay Codes, and Librarians Academic Administrative Officers

## Non-Academic

Health Care and Allied Services
Fiscal, Management and Staff Services
Managers
Student Services
Clerical \& Allied Services
Maintenance, Fabrication and Operations
Other non-academic
Coaches \& Related Professiona
Executive Program
Sciences, Laboratory and Allied Services
Food \& Linen Services
Protective Services
Communication, Arts and Graphics
Architecture, Engineering and Allied Services

## Total Gross Pay

Total Gross
Percent of SMG

| CY 2008 |  | CY 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | \% of Total | \$ | \% of Total |
| 997,406,334.77 | 10.4\% | 913,857,681.12 | 10.3\% |
| 1,199,681,479.01 | 12.6\% | 1,121,235,029.93 | 12.6\% |
| 631,237,559.33 | 6.6\% | 599,263,555.65 | 6.7\% |
| 77,210,361.49 | 0.8\% | 73,735,672.82 | 0.8\% |
| 94,784,543.85 | 1.0\% | 87,239,965.35 | 1.0\% |
| 599,272,760.24 | 6.3\% | 568,042,037.46 | 6.4\% |
| 74,919,128.04 | 0.8\% | 69,525,075.41 | 0.8\% |
| 3,674,512,166.73 | 38.4\% | 3,432,899,017.74 | 38.6\% |
| 1,934,534,299.33 | 20.2\% | 1,780,517,291.73 | 20.0\% |
| 1,391,867,167.27 | 14.6\% | 1,286,534,016.90 | 14.5\% |
| 536,907,288.89 | 5.6\% | 495,793,216.54 | 5.6\% |
| 220,369,146.26 | 2.3\% | 200,648,840.52 | 2.3\% |
| 688,740,062.96 | 7.2\% | 665,585,670.72 | 7.5\% |
| 342,920,081.77 | 3.6\% | 318,277,307.26 | 3.6\% |
| 20,540,908.25 | 0.2\% | 20,759,938.78 | 0.2\% |
| 14,899,713.03 | 0.2\% | 13,862,385.33 | 0.2\% |
| 79,283,739.39 | 0.8\% | 72,894,107.16 | 0.8\% |
| 308,523,852.41 | 3.2\% | 291,725,277.19 | 3.3\% |
| 80,307,722.20 | 0.8\% | 73,069,030.13 | 0.8\% |
| 55,535,942.29 | 0.6\% | 50,621,877.97 | 0.6\% |
| 112,412,112.46 | 1.2\% | 104,610,056.75 | 1.2\% |
| 97,765,980.19 | 1.0\% | 91,125,051.44 | 1.0\% |
| 5,884,608,016.70 | 61.6\% | 5,466,024,068.42 | 61.4\% |
| 9,559,120,183.43 | 100.0\% | 8,898,923,086.16 | 100.0\% |

82,041,720.00
9,559,120,183.43
0.86\%

75,048,179.00
8,898,923,086.16
0.84\%

TABLE 1
TOTAL CY GROSS PAY BY APPOINTMENT CLASS TITLE OUTLINE CODE CY 2008

## Primary Occupation Group

## Academic

Clinical Professors, Lecturers and Other Teaching Faculty
Teaching Faculty - Ladder \& Acting Ranks
Research
University Extension \& Cooperative Extension
Other Academic Personnel, Pay Codes, and Librarians
Student Assistants
Academic Administrative Officers

CY 2008

| $\$$ | \% of Total |
| :---: | ---: |
|  |  |
| $997,406,334.77$ | $10.4 \%$ |
| $1,199,681,479.01$ | $12.6 \%$ |
| $631,237,559.33$ | $6.6 \%$ |
| $77,210,361.49$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| $94,784,543.85$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| $599,272,760.24$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| $74,919,128.04$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| $3,674,512,166.73$ | $38.4 \%$ |

## Non-Academic

Health Care and Allied Services
Fiscal, Management and Staff Services
Managers
Student Services
Clerical \& Allied Services
Other non-academic
Maintenance, Fabrication and Operations
Sciences, Laboratory and Allied Services
Executive Program
Coaches \& Related Professional
Protective Services
Food \& Linen Services
Communication, Arts and Graphics
Architecture, Engineering and Allied Services

## Other

| $1,934,534,299.33$ | $20.2 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $1,391,867,167.27$ | $14.6 \%$ |
| $536,907,288.89$ | $5.6 \%$ |
| $220,369,146.26$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| $688,740,062.96$ | $7.2 \%$ |
| $20,540,908.25$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| $342,920,081.77$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| $308,523,852.41$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| $79,283,739.39$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| $14,899,713.03$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| $55,535,942.29$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| $80,307,722.20$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| $112,412,112.46$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| $97,765,980.19$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| $5,884,608,016.70$ | $61.6 \%$ |

5,884,608,016.70 61.6\%

TABLE 2
TOTAL PAY BY FUND GROUPINGS
Comparison of CY 2008 vs CY 2007
Medical Compensation Plan
Federal Government including contracts and grants
Teaching Hospitals
Private Gifts, Grants, and Contracts
State General Funds
Student Fees
University Extension
Summer Sessions
Education Fees
Registration Fees
Professional Fees including Medicine, Dentistry, Pharmacy, Law
Other Student Fees including Alternative MBA Programs

Other Student Fees including Alternative MBA Programs

Other Sources including federal overhead, DOE Lab management fee, mail \& telecommunications services

Sales \& Services including clinics, museums, theaters, and auxiliary enterprises

Local Government appropriation, contracts, and grants
Endowments
University General Funds
State of California including special state appropriations, contracts, and grants

TOTAL GROSS PAY

| CY 2008 |  | CY 2007 |  | VARIANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PERCENTAGE | TOTAL PAY | PERCENTAGE | TOTAL PAY | TOTAL PAY |
| 9.6\% | 920,172,754.98 | 9.4\% | 836,998,689.50 | 83,174,065.48 |
| 9.8\% | 937,683,901.82 | 10.4\% | 921,114,768.15 | 16,569,133.67 |
| 21.9\% | 2,097,719,422.01 | 21.7\% | 1,929,968,882.63 | 167,750,539.38 |
| 5.9\% | 561,364,097.14 | 5.7\% | 503,047,980.81 | 58,316,116.33 |
| 21.5\% | 2,059,165,157.98 | 21.8\% | 1,942,545,355.47 | 116,619,802.51 |
| 1.1\% | 100,632,371.04 | 1.1\% | 96,379,181.43 | 4,253,189.61 |
| 0.3\% | 28,699,949.80 | 0.3\% | 26,647,252.55 | 2,052,697.25 |
| 5.3\% | 511,342,224.55 | 5.4\% | 477,242,966.92 | 34,099,257.63 |
| 1.1\% | 101,543,429.48 | 1.1\% | 96,789,455.56 | 4,753,973.92 |
| 0.5\% | 52,232,233.10 | 0.5\% | 47,947,404.18 | 4,284,828.92 |
| 0.9\% | 82,056,012.59 | 0.8\% | 73,281,738.07 | 8,774,274.52 |
|  | 876,506,220.56 |  | 818,287,998.71 | 58,218,221.85 |
| 7.8\% | 740,848,017.34 | 7.7\% | 683,925,473.05 | 56,922,544.29 |
| 6.4\% | 616,031,311.22 | 6.2\% | 554,504,706.66 | 61,526,604.56 |
| 1.4\% | 130,645,293.19 | 1.4\% | 120,217,850.37 | 10,427,442.82 |
| 1.2\% | 115,785,803.44 | 1.2\% | 103,975,622.24 | 11,810,181.20 |
| 3.8\% | 364,867,040.82 | 4.0\% | 354,880,089.94 | 9,986,950.88 |
| 1.4\% | 138,331,162.93 | 1.5\% | 129,455,668.65 | 8,875,494.28 |
| $\underline{100.0 \%}$ | 9,559,120,183.43 | $\underline{\text { 100.0\% }}$ | 8,898,923,086.18 | 660,197,097.25 |

## Office of the President

## TO MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ON COMPENSATION:

## INFORMATION ITEM

For Meeting of March 19, 2009

## ANNUAL REPORT ON COMPENSATED OUTSIDE PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2008: INCUMBENTS IN SENIOR MANAGEMENT POSITIONS

Attached is the annual report, for calendar year 2008, of compensated outside professional activities (OPA) of members of the Senior Management Group (SMG).

As stated in Presidential Policy:
"...Longstanding University policies and practices, including Regents' Standing Orders, have recognized the value of contributions made by University employees to external educational and research institutions, not-for-profit professional associations, state and Federal government, and private sector organizations. Considerable benefit accrues to the University from such service, deriving from association of University leaders with business leaders and the exchange of ideas among them. Such associations are intended to create a broader and deeper understanding and awareness of the University of California and its value to the State and the nation..."

The attached report reflects the individually certified declarations of every member of the SMG regarding their compensated OPA that occurred in calendar year 2008. SMG members who separated from the University prior to January 1, 2009 and individuals who only served in SMG positions in an acting capacity are not included in this report. Compensated OPA that occurred prior to 2008 are not included in the report with the exception of those activities that were inadvertently omitted from the 2007 compensated OPA report.

Following the individual certification by SMG members, the Chancellors, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Director and the Division and Department Heads of the Office of the President certified as to the inclusion of all SMG members meeting the aforementioned criteria.

Please note that the collection of information pertaining to outside professional activities is currently governed by a number of policies currently in effect and listed below:

- January 2007 Regental Interim Modifications to the Presidential Policy on Outside Professional Activities
- September 2000 Revised Guidelines for The Presidential Policy on Outside Professional Activities for University Officers and Designated Staff
- July 1995 Presidential Policy on Outside Professional Activities for University Officers and Designated Staff
- March 1995 Regental Policy on Outside Professional Activities of the President, Principal Officers of the Regents, and Officers of the Regents.

The review and development of a single policy on outside professional activities is a priority of the current policy review project, and is currently under way.

## Report Highlights

The entire reporting population's compensated OPA conformed to the January 2007 Regental Interim Modifications to the Presidential Policy on Outside Professional Activities, including the limit pertaining to compensated board service on for-profit boards.

## Outside Professional Activity Final Report

Compensated Activities
Reporting Year: January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008 Location: ALL.
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Outside Professional Activity Final Report
Compensated Activities
Reporting Year: January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008 Location: ALL


| HERRERA SOBEK,MARIA <br> University of Arizona | UCSB |  | Associate Vice Chancellor for Diversity, Equity, and Academic Policy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Manuscript | 4 | 0 | \$100 |
|  |  | Reviewer |  |  |  |
| HERRERA SOBEK,MARIA |  | UCSB |  | Associate Vice Chancellor for Diversity, Equity, and Academic Policy |  |
| University of Texas, Austin | N | Tenure | 5 | 0 | \$200 |
|  |  | Referee |  |  |  |
| UCLA Department of Chicano | N | Outside | 16 | 16 | \$1,000 |
| Studies |  | Reviewer |  |  |  |


| HORWITZ,BARBARAA |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National Science Fellowship | N | Reviewer | 32 |
| Review Panel |  |  |  |
| JESTER,PAMELAJ, UCOP |  |  |  |
| Alameda County Superior | N | Temporary | 122 |
| Court |  | Judge/Mental |  |
|  |  | Health <br> Hearing Officer |  |
| JUAREZ,STEVE \% |  | UCOP |  |
| National Assoc of Counties | P | Board Member | 40 |
| Financial Services Corp |  |  |  |


| KAMIENIECKI,SHELDON |  | UCSC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mass. Institute of Technology | $N$ | Co-editor of | 40 |
| Press |  | Book Series |  |
| Vanious university and trade presses | N | Reviewer | 24 |
| KANG,SUNG MO |  | UCM |  |
| ZMOS | P | Chairman/Ow ner | 6 |
| Ewha Womans University | P | Speaker | 3 |
| KAY,STEVE A |  | UCSD |  |
| Genomics Institute of the | P | Consultant | 64 |
| Novartis Research Found. |  |  |  |
| Scripps Research Institute | $N$ | Consultant | 16 |
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## Outside Professional Activity Final Report

Compensated Activities
Reporting Year: January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008
Location: ALL

| Employee Nam | 4 | Loc |  | ng Titl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Org (Profl Sve Provided For) | Non-Profit=N Profit=P | Role | Time Served (Hrly)* | Vacation Taken (Hrly) | Cash Comp (\$) | Deferred Comp (\$) | Description Deferred Comp | Other Comp (\$) | Description Of Other Comp | Grant Ty | \# Granted |  | Comments |




Direct Cash $=$ $\$ 45,000$ retainer + mtg fees totaling -\$80,000. Options: 16,000 granted at $\$ 49.74$ on 2/16/07; 5,000 granted at $\$ 52.61$ on 2/15/08. Stock units: 4,000 granted on 2/16/07 + 1,901 granted on 215/08 Units vest over 3 years, valued at transfer

## Outside Professional Activity Final Report

Compensated Activities
Reporting Year: January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008
Location: ALL


[^12]Outside Professional Activity Final Report
Compensated Activities
Reporting Year: January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008
Location: ALL

| Employee Name |  | Loc | Working Title |  |  |  | Sx- |  | Ex- ${ }^{\text {axem }}$ |  | Exim |  | $4{ }^{4}+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Org (Profl' Sve Provided For) | Non-Profit=N Profit=P | Role | Time Served (Hrly)* | Vacation Taken (Hrly) | Cash Comp (\$) | Deferred Comp (\$) | Description Deferred Comp | Other Comp (\$) | Description Of Other Comp | Grant Type | \# Granted | Strike Price Per Share(\$) | Comments |


| NACHT, MICHAEL |  | cturer <br> UCB |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lawrence Livemare National | N | Consultant | 26 |
| Laboratory <br> Corporate Scenes | P | Consultant | 88 |




Outside Professional Activity Final Report
Compensated Activities
Reporting Year: January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008
Location: ALL


| PEARSON,P DAVIDCouncil of International | N | UCB |  | Dean-Graduate School of Education |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Speaker | 16 | 16 | \$2,000 |
| Reading Association |  |  |  |  |  |
| PEARSON,P DAVID - |  | UCB | - | Dean-Gra | School |
| National Urban Alliance | N | Speaker | 8 | 8 | \$2,500 |
| Conference |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky Literacy Research | N | Speaker | 24 | 24 | \$8,000 |
| Symposium |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conference on Reading | N | Speaker | 8 | 8 | \$1,000 |
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## Location: ALL



## REPORT OF INTERIM ACTIONS

## Office of the Secretary and Chief of Staff

July 16, 2009

## TO THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

## INFORMATION ITEM

## Report of Actions Taken Between Meetings

In accordance with au thority previously delegated by the R egents, interim action was taken on routine or emergency matters as follows:
A. The C hair of the C ommittee on Compensation and the Pre sident oft he U niversity approved the following recommendations:

## (1) Administrative Stipends for Certain Individuals in the Medical Center at the San Francisco Campus

That the following items be approved in the connection with the adm inistrative stipends for the following individuals in the Medical Center at the San Francisco campus:
a. Sheila Antrum as Chief Nursing and Patient Care Services Officer
i. Per p olicy, an adm inistrative stip end of $\$ 37,500$ ( 15 p ercent) to increase her current base salary of $\$ 250,000$ to an annual salary of $\$ 287,500$. Continued classification as SLCG Grade 109 (Minimum $\$ 214,700$, Mid point $\$ 274,300$, Maxim um $\$ 333,700$ ). This appointment is at 100 percent time.
ii. The stipend am ount will $b$ e in creased as $t$ he base sa lary is increased, so the stipend will equal 15 percent of the base salary, at 100 percent time.
iii. Per p olicy, con tinued eli gibility to part icipate in the Clinical Enterprise Managem ent Recognition Program (C EMRP) at the Tier II level wi tham aximum potential in centive of up to 25 percent $(\$ 62,500)$ of base salary.
iv. Retroactively eff ective February 1, 2009 through Decem ber 31, 2009, upon approval of the Regents, including a transition period of up to three $m$ onths following the permanent assignment of the

Pharmacy and Perioperative Services oversight, whichever occurs first to facilitate the smooth transition of responsibilities.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits, and standards enior $m$ anagement benefits (including senior management lif e insurance, executi ve business trav el insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
- Per po licy, f ive percent $m$ onthly con tribution to th e $S$ enior Management Supplemental Benefit Program.

The compensation described above shall constitute the University's total commitment unti 1 m odified b y the R egents and sh all su persede al 1 previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as required in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.
b. Kenneth M. Jones as Interim Chief Operating Officer
i. Per policy, an adm inistrative stipen d of $\$ 30,563$ ( 6.5 perc ent) to increase his current base salary of $\$ 470,200$ to an annual salary of $\$ 500,763$. This appointment is at 100 percent time.
ii. The stipend am ount will be in creased as $t$ he base sa lary is increased, so the stipend will equal 6.5 percent of the base salary, at 100 percent time.
iii. Per p olicy, con tinued eli gibility to part icipate in the Clinical Enterprise Managem ent Recognition Program (C EMRP) at the Tier II level wi th a $m$ aximum potential in centive of up to 25 percent $(\$ 117,550)$ of base salary.
iv. Retroactively ef fective February 1, 2009 through D ecember 31, 2009, upon approval of the Regents, including a transition period of up to three $m$ onths following the permanent assignment of the Chief Operating Officer duties, whichever occurs first, to facilitate the smooth transition of responsibilities.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits, and standard s enior m anagement benefits (including senior management life insurance, executi ve business trav el insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
- Per po licy, f ive percent $m$ onthly con tribution to th e $S$ enior Management Supplemental Benefit Program.

The compensation described above shall constitute the University's total commitment unti 1 m odified b y the R egents and sh all su persede al 1 previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as required in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.
c. Susan Moore as Interim Chief Financial Officer
i. Per p olicy, an adm inistrative stip end of $\$ 58,625$ ( 25 p ercent) to increase her current base salary of $\$ 234,500$ to an an nual salary of $\$ 293,125$. Continued classification as SLCG Grade 107 (Minimum $\$ 172,300$, Mid point $\$ 218,700$, Maxim um $\$ 265,000$ ). This appointment is at 100 percent time.
ii. The stipend am ount will $b$ e in creased as $t$ he base sa lary is increased, so the stipend will equal 25 percent of the base salary, at a 100 percent appointment.
iii. Per poli cy, eligibility to par ticipate in the Cli nical Enterprise Management Recognition Program (CEMRP) at the Tier II level with a maximum potential incentive of up to 25 percent $(\$ 58,625)$ of base salary.
iv. Retroactively ef fective February 1, 2009 through D ecember 31, 2009, upon approval of the Regents, including a transition p eriod of up to three $m$ onths following the permanent assignment of the Chief Financial Officer duties, whichever occurs first, to facilitate the smooth transition of responsibilities.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits.

The compensation described above shall constitute the University's total commitment unti 1 m odified b y the R egents and sh all su persede al 1 previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as required in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.
(2) Appointment of and Total Compensation for Ralph V. Clayman as Acting Dean - School of Medicine, Irvine Campus

That the f ollowing item s be approved in conne ction w ith the appo intment of Ralph V. Clayman as Acting Dean - School of Medicine, Irvine campus:
a. Per po licy, an adm inistrative s tipend of $\$ 120,000$ (47 . 8 percent) to increase $h$ is current base salary o f $\$ 250,800$ to $\$ 370,800$ (SLCG Grade 112: Minimum $\$ 298,900$, Midpoint $\$ 385,300$, Maximum $\$ 471,500$ ).
b. Per policy, contin uation of Health Scien ces C ompensation sala ry of $\$ 119,200$ for a to tal annual compensation of $\$ 490,000$. This refle cts a 32.4 percent increase in the total annual compensation.
c. This ap pointment is at 100 percent time and is ef fective March 1, 2009 through February 28, 2010, or un til the appointment of a perm anent new Dean - School of Medicine, whichever occurs first.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits.
- Per policy, accrual of sabbatical credits as a member of tenured faculty.
- Per poli cy, inel igible to part icipate in the Senior Managem ent Supplemental Benefit Program due to tenured faculty appointment.

The com pensation described abo ve shal 1 constitute the Un iversity's to tal commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supersed e all previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as requi red in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.
(3) Reimbursement for Gerald S. Levey, Vice Chancellor - Medical Sciences and Dean - David Geffen School of Medicine, Los Angeles Campus, for Expenses Related to Audit Finds and Internal Reviews

That the following item be approved:
Reimbursement in the amount of $\$ 665.56$ to Dr. Gerald Levey, who was provided with an in correct Form W -2 as id entified in an audit conducted by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), for the cost of a pplicable tax preparation fees and IRS interest charges associated with such reimbursements as evidenced by receipts or other documentation.
(4) Appointment and Administrative Stipend for Max Reynolds as Deputy General Counsel - Health Law and Medical Center Services

That the following items be approved in connection with the interim appointment of Max Reynolds as Deputy Counsel, Office of the President:
a. Per policy, an ad ministrative stipend of $\$ 44,795$ (21.8 percent of current base salary) per yea r, effective March 4, 2009 and contin uing for up to 12 months for a $t$ otal a nnual salar y of $\$ 250,000$. Position classified as SLCG Grade 108 ( Minimum $\$ 192,300$, Midpo int $\$ 244,900$, Maximum $\$ 297,400$ ).

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits.

The com pensation described abo ve shal 1 constitute the Un iversity's to tal commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supersed e all previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as requi red in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.
(5) Cash Allowance for Certain Employees Furnished with a University-Provided Cellular Phone

That for each Se nior Manage ment Gr oup (SMG) m ember or othe rem ployee whose salary exceeds the Indexed Compensation Level, which requires Regental approval, that:

Such employees receive a m onthly cash allow ance reimbursing them for the tax on th e i mputed in come ad ded to thei $r$ taxab le ea rnings fo $r$ the value of a University-provided cellu lar pho ne or a cell ph one-enabled person al digital assistant (PDA) and service pl an. Since the cash allowance itself is tax able, it would be increased in accordance w ith the Internal R evenue Serv ice (IR S) formula for grossing up such payments in o rder to reimburse the em ployee for applicable payroll taxes related to the payment.

In addition to SMG members, employees eligible to receive a cell phone or PDA include faculty, staff, and collective bargaining unit employees. These employees would a lso re ceive a c ash allowance reimbursing them for the taxes associated with their University-provided cell phone or PDA.
(6) Appointment and Compensation for Alessandro Duranti as Dean - Division of Social Sciences, College of Letters and Science, Los Angeles Campus

That the following items be ap proved in con nection with the appo intment and
compensation of Alessandro D uranti as Dean - Divisio n of Social S ciences, College of Letters and Science, Los Angeles campus:
a. An appo intment salary of $\$ 275,000$, SLCG Grade 108 (Minimum \$192,300, Midpoint \$244,900, Maximum \$297,400).
b. Per policy, ineligible for any further merit or equity increase until October 2010.
c. This appointment is at 100 percent time and is effective July 1, 2009.
d. Per pol icy, part icipation in the University of C alifornia Mortgage Origination Program up to a m aximum loan amou nt (cur rently $\$ 1.33$ million). Parti cipation w ill comply wi th all University/campus program parameters.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits and standard senior management benefits (including senior management life insurance, executive business travel insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
- Per poli cy, inel igible to part icipate in the Senior Managem ent Supplemental Benefit Program due to tenured faculty appointment.
- Per policy, accrual of sabbatical credits as a member of tenured faculty.

The com pensation described abo ve shal 1 constitute the Un iversity's to tal commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supersed e all previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as requi red in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.
(7) Appointment and Compensation for Virginia A. McFerran as Chief Information Officer - UCLA Medical Enterprise, Los Angeles Campus

That the following items be ap proved in con nection with the appo intment and compensation of Virginia A. McFerran as Chief In formation O fficer UCLA Medical Enterprise, Los Angeles campus:
a. Per policy, an app ointment salary of $\$ 344,000$, SLC G Grad e 110 (Minimum $\$ 239,700$, Midpoint $\$ 307,200$, Maximum $\$ 374,500$ ).
i. Per policy, ineligible for any further merit or equity increase until October 2010.
b. Participation in the C linical Enter prise Mana gement Recognition Pl an (CEMRP) with a m aximum p otential incentive of 25 p ercent, with
eligibility to begin with performance in fiscal year 2009-10.
c. Appointment at 100 percent time, effective June 1, 2009.
d. Per policy, eligibility to receive temporary housing assistance for a period of up to three (3) months not to exceed $\$ 12,000$.
e. Per pol icy, part icipation in the University of C alifornia Mortgage Origination Program up to a m aximum loan amou nt (cur rently $\$ 1.33$ million). Parti cipation w ill comply wi th all University/campus program parameters.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits and standard senior management benefits (including senior management life insurance, executive business travel insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
- Per po licy, eligibility $f$ or $p$ articipation in the Senior Management Supplemental Benefit Program of $f$ ive percen $t$ as con tribution to retirement plan.
- Per policy, r eimbursement up to 100 percent of reasonable a nd actual moving expenses.

The com pensation described abo ve shal 1 constitute the Un iversity's to tal commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supersed e all previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as requi red in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.
(8) Appointment Extension for J. Keith Gilless as Dean - College of Natural Resources, Berkeley Campus

That the fo llowing $i$ tems be approved in co nnection with the app ointment extension for J. Keit h Gilless as Dean - Co llege of Natural Resources, B erkeley campus:
a. Extension of the appo intment end date up to June 30, 2013, or until the appointment of a permanent Dean, whichever occurs first.
b. Continued appointment salary of \$172,300 at SLCG Grade 107 (Minimum $\$ 172,300$, Midpoint $\$ 218,700$, Maximum $\$ 265,000$ ).
c. This appointment is at 100 percent time and effective July 1, 2009.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits and standard senior management benefits (including senior management life insurance, executive business travel insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
- Per policy, accrual of sabbatical credits as a member of tenured faculty.
- Per poli cy, inel igible to part icipate in the Senior Managem ent Supplemental Benefit Program due to tenured faculty appointment.

The com pensation described abo ve shal 1 constitu te the University's total commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supersed e all previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as requi red in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.
(9) Appointment of and Total Compensation for Carla Hesse as Dean - Division of Social Sciences, College of Letters and Science, Berkeley Campus

That the following items be approved in connection with the appointment of Carla Hesse as Dean - Divis ion of Soc ial Sc iences, C ollege of Letters and Science, Berkeley campus:
a. Appointment salary of $\$ 221,900$. This represents a 15 percent increase in Ms. Hesse's adju sted faculty sa lary of $\$ 192,944$ (SLCG Grad e 108 : Minimum \$ 192,300, Midpoint $\$ 244$,900, Max imum $\$ 297,400$ ). She will not be eligible for annual merit/equity consideration until October 2010.
b. This appointment is at 100 percent time and effective August 1, 2009.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits and standard senior management benefits (including senior management life insurance, executive business travel insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
- Per policy, accrual of sabbatical credits as a member of tenured faculty.
- Per poli cy, inel igible to part icipate in the Senior Managem ent Supplemental Benefit Program due to tenured faculty appointment.

The com pensation described abo ve shal 1 constitute the Un iversity's to tal commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supersed e all previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as requi red in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.
(10) Interim Re-slotting and Appointment Salary for George R. Mangun as Dean Division of Social Sciences, Davis Campus

That the following items be ap proved in connection with the in terim re-slotting and appoin tment of George R. Mangun as Dean - Division of Social Scien ces, Davis campus:
a. Approval of re-slotting of the position from SLC G Grade 107 to SLC G Grade 108 (Minimum \$1 92,300, Midpoint \$ 244,900, Maximum $\$ 297,400)$.
b. Per policy, an appointment salary of $\$ 278,500$.
c. This appointment is at 100 percent time and effective May $1,2009$.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits and standard senior management benefits (including senior management life insurance, executive business travel insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
- Per policy, accrual of sabbatical credits as a member of tenured faculty.
- Per poli cy, inel igible to part icipate in the Senior Managem ent Supplemental Benefit Program due to tenured faculty appointment.

The com pensation described abo ve shal 1 constitute the Un iversity's to tal commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supersed e all previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as requi red in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.
(11) Interim Re-slotting for Andrew J. Policano as Dean - Paul Merage School of Business, Irvine Campus

That the following items be approved in connection with the interim re-slotting of the position held by And rew J. Policano as Dean - Pa ul Merage School of Business, Irvine campus:
a. Interim re-slott ing of the po sition as recommended by Mercer Hum an Resource Consulting, currently at SLCG Grade 109 (Minimum \$214,700, Midpoint $\$ 274,300$, Maximum $\$ 333,700$ ) to SLCG Grade 110 (Minimum $\$ 239,700$, Midpoint $\$ 307,200$, Maximum $\$ 374,500$ ).
b. The position is at 100 percent time and the re-slotting is effective May 1 , 2009.
c. There is no salary increase associated with the re-slotting request.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits and standard senior management benefits (including senior management life insurance, executive business travel insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
- Per policy, accrual of sabbatical credits as a member of tenured faculty.
- Per poli cy, inel igible to part icipate in the Senior Managem ent Supplemental Benefit Program due to tenured faculty appointment.
- Per policy, continued participation in the Mortgage Origination Program.

The com pensation described abo ve shal 1 constitute the Un iversity's to tal commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supersed e all previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as requi red in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.

## (12) Interim Re-slotting for Franklin D. Gilliam, Jr. as Dean - School of Public Affairs, Los Angeles Campus

That the following items be approved in connection with the interim re-slotting of the position held by Franklin D. Gilliam, Jr. as Dean - School of Public Affairs, Los Angeles campus:
a. Interim re-slott ing of the po sition as recommended by Mercer Hum an Resource Consulting, currently at SLC G 107 (Min imum \$ 172,300, Midpoint $\$ 218,700$, Maximum $\$ 265,000$ ) to SLCG Grade 108 (Minimum $\$ 192,300$, Midpoint $\$ 244,900$, Maximum \$297,400).
b. This position is at 100 percent time and the re-slotting is effective May 1 , 2009.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, continuation of $\$ 250,000$ appointment salary as approved by the Regents in July 2008.
- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits and standard senior management benefits (including senior management life insurance, executive business travel insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
- Per policy, accrual of sabbatical credits as a member of tenured faculty.
- Per poli cy, inel igible to part icipate in the Senior Managem ent Supplemental Benefit Program due to tenured faculty appointment.

The com pensation described abo ve shal 1 constitute the Un iversity's to tal commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supersed e all previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as requi red in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.

## (13) Appointment of and Stipend for Peter Gourevitch as Acting Dean - Graduate

 School of International Relations and Pacific Studies, San Diego CampusThat the following items be ap proved in connection with the appointment of and compensation $f$ or Peter Gourevitch as Acting Dean-Graduate $S$ chool of International Relations and Pacific Studies, San Diego campus:
a. As an exception to policy, a 3.2 percent annual administrative stipend of $\$ 7,200$ for an annualized b ase salary of $\$ 223,783$ at SLCG G rade 105 , (Minimum $\$ 138,200$, Midpoint $\$ 174,300$, Maximum $\$ 210,400$ ).
b. If an adju stment to the p rofessorial base sa lary is made prior $\mathrm{t} o$ the termination of this acting role, the 3.2 percent stipend will be recalculated against the new professorial base salary.
c. The appointment is at 100 percent time and is effective August 1, 2009 through January 31, 2010.

Additional compensation and related items include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits.
- Per policy, accrual of sabbatical credits as a member of tenured faculty.

The com pensation described abo ve shal 1 constitute the Un iversity's to tal commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supersed e all previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as requi red in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.
(14) Appointment of and Compensation for Don E. Wayne as Provost - Revelle College, San Diego Campus

That the following items be ap proved in connection with the appointment of and compensation f or Do n E. Wayne as Pr ovost - Revelle College, San Diego campus:
a. Appointment salary of \$ 123,000, SLCG Grade 103 (Minimum \$110,800, Midpoint $\$ 139,000$, Maximum $\$ 167,100$ ).
b. The appointment is at 100 percent time and effective July 1, 2009.

Additional compensation and related items include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits and standard senior management benefits (including senior management life insurance, executive business travel insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
- Per policy, accrual of sabbatical credits as a member of tenured faculty.

The com pensation described abo ve shal 1 constitute the Un iversity's to tal commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supersed e all previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as requi red in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.
(15) Interim Re-slotting for the Chief Financial Officer Position - Medical Center, San Diego Campus

That the following interim re-slotting of the position of Chief Financial Officer, Medical Center, San Diego campus be approved:
a. Interim re-slotting of the p osition from SLCG Grade 108 to SLCG Grade 110 (Minimum $\$ 239,700$, Midpoint $\$ 307,200$, Maxim um $\$ 374,500$ ) as recommended by Mercer Human Resource Consulting.
b. Effective May 1, 2009.

This recommendation will be released to the public as required in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.
(16) Interim Slotting for Chief Quality Officer - Medical Center, San Francisco Campus

That the following items be approved in connection with the slotting of the Chief Quality Officer position at the Medical Center, San Francisco campus:
a. Interim slo tting of a new Senior M anagement Group p osition of C hief Quality Of ficer at SLCG Grad e 111 (Minimum \$267,700, Midpoint $\$ 344,000$, Maxim um $\$ 420,100$ ), as recommended by Mercer Hum an Resource Consulting.
b. This position is at 100 percent time and is currently vacant.
c. Effective May 1, 2009.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits and standard senior management benefits (including senior management life insurance, executive business travel insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
- Per policy, a five percent monthly contribution to the Senior Management Supplemental Benefit Program.

This recommendation will be released to the public as required in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.

## (17) Change in Senior Management Group Title and Interim Re-slotting for Vice

 Chancellor - Chief Information Officer, San Francisco CampusThat the following items be approved in connection with the interim re-slotting of the Vice Chancellor - Chief Information Officer, San Francisco campus:
a. Change in Senior Management Group position title from Associate Vice Chancellor - Ch ief In formation Of ficer to V ice Chancellor - Chie f Information Officer.
b. Interim re-slott ing for position of Vice C hancellor - Ch ief Inf ormation Officer at SLCG Grade 109 (Min imum \$214,700, Midpo int $\$ 274$,300, Maximum $\$ 333,700$ ), as recommended by Merce r Human Resource Consulting. This position is at 100 percent time.
c. Effective May 1, 2009.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits and standard senior management benefits (including senior management life insurance, executive business travel insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
- Per policy, a five percent monthly contribution to the Senior Management Supplemental Benefit Program.

This recommendation will be released to the public as required in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.

## Interim Slotting for Vice Chancellor - Research, San Francisco Campus

That the following items be approved in connection with the interim slotting of the Vice Chancellor - Research position at the San Francisco campus:
a. Interim slotting of the n ew Senio r Managem ent G roup p osition of V ice Chancellor-R esearch at SLCG Grad e 110 (Minimu m \$ 239,700, Midpoint $\$ 307,200$, Max imum $\$ 374,500$ ), as recommended by Mer cer Human Resource Consulting.
b. This position is at 100 percent time and is currently vacant.
c. Effective May 1, 2009.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits and standard senior management benefits (including senior management life insurance, executive business travel insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
- Per policy, a five percent monthly contribution to the Senior Management Supplemental Benefit Program.

This recommendation will be released to the public as required in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.

## Stipend Extension for Certain Individuals at the San Francisco Campus

That the following administrative stipend extensions for the following individuals at the San Francisco campus be approved:
a. As an exception to po licy, ex tension of the appointment duration for Randy Lo pez as A cting Vice C hancellor - Finance and Adm inistration, effective Ju ly 1, 2009 through J une 30,2010 , or un til a permanent organizational str ucture is implemented, w hichever o ccurs $f$ irst and including up to a three -month overlap in service for transition of duties. This consti tutes an excep tion to po licy which allo ws for actin $g$ appointments of up to 12 months. In addition:
i. Per policy, ex tension of the administrative stip end of $\$ 41,625$ ( 15 percent) to increas e his curren $t$ base salary of $\$ 277,500$ to an annual salary of $\$ 319,125$.
ii. The stipend am ount will b e in creased as $t$ he base sa lary is increased, so the stipend will equal 15 percent of the base salary, at a 100-percent-time appointment.
iii. Per policy, continued eligibility for participation in the Finance and Administrative Services Incentiv e Plan (FAS) w ith a m aximum potential incentive of up to ten percent of base salary $(\$ 27,750)$.

This item is con tingent on R egental ac tions related to SMG eligibility for bonus and incentive payments.
iv. Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits, and standard se nior man agement benefits (including senior management lif e insurance, executi ve business trav el insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
v. Per policy, continued five percent monthly contribution to the Senior Management Supplemental Benefit Program.
b. As an exception to policy, extension of the appointment duration for Eric Vermillion as Associate Vice Chancellor - Finance, effective June 1, 2009 through May 3 1, 20 10, or until a permanent organiz ational structu re is implemented, wh ichever occurs first and including up to a thr ee-month overlap in service for transition of duties. This constitutes an exception to policy which allow s for acting ap pointments of up to 12 m onths. In addition:
i. Per policy, ex tension of the administrative stip end of $\$ 20,813$ ( 7.5 percent) to in crease his curren $t$ base salary of $\$ 277,500$ to an annual salary of $\$ 298,313$.
ii. The stipend am ount will $b$ e in creased as $t$ he base sa lary is increased, so the stipend will equal 15 percent of the base salary, at a 100-percent-time appointment.
iii. Per policy, continued eligibility for participation in the Finance and Administrative Services Incentiv e Plan (FAS) w ith a maximum potential incentive of up to ten percent of base salary $(\$ 27,750)$. This item is con tingent on R egental ac tions related to SMG eligibility for bonus and incentive payments.

Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits, and standard s enior m anagement benefits (including senior management lif e insurance, executi ve business trav el insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
iv. Per policy, continued five percent monthly contribution to the Senior Management Supplemental Benefit Program.

The com pensation described abo ve shal 1 constitute the Un iversity's to tal commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supersed e all previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as requi red in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.

Promotional Appointment of and Compensation for Jeffrey A. Blair as Deputy General Counsel - Litigation, Labor and Employment, Office of the General Counsel, Office of the President

That the f ollowing item s be approved in conn ection with the pr omotional appointment of and compensation for Jeffrey A. Blair as Deputy General Counsel - Litigation, Labor and Employment, Office of the General Counsel, Office of the President:
a. Appointment of Jeffrey A. Blair as Deputy General Counsel - Litigation, Labor and Employment, effective May 1, 2009.
b. Base salary of $\$ 250,000$ p er annum (SLCG Grade 10 8: Min imum 192,300 , Midpo int $\$ 244,900$, Maxim um $\$ 297,400$ ). This r epresents a 9.6 percent increase over current total salary.
c. Per po licy, elig ibility to participate in the $U$ niversity's Mor tgage Origination Program.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per po licy, standard pen sion a nd health and welfare ben efits standard senior management benefits (including senior management life insurance, executive business travel insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
- Per policy, a five percent monthly contribution to the Senior Management Per policy, and Supplemental Benefit Program.

The com pensation described abo ve shal 1 constitute the Un iversity's to tal commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supersed e all previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as requi red in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.
(21) Promotional Appointment of and Compensation for David M. Birnbaum as Deputy General Counsel - Educational Affairs and Campus Services, Office of the General Counsel, Office of the President

That the f ollowing item s be approved in conn ection with the pr omotional appointment of and compensation for David M. Birnbaum as Deputy Genera 1 Counsel - Edu cational Affairs an d C ampus Services, Office of the Gen eral Counsel, Office of the President:
a. Appointment of D avid M. B irnbaum as D eputy G eneral C ounsel Educational Affairs and Campus Services, effective May 1, 2009.
b. Appointment salary of $\$ 250,000$ per annum (SLCG Grade 108: Minimum 192,300 , Mid point $\$ 244,900$, Max imum $\$ 297,400$ ). This represents an 11.1 percent increase over current total salary.
c. Per po licy, elig ibility to participate in the $U$ niversity's Mor tgage Origination Program.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits and standard senior management benefits (including senior management life insurance, executive business travel insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
- Per policy, a five percent monthly contribution to the Senior Management Supplemental Benefit Program.

The com pensation described abo ve shal 1 constitu te the University's total commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supersed e all previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as requi red in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.
(22) Administrative Stipend and Extension of Interim Appointment for John R. Sandbrook as Interim Chief of Staff, Office of the President

That the f ollowing item s be app roved in co nnection with the ex tension of $t$ he interim appointment of John R. Sandbrook as C hief of Staff, Off ice of the President:
a. In accordance with the original appointment request ap proved at the May 2008 R egents meeting, the Presid ent $r$ ecommends approval to extend Mr. Sandbrook's interim appointment, currently scheduled to end May 31, 2009, for an ad ditional period not to exceed seven months, or not later than Decem ber 3 1, 2009. The appointm ent sala ry wo uld remain unchanged at $\$ 200,000$ per annum. This appo intment is at 100 percent time. A search to fill the position on a permanent basis will begin with an anticipated appointment date of no later than the end of the calendar year. A reason able transition wil l be ac commodated, but not to exceed December 31, 2009.
b. Effective June 1, 200 9, co ntinue Regent-approved reim bursements for temporary housing (up to $\$ 3,500$ per month) and weekly roundtrip coach airfare (estimated at approximately $\$ 1,200$ per month) and reimbursement of $g$ round transpo rtation/rental car. Th ese $r$ eimbursements to Mr. Sandbrook for the $f$ irst year w ere no ntaxable, but IR $S$ reg ulations
require the se to be $t$ reated as $t$ axable inco me on ce the $d$ uration ex ceeds one year.
c. Effective June 1, 2009, and cont inuing through Mr. Sand brook's interim appointment, provide a stipend in the am ount of $\$ 2,000$ ( 12 percent) per month. The stipend, which is within policy, will be subject to normal taxes and withholding. This s tipend is for the tem porary additional duties that Mr. Sandbrook assumed in relation to the search processes and transitions for tw o ch ancellors and oth er senio $r m$ anagement $p$ ersonnel as par tof President Yudof's transition.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Standard health and welfare benefits.

The com pensation described abo ve shal 1 constitute the Un iversity's to tal commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supersed e all previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as requi red in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.
(23) Reimbursement to Diane Griffiths, Secretary and Chief of Staff to the Regents, for Expenses Related to the University's Administrative Error in Witholding Appropriate Taxes

That the following item be approved:
a. Reimbursement in the amount of $\$ 125$ to Dian e Grif fiths, who was provided with an incorr ect Form W-2, for the costs associ ated with tax advice resu lting from th is er ror as ev idenced by receipts or oth er documentation.
(24) Interim Re-Slotting, Appointment, and Compensation for Teri Schwartz as Dean - School of Theater, Film, and Television, Los Angeles Campus

That the following items be approved in connection with the appointment of Teri Schwartz as Dean - School of Theater, Film and Television, Los Angeles campus:
a. Approval of interim re-slotting of the position from SLCG Grade 107 to SLCG Grade 109 ( Minimum \$214,700, Midpo int \$274,300, Maximum $\$ 333,700$ ) as recommended by Mercer Human Resource Consulting.
b. An appointment salary of $\$ 325,000$. Per policy, ineligible for any further merit or equity increase until October 2010.
c. This appointment is at 100 percent time and is effective July 1, 2009.
d. Per pol icy, part icipation in the University of C alifornia Mortgage Origination Program up to a m aximum loan amou nt (cur rently $\$ 1.33$ million). Parti cipation $w$ ill comply wi th all University/campus program parameters.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits and standard senior management benefits (including senior management life insurance, executive business travel insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
- Per policy, accrual of sabbatical credits as a member of tenured faculty.
- Per poli cy, inel igible to part icipate in the Senior Managem ent Supplemental Benefit Program due to tenured academic appointment.

The com pensation described abo ve shal 1 constitute the Un iversity's to tal commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supersed e all previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as requi red in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.
(25) Appointment of and Total Compensation for Peter A. Hayashida as Vice Chancellor - University Advancement, Riverside Campus

That the following items be ap proved in con nection with the appo intment and compensation of Peter A. Ha yashida a s V ice C hancellor - U niversity Advancement, Riverside campus:
a. Appointment of Peter A. Hayashida as Vice Ch ancellor - University Advancement, R iverside cam pus, at 100 per cent time, ef fective Ju ly 1, 2009.
b. Base salary of $\$ 265,000$ at SLC G G rade 108 ( Minimum \$ 192,300, Midpoint $\$ 244,900$, Maximum $\$ 297,400$ ). This represents a 37.8 percent increase to Mr. Hayashida's current base salary of $\$ 192,300$ at MSP VI (Minimum \$97,400, Midpoint $\$ 136,400$, Maximum $\$ 175,300$ ).
c. Per policy, an annualized automobile allowance in the amount of $\$ 8,916$.
d. Per po licy, elig ibility to participate in the $U$ niversity's Mor tgage Origination Program.
e. Per policy, 100 percent reimbursement of actual and reasonable relocation expenses, to be com pleted $w$ ithin one year of assum ing the position of Vice Chancellor - University Advancement.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pens ion and $h$ ealth and welfare $b$ enefits (including senior management life insurance, executive business travel insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
- Per policy, a five percent monthly contribution to the Senior Management Supplemental Benefit Program.

The com pensation d escribed above shall constitu te th e University's total commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supersed e all previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as requi red in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.

## Appointment Extension for Keith E. Alley, Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost, Merced Campus

Keith E. Alley has been asked by the Chancellor to continue to serve as Executive Vice Chancellor a nd Provost for an a dditional per iod of up to thr ee year s. Mr. Alley was appo inted to this post in June 2006 when the former Chancellor and the fo rmer Executive Vice Ch ancellor res igned their po sts with in a two month period. It was the intent that a search for a successor to Mr. Alley would be undertaken following the ap pointment of a successor Chancellor. A national search effort was launched last fall to identify a ne w Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost; however, the search was deemed unsuccessful upon the withdrawal of the leading candidate. Mr. Alley is a n e ffective and se asoned academic administrator $w$ ho brings an extensive kn owledge of the ca mpus to the post of Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost.

That the following items be app roved in connection with the Ap pointment Extension for Keith E. Alley, Executiv e V ice C hancellor and Provost, Mer ced campus:
a. Continued appointment salary of \$240,500 (SLCG Grade 108: Minimum \$192,300 Midpoint $\$ 244,900$ Maximum $\$ 297,400$ ), at 100 percent time.
b. This appointment is effective July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2012, or until the eff ective date of the appoin tment of a permanent Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost, whichever occurs first, including up to a th reemonth overlap in service.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and $h$ ealth and welfare benefits and standard senior management benefits (in cluding senior management life insur ance,
executive business travel insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability.)
- Per policy, an au tomobile allowance in the form of a m onthly ca sh allowance of \$743 (\$8,916 per annum).
- Per poli cy, inel igible to part icipate in the Senior Managem ent Supplemental Benefit Program due to tenured faculty appointment.
- Per policy, accrual of sabbatical credits as a member of tenured faculty.

The com pensation described abo ve shall con stitute th e U niversity's total commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supe rsede all p revious oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as required in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.

Appointment and Administrative Stipend for Larry A. Coldren as Acting Dean, College of Engineering, Santa Barbara Campus

After a decade of extraordinary accomplishments and contributions as Dean of the College of Engineering, Professor Matthew Tirrell will be taking a new position at UC B erkeley. Fo llowing co nsultation wi th the Aca demic Sen ate and administrative and faculty colleagues, UC Santa Barbara is appo inting Professor Larry C oldren as Actin $g$ D ean, C ollege of Eng ineering, ef fective July 1, 2009. The search for the nex $t$ Dean of Engineering is already w ell underway, and the successful conclusion of this search is anticipated within a year's time.

That the f ollowing item s be approved in co nnection w ith t he appointment of Larry A. Coldren as Acting Dean, College of Engineering, Santa Barbara campus:
a. Per policy, administrative stipend of 9.6 percent to increase his base salary to a total annual salary of $\$ 270,900$.
b. Appointment is 100 percent time, effective July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010, or u ntil the appointment of a perm anent $D$ ean - C ollege of Engineering, whichever occurs first.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits.
- Per policy, continued accrual of sabbatical credits as a member of tenured faculty.
- Per poli cy, inel igible to part icipate in the Senior Managem ent Supplemental Benefit Program due to tenured faculty appointment.

The compensation des cribed abo ve shall constitute th e U niversity's total commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supersed e all previous oral and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as requi red in accordance with the standard procedures
of the Board of Regents.
(28) Appointment Compensation Amendment for Pierre Wiltzius as the Susan and Bruce Worster Dean of Science, Division of Mathematical, Life, and Physical Sciences, College of Letters and Science, Santa Barbara Campus

After a national search, the Sa nta Barbara campu s recently re cruited and hi red Professor Pierr e W iltzius as the Worster Dean of Science, Div ision of Mathematical, Life and Physical Sciences, College of Letters and Science, Santa Barbara campus. He was appointed to this position effective October 1, 2008. At the re quest of the Sa nta Barbara cam pus, the R egent's A ppointment Item for Professor W iltzius stipulated reimbursement of 100 percent of actual and reasonable moving expenses, up to $\$ 10,000$. The campus now finds that $\$ 10,000$ is inadequate to prov ide 100 pe rcent reim bursement of actual exp enses for moving Professor Wiltzius, his family, and his household goods from Champaign, Illinois to Santa B arbara. Prof essor Wiltzius has obtain ed sev eral co mpetitive bids, the lo west of which is app roximately $\$ 17,000$. The cam pus wishes to reimburse Prof essor W iltzius for the full cost of his reloc ation, and is seeking approval to pay 100 percent of all actual and reasonable moving expenses.

That the following items approved in connection with the appointment salary for Pierre W iltzius as the Su san an d B ruce W orster Dean o f Scien ce, Di vision of Mathematical, Life and Physical Sciences, College of Letters and Science, Santa Barbara campus, be amended:
a. Per policy, reimbursement of 100 percent of actual and reasonable moving expenses.

Additional items of compensation already approved in July 2008 include:

- Per policy, appointment salary of $\$ 255,000$ (SLCG Grade 107: Minimum $\$ 167,600$ Midpoint $\$ 212,700$ Maximum $\$ 257,800$ ) at 100 percent time.
- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits.
- Per policy, $\$ 63,750$ ( 25 percent) lump sum relocation allowance, subject to a repayment requirement in the event that Professor Wiltzius resigns within the first four years of employment.
- Per policy, reimbursement of 100 percent of the actual moving expenses up to $\$ 10,000$.
- Per policy, one house-hunting trip no $t$ to exceed four days, for Professor Wiltzius an d his spo use. C oach air f are, m eals and lo dging wil 1 be reimbursed up to $\$ 2,500$.
- Per po licy, participation in the Mortgage Origination Prog ram Loan (MOP).

The compensation des cribed abo ve shall constitute the $U$ niversity's total commitment until modified by the Regents and shall supersed e all previous oral
and written commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as requi red in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.
B. The Chairman of the Board, the Chair of the Committee on Finance, and the Chair of the Committee on Compensation approved the following recommendation:

## (1) Approval of Costs Associated with the Relocation of Automobiles for Mark G. Yudof as President of the University of California

That the following item be approved in connection with costs associated with the relocation of personal effects:

Authorization to pay the balance of costs amounting to $\$ 3,548.92$ relating to the shipment of two vehicles from Austin, Texas to Oakland, California.
C. The Chairman of the Board and the Chair of the Committee on Governance approved the following recommendation:

## (1) Appointment of Regents to Standing Committees

That, effective for the year commencing July 1, 2009:
c. Regent Kieffer be appointed to $t$ he Comm ittees on Investm ents, Long Range Planning, and Oversight of the Department of Energy Laboratories.
d. Regent Zettel be appointed to the Committees on Compliance and Audit, Grounds and Buildings, and Health Services.
e. Regent Zettel shall s erve as the a lternate R egents' rep resentative to the California Postsecondary Education Commission.
D. The Chairman of the Board, the Chair of the Committee on Grounds and Buildings, and the President of the University approved the following recommendations:
(1) Amendment of the Budget for Capital Improvements and the Capital Improvement Program and Approval of External Financing, 3200 Regatta Renovation, Berkeley Campus

## Pursuant to Standing Order 100.4(q)

a. That the Bu dget for Capital Improvements and the Capital Im provement Program include the following project:

Berkeley: $\quad 3200$ Regatta Renovation - prelim inary plans, wo rking drawings, and con struction - $\$ 16,900,000$, to be funded
from external fin ancing $(\$ 15,100,000)$ and campus funds campus real estate revenues $(\$ 1,800,000)$.

## Pursuant to Standing Order 100.4(nn)

b. That the President be authorized to obtain external financing not to exceed $\$ 15,000,000$ to finance the 3200 Regatta $R$ enovation project. The President requires that:
i. Interest only, based on the amount drawn do wn, shall be paid on the outstanding balance during the construction period;
ii. As lo ng as the deb t i s outstand ing, the Berk eley cam pus will receive as rent from building tenants amounts sufficient to pay the debt service an $d$ meet the relate $d$ requirem ents of the au thorized financing;
iii. The general credit of the Regents shall not be pledged.
c. That the Officers of The Regents be authorized to e xecute all documents necessary in connection with the above.
E. The Ch air of the Committee on Grounds and Bu ildings and the President of the University approved the following recommendations:
(1) Certification of Environmental Impact Report and Approval of Design, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration La Jolla Laboratory Replacement Project, San Diego Campus

Upon review and co nsideration of the en vironmental co nsequences of the proposed project:
a. Certification of the Environmental Impact Report.
b. Adoption of the Findings and Mitigation Monitoring Program.
c. Approval of the desig $n$ of the National $O$ ceanic and Atmospheric Administration La Jolla Labo ratory R eplacement Proje ct, Sa n Die go campus.

## (2) Adoption of Mitigated Negative Declaration and Approval of Design, Porter College Phase 2 - House A Seismic, Capital Renewal and Expansion Project, Santa Cruz Campus

Upon review and co nsideration of the en vironmental co nsequences of the proposed project:
a. Adoption of the Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration.
b. Adoption of the Findings and Mitigation Monitoring Program.
c. Approval of the design of the Porter College Phase 2 - Ho use A Seismic, Capital Renewal and Expansion Project, Santa Cruz campus.
F. The Vice Chair of the Committee on Grounds and Buildings, the Chair of the Committee on Finance, and the President of the University approved the following recommendation:

## (1) Authorization to Submit Applications for Facility Grant Funding Under the

 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009That the Pr esident be au thorized to solicit Facility Grant Fun ding un der the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. App roval of the individual capital projects that are the subject of the award, including financial feasibility for which the grant funding would be applied, would follow the standard University approval practices.

## C2

## COMMITTEE ON COMPENSATION

## ACTION ITEM

For Meeting of July 16, 2009

## APPROVAL OF INDIVIDUAL COMPE NSATION ACTIONS AS DIS CUSSED I N CLOSED SESSION

## RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends:

## A. Appointment and Compensation for Jim M. Murry as Chief Information Officer, Medical Center, Irvine Campus

UC Irvine has concluded a natio nal search for the Chief Information Officer (CIO) at the UC Irvine Medical Center and Jim M. Murry has been selected as the top can didate. Mr. Murry has been serving as Interim CIO since Nove mber 1, 2008, after the po sition was vacated on October 21, 2008. Mr. Murry is uniquely qualified to manage information systems, which play a critical role in seve ral high-pr iority com plex initiativ es in the Medical Center. He also has well over 20 ye ars of experience in m anaging information systems in various large complex organizations, including positions at UC Irvine, City of Hope National Medical Center, and Kaiser Permanente. Most recently, Mr. Murry has demonstrated his technical and lead ership abilities within the UC Irvine Medical Center structure through his strong performance as Interim CIO.

This position is funded from the medical center general ope rating fund, rather than UC general funds provided by the State. The pr oposed base salary of $\$ 274,300$ is below the previous incum bent's base salary of $\$ 301,600$ a nd is slightly above the current $m$ arket median of $\$ 260,100$. Market d ata are prov ided by Mercer Hum an Resource Cons ulting and include data from the Health Care Executive Com pensation Survey by Clark Consulting for "Integrated A cademic Health Care Organizations" with m edian net revenue of $\$ 859$ million. The proposed base salary is equal to the $m$ idpoint of the salary range for the position and is less than the average base salary $(\$ 313,000)$ for the C hief Information Officers at the other UC medical centers.

Approval of the following item s in connection with the appointment of Jim M. Murry as Chief Information Officer, Medical Center, Irvine campus:
(1) Per policy, appointm ent salary of $\$ 274,300$ (SLCG Grade 109: Minim um $\$ 214,700$, Midpoint $\$ 274,300$, Maxim um $\$ 333,700$ ). No increase to annual base salary is associated with this appointment.
(2) Per policy, participation in the Clin ical Enterprise Management Recognition Plan (CEMRP), with a maximum potential incentive of 25 percent $(\$ 68,575)$ of annual base salary, with eligibility to begin with performance in fiscal year 2009-10. This incentive program is funded through c linical revenue and does not use State funds.
(3) This appointment is at 100 percent time and effective July 1, 2009.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits and standard senior management benefits (including senior $m$ anagement life insurance, executive business travel insurance, and executive salary continuation for disability).
- Per policy, five percent m onthly c ontribution to the Senior Managem ent Supplemental Benefit Program.
- Position is funded 100 percent b y Medi cal Center genera 1 operating fund. No State general funds are used for this position.

Base salary market data (as provided by Mercer Human Resource Consulting):

| $\underline{255^{\text {th }} \text { Percentile }}$ | $\frac{50^{\text {th }} \text { Percentile }}{(\text { median })}$ | $\underline{75^{\text {th }} \text { Percentile }}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 238,900$ | $\$ 260,100$ | $\$ 314,800$ |  |

The com pensation described above shall const itute the University's total comm itment until modified by the Regents a nd shall su persede a ll previous oral and w ritten commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will $b$ e re leased to the public as required in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.

## B. Appointment and Administrative Stipend for Valerie Jenness as Acting Dean - School of Social Ecology, Irvine Campus

The Dean - School of Social Eco logy position will be vacated by the curren t Dean on June 30, 2009. The Irvine cam pus is in the begi nning stages of a nati onal search. It is imperative that the School have strong leadersh ip in place during this transitional period. Valerie Jenness has been recognized as an ex cellent candidate to serve as Acting Dean. She has proven her success as an ministrator in prior adm inistrative assignments, such as serving as Chair of the Departm ent of Criminology, Law a nd Society (2001-2006). She has been the recip ient of several outs tanding cam pus service awards as well as prestigious awards reco gnizing her resea rch. She is highly $r$ espected and will be able to provide the School with the continuity of leadership that it needs.

This position is funded from UC general funds provided by the $S$ tate. The proposed total salary of $\$ 180,000$ is below the previous incum bent's base salary of $\$ 195,200$ and also is significantly below the current m arket median of $\$ 255,800$. Market data are provided by Mercer Human Resource Consulting and include data from the College and University Professional Association (CUPA) Adm inistrative Compensation Survey for all doctoral institutions. The $m$ ost com parable benchm ark in this $s$ urvey is the Dean - Social Sciences.

Approval of the following items in connection with the appointment of Valerie Jenness as Acting Dean - School of Social Ecology, Irvine campus:
(1) Per policy, an adm inistrative stipend of $\$ 24,878$ ( 16.0 percent). The stipend plus the adjusted faculty salary of $\$ 155,122$ re sult in total annual com pensation of $\$ 180,000$ (SLCG Grade 105: Minimum $\$ 138,200$, Midpoint $\$ 174,300$, Maximum $\$ 210,400$ ).
(2) If an adjustment to the base faculty salary is made prior to the termination of this acting appointm ent, the total annual com pensation of $\$ 180,000$ will rem ain unchanged.
(3) This appointm ent is at 100 percent tim e and effective July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010, or until the appointm ent of a perm anent Dean - School of Social Ecology, whichever occurs first.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits.
- Per policy, accrual of sabbatical credits as a member of tenured faculty.
- Per policy, ineligible to par ticipate in the Senior Managem ent Supplem ental Benefit Program due to tenured faculty appointment.
- Position is funded 100 percent by UC general funds provided by the State.

Base salary market data (as provided by Mercer Human Resource Consulting):

| $\underline{25^{\text {th }} \text { Percentile }}$ | $\frac{50^{\text {th }} \text { Percentile }}{(\text { median })}$ | $\underline{75^{\text {th }} \text { Percentile }}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 215,000$ | $\$ 255,800$ | $\$ 298,200$ |  |

The com pensation described above shall const itute the University's total comm itment until modified by the Regents a nd shall su persede a ll previous oral and w ritten commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as required in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.

## C. Appointment and Compensation for Joshua S. Adler, M.D., as Chief Medical Officer, Medical Center, San Francisco Campus

UC San Francisco has concluded a national search for the Chief Medical Officer and Joshua S. Adler, M.D. has been selected as the top candidate. Following the retirement of former Chief Medical Officer (C MO) Erne st Ring, M.D., effective June 27, 2008, the UCSF Medical Center appointed Joshua S. Ad ler, M.D., and Adrienne Green, M.D., as Acting Co-Chief Medical Officers effec tive July 1, 2008, thr ough June 30, 2009. A separate correction item seek ing retroactive form al approval of the acting appointm ents and compensation is pending Regental approval. Dr. Adler has been affiliated with UCSF since 1990, holding increasingly responsible positions while displaying ongoing exemplary leadership. Upon his appointment as CMO, Dr. Adler will reduce his clinical practice and will assume a non-te nured academic appointment without salary in addition to his non-academic CMO role.

This position is funded from medical center operating revenue, rather than UC general funds provided by the State. The proposed ba se salary of $\$ 350,000$ is below the previous incumbent's base salary of $\$ 409,500$ and also is below the current m arket m edian of $\$ 410,500$. Market data are p rovided by Mercer Human Resource Consulting and include data from the Health Care Execu tive Com pensation Sur vey by Clar k Consulting f or "Integrated Academic Health Care Organizations" with median net revenue of $\$ 1$ billion. The proposed base salary is slightly above the $m$ inimum of the salary range for the position and is less than the average base salary $(\$ 364,575)$ for the Chief Medical Officers at the other UC medical centers.

Approval of the following item s in connection w ith the appointment of Joshua S. Adler, M.D., as Chief Medical Officer, Medical Center, San Francisco campus:
(1) A base salary increase of $\$ 232,700 \quad$ (198.4 percent), reflecting D r. Adler's transition from a faculty member to a leadership position in the medical enterprise at UC San Francisco, to increase his current base salary of $\$ 117,300$ to slightly above the range $m$ inimum at $\$ 350,000$ (SLCG Grade 113: Minim um $\$ 333,900$, Midpoint $\$ 431,500$, Maximum $\$ 529,100$ ).
(2) Per policy, elig ibility to partic ipate in the Clinica 1 En terprise $M$ anagement Recognition Program (CEMRP) with a maximum potential payou $t$ of up to 25 percent of base salary $(\$ 87,500)$.
(3) This appointment is at 100 percent time and effective July 1, 2009.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health an d welfare benefits, and standard senior management benefits (including senior $m$ anagement life insurance, business travel accident insurance, and salary continuation for disability).
- Per policy, five percent m onthly c ontribution to the Senior Managem ent

Supplemental Benefit Program.

- Position is funded 100 percent by Medica 1 Center operating revenue. No State general funds are used for this position.

Base salary market data (as provided by Mercer Human Resource Consulting):

| $\underline{25^{\text {th }} \text { Percentile }}$ | $\frac{50^{\text {th }} \text { Percentile }}{(\text { median })}$ | $\underline{75^{\text {th }} \text { Percentile }}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 330,200$ | $\$ 410,500$ | $\$ 554,900$ |  |

The com pensation described above shall const itute the University's total comm itment until modified by the Regents a nd shall su persede a ll previous oral and w ritten commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as required in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.

## D. Retroactive Approval of Appointments and Compensation for Acting Co-Chief Medical Officers, Medical Center, San Francisco Campus

Following the retirem ent of for mer Chief Medical Officer (CMO ) Ernest Ring, M.D., effective June 27, 2008, the UCSF Medical Ce nter launched a com prehensive national search for a qualified successor. It becam e clear that a pro longed recruitment would be required and acting CMO leadership would be necessary during the search. Dr. Adler and Dr. Green have been sharing responsibilities as Acting Co-Chief Me dical Officers since July 1, 2008, and are continuing in this role through June 30, 2009. In recognition of the additional responsibilities, Dr. Adler and Dr. Green have each been receiving an annual administrative stipend of $\$ 30,000$. Prior to assu ming the Acting Co-CMO positions, both Dr. Adler and Dr. Green had partial appoint ments as Associate CMOs in addition to teaching and clinical care responsibilities. The long-stand ing practice at the Medical Center has been for academ ic appointed $m$ edical staff to provide partial support to the CMO and to step in for the CMO, should a v acancy occur; both Dr. Adler and Dr. Green assumed the role as Acting Co-CMOs during the search for a perm anent replacement. Due to a misunderstanding of the a pproval authority, these appointm ents and stipends were not escalated to the Regents for pre-approval.

Retroactive approval of the following item $s$ in connection with the appointm ents of Joshua S. Adler, M.D., and Adrienne Green, M.D., as Acting Co-Chief Medical Officers, Medical Center, San Francisco campus:
(1) A stipend of \$30,000 (25.6 percent) for Jos hua S. Adler, M.D., in addition to an annualized base salary of $\$ 117,300$, annuali zed Health Sciences Com pensation Plan (HSCP) com pensation of $\$ 75,807$, and additional annualized academ ic compensation of $\$ 30,000$, for a total academ ic salary of $\$ 253,107$. This stipend is recognition for additional duties assumed as Acting Co-Chief Medical Officer due to the retirem ent of the for mer incumbent on June 27, 2008. This is an approval correction request as the stipend has already been im plemented. Regental
approval is required for the acting appoint Management Group (SMG) position.
ment of Dr. Adler to this Senior
(2) A stipend of $\$ 30,000$ (27.2 percent) for Ad rienne Green, M.D., in addition to an annualized base salary of $\$ 110,400$ and annualized HSCP com pensation of $\$ 119,450$, for a total academ ic salary of $\$ 259,850$. This stip end is recognition for additional duties assum ed as Ac ting Co -Chief Medical Officer due to the retirement of the for mer incum bent on June 27, 2008. This is an approval correction request as the stipend has already been im plemented. Regental approval is required $f$ or the acting appoi ntment of Dr. Green to this SMG position.
(3) Retroactive approval for the period covering July 1, 2008, through June 30, 2009.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits.

The com pensation described above shall const itute the University's total comm itment until m odified by the Regents a nd shall su persede a ll previous oral and w ritten commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will $b$ e re leased to the public as required in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.

## E. Appointment Time Reduction for Arthur C. Gossard as Associate Vice Chancellor Academic Personnel, Santa Barbara Campus

After three years of extraordin ary s ervice as Associate Vice Chancello r-Academic Personnel, Professor Arthur Gossard requ ested to reduce his appointment time as Associate Vice Chancellor. Due to the current budget situation, the campus is trying to reduce adm inistrative co sts as part of a m any-faceted so lution. Professor Gossard has agreed to focus only on processing merit and promotion cases, which is 50 percent of the duties normally performed by the Associate Vice Chancellor, Academic Personnel. Other aspects of the job-policy review, faculty housing program oversight, training, and information distribution-will be shifted to the Executive Vice Chance llor and others in the office. In addition, Professor Gossard we lcomes the opportunity to devote $m$ ore time to teaching and research. In r ecognition of the time to be spent on teaching and research, Professor Gossard will draw 50 percent of his current adjusted faculty rate.

Approval of the following item $s$ in connect ion with the appointm ent time reduction for Arthur C. Gossard as Associate Vice Chan cellor - Academic Personn el, Santa Barbara campus:
(1) A voluntary appointm ent time reduction to 50 percent tim e with comm ensurate reduction of annual salary to $\$ 127,500$, base $d$ on current annual base salary of \$255,000 (SLCG Grade 106: Minimum \$154,200, Midpoint \$195,200, Maxim um
$\$ 236,00)$. Professor Gossard will begin to dr aw 50 percent of his current adjusted faculty rate $(\$ 150,266)$, for a total annual salary of $\$ 277,766$.
(2) Effective July 1, 2009.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits.
- Per policy, accrual of sabbatical credits as a member of tenured faculty.
- Per policy, ineligible to par ticipate in the Senior Managem ent Supplem ental Benefit Program due to tenured faculty appointment.

The com pensation described above shall const itute the University's total comm itment until m odified by the Regents a nd shall su persede a 1 ll previous oral and w ritten commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be re leased to the public as required in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.

## F. Interim Re-Slotting and Promotion for Alison Galloway as Vice Provost and Dean Academic Affairs, Santa Cruz Campus

The Santa Cruz campus requests approval of a promotion for Alison Galloway from Vice Provost - Academ ic Affairs to Vice Provost a nd Dean - Academ ic Affairs, effective July 1, 2009, due to the perm anent assum ption of the role and duties of the Dean University Extension (UNEX) as a part of her current responsibilities. The campus requests a re-slotting of the position fr om Grade 106 to Grade 107 due to the responsibilities and complexities of the ne w job, which is supported by an assessment performed by Mercer H uman Resource Consulting. In addition, the campus requests that compensation equivalent to Ms. Galloway's current stipend in the am ount of $\$ 16,000$ be added to her current adm inistrative base salary of $\$ 171,600$. This increase in compensation equals Ms. Galloway's current total cash com pensation for the tem porary assumption of UNEX duties, thus there will be no net increase in her total compensation. In addition, this revised administrative structure will allow for eliminating the stand-alone position of Dean - UNEX which is curren tly vacant. Bas ed on the current average systemwide salaries o f UNEX deans, this trans lates into an ann ual saving s of approximately $\$ 190,000$.

This position is funded 80 percent by UC general funds provided by the State and 20 percent by other non-State funds. The propos ed promotional base salary of $\$ 187,600$ is below the current m arket median of $\$ 227,400$. Market data are provided by Mercer Human Re source Consulting an dinclude d ata f rom the College and University Professional Association (CUPA) Adm inistrative Com pensation Su rvey f or the Full Comparison Group cut of UC comparators. The proposed base salary is slightly above the minimum of the salary range for the position and is less than the average base salary $(\$ 244,000)$ for the Vice Provosts - Academic Affairs at the other UC locations.

Approval of the following item $s$ in connection with a promotion for Alison Galloway as Vice Provost and Dean - Academic Affairs, Santa Cruz campus:
(1) Promotion from Vice Provost - Academ ic Affa irs to Vice Provost and Dean Academic Affairs due to the perm anent addition of job duties as the Dean University Extension.
(2) Interim re-slotting of the position as recomm ended by Mercer Hum an Resource Consulting from SLCG Grade 106 (Minim um \$154,200, Midpoint \$195,200, Maximum $\$ 236,100$ ) to Grade 107 (M inimum $\$ 172,300$, Midpoint $\$ 218,700$, Maximum $\$ 265,000$ ).
(3) Per policy, prom otional increase in com pensation in the am ount of $\$ 16,000$ ( 9.3 percent) to increase her administrative salary of $\$ 171,600$, for a total annual salary of $\$ 187,600$ due to the assumption of additional duties as Dean.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health a nd welfare benefits and standard senior management benefits (including senior m anagement life insurance, executive business travel insurance and executive salary continuation for disability).
- Per policy, accrual of sabbatical credits as a member of tenured faculty.
- Per policy, ineligible to par ticipate in the Senior Managem ent Supplem ental Benefit Program due to tenured faculty appointment.
- Position is funded 80 percen $t$ by UC gene ral funds prov ided by the State and 20 percent by other non-State funds.

Base salary market data (as provided by Mercer Human Resource Consulting):


The com pensation described above shall const itute the University's total comm itment until modified by the Regents a nd shall su persede a ll previous oral and w ritten commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as required in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.

## G. Salary Correction for Jay D. Keasling as Acting Deputy Laboratory Director, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Compensation for Jay D. Keasling as Acti ng Deputy Laboratory Director, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory was a pproved March 23, 2009. A correction to the composition of salary com ponents for th e recently approved com pensation for Mr. Keasling is reque sted. The to tal com pensation am ount will re main unchanged. Mr. Keasling is serving as the Acting De puty Director based on his experience and
reputation at the Laboratory and his dem onstrated excellence in pioneering science and management of large-scale, strategic initiatives.

Approval of the following item s in connection with the compensation of Jay D. Keasling as Acting Deputy Laboratory Director, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory:
(1) An adjustment to the com position of sa lary components from a base salary of $\$ 221,400$ and an adm inistrative stipend of 60 percent $(\$ 132,840)$ which includes Mr. Keasling's summ er salary of $\$ 73,800$, to an annualized base salary of $\$ 295,200$ (com prised of $\$ 221,400$ academ ic annual salary and $\$ 73,800$ summer salary) and a 20 percent $(\$ 59,040)$ adm inistrative stipend. The total cash compensation will remain $\$ 354,240$.
(2) The stipend will be in ef fect for up to 12 months from the date of Mr. Keasling's acting appointment.
(3) The stipend amount will be recalculated if the base salary is increased, so that the stipend will continue to equal 20 percent of the annualized base salary.
(4) The adjustment to salary components is effective February 1, 2009.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Per policy, standard pension and health and welfare benefits.
- Per policy, accrual of sabbatical credits as a member of tenured faculty.

The source of funds for payment of this compensation item is Department of Energy (DOE) funds as provided under the University's contract with the DOE.

The com pensation described above shall const itute the University's total comm itment until m odified by the Regents a nd shall su persede a 1 l previous oral and w ritten commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as required in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.
H. Reemployment of University of California Retired Employee and Compensation for Glenn L. Mara as Acting Associate Vice President - Laboratory Programs, Office of the President

With the retirem ent of J ohn Birely as Asso ciate Vice President - Laboratory Program s, there is an imm ediate and critical need for a subset of Mr. Birely's duties and responsibilities to continue to be perform ed at a high level in order to fulfill the University's oblig ations and r esponsibilities for scien ce and technology at the National Laboratories with the United State s Department of Energy (DOE) and National Nuclear Security Adm inistration (NNSA). These respon sibilities a re vital to the Univer sity's continuing science and technology/research pr ogram oversight for Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (L BNL) as well as appr opriate oversight and interface with Los

Alamos National Security, LLC (LANS) a nd Lawrence Liverm ore National Security, LLC (LLNS), private sector pa rtners in matters affecting science and technology at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) and L awrence Liverm ore National Laboratory (LLNL). It is proposed that Glenn L. Mara be reem ployed in a lim ited appointment for 12 months or less in order to provide continuity and oversight for a number of extrem ely high-level programs and DOE contractual arra ngements. The rem ainder of Mr. Birely's duties would be assum ed by current Laborator y Management Staff a nd/or evaluated for alternate approaches to perform the job duties.

This position is funded from non-State funds, specifically DOE contract fee earned as UC's partn er share at LANL and LLNL, and reim bursed costs for Laboratory Management expenses approved by the DOE Contracting Officer at LBNL.

Approval of the following item s in connection with the reemployment of Glenn L. Mara as Acting Associate Vice President - Laboratory Programs, Office of the President:
(1) Recall from retirem ent with a fixed appointment at 43 percen $t$ tim e, at an annualized base salary of $\$ 136,826$ (SLCG Grade 110: Minim um $\$ 239,700$, Midpoint $\$ 307,200$, Maximum $\$ 374,500$ ).
(2) The effective date will be set by the Office of the President, but is to be no earlier than August 1, 2009. The appointm ent is for a $12-\mathrm{m}$ onth period. Per policy, any extension beyond the initial $12-\mathrm{m}$ onth appointment is subject to approval by the Regents.

Additional items of compensation include:

- Mr. Mara p lans to sign and accept the Rehired Retiree Waiver Form that will serve to dec line participation in the UC Retire ment System (UCRS) and allow Mr. Mara to contin ue receiv ing his retirem ent annuity while receiv ing compensation related to this appointment.
- Per policy, health and welfare benef its based upon a 43 percent lim ited-time appointment.

The com pensation described above shall const itute the University's total comm itment until m odified by the Regents a nd shall su persede a 1 ll previous oral and w ritten commitments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will $b$ e re leased to the public as required in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.

## I. Additional Compensation of One Summer Month for Various Employees at Multiple Campuses

Approval of the following item s in conne ction with the paym ent of additional compensation for various employees:
(1) Payment of additional com pensation, approximately equivalent to one month of salary, to various em ployees a t m ultiple campuses, as listed in Atta chment 1. These payments are in accordance with Senior Management Group (SMG) Policy 110.L.5.

The com pensation described above shall const itute the University's total comm itment regarding summer salary until modified by the Regents and shall supersede all previous oral and written comm itments. Compensation recommendations and final actions will be released to the public as required in accordance with the standard procedures of the Board of Regents.

Presented at July 2009 Regents Meeting

| Location | Employee Name | Title | Current Salary | Summer Salary | Total Annual Salary | Time Period of Research | Vacation <br> Forfeited | Funding Source | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCB | Dennis Levi | Dean-School of Optometry | \$223,000 | \$18,583 | \$241,583 | 7/6-8/5/2009 | 23 days | NIH-National Eye Institute |  |
| UCLA | No-Hee Park | Dean - School of Dentistry | \$361,800 | \$16,392 | \$378,192 | 8/1-8/31/2009 | 21 days | National Institutes of Health | $\$ 16,392$ represents the maximum allowable award from the National Institute of Health (NIH). Base salary $\$ 295,300$, Health Science Compensation Plan (HSCP) $\$ 66,500$. |
| UCLA | Vijay Dhir | Dean - Henry Samueli School of Engineering and Applied Science | \$270,300 | \$22,525 | \$292,825 | 8/1-8/31/2009 | 21 days | NASA |  |
| UCLA | Michael Schill | Dean - School of Law | \$316,900 | \$26,408 | \$343,308 | 7/15-8/16/2009 | 23 days | Grant <br> Overhead <br> Return Funds |  |
| UCSB | David Marshall | Executive Dean, College of Letters and Science and Dean, Division of Humanities and Fine Arts, College of Letters Science | \$257,900 | \$21,491 | \$279,391 | 8/1-8/31/2009 | 21 days | Unrestricted Gift Funds |  |
| UCSB | Melvin Oliver | Dean, Division of Social Sciences, College of Letters and Science | \$213,900 | \$17,825 | \$231,725 | 8/1-8/31/2009 | 24 days | Unrestricted Gift Funds |  |
| UCSB | Pierre Wiltzius | Susan and Bruce Worster Dean of Science, Division of Mathematical, Life and Physical Sciences, College of Letters and Science | \$255,000 | \$21,250 | \$276,250 | 8/1-8/31/2009 | 18 days | Unrestricted Gift Funds |  |
| UCSC | Stephen Thorsett | Dean, Physical and Biological Sciences | \$3013,31601 |  | \$215,401 | $\begin{gathered} 8 / 3- \\ 8 / 21 / 2009 \end{gathered}$ | 15 days | NASA/NSF | Stephen have planned and organized a week long workshop at the campus for the month of August and will only be able to take 15 days off for his summer research. |
| UCSD | Mark Thiemens | Dean, Division of Physical Sciences | \$297,400 | \$24,783 | \$322,183 | 8/1-8/31/2009 | 24 days | NASA grant funds |  |

## C3

## Office of the President

## TO MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ON COMPENSATION:

## INFORMATION ITEM

For Meeting of July 16, 2009

## BI-MONTHLY TRANSACTION MONITORING REPORT - JULY 2009

At the September 2008 Regents meeting the Board of Regents approved the Compensation Approval Authority and Governance for Those Positions Slotted in the Senior Leadership Compensation Group Salary Range Structure and Other Specified Employees. Included in this item under Section (2) is the requirement for a bi-monthly transaction monitoring report "as a key component of this governance model." This report will display "all compensation actions, including exceptions under current policy or actions not expressly stated in policy," for the population described in the item as:
(a) Non-SMG employees whose positions have been slotted in the Senior Leadership Compensation Group salary Range Structure,
(b) Non-SMG employees not subject, exclusively, to the provisions of the Academic Personnel Manual, and
(c) Other Specified employees (OSE), as designated by the Office of the President Human Resources, such as Athletic Directors and Coaches.

Attached is the July 2009 Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report which includes actions taken locally by the chancellors and the Laboratory Director on behalf of the locations over a two-month period prior to each Regents meeting. To meet the internal deadlines required to collect information and present it to the Regents, the reporting period has been adjusted slightly. Reportable actions will consistently cover a two-month period between the first day of the first month and the last day of the second month being reported. The attached report includes actions taken under the delegation of authority between and inclusive of April 1, 2009 through May 31, 2009.

This report is comprised of individual actions from the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, and the Davis, Irvine, San Diego, and San Francisco campuses. The report is organized by location. For each location there is a report of actions and an addendum of footnotes, as appropriate, with additional information.

## Attachment

July 2009 Regents' Meeting Bi-monthly Transaction Monitoring Report

Reporting Period: April 1 - May 31, 2009

## APPROVED: ACTING APPOINTMENT SALARY

| Location | Employee Name |  | Title | SLCG Grade | Base Salary | Salary | Incumbent's | Range Min | Range Mid | Range Max | Midpoint |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LBNL | Paul Adams |  | Acting Scientific Division Director | LBNL Grade N16 | \$165,708 | \$207,135 | \$293,796 | \$173,796 | \$267,258 | \$360,720 | 77.5\% |
|  | Previous Status: |  | Computer Senior Staff Scientist \& Deputy Division Director | LBNL Grade 017 | \$165,708 | \$190,564 | n/a | \$104,448 | \$177,564 | \$250,680 | 107.3\% |
|  | Effective Date: | 3/1/2009 | Approval Date: 04/16/2009 | Approved By: Mark Yudof, President - University of California |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | End Date: | indefinite |  | Reports To: A. Paul Alivisatos, Interim Laboratory Director |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Item Detail | Previous | Approved | Percent | Exception to Policy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Base Salary | \$165,708 | \$165,708 | 0.0\% | No |
| Appointment Percentage | 100\% | 100\% | n/a | No |
| Stipend* | \$24,856 | \$41,427 | 25.0\% | Yes |
| Standard pension and health and welfare benefits | Yes | Yes | n/a | No |

*See Footnote in the Addendum

July 2009 Regents' Meeting Addendum to Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report Reporting Period: April 1, 2009 - May 31, 2009

| Paul Adams <br> Acting Scientific Division Director |  | LBNL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation Element | Amount | Campus Comments |
| Stipend | \$41,427 | Previously received a $15 \%$ ( $\$ 24,856$ ) stipend for Deputy Division Director responsibilities. On April 16, 2009, President Yudof approved his stipend increase to $25 \%$ ( $\$ 41,427$ ), which is an exception to policy for Acting Division Director responsibilities. Paul Adams stipend is projected to end on January 31, 2010 but may continue after January $31^{\text {st }}$ until Jay Keasling returns to his position as Division Director. |

July 2009 Regents' Meeting Bi-monthly Transaction Monitoring Report

Reporting Period: April 1, 2009 - May 31, 2009

## APPROVED: Stipend

| Location | Employee Name |  | Title | SLCG Grade | Previous Base Salary | New Total Annual Salary | Former Incumbent's Salary | Salary Range Min | Salary Range Mid | Salary <br> Range Max | \% of Midpoint (CR) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCD | Ann D. Frankel |  | Controller | unslotted | \$207,600 | \$228,360 | N/A | \$103,764 | \$168,612 | \$233,472 | 135.4\% |
|  | Previous Status: |  | Assistant Director, Financial Services |  | \$207,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Effective Date: <br> End Date: | $\begin{aligned} & 5 / 1 / 2009 \\ & 7 / 31 / 2009 \end{aligned}$ | Approval Date: 4/17/2009 |  | Approved By <br> Reports To: | : Chancellor Vanderh Chief Financial Officer | oef <br> William McGo |  |  |  |  |


| Item Detail | Previous | Approved | $\underline{\text { Exception }}$ <br> to Policy |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Base Salary |  |  |  |
| Ptipend ${ }^{\star}$ | $\$ 207,600$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 207,600$ |

*See Footnote in the Addendum


July 2009 Regents' Meeting Bi-monthly Transaction Monitoring Report

Reporting Period: April 1, 2009 - May 31, 2009

APPROVED: NEW APPOINTMENT SALARY

| Location | Employee Name |  | Title | SLCG Grade | Previous Base Salary | New Total Annual Salary | Former Incumbent's Salary | Salary Range Min | Salary <br> Range Mid | Salary <br> Range Max | \% of Midpoint <br> (CR) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCD | Peggy Arrivas |  | Controller - Financial Services Administration, UCDHS <br> Partner, Price Waterhouse | Unslotted | \$450,000 | \$210,000 | \$210,000 | \$103,764 | \$168,612 | \$233,472 | 124.5\% |
|  | Previous Status: |  | Coopers |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Effective Date: <br> End Date: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7/1/2009 } \\ & 99 / 99 / 99 \end{aligned}$ | Approval Date: 4/9/09 |  |  | Approved By: Chancellor Vanderhoef <br> Reports To: Vice Chancellor and Dean Pomeroy |  |  |  |  |  |


| Item Detail | Previous | Approved | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exception } \\ & \text { to Policy } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Base Salary* | \$450,000 | \$210,000 | -46.7\% | Yes |
| Appointment Percentage | 100\% | 100\% | n/a | No |
| Bonuses/Incentives* | unknown | \$42,000 | n/a | No |
| Relocation Allowance* | n/a | \$0 | 9\% | No |
| Standard pension and health and welfare benefits | Yes | n/a | n/a | No |
| MOP* | n/a | n/a | n/a | No |
| Moving Reimbursement* | n/a | n/a | n/a | No |
| Temporary housing* | n/a | \$0 | n/a | No |

*See Footnote in the Addendum


July 2009 Regents' Meeting

## Addendum to Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report

Reporting Period: April 1, 2009 - May 31, 2009

| Name: Ann D. Frankel <br> Title: Assistant Director, Financial Services (Acting Controller) |  | UCD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Compensation Element | Amount | Camp |
| Stipend | \$20,760 | Annual assuming Director (actual Frankel (CEMR \$269,88 |
| Working Title: Controller, Financial Services Administration-UCDHS |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Compensation Element | Amount | Camp |
| Base Salary | \$210,000 | As an <br> MSP G <br> Associa <br> approve <br> ICL. |
| Bonuses/Incentives | \$42,000 | Maxim <br> CEMRP <br> base sal |
| Relocation Allowance | N/A | N/A |

July 2009 Regents' Meeting

| Addendum to Bi-Monthly |
| :---: |
| Reporting Period: |
| Neransaction Monitoring Report |

N/A 2009 - May 31, 2009 $\quad$ N/A


July 2009 Regents' Meeting Bi-monthly Transaction Monitoring Report

Reporting Period: April 1, 2009 - May 31, 2009

## APPROVED: NEW APPOINTMENT SALARY



| Item Detail | Previous | Approved | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exception } \\ & \text { to Policy } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Base Salary* | \$200,000 | \$216,800 | 8.4\% | No |
| Appointment Percentage | 100\% | 100\% | n/a | n/a |
| Bonuses/Incentives | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Relocation Allowance | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a |
| Standard pension and health and welfare benefits | Yes | Yes | n/a | No |
| MOP* | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Moving Reimbursement* | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Temporary housing* | n/a | n/a | n/a | No |

*See Footnote in the Addendum

July 2009 Regents' Meeting Addendum to Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report

Reporting Period: April 1, 2009 - May 31, 2009

| Rebekah G. Gladson <br> Associate Vice Chancellor-Design and Construction Services/Campus Architect |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Compensation Element | $\underline{\text { Amount }}$ | Campus Comments |
| Base Salary | $\$ 216,800$ | Retention increase due to recent job offers received by the incumbent and <br> critical need to retain her. |



July 2009 Regents' Meeting Bi-monthly Transaction Monitoring Report

Reporting Period: April 1, 2008 - May 31, 2009

## APPROVED: STIPEND



| Item Detail | Previous | Approved | Percent | Exception to Policy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Base Salary | \$186,000 | \$186,000 | 0.0\% | No |
| Appointment Percentage | 100\% | 100\% | n/a | No |
| Leaders' Performance Based Compensation Plan * | \$18,600 | \$18,600 | 10\% | No |
| Stipend * | 0 | \$18,600 | 10\% | No |

*See Footnote in the Addendum

## July 2009 Regents' Meeting

## Addendum to Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report

Reporting Period: April 1, 2009 - May 31, 2009

| Thomas Hamelin UCSD |
| :--- |
| Director of Perioperative Services and Acting Director of Radiology Clinical Services |


| Compensation Element | Amoun |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stipend | $\$ 18,600$ |
|  |  |
| Leaders' Performance Based Compensation Plan | $\$ 18,600$ |

## Campus Comments

The stipend was approved for a duration of six months, or until one month following the appointment of the new Director of Radiology Clinical Services, whichever comes first. A one month overlap is intended to facilitate a leadership transition period.

Pending approval by the Office of the President, Mr. Hamelin is eligible to participate in the 2008-2009 Leaders' Performance Based Compensation Plan with a maximum incentive opportunity of $10 \%$ of base salary. The Plan was submitted for review following the Regents' agreement to maintain locally-funded clinical incentive programs that were substantially similar to the Clinical Enterprise Management Recognition Plan.


July 2009 Regents' Meeting Bi-monthly Transaction Monitoring Report

Reporting Period: April 1, 2009 - May 31, 2009

## APPROVED: Stipend

| Location | Employee Name |  | Title | SLCG Grade | Previous Base Salary | New Total Annual Salary | Former Incumbent's Salary | Salary Range Min | Salary Range Mid | Salary Range Max |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Associate Vice Chancellor- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UCSF | French, Barbara J. |  | University Relations | 108 |  | \$251,900 |  | \$192,300 | \$244,900 | \$297,400 | 102.9\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Previous Status: |  | University Relations | 108 | \$251,900 |  |  | \$192,300 | \$244,900 | \$297,400 | 102.9\% |
|  | Effective Date: | 4/1/2009 | Approval Date: 04/21/2009 |  | Approved By: | : UCSF Compensatio | Committee |  |  |  |  |
|  | End Date: | 3/31/2010 |  |  | Reports To: | Senior Vice Chancell | $r$-University Ad | ancement and | Planning Spa | ulding |  |


| Item Detail | Previous | Approved | Percent | Exception to Policy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Base Salary | \$251,900 | \$251,900 | 0.0\% | No |
| Stipend* | \$0 | \$37,785 | 15.0\% | No |
| Staff Recognition and Development Plan* | \$25,190 | \$0 | 0.0\% | No |
| Total Cash Compensation (TCC) | \$277,090 | \$289,685 |  |  |
| Appointment Percentage | 100\% | 100\% | n/a | No |
| Standard pension and health and welfare benefits | Yes | Yes | n/a | No |

[^14]

July 2009 Regents' Meeting Bi-monthly Transaction Monitoring Report

Reporting Period: April 1, 2009 - May 31, 2009

## APPROVED: Extension for Acting Appointment

| Location | Employee Name |  | Title | SLCG Grade | Previous Base Salary | New Total Annual Salary | Former Incumbent's Salary | Salary Range Min | Salary Range Mid | Salary <br> Range Max | \% of Midpoint (CR) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCSF | Chen, Michael W. |  | Chief Financial OfficerDepartment of Medicine, School of Medicine | 105 |  | \$180,586 |  | \$138,200 | \$174,300 | \$210,400 | 103.6\% |
|  | Previous Status: |  | Chief Financial OfficerDepartment of Medicine, School of Medicine | 105 |  | \$180,586 |  | \$138,200 | \$174,300 | \$210,400 | 103.6\% |
|  | Effective Date: <br> End Date: | $\begin{aligned} & 3 / 1 / 2009 \\ & 6 / 30 / 2009 \end{aligned}$ | Approval Date: 04/21/2009 |  |  | Approved By: UCSF Compensation Committee Reports To: Chief Operating Officer-Department of Medicine Chrisman |  |  |  |  |  |


| Item Detail | Previous | Approved | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exception } \\ & \text { to Policy } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Base Salary | \$180,586 | \$180,586 | 0.0\% | No |
| School of Medicine Management Incentive Plan | \$27,088 | \$27,088 | 15.0\% | No |
| Stipend* | \$18,059 | \$18,059 | 10.0\% | No |
| Total Cash Compensation (TCC) | \$225,733 | \$225,733 |  |  |
| Appointment Percentage | 100\% | 100\% | n/a | No |
| Standard pension and health and welfare benefits | Yes | Yes | n/a | No |

*See Footnote in the Addendum


July 2009 Regents' Meeting Bi-monthly Transaction Monitoring Report

Reporting Period: April 1, 2009 - May 31, 2009

## APPROVED: Promotion and Salary Adjustment

| Location | Employee Name |  | Title | SLCG Grade | Previous Base Salary | New Total Annual Salary | Former Incumbent's Salary | Salary Range Min | Salary Range Mid | Salary <br> Range Max | \% of Midpoint (CR) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCSF | Blanc, Danielle |  | Director of Finance and Clinical Operations Department of Surgery | MSP V |  | \$165,000 |  | \$104,800 | \$138,900 | \$172,900 | 118.8\% |
|  | Previous Status: |  | Interim Administrative Director-Clinical Practices and Director-Clinical Operations, Department of Surgery | MSP IV | \$150,524 |  |  | \$95,100 | \$126,100 | \$157,100 | 119.4\% |
|  | Effective Date: <br> End Date: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2/1/2009 } \\ & \text { n/a } \end{aligned}$ | Approval Date: 05/04/2009 |  |  | Approved By: UCSF Human Resources Compensation Unit Reports To: Chief Finance and Operating Officer-Department of Surgery Panion |  |  |  |  |  |


| Item Detail | Previous | Approved | Percent | Exception to Policy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Base Salary | \$150,524 | \$165,000 | 9.6\% | No |
| School of Medicine Management Incentive Plan | \$22,579 | \$24,750 | 15.0\% | No |
| Stipend* | \$30,105 | \$30,105 | 18.2\% | No |
| Total Cash Compensation (TCC) | \$203,207 | \$219,855 |  |  |
| Appointment Percentage | 100\% | 100\% | n/a | No |
| Standard pension and health and welfare benefits | Yes | Yes | n/a | No |

*See Footnote in the Addendum


## July 2009 Regents' Meeting

 Addendum to Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report Reporting Period: April 1, 2009 - May 31, 2009| Name BARBARA FRENCH |  | UCSF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Working Title Associate Vice Chancel | - University |  |
| Compensation Element | Amount | Campus Comments |
| Stipend | \$37,785 | Senior Vice Chancellor-University Advancement and Planning Spaulding has voluntarily reduced his time to $55 \%$ under the START (Staff and Academic Reduction in Time) program guidelines effective March 1, 2009. During these challenging economic times, the contributions of the University Advancement and Planning department is ever more critical to the sustained operations and services provided to the community by UCSF. Associate Vice ChancellorUniversity Relations French has assumed the balance of duties no longer handled by SVC Spaulding due to his reduction in time and a $15 \%$ stipend is recommended in recognition of the additional scope of work. |
| Staff Recognition and Development Program | \$25,190 | Per policy, ineligible to participate in the Staff Recognition and Development Program (SRDP). Participation was limited to non-SMG staff with an annual base salary of less than $\$ 100,000$, as amended by the Regents effective January 14,2009 . Ms. French was previously eligible to participate in the SRDP. |
| Name MICHAEL CHEN UCSF |  |  |
| Working Title Chief Financial Officer-Department of Medicine, School of Medicine |  |  |
| Compensation Element | Amount | Campus Comments |
| Stipend | \$18,059 | Continuation of a $10 \%$ administrative stipend $(\$ 18,059)$ for his continued role as the Vice Chair/Chief of the Medical Services at San Francisco General Hospital. At the time of Mr. Chen's appointment the Department of Medicine was confident that his successor would be able to assume the Vice Chair/Chief role no later than March 1, 2009; unfortunately, Dr. Powe was unable to assume the |
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## Addendum to Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report

Reporting Period: April 1, 2009 - May 31, 2009
role until April 26, 2009. Due to the change in the timing the UCSF Compensation Committee approved a stipend extension for a period starting March 1, 2009, to end no later than June 30, 2009. Stipend ended effective April 25, 2009.

| Name DANIELLE BLANC |  | UCSF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Working Title Director of Finance and Clinical Operations-Department of Surgery, School of Medicine |  |  |
| Compensation Element | Amount | Campu |
| Total Cash Compensation | \$219,855 | Ms. Bla howeve Group compreh by the S Blanc's V. Ms. of the a adminis Director vacancy |

Page 2 of 2

## Office of the President

## TO MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ON COMPENSATION:

## INFORMATION ITEM

For Meeting of July 16, 2009

## REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN UNDER THE DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY FOR RECRUITING AND NEGOTIATION PARAMETERS FOR CERTAIN ATHLETIC POSITIONS AND COACHES, SYSTEMWIDE

Attached is the July 2009 report to the Regents in response to the Amendment of Regents' Delegation of Authority for Recruiting and Negotiation Parameters for Certain Athletic Positions and Coaches, as approved by the Regents at their September 2008 meeting. This delegation of authority is specific and limited to the following circumstances, terms and conditions:
(1) Approval of delegations to negotiate compensation contracts for certain athletic positions and all men's and women's athletic coaches, as defined below, to be used in two specific circumstances.
a. Pre-emptive or Active Retention - When the Chancellor, or his/her designee, needs to negotiate with an incumbent coach as a result of that coach receiving an expression of serious interest of employment from another entity, an actual offer of employment from another entity, or having achieved such accomplishments that he/she becomes significantly more marketable.
b. Replacement - After a coach leaves his/her position either by his/her own choice or by the department's choice, the Chancellor, or his/her designee, must immediately negotiate with candidates to replace the coach who is leaving his/her position.
(2) Approval of the following parameters for delegation of authority:
a. Guaranteed Compensation - Authority to negotiate an increase of up to 30 percent on the annual guaranteed compensation (defined as salary and talent fees). This authority applies the 30 -percent limit to the change from the previous year's contract to the first year of the renewed contract or between the previous incumbent's final contract year in the position and the first year of the new contract and assumes equal applicability of that number to each contract year and a 30-percent limit to the overall cumulative total (before and after negotiation) for
guaranteed compensation under the new and old contracts adjusted so that a change in contract duration does not impact the comparison.
b. Maximum Bonus (exclusive of a signing bonus) - Authority to negotiate an increase of up to 15 percent or $\$ 30,000$, whichever is higher, on all incentives or bonuses. This authority applies the 15 -percent limit to the change from the previous year's contract to the first year of the renewed contract or between the previous incumbent's final contract year in the position and the first year of the new contract. The $\$ 30,000$ maximum dollar amount allows for the addition of a bonus where none existed before or the enhancement of a very small bonus opportunity. Subsequent years' increases will be no more than 5 percent per contract-year.
c. Deferred Compensation - Authority to negotiate deferred compensation up to a total of no more than the equivalent of the first year's guaranteed compensation.
d. Camps - Authority to negotiate an increase of up to 30 percent over the percentage of compensation received from camp income. This authority applies the 30 -percent limit to the change from the previous year's contract to the first year of the renewed contract or between the previous incumbent's final contract year in the position and the first year of the new contract. In the case where the contract does not state a maximum, the comparison is from the actual income attributed to the position during the preceding year. Subsequent years' increases will be no more than 5 percent per contract-year.
e. Benefits - Authority to offer the same systemwide benefits, including vacation, sick leave, disability, and standard University of California pension, health and welfare benefits under the programs and policies pertaining to all employees of the University.
f. Signing Bonus - Authority to offer a one-time signing bonus of no more than 33 percent of the first year's guaranteed compensation.
g. Other - Authority to negotiate providing courtesy vehicles (supplied by donors/contributors), including payments in lieu of a car to a maximum of \$5,400 or the imputed value of the car, whichever is higher, per contract-year, moving expenses up to 100 percent, 30 non-consecutive days of housing at the time of the move, and non-cash compensation such as club memberships and other perquisites, consistent with standard practices.
(3) Approval of delegation of authority under the defined parameters, above, for all men's and/or women's coaches and assistant coaches whose new potential total cash compensation exceeds the Indexed Compensation Level, currently set at \$205,000 per annum.
(4) It is proposed that this specific set of delegations will be reviewed by the Regents annually. A full reporting of all coaches whose total cash compensation exceeds the Indexed Compensation Level will be provided to the Regents in the Annual Report on Executive Compensation. In addition, any actions taken under this delegation by chancellors will be reported publicly at the next Regents meeting in the same manner as interim actions. The Office of the President will be responsible for providing corresponding updated market and comparability data to the Regents as part of the annual reporting process.

Any proposals exceeding the levels or parameters noted above would continue to require submission to and advance approval by the Regents. In addition, if the new contract includes exceptions to policy, except as noted above, advance approval by the Regents will be required.

Termination and liability clauses limiting the University's obligations will be used in all contracts. In all cases, if the final contract has not been signed by all parties prior to the commencement of the individual's first day of active employment, the campus will work with the Office of General Counsel to ensure that appropriate language is used in conjunction with the memorandum of agreement (initial term sheet) that must then be signed by all parties before the individual can begin active employment. Each final contract will require the review and sign-off by the Office of General Counsel.

If the funding of a coach's contract comes from sources other than athletic department revenues (including athletic equipment supplier agreements) or private fundraising, this will require Regental review and approval.

## REPORTS TO THE REGENTS

July 2009

PER REGENTAL ACTION: C6 - SEPTEMBER 16, 2008 REGENTS' MEETING: APPROVAL OF AMEMDMENT OF REGENTS' DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY FOR RECRUITING AND NEGOTIATION PARAMETERS FOR CERTAIN ATHLETIC POSITIONS AND COACHES, SYSTEMWIDE REQUIRING A REPORT TO THE REGENTS AT EACH MEETING IN THE SAME MANNER AS INTERIM ITEMS

## CONTRACT COMPENSATION FOR CHUCK BULLOUGH AS DEFENSIVE COACH -- FOOTBALL, LOS ANGELES CAMPUS

| Terms and Conditions of the Contract | Duration |  | Guaranteed Compensation |  |  |  | Maximum Bonus |  |  | Deferred Compensation |  | Camps ${ }^{1)}$ |  |  | Benefits |  |  | Signing Bonus/Other |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location/Name/Title /Effective dates of the contract |  |  |  | Authority to of up to 30 percent on guaranteed c as salary and authority app limit to the c previous yea year of the re between the final contract and the first $y$ contract and applicability each contract limit to the o (before and a Guaranteed the new and so that a chan duration does comparison. | negotiate an <br> n the annual compensation d talent fees). plies the 30 pe change from th r's contract to enewed contra previous incu t year in the p year of the ne assumes equa of that numb t year and a 3 overall cumula after negotiati Compensation old contracts nge in contra s not impact | increase <br> (defined <br> This <br> ercent <br> he <br> o the first <br> act or <br> umbent's <br> position <br> ew <br> al <br> er to <br> 30 percent <br> ative total <br> ion) for <br> n under <br> adjusted <br> ct <br> the | Authority to of up to 15 p whichever is incentives or authority app limit to the c previous yea year of the re between the final contrac and the first contract. The dollar amoun addition of a existed befor of a very sm Subsequent no more than year. | negotiate ercent or higher, on bonuses. lies the 15 hange from 's contrac newed con previous in year in th year of the $\$ 30,000$ allows fo bonus wh or the en 11 bonus o ear's incr 5 percent | an increase $\$ 30,000$, <br> n all <br> This <br> 5 percent <br> $m$ the <br> ct to the first <br> ntract or <br> incumbent's <br> he position <br> e new <br> maximum <br> for the <br> here none <br> nhancement <br> opportunity. <br> reases will be <br> t per contract | Authority to deferred com a total of no equivalent of guaranteed co | tiate ation up to than the first year's nsation. | Authority to of up to 30 of compens camp incon applies the change from contract to renewed co previous in year in the year of the case where state a max comparison income attr during the Subsequent no more th year. | negotia percent o ation rec e. This a 30 percen the prev the first $y$ ntract or cumbent' position a new contr the contr imum, the is from th ibuted to preceding year's in 5 perce | an increase ver the percent ived from uthority tlimit to the ious year's year of the between the s final contract nd the first ract. In the act does not n the he actual the position year. <br> creases will be ent per contract | Authority to offer the same system-wide benefits, including vacation, sick leave, disability, and standard University of California pension, health and welfare benefits under the programs and policies pertaining to all employees of the University. |  |  | Signing Bonus: Authority to offer a one time signing bonus of no more than 33 percent of the first year's guaranteed compensation. <br> Other: Authority to negotiate providing courtesy vehicles (supplied by donors/contributors), including payments in lieu of a car to a maximum of $\$ 5,400$ or the imputed value of the car, whichever is higher, per contract-year; reimbursement of up to $100 \%$ of actual and reasonable moving expenses; reimbursement of actual and reasonable costs for up to 30 non-consecutive days of housing at the time of the move; and non-cash compensation such as club memberships and other perquisites, consistent with standard practices. |  |  |  |
| Los Angeles Campus | Before | After |  | Before | After | Percent <br> Change | Before After |  | Percent <br> Change | Before After | Percent <br> Change | Before After |  | Percent | Before After |  | Change |  | Before | After | Percent Change |
| Chuck Bullough, Defensive Coach, Men's Football | $\begin{aligned} & 2 / 1 / 09- \\ & 6 / 30 / 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 / 1 / 09- \\ & 6 / 30 / 11 \end{aligned}$ | Total Guaranteed Compensation | \$310,000 | \$325,000 | 4.84\% | \$20,000 | \$20,000 | No Change |  |  | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | No Change | yes | yes | No Change | Courtesy Vehicle | yes | yes | No Change |
|  |  |  | Itemized | otal Guarante | eed Compensa | ation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Base Salary | \$250,000 | \$265,000 | 6.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Talent Fee | \$60,000 | \$60,000 | 0.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

NOTES: ${ }^{1)}$ Camp income at Athletic Director's discretion.

## REPORTS TO THE REGENTS

July 2009

PER REGENTAL ACTION: C6 - SEPTEMBER 16, 2008 REGENTS' MEETING: APPROVAL OF AMEMDMENT OF REGENTS' DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY FOR RECRUITING AND NEGOTIATION PARAMETERS FOR CERTAIN ATHLETIC POSITIONS AND COACHES, SYSTEMWIDE REQUIRING A REPORT TO THE REGENTS AT EACH MEETING IN THE SAME MANNER AS INTERIM ITEMS

CONTRACT COMPENSATION FOR DANIEL GUERRERO, DIRECTOR OF INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS, LOS ANGELES CAMPUS

*Represents 5 percent annual increase effective 4/1/09 per original contract; Regents Item approved May 2008.

## REPORTS TO THE REGENTS

July 2009

PER REGENTAL ACTION: C6 - SEPTEMBER 16, 2008 REGENTS' MEETING: APPROVAL OF AMEMDMENT OF REGENTS' DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY FOR RECRUITING AND NEGOTIATION PARAMETERS FOR CERTAIN ATHLETIC POSITIONS AND COACHES, SYSTEMWIDE REQUIRING A REPORT TO THE REGENTS AT EACH MEETING IN THE SAME MANNER AS INTERIM ITEMS

## CONTRACT AMENDMENT FOR ROBERT PALCIC AS ASSISTANT COACH -- FOOTBALL, LOS ANGELES CAMPUS

| Terms and Conditions of the Contract | Duration |  | Guaranteed Compensation |  |  |  | Maximum Bonus |  |  | Deferred Compensation |  | Camps ${ }^{2)}$ |  |  | Benefits |  |  | Signing Bonus/Other |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location/Name/Title/Eff ective dates of the contract |  |  |  | Authority to up to 30 percent compensati talent fees) 30 percent the previou first year of between the final contra the first yea assumes eq number to percent lim total (befor Guaranteed new and old a change in impact the | o negotiate a <br> on the annua ion (defined . This author limit to the ch s year's cont $f$ the renewed e previous in act year in the ar of the new qual applicability each contract it to the over e and after $n$ Compensati d contracts a contract dur comparison. | an increase of <br> l guaranteed as salary and ity applies the change from tract to the contract or cumbent's e position and contract and ility of that year and a 30 rall cumulative egotiation) for ion under the djusted so that ration does not | Authority to negotiate an increase of up to 15 percent or $\$ 30,000$, whichever is higher, on all incentives or bonuses. This authority applies the 15 percent limit to the change from the previous year's contract to the first year of the renewed contract or between the previous incumbent's final contract year in the position and the first year of the new contract. The $\$ 30,000$ maximum dollar amount allows for the addition of a bonus where none existed before or the enhancement of a very small bonus opportunity. Subsequent year's increases will be no more than 5 percent per contract-year. |  |  | Authority to negotiate deferred compensation up to a total of no more than the equivalent of the first year's guaranteed compensation. |  | Authority to negotiate an increase of up to 30 percent over the percent of compensation received from camp income. This authority applies the 30 percent limit to the change from the previous year's contract to the first year of the renewed contract or between the previous incumbent's final contract year in the position and the first year of the new contract. In the case where the contract does not state a maximum, then the comparison is from the actual income attributed to the position during the preceding year. Subsequent year's increases will be no more than 5 percent per contract-year. |  |  | Auth syste vacat sick stand Calif welf progr to all the U | to | same <br> s, including <br> , and <br> of <br> health and <br> er the <br> sertaining | Signing B <br> signing bo <br> first year' <br> Other: A <br> vehicles <br> including <br> of $\$ 5,400$ <br> whicheve <br> reimburs <br> reasonabl <br> actual and <br> consecuti <br> move; an <br> members <br> standard | Bonus <br> onus <br> s gua <br> uthority <br> suppl <br> paym <br> or the <br> $r$ is hi <br> ment <br> e mov <br> d reas <br> ve day <br> d non <br> hips a <br> practic | hori <br> mor <br> ed <br> nego <br> don <br> in lie <br> uted <br> per <br> to <br> expen <br> cos <br> hous <br> com | one time ercent of the n. <br> ding courtesy butors), <br> to a maximum he car, ar; <br> tual and ursement of 30 nonime of the such as club consistent with |
| Los Angeles Campus | Before | After |  | Before | After | Percent Change | Before After |  | Percent Change | Before After | Percen <br> t <br> Chang <br> $e$ | Before After |  | Percent Change | Befor |  | Change |  | Bef |  | Percent Change |
| Robert Palcic, Assistant Coach, Men's Football | $\begin{array}{\|l} 1 / 9 / 2008- \\ 6 / 30 / 09 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 9 / 2008 \\ & 6 / 30 / 11 \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> Guaranteed <br> Compensation 1) | \$ ${ }^{\text {S210,000 }}$ | \$210,000 | 0.00\% | \$20,000 | \$20,000 | No Change |  |  | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | No Change | yes | yes | No Change | Courtesy <br> Vehicle | yes | yes | No Change |


| Itemized Total Guaranteed Compensation |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Base Salary | $\$ 210,000$ | $\$ 210,000$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Talent Fee | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $0.00 \%$ |

NOTES: ${ }^{1)}$ Comparison of previous year's contract to first year of renewed contract
${ }^{2)}$ Camp income at Athletic Director's discretion.

| Jan-08 | 128 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Feb-08 | 168 |  |
| Mar-08 | 168 |  |
| Apr-08 | 176 |  |
| May-08 | 176 |  |
| Jun-08 | 168 |  |
| Jul-08 | 2088 |  |
| Aug-08 | 0 |  |
| Sep-08 | 0 |  |
| Oct-08 | 0 |  |
| Nov-08 | 0 |  |
| Dec-08 | 0 |  |
| Jan-09 | 0 |  |
| Feb-09 | 0 |  |
| Mar-09 | 0 |  |
| Apr-09 | 0 |  |
| May-09 | 0 |  |
| Jun-09 | 0 | 2088 |
| Jul-09 | 2088 |  |
| Aug-09 |  |  |
| Sep-09 |  |  |
| Oct-09 |  |  |
| Nov-09 |  |  |
| Dec-09 |  |  |
| Jan-10 |  |  |
| Feb-10 |  |  |
| Mar-10 |  |  |
| Apr-10 |  |  |
| May-10 |  |  |
| Jun-10 | 5160 |  |
| hours: |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## REPORTS TO THE REGENTS

July 2009

PER REGENTAL ACTION: C6 - SEPTEMBER 16, 2008 REGENTS' MEETING: APPROVAL OF AMEMDMENT OF REGENTS' DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY FOR RECRUITING AND NEGOTIATION PARAMETERS FOR CERTAIN ATHLETIC POSITIONS AND COACHES,
SYSTEMWIDE REQUIRING A REPORT TO THE REGENTS AT EACH MEETING IN THE SAME MANNER AS INTERIM ITEMS

## CONTRACT COMPENSATION FOR JOHN MARGARITIS, HEAD COACH OF WOMEN'S BASKETBALL, RIVERSIDE CAMPUS

| Terms and Conditions of the Contract | Duration |  | Guaranteed Compensation |  |  |  | Maximum Bonus |  |  | Deferred Compensation |  | Camps ${ }^{2)}$ |  |  | Benefits |  |  | Signing Bonus/Other |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location/Name/Title/Eff ective dates of the contract |  |  |  | Authority to up to 30 percent compensatio talent fees). 30 percent l the previous first year of between the final contra the first yea assumes equ number to percent lim total (before Guaranteed new and old a change in impact the | o negotiate an <br> on the annual on (defined a This authori limit to the ch s year's contr f the renewed previous inc ct year in the ar of the new ual applicabi each contract it to the over e and after ne Compensati d contracts ad contract dur comparison. | increase of <br> 1 guaranteed as salary and ity applies the hange from ract to the contract or cumbent's position and contract and ility of that year and a 30 all cumulative egotiation) for on under the djusted so that ation does not | Authority to of up to 15 p whichever is incentives o authority ap limit to the previous ye year of the r between the final contrac and the first contract. Th dollar amou addition of existed befo of a very sm Subsequent be no more contract-yea | negotiate rcent or higher, o bonuses. lies the 1 hange fro ‘s contra newed co previous i year in th year of the \$30,000 allows bonus wh or the e ll bonus ear's incr an 5 perc | an increase $\$ 30,000$, <br> all <br> This <br> 5 percent <br> the <br> to the firs <br> ntract or <br> ncumbent's <br> he position <br> new <br> maximum <br> or the <br> here none <br> nhancement <br> opportunity. <br> eases will <br> ent per | Authority to negotiate deferred compensation up to a total of no more than the equivalent of the first year's guaranteed compensation. |  | Authority to increase of over the per compensatio camp incom applies the 30 the change year's contr of the renew between the incumbent's in the positio of the new where the co state a maxi comparison income attrib position dur year. Subse increases will 5 percent pe | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tiate } \\ & 30 \mathrm{pe} \\ & \mathrm{f} \\ & \text { eived } \\ & \mathrm{s} \text { au } \\ & \text { cent } \\ & \text { he pr } \\ & \text { the } \end{aligned}$ | an <br> ercent <br> from <br> thority <br> limit to <br> revious <br> first year <br> ct or <br> ract year <br> first year <br> the case <br> s not <br> the <br> actual <br> e <br> ceding <br> ore than <br> year. | Autho system vacatio sick le standa Califo welfar progra to all the Un | to offe ide ben <br> , disabi Univers pensio enefits and pol loyees rsity. | same <br> , including <br> and <br> of <br> ealth and <br> er the <br> sertaining | including payments in lieu of a car to a maximum of $\$ 5,400$ or the imputed value of the car, whichever is higher, per contract-year; reimbursement of up to $100 \%$ of actual and reasonable moving expenses; reimbursement of actual and reasonable costs for up to 30 nonconsecutive days of housing at the time of the move; and non-cash compensation such as club memberships and other perquisites, consistent with standard practices. |  |  |
| Riverside Campus | Before | After |  | Before | After | Percent Change | Before After |  | Percent <br> Change | Before After | Percen t Chang e | Before After |  | Percent Change | Before After |  | Change | Before After |  | Percent <br> Change |
| John Margaritis, Head Coach of Women's Basketball | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 7 / 1 / 08- \\ 6 / 30 / 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 1 / 09- \\ & 6 / 30 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> Guaranteed <br> Compensation <br> 1) | \$135,000 | \$165,000 | 22.22\% | \$40,000 | \$70,000 | 75.00\% | \$0 \$0 | 0\% | \$0 | \$0 |  | Per policy | Per Policy | No Change | Courtesy <br> Vehicle | \$0 \$0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  | Itemized | Total Guara | anteed Comp | ensation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Base Salary | \$135,000 | \$165,000 | 22.22\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Talent Fee | \$0 | \$0 | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^15]| Jan-08 | 128 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Feb-08 | 168 |  |
| Mar-08 | 168 |  |
| Apr-08 | 176 |  |
| May-08 | 176 |  |
| Jun-08 | 168 |  |
| Jul-08 | 2088 |  |
| Aug-08 | 0 |  |
| Sep-08 | 0 |  |
| Oct-08 | 0 |  |
| Nov-08 | 0 |  |
| Dec-08 | 0 |  |
| Jan-09 | 0 |  |
| Feb-09 | 0 |  |
| Mar-09 | 0 |  |
| Apr-09 | 0 |  |
| May-09 | 0 |  |
| Jun-09 | 0 | 2088 |
| Jul-09 | 2088 |  |
| Aug-09 |  |  |
| Sep-09 |  |  |
| Oct-09 |  |  |
| Nov-09 |  |  |
| Dec-09 |  |  |
| Jan-10 |  |  |
| Feb-10 |  |  |
| Mar-10 |  |  |
| Apr-10 |  |  |
| May-10 |  |  |
| Jun-10 | 5160 |  |
| hours: |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The Honorable Denise Moreno Ducheny
Chair, Joint Legislative Budget Committee
State Capitol, Room 5035
Sacramento, California 95814
Dear Senator Ducheny:
Pursuant to Item 6440-001-0001, Provision 13, of the 2008 Budget Act, enclosed is the University of California's annual Report on Compensation Policies and Practices.

If you have any questions regarding this report, Associate Vice President Obley will be pleased to speak with you. She can be reached by telephone at (510) 987-9112, or by email at Debora.Obley@ucop.edu.


Enclosure
cc: The Honorable Gloria Romero, Chair
Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Subcommittee \#1
(Attn: Ms. Amy Supinger)
(Attn: Ms. Cheryl Black)
The Honorable, Wilmer Amina Carter, Chair
Assembly Budget Subcommittee \#2
(Attn: Sara Bachez)
(Attn: Amy Rutschow)
Mr. Mac Taylor, Legislative Analysts
Mr. Mike Genest, Director of Finance
Mr. Dotson Wilson, Chief Clerk of the Assembly
Mr. Gregory Schmidt, Secretary of the Senate
Ms. Diane Boyer-Vine, Legislative Counsel
Ms. Sara Swan, Department of Finance
Mr. Steve Boilard, Legislative Analyst's Office
Joint Legislative Budget Committee (18)
Interim Provost and Executive Vice President Lawrence Pitts
Executive Vice President Katherine N. Lapp
Interim Senior Vice President Daniel Dooley
Vice President Patrick Lenz
Acting Associate Vice President John Cammidge
Associate Vice President and Director Steve Juarez
Associate Vice President Debora Obley
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## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

## Report on Compensation Policies and Practices

February 2009

The University of California submits this 2009 legislative report in response to Item 6440-001-0001, Provision 13, of the Budget Act of 2008, which states:
"13. It is the intent of the Legislature that the University of California submit an annual report by March 1 of each year through the 2010-11 fiscal year to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, legislative fiscal subcommittees, and the Department of Finance on the university's progress in reforming its compensation policies and practices, reflecting the criteria specified in Provision 27 of Item 6440-001-0001 of Section 2.00 of the Budget Act of 2006 (Chs. 47 and 48, Stats. 2006)."

The criteria for this report are in Item 6440-001-0001, Provision 27, of the 2006 Budget Act, which states in part:
"27. ...It is the intent of the Legislature that the University of California submit an annual report on March 1 of each year through the 2010-11 fiscal year to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, legislative fiscal subcommittees, and the Department of Finance on the university's progress in reforming its compensation policies and practices consistent with the recommendations of the April 2006 report of the Task Force on UC Compensation, Accountability, and Transparency, the Price Waterhouse-Coopers report, and the Bureau of State Audits' May 2, 2006 report.... It is the intent of the Legislature that the report specifically include all of the following:
(a) Consistent with the task force's recommendations on reporting, annual reports
provided to the Board of Regents on total compensation for specified university senior officials...
(b) Plans and actions taken by the University of California to reform compensation policies and practices...
(c) Plans and actions taken by the University of California to update its human
resources information system..."

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The University continues to make significant progress towards satisfying the recommendations of the Task Force on UC Compensation, Accountability and Transparency and related audits. In response to the state budget challenge, the Board of Regents approved President Yudof's proposal to freeze the salaries of 285 top administrators and implement significant restrictions to compensation for an expanded group of senior leadership.

The compensation approval authority and governance for all Senior Management and specified non-Senior Management Group employees was approved by The Regents in September 2008. The first Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Report was presented to The Regents in November 2008.

In 2008 and early 2009, the Board of Regents also approved a series of new and revised senior management compensation policies. Additional policies will be presented to the Regents at future meetings in 2009. Following Regental action and approval, it is intended that a comprehensive communication and training effort will be undertaken during 2009 to ensure that the new and revised policies, as well as the related monitoring and reporting processes, are implemented across the University.

As new compensation related policies are adopted by the University, Internal Audit will continue to work with responsible management in Human Resources and Benefits to identify opportunities for auditing the new policies for compliance with reporting requirements and other elements.

UC continues to make progress in the area of information technology and its employee database. To improve the quality of and access to employee data, the Enterprise Data Warehouse has been fully designed and implemented, and its expansion is proposed as part of the overall HRIS strategy. The University has made substantial upgrades over the past year to its infrastructure in order to support more advanced technologies, and a web-based interface pilot project to facilitate updates to the employee payroll database is scheduled to be implemented in the summer of 2009. Additionally, the Senior Leadership Information System was enhanced in 2008 to provide monitoring and compliance reports to The Regents of compensation actions for senior leadership approved by the President or the Chancellors.

Collectively, these developments and reforms demonstrate the University's strengthened commitment to public accountability and to correcting the administrative deficiencies identified by the recommendations of the April 2006 report of the Task Force on UC Compensation, Accountability, and Transparency; the Price Waterhouse-Coopers report; and the Bureau of State Audits' May 2, 2006 report.

This is the University's third annual legislative report on these matters. Since the publication and distribution of the first report in March 2007, the University has continued to follow the new practices and reforms established and presented in that report. These include:

- Use of a standardized definition of "total compensation" to make explicitly clear all appropriate elements of compensation and which elements require Regental approval.
- Compliance with the presidential policy for public disclosure of compensation information.
- Continuation of the practice of the Regents' Committee on Compensation voting on all compensation recommendations in open session.
- Establishment of a rigorous process of collecting, validating and certifying individuals' total compensation information reported on the Annual Report on Executive Compensation.
- Collection of information from individuals for preparation of the Annual Report on Compensated Outside Professional Activities for calendar year 2008. This information is reported to The Regents at their March meeting and certified as complete and accurate by each individual required to report such activities to The Regents.
- Use of the standard template for reporting of total compensation for senior leaders.
- Public posting of all compensation actions approved by The Regents.
- Provision of the third annual report of salaries of all UC employees in July, 2008.
- Compliance with new procedures regarding press releases to disclose compensation information, including a clear statement of all approved elements of compensation.
- Use of the University's compensation website, which includes compensation actions approved by The Regents and other matters related to compensation, easily accessible to the public.

Significant steps were taken this past year by the University towards reforming its compensation policies and practices in order to address the recommendations from the Task Force report and audits, and for compliance with the requirements under the Budget Act of 2006. Reforms are presented in the following categories in this report:

- Annual Reporting of Total Compensation for Named Positions (consistent with Provision 27(a) of the 2006 Budget Act)
- Reform of Compensation Policies and Practices (consistent with Provision 27(b) of the 2006 Budget Act)
- Human Resources Information System (consistent with Provision 27(c) of the 2006 Budget Act)
- Other Reforms and Actions

The reforms and actions described below demonstrate the University's ongoing and steadfast commitment as a public institution to make improvements in its compensation policies and practices that will ensure appropriate disclosure and transparency, proper governance and accountability, and compliance. The following is an inventory of actions taken over the last year or currently underway to reform practices and/or policies related to senior leadership compensation.

## ANNUAL REPORTING OF TOTAL COMPENSATION FOR NAMED POSITIONS

Consistent with the Task Force's recommendation on reporting, the University has continued to use the new expanded format for the Annual Report on Executive Compensation, with the next report being presented at the Board of Regents at their meeting scheduled for March 17-19, 2009. The University will forward the portion of this report, as described in the Budget Act language, to the Legislature following review by The Regents at the March meeting.

The population represented in this report includes University senior leaders who were specified in the Budget Act language, including the President, Provost, Executive Vice Presidents, Vice Presidents, Associate/Assistant Vice Presidents, the University Auditor, Principal Officers of The Regents, Chancellors, Vice Chancellors, Deans, National Laboratory Director/Deputy Director, and Medical Center Chief Executive Officers, as well as many others in top management positions whose total potential cash compensation exceeds $\$ 214,000$. This report includes compensation details on approximately 545 incumbents and former incumbents in these positions including those in acting capacities.

Information on each employee includes the following:

1. Cash compensation, which includes annualized base salary, annualized stipends, actual payments received under incentive or bonus programs, total actual Health Sciences Compensation Plan (HSCP) payments, and other cash compensation or cash payments which include payments while on sabbatical leave.
2. One-time payments and reimbursements made to the employee or on behalf of the employee to a third party vendor, including relocation allowance, temporary housing reimbursements or allowances, or moving expense reimbursements.
3. Benefits and perquisites, including automobile allowances (annualized rate reported), leased automobiles, senior management benefits (including any life insurance, business travel insurance, and salary continuation for disability), University provided housing, severance benefits, senior management supplemental benefit program contributions, additional post-retirement benefits (including medical coverage, enhanced retirement income benefits, enhanced vesting schedules, etc.), and home mortgage loans provided under University programs (the original loan amount is shown).

## REFORM OF COMPENSATION POLICIES AND PRACTICES

The University of California has made progress in reviewing and revising its compensation policies and practices. Through discussion with The Regents, the University has established a governance model to clarify roles, responsibilities and processes related to the revision and approval of senior management compensation policies and to clarify the respective authority and accountability of The Regents, the President and the Chancellors in approving individual compensation actions (more information on this governance model is provided on page 6).

This governance model has been incorporated into a series of new and revised policies approved by The Regents between July 2008 and February 2009, including:

Senior Management Group (SMG) Performance Management Review Process - In addition to assessing achievement toward established goals and objectives, individuals will also be assessed relative to standards and competencies defined in the revised policy.

SMG Salary and Appointment - The new policy provides clear, comprehensive direction for salary setting and defines responsibility and accountability for SMG salary and appointment-related actions.

Reemployment of UC Retired Employees - Under the new policy, reemployment is restricted to no more than 43\% time during a continuous 12 month period, and no more than a total of 12 months, subject to extension following the appropriate approval process.

Settlement of Litigation, Claims and Separation Agreements - The amended policy defines the authority of the President to approve certain settlements of claims and separation agreements and the authority of the General Counsel to approve settlements of litigation and claims in order to streamline the Board of Regents' practices and procedures.

SMG Hiring Bonus - The new policy eliminates the practice of using other benefits or compensation in lieu of a hiring bonus, thereby increasing transparency. It defines specific eligibility criteria and limits the maximum amount of a hiring bonus to $20 \%$ of the proposed starting base salary.

University-Provided Housing - The revised policy clarifies approval authority for renovation and remodeling of executive officers' residences and clarifies reimbursement provisions for both moving in and moving out of University-provided housing.

SMG Automobile Allowance - The revised policy eliminates the leased automobile program and requires reporting to the President and The Regents of any payments made under the policy.

SMG Moving Reimbursement - The revised policy defines eligibility criteria, increases reimbursable costs for storage from 30 days to 60 days, and requires documented repayment provisions in the event the employee separates prior to completing one year of service.

SMG Relocation Allowance - The revised policy removes a geographical restriction and provides for relocation allowance for moves within California, establishes a combined limit with the new hiring bonus policy, and requires documented repayment provisions in the event the employee separates prior to completing the agreed upon period of service (at least two years).

The continuing goal of this work is to develop policies that are clear, consistent, transparent, easily understood, and provide guidance on when and how exceptions may occur. This process is also addressing conflicts between policies for senior managers and policies for academics, including bringing clarity to those policies for senior managers who also hold academic appointments. The policies have been developed within a new template, which is intended to present policy parameters, approval authorities and compliance and monitoring requirements in a clear and consistent format. The revised policies strengthen internal controls and facilitate greater transparency, compliance and reporting.

During 2009, it is intended that a comprehensive communication and training effort will be undertaken to ensure that the new and revised policies, as well as the expanded monitoring and reporting processes, are implemented across the University. UC is also continuing efforts to complete the review of all remaining compensation policies. It is anticipated that additional SMG policies, including policies on absence from work, transitional leave, and outside professional activities, will be presented to The Regents for discussion and action at future meetings in 2009.

## Audits/Compliance Monitoring

During 2008, the University's Internal Auditors conducted the following compensation related audits:

- The Annual Report on Executive Compensation presented to The Regents was reviewed for completeness and accuracy at each location before being certified and submitted by local officials to the Office of the President;
- The Annual Report of Fiscal Year Expenses of the President/Chancellor was reviewed at each location for compliance with policy and consideration of compensable elements;
- The Annual Report of Taxable Expenses of the President/Chancellor was reviewed at each location for compliance with policy and appropriate tax reporting treatment of taxable amounts;
- The procedures for review of travel and entertainment expenses incurred by the President/Chancellor were reviewed at each location for compliance with policy.

In addition, as new compensation related policies are adopted by the University, Internal Audit works with responsible Human Resources management to identify opportunities for auditing the new policies for compliance with reporting requirements and other elements.

## HUMAN RESOURCES INFORMATION SYSTEM (HRIS)

As shown in last year's report, the HRIS project consists of three major phases:

- Improve the Quality of and Access to Employee Data
- Develop UC Enterprise HR/Payroll Service Architecture
- Provide new Human Resource Applications to Address UC-wide needs


## Improve the Quality of and Access to Employee Data

Improvement of payroll data quality through enhanced edits and management reports is an ongoing goal that will be addressed as the review, revision, mapping, programming, and implementation of the UC campus pay codes is completed (referred to as Description of Service, or DOS, codes - please see below for additional information concerning the project to review and revise these payroll codes). Existing edit reports covering pay transactions will continue to be reviewed to ensure consistency with the new campus payroll codes and how they map into Office of the President (UCOP) systems and reports. In addition, the severity levels associated with the edits (e.g., warning, fatal, etc.) are being reviewed, including assessment of the authority currently given to campuses to set such severity levels.

Since early 2007, the UCOP DOS Code Committee has been working with the campuses to revise the campus Payroll/Personnel System (PPS) DOS codes and the mapping of those codes to the systemwide pay categories. Initial work has focused on codes related to the Health Sciences Compensation Plan, housing, honoraria, stipends, perquisites, bonuses, and incentive awards. Changes to date include re-mapping of campus codes to more accurately match the appropriate systemwide pay codes, elimination of unnecessary campus codes, and creation of uniform and consistent campus codes where needed. Current work is ongoing on the "by agreement" and "additional pay" categories.

The Committee has also started work on a searchable DOS Code Data Base and dictionary that will be comprised of the pay codes established by each campus. This application will greatly enhance the ability of UCOP and campuses to further improve the quality of the pay codes and ensure that they are used consistently by locations. The Committee is also developing a new PPS edit to inactivate obsolete and redundant DOS codes. This edit feature will prevent PPS processors from generating future payments using inactive codes and will facilitate the use of new DOS codes introduced by UCOP, which are supported by University policy.

The UCOP DOS Code Committee continues to work with the campus Chief Human Resources Officers, Academic Personnel Directors, and Payroll Managers to identify priorities for change after payroll code changes have been implemented.

To improve access to employee data, the Enterprise Data Warehouse has been fully designed and implemented and loaded with historical payroll and pension data. Human Resources and Benefits department analysts have written numerous data warehouse queries to assist with management information requests. Expansion of the Enterprise Data Warehouse is proposed as part of the overall HRIS strategy.

## Develop UC Enterprise Human Resources/Payroll Service Architecture

The Information Resources and Communications department (the Office of the President information technology group) has installed and tested the necessary infrastructure to support the "Service Oriented Architecture" required for the overall HRIS project and has also designed and tested the way this architecture will work with the UC payroll system.

A web-based interface pilot project to facilitate updates to UC's employee payroll database was initiated in September 2008, and is currently in the requirements development phase. This first phase is scheduled to be implemented in the summer of 2009. This interface will provide for simpler and more accurate data entry into UC's payroll system.

## Provide New Human Resource Applications to Address UC-wide Needs

High-level discussions on the HRIS strategy this past year have inevitably led to discussions on the long-term strategy for payroll. While the Payroll Service Architecture described above certainly facilitates the integration of HRIS applications, the functional owners of the payroll system are considering two additional options: 1) Buy a commercially packaged payroll solution with an integrated HRIS, or 2) Engage in a collaborative development with other Universities to develop a payroll/HR system, perhaps based on another University's system or a commercial package. Providing HRIS applications under any of these scenarios would require a significant investment, however, which at present is extremely challenging given the current state budget situation. The original Payroll Service Architecture approach is the most incremental approach with the quickest short-term gains, so UC is proceeding with this development in a pilot proof-of-concept mode while the other options are considered.

The Senior Leadership Information System (SLIS) was used to capture compensation and related information for senior leadership and to produce the 2008 Annual Report on Executive Compensation and the Compensated Outside Professional Activities report. SLIS was enhanced in 2008 to provide monitoring and compliance reports to The Regents of compensation actions for senior leadership approved by the President or the Chancellors.

## OTHER REFORMS AND ACTIONS

## Salaries of Top Administrators Frozen

In response to the state budget challenge, on January 14, 2009, the Board of Regents approved President Yudofs proposal to freeze the salaries of 285 top administrators and implement significant restrictions to compensation for an expanded group of senior leadership. The proposal took effect immediately, and will remain in effect for the remainder of 2008-09 and through the 2009-10 fiscal year. Because this action occurred in January 2009, outside the parameters of this year's report, an expanded discussion will be provided in the University's March 2010 Legislative Report on Compensation Policies and Practices.

## Compensation Approval Authority and Governance

At their meeting of September 18, 2008, The Regents approved a recommendation to adjust the Indexed Compensation Level (ICL), which is the amount of total potential cash compensation requiring Regents' review and
approval, from amounts exceeding $\$ 205,000$ to those exceeding $\$ 275,000$. The new 2008-09 ICL is intended to identify a meaningful population that requires the review and approval of The Regents.

The adjustment of the ICL to $\$ 275,000$, in combination with the SMG Salary and Appointment policy approved by The Regents at the same meeting, is consistent with the recommendations in the April 2006 Report of the Task Force on UC Compensation, Accountability, and Transparency. That report states, "The Regents' ability to provide oversight of compensation decisions has been weakened by the large number of compensation decisions they were expected to review. At present, The Regents approve compensation levels for more than 2,000 employees. The Regents should retain authority for approving compensation for the University's most senior positions, but the number of these decisions cannot be so large as to preclude thoughtful and thorough consideration of each decision."

- Regental approval will apply to all compensation actions for all 340 Senior Management Group (SMG) employees, as well as all non-SMG staff who have cash compensation above the new $\$ 275,000$ level.
- The compensation level determines the number of compensation transactions required for Regental review and approval. The additional number of non-SMG staff above the new ICL is approximately 34 individuals, resulting in the number of employees subject to Regental approval of approximately 374.

In September 2008, The Regents also adopted clear mechanisms for approvals of compensation actions within policy, as well as heightened reviews and approvals of any actions that are exceptions to policy. These included the following features, specifically for non-SMG employees:

- Actions either within policy or that are exceptions to policy for non-SMG employees will require a higher level of review and approval -- that of the President for any of the Chancellor's actions or The Regents for any of the President's actions.
- A key feature of this authority and governance model is the production of Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring Reports that are presented to The Regents at each meeting, covering the compensation actions taken by the Chancellors and the President since the last reporting period. The reporting captures details of these compensation actions, showing a before and after picture, including flagging actions that are exceptions to policy. The first of these ongoing Bi-Monthly Reports was presented to The Regents in November 2008.
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# Follow-up Report to the Task Force on UC Compensation, Accountability, and Transparency 

August 13, 2009

## I. Introduction and Purpose

After adopting the recommendations proposed by the Task Force on UC Compensation, Accountability, and Transparency in 2006, the Regents agreed to reconvene in three years' time "...a similarly constituted, independent body to review and report back on the University's progress in these areas.

There are three main areas of focus for the Task Force today:

- Review and validate accomplishments
- Review and comment on pending actions
- Provide guidance on future actions

The following report and materials are provided to assist in the Task Force review:

- A summary report providing the specific actions taken by UC to address the Task Force recommendations
- A chart listing the specific Task Force recommendation, the resulting actions and the details of the status regarding implementation of remedies
- Sample documents to provide additional context


## II. Background

The Task Force on UC Compensation, Accountability, and Transparency was created by the then Chairman of the Board of Regents, Gerry Parsky, early in 2006 to "...conduct an independent review of the University's polices and practices on compensation for faculty and senior managers and on the release of public information regarding compensation and related matters, and to recommend improvements."

The review by the Task Force identified twenty-two findings and recommendations categorized under four primary areas:

- Disclosure and Transparency
- Governance and Accountability
- Specific Policies and Practices
- Competitive Compensation

The following information will address those actions that have been implemented and those underway but not fully implemented, organized within the four primary areas noted above.

## III. Report on Progress

## 1. Actions That Have Been Implemented - Disclosure and Transparency

The following describes the accomplishments and ongoing efforts to implement the Task Force recommendations. A graphic summary is in the accompanying chart.

Over the last three years, the University has implemented reforms consistent with the Task Force recommendations including:

- In 2006, the Regents approved the definition of 'total compensation', applying this definition to policies, and incorporating it into monitoring and reporting standards.
- In 2006, the Regents redefined and clarified protocol and processes to ensure transparency in disclosing senior leadership compensation actions. Actions are now presented to the Regents in advance of meetings and posted publicly after approval.
- In 2006, the Regents established a practice of consistently and fully disclosing itemized details of compensation proposals to the Regents for approval and, once approved, are posted publicly. A sample Regents item and disclosure is provided as Attachments A \& B.
- In 2006, the Regents approved a new format and content for the Annual Report on Executive Compensation. This report is presented to Regents in March of each year and distributed to legislators and the public. This report details the total compensation for staff, systemwide, whose annual cash compensation exceeds the Indexed Compensation Level ( $\$ 214,000$ in 2009). Attachment C.
- In November 2006, UC produced its first annual public reporting of salary and gross pay for all UC employees systemwide. Attachment D
- In 2007, the report on Compensated Outside Professional Activities for all Senior Management Group staff was expanded to include more details regarding the type and amount of compensation received, as well as time spent on the activity and the number of vacation hours taken to perform the service. Attachment E
- In 2006, UC implemented a data warehouse to collect compensation-related information, referred to as the Senior Leadership Information System (SLIS). This database supports reporting and monitoring efforts used in the production of the bi-monthly transaction monitoring reports, the annual reports on compensated outside activity and the Annual Report on Executive Compensation. Attachments F, E, \& C
- In 2006, the Office of the President established and filled a position to oversee Public Information Practices, coordinating all Public Records Act requests for OP. Director of Public Information and Records, Stella Ngai, reports to the Executive Vice President for Business Operations.
- In 2006, Office of the President launched a website to provide the public with details on compensation information. Attachment G

Since 2007, the Office of the President has worked closely with the Senior Vice President for Compliance and Audit to establish ongoing audits to validate accuracy and completeness of campus and OP reporting, monitoring and disclosure of information.

## 2. Actions That Have Been Implemented - Governance and Accountability

- In 2006, the Regents established a Committee on Compensation and created oversight standards for the review and approval of compensation, policies and other HR-related activities.
- In 2006 the Regents established clear delineations of authority for actions requiring approval by the Regents, the President, or the Chancellors, incorporating these standards into policy and process and establishing reporting and monitoring standards.
- The Regents exercise approval authority over approximately 350 staff members including
- all Senior Management Group (SMG) members systemwide,
- any non-SMG direct reports to the President, and
- any non-SMG direct reports to the Chancellors whose total compensation is greater than $\$ 275,000$ and whose actions would be exceptions to policy.
- Currently, any actions taken by the President, Chancellors or Lab Director for staff whose total annual compensation exceeds $\$ 214,000$ are reported to the Regents at each meeting:
- Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring report;
- Report on Actions Taken Under Delegation of Authority for Recruiting and Negotiation Parameters for Certain Athletic Positions and Coaches, Systemwide (Attachment H).
- Exceptions to policy are now clearly defined. In addition, the revised policies include a section on compliance and responsible officers, and the process for dealing with noncompliance. Attachment I
- The Regents' items provide a detailed description of each action and clearly designate each action as an exception to policy or within policy. Policy exceptions are also noted in public disclosures of actions, and in the monitoring and annual reports on compensation.
- In 2006, Policy Review Committees were established to initiate a review process ultimately resulting in sixteen policies being identified for revision, the details of which are covered in the next section.
- The OP Compliance and Audit office established an ethics training program, mandated for all employees, and integrated it into the ongoing compliance and conflict of interest training sessions, as well as other training modules. Over the last two years approximately $85 \%$ of all employees systemwide completed their annual training. It is expected that compliance will increase as the training is expanded.


## 3. Actions that Have Been Implemented - Specific Policies and Practices

Sixteen policies were targeted for revision and ten have been completely revised and implemented. The revised policies now delineate clear definitions of approval authority, accountability, exceptions to policy and compliance.

The following policies have been revised and implemented:

- SMG Salary and Appointment
- SMG Hiring Bonus
- University-provided housing
- SMG Auto Allowance
- SMG Moving Reimbursement
- SMG relocation allowance
- Separation Agreements (not addressed by the Task Force)
- Rehired Retirees (not addressed by the Task Force)
- Interim policy on Compensated Outside Professional Activities
- SMG Performance Management


## 4. Actions That Have Been Implemented - Competitive Compensation

In 2005, the first Total Remuneration Study was presented to the Regents. This report provided details of the UC competitive position for cash compensation, benefits and total remuneration for ladder rank faculty and staff. An updated Total Remuneration Study was recently completed using data from 2007, benchmarking against the 26 public and private institutions designated by the California Post-Secondary Education Commission (CPEC). The report is under final review within the Office of the President and will be presented to the Regents in September or November of this year. This study shows that overall Ladder Rank Faculty (LRF) are approximately $20 \%$ behind market average salaries at comparable private institutions and approximately $3 \%$ ahead of the public institutions. When the public and private data is combined, Full Professors lag this aggregated market average by 10\%, Associate Professors lag by $12 \%$ and Assistant Professors are behind by $7 \%$. When benefits are brought into the equation, and assuming that employee contributions to UCRP commence in April 2010, total remuneration for overall LRF is $14 \%$ behind the privates and $7 \%$ above the market average for public institutions. Attachment J

In FY 2007-08, the Regents adopted a four year faculty salary plan designed to address market disparity. The first year of the program was funded; however no further funding was available for year two or three (FY 2008-09/FY 2009-10) due to State budget reductions sustained by the University. In FY 2008-09 and FY 2009-10, faculty merit increases were funded, but staff merits were cancelled. During this period, salary increases for represented staff were honored consistent with the terms of collective bargaining agreements.

## IV. Ongoing Efforts

## 1. Ongoing Efforts - Disclosure and Transparency - Human Resource Information System (HRIS)

In 2006 the Senior Leadership Information System (SLIS) was launched to provide a mechanism for collecting and reporting compensation-related data on the executive group. Although limited in its functionality, it provided a means of consistently characterizing data for reporting and monitoring activity, as well as responding to public information requests. It models capabilities that will be incorporated into a new HRIS.

Also in 2006, UC commissioned Deloitte Consulting to conduct a high-level assessment of all HR information technologies across the UC system. The study also identified challenges associated with efforts to integrate the various HR approaches that currently exist. While resources were not available to implement a comprehensive HRIS solution in the past, the Payroll Personnel System (PPS) project currently underway is designed to improve access to more robust and higher quality systemwide data, with HRIS functionality now being incorporated in the overall project.

A Workgroup, comprised of campus and OP leadership, was recently formed to review options to establish a new PPS with the intention of creating a 'single instance' system to pay all University and affiliate employees. Currently there are eleven different payroll systems across the enterprise, and the cost associated with maintaining separate systems requires that this effort be given priority. The current payroll efforts create significant challenges with respect to maintenance, data consistency and resource allocation. This effort, which launches on September 1, will begin to reconcile all eleven instances into a common, core functionality, and then review the various technology solutions to support the payroll effort.

In addition, the Workgroup will explore the feasibility of expanding this project to include an HR IT solution as a front end and 'system of record'. While payroll may have been the impetus to this undertaking, there is growing acknowledgement that the HRIS overlay represents the largest value added to the project.

The Workgroup has posted a Request for Information (RFI) with various consulting firms and service providers as a means of pre-qualifying the respondents to perform a gap analysis across the UC enterprise. The Workgroup has also produced a 'white paper' that provides details on the current situation, identifies key issues, and establishes guiding principles for choosing a process reconciliation path and technology solution. Ultimately, implementation of the new PPS will provide much better controls, such as data entry edits, to improve the consistency of data, and
will better support systemwide compliance and monitoring activity, as well as increase efficiencies and reduce operational costs.

## 2. Ongoing Efforts - Disclosure and Transparency - Data Consistency

As the PPS project progresses, OP continues working with campuses to standardize payroll codes to achieve consistency in tracking and reporting. The following represents some of the accomplishments to date:

- Certain vague and general-purpose payroll codes such as "by agreement" have been consolidated and restricted, with additional, more detailed documentation providing clearer definition.
- Ongoing training is provided to the payroll managers at the various locations, helping to ensure consistent application and interpretation of codes and procedures.
- Campuses can no longer establish their own new payroll codes but must request Payroll Coordination at UCOP so that consistency and linkage to CPS (Corporate Personnel System) can be assured.


## 3. Ongoing Efforts - Governance and Accountability - Pending Policy Revisions

Of the sixteen policies that were targeted for revision, the following six policies are currently being revised to be implemented by the end of fiscal year 2009-10:

- Certain sections of the Regents Standing Orders and By Laws are being amended to provide further clarification and align with other policies. The Office of General Counsel has submitted a revised draft for review and consultation. The final amended policies will be submitted for approval by end of calendar year.
- The Outside Professional Activities policy will be finalized and presented to Regents in November. This revised policy will replace the interim policy currently in effect since 2006.
- The policy to migrate Deans from the SMG policies to Academic Personnel policies will be presented to Regents in September. Attachment K (This policy was not identified in earlier Task Force documents.)
- The Transitional Leave policy (Administrative Leave in Lieu of Sabbatical) is being finalized with several approaches identified in consultation with the Academic Senate. The final policy is expected to be submitted to Regents in November.
- The Absence from Work policy is a staff policy that was not identified in earlier Task Force documents, but included to support other policies being revised. This policy has been drafted and is being finalized for approval by the end of the calendar year.
- The policy governing SMG Cash Recognition and Incentive Awards is also under review with OP leadership, and will be submitted for approval by June 2010


## 4. Ongoing Efforts - Competitive Compensation - Challenges to Progress

The President and the Regents have consistently recognized the importance of ensuring that the University be in a position to provide competitive compensation to faculty and staff to ensure that it can attract and retain the best talent possible. Historically the cash compensation for existing faculty and staff has lagged the market in varying degrees, in one recent case by as much as $37 \%$ for Chancellors.

Although the University's benefits have tended to soften the impact of this disparity, the impending restart of employee contributions into the UC Retirement Plan (UCRP) scheduled for April 2010 and the trend of shifting increasing costs of some benefits to employees has resulted in a reduction in the value of these benefits. Additional details of the University's Total Remuneration position to market is contained in Attachment J .

In FY 2007-08, the Regents adopted a four year faculty salary plan designed to address market disparity. The first year of the program was funded; however no further funding was provided for year two or three (FY 2008-09/FY 2009-10) due to State budget reductions sustained by the University. In FY 2008-09 and FY 2009-10, faculty merits increases did continue to be funded even though staff merits were cancelled.

The magnitude of the State funding reductions to the University for FY 2008-09 and FY 2009-10 totaling over $\$ 800$ million (a $20 \%$ reduction over FY 2007-08 levels) caused the Regents, upon request of the President, to declare a fiscal emergency and impose furloughs/salary reductions on faculty and staff for the period of September 1, 2009 through August 31, 2010.

Clearly these actions caused by the dramatic State funding reductions confronting the University will challenge the University's ability to remain competitive in retaining and recruiting faculty and staff. The next few years will test the University's ability to address fiscal challenges in new and creative ways, to free up resources to reshape the University's future and ensure that appropriate market-based total remuneration is a component of a sustainable solution to maintaining the University's reputation as a top-ranked public research and educational institution.

We believe further examination of our current remuneration strategy will reveal gaps in cash compensation for faculty and staff that will need to be addressed for UC to deliver competitive remuneration.

Several initiatives are scheduled to get fully underway this Fall to construct an overall strategy of Talent Management: Areas include:

- Market Based Pay
- Health and Welfare Benefits
- Retirement Programs

We expect to have defined initiatives that will be ready to launch by FY 2010.

## V. Conclusion

Over the last three years, UC has made significant progress in addressing the recommendations of Regent Parsky's Task Force. Many of the issues that made it necessary to convene such a committee have been addressed. However, there are some areas where continued discipline and progress are critical.

Moving forward, efforts must be focused on more of the strategic challenges facing the University. The current financial crisis has dictated that some of the strategic efforts with regard to pay and remuneration be put on hold. With the appropriate controls, checks and balances in place, we believe we can continue to be successful in continuing to rebuild confidence in UC's ability to manage the talent and systems to build upon our status in the educational community.

# Compliance and Internal Audit Addendum to: <br> Follow-up Report to the Task Force on UC Compensation, Accountability, And Transparency 

August 13, 2009

## I. Report on Progress - Compliance and Internal Audit Perspective

## 1. Disclosure and Transparency

The following describes compliance and internal audit's role in independently reviewing management actions to implement the Task Force recommendations.

- Beginning 2007, audits have been performed at every location each year validating the completeness and accuracy of the Annual Report on Executive Compensation (including housing expenses and T \& E for the President and Chancellors). These audits also validate compliance with various new policies developed, e.g. definition of total compensation, use of disclosure template, reporting of exceptions to policy, inclusion of all whose compensation dictates reporting.
- In October 2007, the SVP/Chief Compliance and Audit Officer Role and Office of Systemwide Ethics Compliance and Audit Services were implemented. This office has been working in conjunction with the Office of the President to audit and/or validate management action in complying with the task force recommendations.
- October, 2007, participation on the management Policy Review Project began where compliance and internal audit provided feedback on draft policies and related processes on an ongoing basis.
- Compliance and Internal Audit have participated in an advisory capacity on various workgroups, especially in the areas of data integrity and compliance.
- Compliance and Internal Audit have work closely with the Director of Public Information and Records to assure requests are responded to timely.
- In 2007, Internal Audit reviewed the public website with details on compensation information in conjunction with the 2007 Review of the Status of Implementation of the Executive Compensation Recommendations.


## 2. Governance and Accountability

- Ongoing compliance monitoring and annual audits has been occurring since 2007 related to appropriate Regental and Senior Management approvals per compensation policies and procedures. Specific areas of focus are the management actions below:
- The Regents exercise approval authority over approximately 350 staff members including
- all Senior Management Group (SMG) members systemwide,
- any non-SMG direct reports to the President, and
- Any non-SMG direct reports to the Chancellors whose total compensation is greater than $\$ 275,000$ and whose actions would be exceptions to policy.
- Currently, any actions taken by the President, Chancellors or Lab Director for staff whose total annual compensation exceeds $\$ 214,000$ are reported to the Regents at each meeting:
- Bi-Monthly Transaction Monitoring report;
- Report on Actions Taken Under Delegation of Authority for Recruiting and Negotiation Parameters for Certain Athletic Positions and Coaches, Systemwide (Attachment H).
- Compliance and Internal Audit review all exceptions to policy and if needed, request additional information.
- In 2006, a task force was established to develop and implement the ethics training program, mandated for all employees. The training was implemented in 2007 and then is now being integrated into ongoing compliance and conflict of interest training sessions, as well as other training modules. Over the last two years approximately $85 \%$ of all employees systemwide completed their annual training. It is expected that compliance will increase as the training is expanded.
- In 2007, internal audit at each UC location incorporates any of the newly implemented policies into their Annual Report on Executive Compensation audits. Additionally, compliance reviews drafts of any new policy prior to final approval.


## 3. Specific Policies and Practices

- Ongoing monitoring has occurred related to policy development.
- In 2009/2010, systemwide audits of all the newly adopted compensation policies will be audited at each UC location.
- Additionally, compliance reviews drafts of any new policy prior to final approval.


## WASC STANDARDS AND CRITERIA FOR REVIEW (CFR) RELEVANT TO COMPENSATION AUDIT AND TASK FORCE FINDINGS Revised 2008

## STANDARD 1 - Defining Institutional Purposes and Ensuring Educational Objectives:

The institution defines its purposes and establishes educational objectives aligned with its purposes and character. It has a clear and conscious sense of its essential values and character, its distinctive elements, its place in the higher education community, and its relationship to society at large. Through its purposes and educational objectives, the institution dedicates itself to higher learning, the search for truth, and the dissemination of knowledge. The institution functions with integrity and autonomy.
$\rightarrow$ CFR 1.3: The institution's leadership creates and sustains a leadership system at all levels that is marked by high performance, appropriate responsibility, and accountability.
$\rightarrow$ CFR 1.8: The institution exhibits integrity in its operations as demonstrated by the implementation of appropriate policies, sound business practices, timely and fair responses to complaints and grievances, and regular evaluation of its performance in these areas.

STANDARD 3: Organizational Structures and Decision-Making Processes: The institution sustains its operations and supports the achievement of its educational objectives through its investment in human, physical, fiscal, and information resources and through an appropriate and effective set of organizational and decision-making structures. These key resources and organizational structures promote the achievement of institutional purposes and educational objectives and create a high quality environment for learning.
$\rightarrow$ CFR 3.8: The institution's organizational structures and decision-making processes are clear, consistent with its purposes, support effective decision making, and place priority on sustaining effective academic programs.
$\rightarrow$ CFR 3.9: The institution has an independent governing board or similar authority that, consistent with its legal and fiduciary authority, exercises appropriate oversight over institutional integrity, policies, and ongoing operations ...
$\rightarrow$ CFR 3.10: The institution has a full-time chief executive officer and a chief financial officer whose primary or full-time responsibility is to the institution. In addition, the institution has a sufficient number of other qualified administrators to provide effective educational leadership and management.


[^0]:    Notes of changes subsequent to March report:
    There was a decrease of about $\$ 2.66 \mathrm{M}$ in the budget of unrestricted funds
    There was a decrease of about $\$ 2.66 \mathrm{M}$ in the budget of unrestricted funds
    There was an increase of about $\$ 1.24 \mathrm{M}$ in the budget of restricted funds

[^1]:    Notes of changes subsequent to March report:
    3.80 FTE and $\$ 375,520$ restricted funds increase due to transfer of Intersegmental Coordinating Committee from the Academic Affairs Immediate Office
    1.00 FTE and $\$ 122,338$ unrestricted funds decrease in the Science and Math Initiative
    1
    1.00 FTE and $\$ 100,749$ restricted funds increase for Research and Evaluation Analyst position
    $1.00 \mathrm{FTE}, \$ 41,605$ unrestricted funds and $\$ 41,605$ restricted funds increase for Resource Develo
    1.00 FTE, $\$ 41,605$ unrestricted funds and $\$ 41,605$ restricted funds increase for Resource Development position
    1.00 FTE, $\$ 34,390$ unrestricted funds and $\$ 34,390$ restricted funds increase for Contract and Grant Analyst positio

    Net decrease in Program Costs and Flow Through attributed to the following
    ${ }^{3}$ Elimination of Analytical Writing Placement Exam (AWPE) committee supp
    ${ }^{3}$ Elimination of Analytical Writing Placement Exam (AWPE) committee support- $\$ 75,000$ unrestricted funds
    ${ }^{4}$ Elimination of Puente Program indirect costs- $\$ 241,000$ restricted funds
    Elimination of Puente Program indirect costs- $\$ 241,000$ restricted funds
    ${ }^{5}$ Reduction of AWPE contract expense; contract commitment was also bu
    ${ }^{5}$ Reduction of AWPE contract expense; contract commitment was also budgeted in Student Affairs and Admissions- $\$ 1,205,523$ restricted funds
    ${ }^{6}$ Re-categorization of COSMOS scholarships from Other Office to Fund Flowthrough- $\$ 140,000$ restricted funds

[^2]:    The Restructuring Contingency of $\$ 113,771$ reflected in the March Appropriations Proposal has been applied to the budgets of the Communications unit and the Alumni and

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Working Group's membership includes Provost and Executive Vice President W. R. Hume (Sponsor), UC Davis Chancellor Larry Vanderhoef (Convener), Regent Leslie Schilling, Regent Ben Allen, Executive Vice President Katherine Lapp, Academic Senate Chair Michael Brown, UC Irvine Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost Michael Gottfredson, UC Santa Cruz Vice Chancellor Meredith Michaels, and UC Berkeley Vice Chancellor Nathan Brostrom, with staff participation by Vice Provost Daniel Greenstein, Special Assistant to the Provost Jan Corlett, Special Advisor to Chairman Blum Betsy Horan, and the Monitor Group.
    ${ }^{2}$ This report does not purport to describe the roles and responsibilities of the Regents and Chancellors except as they pertain to the interfaces with the president, nor does it comment on or seek to alter the role of the Academic Senate.

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ See Monitor Group Report to the Regents: University of California Organizational Restructuring Effort (September 2007).
    ${ }^{4}$ The Working Group's membership includes Provost and Executive Vice President W. R. Hume (Sponsor), UC Davis Chancellor Larry Vanderhoef (Convener), Regent Leslie Schilling, Regent Ben Allen, Executive Vice President Katherine Lapp, Academic Senate Chair Michael Brown, UC Irvine Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost Michael Gottfredson, UC Santa Cruz Vice Chancellor Meredith Michaels, and UC Berkeley Vice Chancellor Nathan Brostrom, with staff participation by Vice Provost Daniel Greenstein, Special Assistant to the Provost Jan Corlett, Special Advisor to Chairman Blum Betsy Horan, and the Monitor Group.

[^5]:    ${ }^{5}$ For example, The Role of the Office of the President in the Management of the University of California, California Postsecondary Education Commission 1991.

[^6]:    ${ }^{6}$ The president also selects the Director of Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, and subsequent references to chancellors in this document apply as appropriate to the director.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Panel on the Nonprofit Sector, Strengthening Transparency, Governance, Accountability of Charitable Organizations, a final report to Congress and the Nonprofit Sector, June 2005, p. 67.

[^8]:    ${ }^{2}$ Securities and Exchange Commission, 17 CFR PARTS 228, 229, 239, 240, 245, 249 AND 274, RELEASE NOS. 33-8655; 34-53185; IC-27218; FILE NO. S7-03-06, RIN 3235-AI80, Proposed Changes to Disclosure Requirements Concerning Executive Compensation and Related Party disclosure, pages. 26 52

[^9]:    ${ }^{3}$ Securities and Exchange Commission, 17 CFR PARTS 228, 229, 239, 240, 245, 249 AND 274, RELEASE NOS. 33-8655; 34-53185; IC-27218; FILE NO. S7-03-06, RIN 3235-AI80, Proposed Changes to Disclosure Requirements Concerning Executive Compensation and Related Party disclosure, pages. 46 48

[^10]:    GIDDINGS,LELAND M UCSD
    CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER, PRIVACY OFFICER, AND MEDICAL DIRECTOR FOR CLINICAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

[^11]:    Senior Management Supplement

[^12]:    Page:

[^13]:    Page:

[^14]:    *See Footnote in the Addendum

[^15]:    NOTES: ${ }^{1)}$ Contract provides opportunity to earn performance-based compensation up to $\$ 70,000$ per annum. Coach is eligible to receive performance bonuses for each contract year in which such accomplishments are achieved.
    ${ }^{2)}$ Base building increases as follows: 22 percent (Year 1); 10.6 percent (Year 2); and 10.6 percent (Year 3)

[^16]:    Contact information:
    UCOP Systemwide Budget Office
    1111 Franklin Street, 10th Flr.
    Oakland, CA 94607-5220
    Office website: http://budget.ucop.edu
    Report website: http://budget.ucop.edu/legreports/200809/200809legreq.html

