University of California 2019 Ethics, Compliance and Audit Symposium REACHING NEW HEIGHTS

Insent .

Best Practices for Audit Follow-up

Matt Hicks, Systemwide Deputy Audit Officer, UCOP

Loran Lerma, Principal Auditor, UC Irvine

Greg Loge, Systemwide Cybersecurity Audit Director, UCOP

Agenda

- **1.** UC Audit Follow-Up Procedures
- 2. IIA and UC Guidance on Audit Follow-Up
 - Management Risk Acceptance
- **3.** TeamMate Reporting and Wrap Up Procedures
- **4.** Cybersecurity Audit Team (CAT) Audit Follow-Up
- 5. 300+ MCAs Success Story UC Irvine



Audit Follow-up Process



IIA Standards on Audit Follow-up

2500 – Monitoring Progress

The chief audit executive must establish and maintain a system to monitor the disposition of results communicated to management.

2500.A1 – The chief audit executive must establish a follow-up process to monitor and ensure that management actions have been effectively implemented or that senior management has accepted the risk of not taking action.

2500.C1 – The internal audit activity must monitor the disposition of results of consulting engagements to the extent agreed upon with the client.



Practice Advisory 2500.A1-1: Follow-up Process

- Internal auditors determine whether management has taken action or implemented the recommendation
- Follow-up is a process by which internal auditors evaluate the adequacy, effectiveness, and timeliness of actions taken by management on reported observations and recommendations
 - This process also includes determining whether senior management and/or the board have assumed the risk of not taking corrective action on reported observations.
- The internal audit activity's charter should define the responsibility for follow-up
 - The chief audit executive (CAE) determines the nature, timing, and extent of follow-up





Practice Advisory 2500.A1-1: Follow-up Process

- The CAE is responsible for scheduling follow-up activities as part of developing engagement work schedules
- Where the CAE judges that management's oral or written response indicates that action taken is sufficient when weighed against the relative importance of the observation or recommendation, internal auditors may follow up as part of the next engagement
- Internal auditors ascertain whether actions taken on observations and recommendations remedy the underlying conditions
 - Follow-up activities should be appropriately documented





Internal Audit Manual on Audit Follow-up (Section 6400)

- TeamCentral should be used to track and manage audit findings and corresponding management corrective actions
 - May be supplemented as necessary on a local basis to meet local management reporting expectations
- Auditor should follow up on MCAs on a timely basis using his/her professional judgment to:
 - Ascertain status of MCA and evaluate the adequacy, progress and timeliness of actions taken
 - Decide whether there is need for additional testing/follow-up
 - Document the results of follow-up in TeamCentral





Internal Audit Manual on Audit Follow-up (Section 6400)

- Internal Audit determines if the risk identified was resolved or if management has assumed the risk of not taking action
- Where recommendations are provided, management has the option to consider other action as long as the risk is resolved and/or managed to an acceptable level
- Audit management should notify the next higher level of line management and/or the audit oversight committee of any unsatisfactory or untimely responses or actions
- IAD should periodically advise the audit oversight committee of follow-up activities, high risk open items and MCAs that are overdue
- If management is not taking appropriate or timely action to complete and MCA, the issue will be escalated



MCA Escalation Stages



Issue has been escalated to the Regents' attention

Stage 5

Management Risk Acceptance

IIA Standards:

- 2600 Communicating the Acceptance of Risks
 - When the chief audit executive concludes that management has accepted a level of risk that may be unacceptable to the organization, the chief audit executive must discuss the matter with senior management. If the chief audit executive determines that the matter has not been resolved, the chief audit executive must communicate the matter to the board.
- Interpretation: The identification of risk accepted by management may be observed through an assurance or consulting engagement, monitoring progress on actions taken by management as a result of prior engagements, or other means. It is not the responsibility of the chief audit executive to resolve the risk.



Management Risk Acceptance

UC Audit Manual:

• 6300 Reporting Results

Internal Audit obtains agreement with the engagement client about the conclusions and action plans of the audit. In the event of a disagreement, the communications state both positions and the reasons for the disagreement.



- Complete Lead Auditor wrap-up procedures
- Complete Manager wrap-up procedures
- Complete Administrator (QAR) steps



<u>Lead Auditor</u>

- **1.** Add final audit report copy (.pdf) to EWP
- 2. In EWP, create procedure step for all reported exceptions
- 3. From the final report copy Word.doc, cut/paste observations and MCAs into EWP exceptions in same order as report (so EWP exception #1 matches the final report #1) and enter:
 - Exception Title
 - Type (Operational, Financial, Compliance)
 - Level (Report issue)





Lead Auditor

- 3. Complete Tabs:
 - Finding (verbatim as in report final)
 - Brief Summary (summary of observation) ** Important/used by Matt H.to report to Regent's Audit Committee
 - Properties (VC Code, Location, COSO Code, Finding Index Code (same as Origin code in Profile/General Tab)
 - Recommendation (Title)
 - MCA (verbatim as in report final)
 - Implementation (Estimated date, Track in TeamCentral checked)
 - Contact(s) Owner: Responsible person/MCA owner First/Last name and email
 - Brief MCA (summary of MCA) ** Important/used by Matt H. to report to Regent's Audit Committee
 - Properties Findings Risk Rating (High, Medium, Low)
 - State (Open)



Lead Auditor

4. Run UC Mandatory Data Elements Validation Checklist

A <u>red highlighted field</u> or value in the Validation Checklist report indicates that a required data element is invalid or is not completed. A <u>yellow highlighted field</u> or value indicates the status of an optional data element. The Validation Checklist report should be re-run until all of the mandatory UC data elements are completed and the required values in the report appear <u>highlighted green</u>.





<u>Manager</u>

- **1.** In the Profile Tab Complete:
 - Team Tab Access and Coordinators Add New for both Project Access Groups and Implementation Coordinator Group – enter UCI IC Group
 - Schedule Tab Actual End Date
 - Status and Milestones Tab Status Tab: Actual Dates, Milestones Tab: Fieldwork Completion date, Draft Report date, Final Report Issued date
- 2. Select TeamCentral (Opens TeamCentral Send Wizard)
- **3.** Filter exceptions to send (Limit to levels: Report Issue)
- **4**. Select Finish Obtain confirmation
- 5. Open TeamMate Central and validate/confirm proper send to central

<u>Administrator</u>

- **1.** Open EWP file and ensure:
 - Final report added (.pdf)
 - All draft reports removed
 - All workpapers signed-off by preparer/reviewer
 - Attestations prepared/signed-off
 - Coaching notes have been removed
 - Extraneous workpapers have been removed
- 2. Send Survey to Auditee





CAT Audit Follow-Up

Background - Cybersecurity Audit Team (CAT)

- Team Focus
 - Support location internal audit offices as needed with cybersecurity expertise
 - Systemwide cyber-risk focused internal audits projects
- Systemwide Audit Projects
 - Performed all across UC system
 - Reports and associated management corrective actions (MCAs) issued to each location





CAT Audit Follow-Up - Example

Example Audit – FY 17 Vulnerability Assessment and Penetration Testing Audit

- Performed penetration testing across UC campus locations
- In first year 56 corrective actions across all UC locations
- 56 of 56 actions closed on time within 300 day limit
- MCA focus
 - Strengthen Systems
 - Improve Processes







CAT Audit Follow-Up - Example

- MCA reporting process key to on time closure
 - Monthly MCA reports sent to each location Cyber-Risk Responsible Executive (CRE) and copying the Chief Information Officer (CIO) and Chief Information Security Officer (CISO)
 - Established regular reporting norm no surprises as deadline approached
 - Easy path for escalation Leadership informed ongoing of status
 - Monthly report delivery empowered auditors and helped with responsiveness





300+ MCAs Success Story: UC Irvine

<u>New Systemwide Audit MCA Reporting Process (October 2018)</u>

Regents Compliance and Audit Committee initiated a new process to send reports to the Chancellors on a bi-monthly basis of all MCAs from local internal audit projects that have been open more than 300 days

Given that these MCAs are reported to the Regents, this process also gives the Chancellors advance notification that these MCAs are being escalated to the Board. Purpose is to increase monitoring of 300+ MCAs status, and determine whether delays or obstacles to closure need further action as necessary



300+ MCAs Success Story: UC Irvine

New UCI Audit MCA Process

At the request of the Chancellor, Vice Chancellor Cortez has initiated a new process to assure that UCI is fully compliant with completing all open MCAs by target deadlines, thus avoiding further actions or escalations.

1. Notification of Incomplete Management Corrective Actions

Senior leadership responsible for administration organizations MCAs will be notified to meet with VC Cortez and IAS to address incomplete MCAs over 100 days old from report date (in order to avoid reaching the 300 day threshold).

MCAs that require more than 300 days to implement will need to address short term mitigating efforts and or fully explain reasons for the delayed implementation (Regents concern that target dates are not realistic and /or revising the target dates more than once).

2. <u>Progress Report to the Chancellor</u>

VC Cortez will provide a monthly progress report to the Chancellor discussing the status of all incomplete MCAs (especially over 300 days old), and address any concerns that may impede implementation and require further action.

300+ MCAs Success Story: UC Irvine

New UCI Audit MCA Local Report

Incomplete	e MCAs as of October 14											
Project Code	Project Name	Administrative Organization	Stakeholder	Executive	Report Issue Date	Total No. of MCAs	Under 100 Days	Over 100 Days	Over 200 Days	Over 300 Days	Days From Report Date	Status
											>300 DAYS	
											>200 DAYS	
12019-210	Portable Devices		Sri Bharadwaj (MC) and Francine Jeffrey (SOM)	CEO Larry Anstine (interim) and Dean Michael Stamos	4/8/2019	2		2			189	On target to close by 12/31/19
12019-101	C&G Accounting Cost Transfers	DFA	Beata Najman	VC Ron Cortez	6/1/2019	3		3			135	To close by 1/31/20
12019-209	Social Media-SOM	SOM	Anne Warde	Dean Michael Stamos	7/1/2019	5		2			105	Revised to close by 10/25/19
12019-209	Social Media-MC	Medical Center	Brian O'Dea	Ria Carlson, Associate Chancellor, Strategic Comm & Public affairs	7/1/2019	5		2			105	Revised to close by 10/25/19
											>100 DAYS	
	Medical Equipment Inventory and Maintenance	Medical Center	Charles Adams	CEO Richard (Rick) Gannotta	8/16/2019	6	3				59	To close between 9/30 & 1/01/20
12019-105	Cloud Computing	ОІТ	Joshua Drummond	CIO & AVC Kian Colestock (interim)	9/25/2019	6	6				20	To close by 7/31/2020
12019-105	Cloud Computing	DFA	Snehal Bhatt	VC Ron Cortez	9/25/2019	1	1				20	To close by 7/31/2020
l2019-207	Sales and Service Agreements	DFA	Katherine Gallardo, Director of Finance	VC Ron Cortez	10/1/2019	5	5					To Close between 12/31 and 3/31/2020
											< 100 days	