

**STUDENT EXPENSES**

**AND**

**RESOURCES SURVEY**

1997-98

University of California  
Office of the President  
Student Academic Services  
Student Financial Support

December 2000

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>SURVEY DESCRIPTION.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>SECTION A: DEPENDENCY STATUS .....</b>	<b>14</b>
<i>TABLE A1: Percent of Students by Dependency Status.....</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>TABLE A2: Percent of Students by Dependency Status and Ethnicity.....</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>TABLE A3: Percent of Students by Dependency Status, 1979-80 to 1997-98.....</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>TABLE A4: Percent of Undergraduates by Dependency Status and Ethnicity, 1991-92 to 1997-98.....</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>TABLE A5: Dependency Status by Campus.....</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>TABLE A6: Percent of Dependent and Independent Undergraduates by Campus, 1988-89 to 1997-98.....</i>	<i>19</i>
<b>SECTION B: PARENT INCOME.....</b>	<b>20</b>
<i>TABLE B1: Parent Income by Dependency Status.....</i>	<i>21</i>
<i>TABLE B2: Parent Income, 1971 to 1997.....</i>	<i>22</i>
<i>TABLE B3: Parent Income by Dependency Status and Aid Recipient Status.....</i>	<i>23</i>
<i>TABLE B4: Parent Income by Aid Recipient Status, 1988 to 1997.....</i>	<i>25</i>
<i>TABLE B5: Parent Income by Dependency and Ethnicity.....</i>	<i>26</i>
<i>TABLE B6: Median Parent Income by Ethnicity, 1988 to 1997.....</i>	<i>28</i>
<i>TABLE B7: Parent Income Campus Comparisons.....</i>	<i>29</i>
<i>TABLE B8: Median Parent Income by Campus, 1979 to 1997.....</i>	<i>30</i>
<i>TABLE B9: Parent Income by Dependency Status, Berkeley.....</i>	<i>31</i>
<i>TABLE B10: Parent Income by Dependency Status, Davis.....</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>TABLE B11: Parent Income by Dependency Status, Irvine.....</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>TABLE B12: Parent Income by Dependency Status, Los Angeles.....</i>	<i>34</i>
<i>TABLE B13: Parent Income by Dependency Status, Riverside.....</i>	<i>35</i>
<i>TABLE B14: Parent Income by Dependency Status, San Diego.....</i>	<i>36</i>
<i>TABLE B15: Parent Income by Dependency Status, San Francisco.....</i>	<i>37</i>
<i>TABLE B16: Parent Income by Dependency Status, Santa Barbara.....</i>	<i>38</i>
<i>TABLE B17: Parent Income by Dependency Status, Santa Cruz.....</i>	<i>39</i>
<b>SECTION C: STUDENT EMPLOYMENT.....</b>	<b>40</b>
<i>TABLE C1: Student Employment by Dependency Status.....</i>	<i>42</i>
<i>TABLE C2: Student Employment by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status.....</i>	<i>43</i>
<i>TABLE C3: Student Employment by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status, 1988-89 to 1997-98.....</i>	<i>44</i>
<i>TABLE C4: Student Employment by Dependency and Ethnicity.....</i>	<i>45</i>
<i>TABLE C5: Undergraduate Employment by Dependency and Ethnicity, 1988-89 to 1997-98.....</i>	<i>47</i>
<i>TABLE C6: Undergraduate Employment by Dependency and Class Year.....</i>	<i>48</i>
<i>TABLE C7: Undergraduate Employment by Dependency and Parent Income.....</i>	<i>49</i>
<i>TABLE C8: Undergraduate Employment by Dependency and Parent Income, 1994-95 and 1997-98.....</i>	<i>50</i>
<i>TABLE C9: Undergraduate Employment, On- and Off-Campus, 1991-92 to 1997-98.....</i>	<i>51</i>
<i>TABLE C10: Employment Rates and Workload, Campus Comparisons.....</i>	<i>52</i>
<i>TABLE C11: Academic Year Student Employment by Campus, 1979-80 to 1997-98.....</i>	<i>53</i>
<i>TABLE C12: Student Employment by Dependency Status, Berkeley.....</i>	<i>54</i>
<i>TABLE C13: Student Employment by Dependency Status, Davis.....</i>	<i>55</i>
<i>TABLE C14: Student Employment by Dependency Status, Irvine.....</i>	<i>56</i>
<i>TABLE C15: Student Employment by Dependency Status, Los Angeles.....</i>	<i>57</i>
<i>TABLE C16: Student Employment by Dependency Status, Riverside.....</i>	<i>58</i>
<i>TABLE C17: Student Employment by Dependency Status, San Diego.....</i>	<i>59</i>

TABLE C18: Student Employment by Dependency Status, San Francisco.....	60
TABLE C19: Student Employment by Dependency Status, Santa Barbara .....	61
TABLE C20: Student Employment by Dependency Status, Santa Cruz.....	62
TABLE C21: Student Summer Employment by Dependency Status.....	63
TABLE C22: Undergraduate Student Summer Employment by Dependency Status, 1994-95 and 1997-98.....	64
TABLE C23: Student Summer Employment by Dependency Status and Campus.....	65
TABLE C24: Undergraduate Students by Employment Status, Average Units Attempted and Grade Point Average .....	67

**SECTION D: STUDENT INCOME.....68**

TABLE D1: Student Annual Income by Dependency .....	69
TABLE D2: Student Annual Income by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status.....	70
TABLE D3: Median Annual Income by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status.....	71
TABLE D4: Student Annual Income by Dependency and Ethnicity.....	72
TABLE D5: Median Student Annual Income By Ethnicity, 1988-89 to 1997-98.....	74
TABLE D6: Student Annual Income, Campus Comparisons.....	75
TABLE D7: Median Student Annual Income By Campus, 1979-80 to 1997-98.....	76
TABLE D8: Student Annual Income by Dependency Status, Berkeley.....	77
TABLE D9: Student Annual Income by Dependency Status, Davis.....	78
TABLE D10: Student Annual Income by Dependency Status, Irvine .....	79
TABLE D11: Student Annual Income by Dependency Status, Los Angeles.....	80
TABLE D12: Student Annual Income by Dependency Status, Riverside.....	81
TABLE D13: Student Annual Income by Dependency Status, San Diego.....	82
TABLE D14: Student Annual Income by Dependency Status, San Francisco.....	83
TABLE D15: Student Annual Income by Dependency Status, Santa Barbara .....	84
TABLE D16: Student Annual Income by Dependency Status, Santa Cruz.....	85
TABLE D17: Student Academic Year Income by Dependency.....	86
TABLE D18: Student Academic Year Income by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status.....	87
TABLE D19: Student Academic Year Income by Dependency Status, Berkeley.....	88
TABLE D20: Student Academic Year Income by Dependency Status, Davis .....	89
TABLE D21: Student Academic Year Income by Dependency Status, Irvine.....	90
TABLE D22: Student Academic Year Income by Dependency Status, Los Angeles.....	91
TABLE D23: Student Academic Year Income by Dependency Status, Riverside.....	92
TABLE D24: Student Academic Year Income by Dependency Status, San Diego.....	93
TABLE D25: Student Academic Year Income by Dependency Status, San Francisco .....	94
TABLE D26: Student Academic Year Income by Dependency Status, Santa Barbara .....	95
TABLE D27: Student Academic Year Income by Dependency Status, Santa Cruz.....	96
TABLE D28: Student Summer Income by Dependency.....	97
TABLE D29: Student Summer Income by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status.....	98
TABLE D30: Student Summer Income by Dependency, Berkeley .....	99
TABLE D31: Student Summer Income by Dependency, Davis.....	100
TABLE D32: Student Summer Income by Dependency, Irvine .....	101
TABLE D33: Student Summer Income by Dependency, Los Angeles .....	102
TABLE D34: Student Summer Income by Dependency, Riverside.....	103
TABLE D35: Student Summer Income by Dependency, San Diego.....	104
TABLE D36: Student Summer Income by Dependency, San Francisco .....	105
TABLE D37: Student Summer Income by Dependency, Santa Barbara .....	106
TABLE D38: Student Summer Income by Dependency, Santa Cruz.....	107

**SECTION E: LOANS AND DEBT .....108**

TABLE E1: Loan Status of all Undergraduates By Employment Status, Class Level, and Parent Income .....	109
TABLE E2: Loan Status of Domestic Undergraduates By Employment Status, Class Level, and Parent Income 1991-92 to 1997-98 .....	111
TABLE E3: Personal Loans, 1991-92 to 1997-98.....	112
TABLE E4: Cumulative Undergraduate Indebtedness, 1991-92 to 1997-98 .....	113

TABLE E5: Home Ownership Status of Undergraduate Parents, 1991-92 to 1997-98 .....	117
TABLE E6: Home Equity Loans - Undergraduates, 1991-92 to 1997-98.....	117
TABLE E7: Cumulative Undergraduate and New Graduate Indebtedness.....	118
<b>SECTION F: BUDGET CATEGORY.....</b>	<b>120</b>
TABLE F1: Percent of Students by Budget Category.....	122
TABLE F2: Percent of Students by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1997-98 .....	123
TABLE F3: Undergraduate Budget Category by Campus, 1988-89 to 1997-98.....	124
TABLE F4: Undergraduate Budget Category by Ethnicity, 1988-89 to 1997-98.....	125
TABLE F5: Undergraduate Budget Category by Parent Income, 1991-92 to 1997-98 .....	126
TABLE F6: Budget Category, Campus Comparisons.....	127
TABLE F7: Percent of Students by Budget Category, Berkeley .....	128
TABLE F8: Percent of Students by Budget Category, Davis.....	129
TABLE F9: Percent of Students by Budget Category, Irvine.....	130
TABLE F10: Percent of Students by Budget Category, Los Angeles.....	131
TABLE F11: Percent of Students by Budget Category, Riverside.....	132
TABLE F12: Percent of Students by Budget Category, San Diego.....	133
TABLE F13: Percent of Students by Budget Category, San Francisco.....	134
TABLE F14: Percent of Students by Budget Category, Santa Barbara .....	135
TABLE F15: Percent of Students by Budget Category, Santa Cruz.....	136
<b>SECTION G: EXPENSES.....</b>	<b>137</b>
TABLE G1: Student Housing Expenses by Budget Category.....	138
TABLE G2: Food Expenses by Budget Category.....	139
TABLE G3: Books and Supplies Expenses by Budget Category.....	140
TABLE G4: Local Transportation Expenses by Budget Category and Student Level .....	141
TABLE G5: Median Housing Expenses by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1997-98.....	142
TABLE G6: Median Food Expenses by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1997-98.....	143
TABLE G7: Median Books and Supplies Expenses by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1997-98 .....	144
TABLE G8: Median Local Transportation Expenses by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1997-98.....	145
TABLE G9: Grocery and Household Supplies Expenses by Budget Category .....	146
TABLE G10: Dining Out and Snacks Expenses by Budget Category.....	147
TABLE G11: Academic Year Books and Supplies by Campus, Undergraduates .....	148
TABLE G12: Academic Year Books by Campus, Graduates.....	149
TABLE G13: Academic Year Books by Campus, Undergraduates .....	150
TABLE G14: Academic Year Books by Campus.....	151
TABLE G15: Academic Year Supplies By Campus, Undergraduates.....	152
TABLE G16: Academic Year Supplies By Campus, Graduates.....	153
TABLE G17: Academic Year Course Materials Fees by Campus, Undergraduates .....	154
TABLE G18: Academic Year Course Materials Fees by Campus, Graduates.....	155
TABLE G19: Academic Year Books by Discipline, Undergraduates.....	156
TABLE G20: Academic Year Supplies By Discipline, Undergraduates.....	157
TABLE G21: Academic Year Books and Supplies by Campus, Undergraduates .....	158
TABLE G22: Academic Year Computer Related Expenses By Student Level .....	159
TABLE G23: Travel to Parents in California during Academic Year Expenses by Budget Category.....	160
TABLE G24: Travel to Parents outside California during Academic Year Expenses by Budget Category .....	161
TABLE G25: Personal and Recreation Expenses by Budget Category.....	162
TABLE G26: Type of Medical Insurance by Budget Category.....	163
TABLE G27: Type of Dental Insurance by Budget Category.....	164
TABLE G28: Medical and Dental Insurance Premium Expenses By Budget Category.....	165
TABLE G29: Out-of-Pocket Medical and Dental Expenses By Budget Category.....	166
TABLE G30: Graduate Off-Campus Housing Expenses by Campus.....	167
TABLE G31: Graduate Off-Campus Grocery Expenses by Campus.....	168
TABLE G32: Dining Out and Snacks Expenses By Campus, Graduate - Off-Campus.....	169
TABLE G33: Total Food (Groceries and Dining Out) Expenses By Campus, Graduate - Off-Campus.....	170

<i>TABLE G34: Local Transportation Expenses By Campus, Graduates - Off-Campus</i> .....	171
<i>TABLE G35: Travel to Parents in California During Academic Year Expenses By Campus, Graduates - Off-Campus</i> .....	172
<i>TABLE G36: Travel to Parents Outside California During Academic Year Expenses By Campus, Graduates - Off-Campus</i> .....	173
<i>TABLE G37: Personal and Recreation Expenses By Campus, Graduates - Off-Campus</i> .....	174
<b>APPENDICES</b> .....	<b>175</b>
<i>APPENDIX A: SEARS Questionnaire</i> .....	<i>missing</i>
<i>APPENDIX B1: Response Rates By Campus and Student Level</i> .....	175
<i>APPENDIX B2: Response Rates by Campus, Ethnicity and Student Level</i> .....	176
<i>APPENDIX C1: Respondents versus Non-respondents</i> .....	177
<i>APPENDIX C2: Survey Bias -- Respondents versus the Population</i> .....	179
<i>APPENDIX D: Confidence Intervals</i> .....	180
<i>APPENDIX E: Independent Student Definition</i> .....	181
<i>APPENDIX F: Budget Categories</i> .....	182

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY\*

The 1997-98 Student Expenses and Resources Survey (SEARS) is the tenth of its kind to be completed since the original Student Resources Survey of 1972. A total of 6,564 students from all nine University of California campuses participated in the 1997-98 SEARS.

<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>	<i>GRADUATE STUDENTS</i>
<p>◆ The median parent income of undergraduates was \$50,761 in 1997, a substantial decrease from the inflation-adjusted median parent income of 1994 (\$55,573). Parent income varied by ethnicity, with Whites reporting the highest median parent income (\$72,345) and Asian Americans reporting the lowest median parent income (\$27,681).</p>	<p>◆ The median parent income of graduate students was \$59,246 in 1997, an increase from the inflation-adjusted median parent income of 1994 (\$57,464). Consistent with the 1994-95 survey, Whites reported the highest median parent income (\$71,801) and Chicanos reported the lowest median parent income (\$32,953).</p>
<p>◆ The employment rate for undergraduates increased to 59.6% in 1997-98 compared to the 1994-95 rate of 54.4% (which was the lowest rate in the 18 years of the survey). This marks the first increase in employment rates since the 1988-89 survey and is the highest rate of employment since the 1988-89 level of 61.5%. The median number of hours worked per week for students who worked during the school year remained steady at 17.1, compared to 17.0 in 1994-95. The employment rate and workload varied by ethnicity. African American, Chicano, and Latino undergraduates had higher rates of employment and worked more hours per week than Whites and Asian Americans.</p>	<p>◆ The employment rate for graduate students was 68.4%, a slight decrease from the 1994-95 rate of 69.4%. The workload remained relatively unchanged at 22.4 hours per week, compared to 22.2 hours in 1994-95. The average hours worked per week was similar for all ethnic groups, but the employment rate varied by ethnicity. White graduate students had the highest employment rate (74.2%), while Asian American graduate students had the lowest employment rate (56.4%).</p>
<p>◆ The median student income was \$3,499 in 1997-98, an increase from the inflation-adjusted income of 1994-95 (\$3,299). However, this was still lower than 1991-92 (\$3,900). There was little variation in median income by ethnicity. Asian American undergraduates reported the lowest income (\$2,475). Chicano students reported the highest (\$4,258), but the differences in student incomes did not vary greatly among other ethnic groups.</p>	<p>◆ Student median income for graduate students was \$14,780 in 1997-98, an increase from the \$13,581 students reported in 1994-95. White graduate students reported the highest income (\$16,534) and Asian American students the lowest income (\$9,128).</p>

\* In-depth analysis of sampling error at the campus level revealed a problem with the Berkeley sample. The Berkeley sample appears non-representative as it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior). Numbers presented in the executive summary include Berkeley. However, tables presented in the following report include systemwide totals that are calculated both with and without Berkeley for reference.

<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>	<i>GRADUATE STUDENTS</i>
<p>◆ The proportion of undergraduates with loans rose slightly from 1994-95 to 52.9% in 1997-98. The average loan (among those students who borrowed) fell from \$4,893 to \$4,660, after being adjusted for inflation.</p>	<p>◆ There was a decrease in the percentage of graduate students who had any previous debt: from 65.7% in 1994-95 to 62.2% in 1997-98. Among students who did borrow there was an increase in the median constant dollar amount borrowed, from \$16,220 in 1994-95 to \$17,952 in 1997-98.</p>
<p>◆ The median housing expenses changed very little from 1994-95 to 1997-98. After accounting for inflation, students living on-campus paid an average of \$685 per month for housing and food, compared to \$650 per month in 1994-95. Off-campus students paid an average of \$462 per month in housing, compared to \$423 per month in 1994-95.</p>	<p>◆ Overall, housing expenses increased from 1994-95 to 1997-98. Housing expenses rose for on-campus graduate students (from \$604 to \$691 per month in 1997 dollars). Off-campus housing expenses also increased to \$577 per month in 1997-98.</p>
<p>◆ Overall, food expenses rose for all budget categories. Commuters paid an average of \$104 per month for food, compared to \$63 per month in 1994-95. Students living off-campus paid \$200 per month compared to \$161 per month in 1994-95. This change may be due, in part, to changes in food expense questions in the 1997-98 questionnaire.</p>	<p>◆ Food expenses increased by \$50-\$75 for all budget categories. Commuters paid \$302 per month in food expenses in 1997-98, compared to \$250 per month in 1994-95. Graduate students living off-campus paid an average of \$272 per month in food in 1997-98, which is about \$60 more than the inflation-adjusted expense for the 1994-95 survey.</p>
<p>◆ Books and school supplies expenses rose in 1997-98, compared to the inflation-adjusted expenses for 1994-95. Undergraduates spent an average of \$794 on books and school supplies. The 1997-98 book expense was separated from the supplies expense for the first time.</p>	<p>◆ Books and school supplies expenses increased in 1997-98, after rising dramatically between 1998-89 and 1991-92 and then dropping in 1994-95. Graduate students spent an average of \$636 on books and school supplies during the academic year, compared to \$575 in 1994-95 (in 1997 dollars).</p>
<p>◆ Local transportation expenses increased slightly, with commuters paying \$81 per month in local transportation and off-campus students paying \$34 per month. In 1994-95, commuters paid \$61 per month and off-campus undergraduates paid \$24 per month in local transportation expenses.</p>	<p>◆ In 1997-98, local transportation expenses rose to \$95 for commuters and fell slightly to \$32 for students living off-campus. In 1994-95, commuters paid \$65 per month and off-campus graduate students paid \$32 per month in local transportation expenses.</p>

## SURVEY DESCRIPTION

The 1997-98 Student Expenses and Resources Survey (SEARS) is the tenth of its kind to be completed since the original Student Resources Survey of 1972. This survey is conducted to gather information on student expenses and resources. The University then uses the data to gain a better understanding of these topics, as well as to inform policy decisions. In addition, SEARS collects demographic data and information on topics of special interest. The survey instrument is a mail-out questionnaire that is sent to a randomly selected group of undergraduate and graduate students on each of the nine campuses. **Appendix A** contains a copy of the survey instrument.

Since SEARS is administered every three years and much of the survey instrument has remained the same, SEARS affords the opportunity for trend analysis. This is especially true for certain expenses and resources, such as housing expenses, parent income, and student employment. Moreover, as SEARS is a stratified random sample, comparisons can be made among different groups of students: students with and without financial aid, dependent and independent students, students from different ethnic backgrounds, etc.

SEARS is conducted as a collaborative effort between the California Student Aid Commission (CSAC), the California community colleges, the California State University (CSU), the University of California (UC), the Association of Independent California Colleges and Universities (AICCU), and the California Association of Private Postsecondary Schools (representing the private career colleges). For UC, the Student Financial Support unit had the responsibility of managing the survey.

### ***Representativeness of sample/response rate***


As it has been in past administrations of SEARS, the response rate was an important concern in the design of the survey. During early stages of survey development, the multi-segmental committee and CSAC took a number of steps to increase the response rate for the 1997-98 survey. Questions asking for information available from University databases (e.g., race, gender, campus, student level, and grant and loan aid) were eliminated. The number of questionnaire mailings was reduced from three to two, as past survey administrations had



shown that the marginal benefit of a third mailing was not worth the additional cost. Instead, more resources were focused on the telephone reminder calls and phone surveys of students who had not returned the mail questionnaire. Lastly, the UC (as well as the community colleges) offered a cash prize as an incentive for students to return the completed questionnaires.

The University first made proactive efforts to increase the survey response rate in 1991-92, after student responses fell from 44% in 1985-86 to 40% in 1988-89. Although the 1991-92 survey instrument was redesigned to increase readability and included discount coupons for two California amusement parks, the response rate declined to 38.6%. Declining response rates were addressed again in 1994-95 with the implementation of three primary tactics. First, most students were sent a shorter questionnaire (expense questions were omitted). Second, a clear deadline was stated on the survey. Finally, prize incentives were offered (one \$500 grand prize and two \$100 first prizes) to students who returned their completed questionnaire by the deadline. The overall response rate increased from 39% to 47%. As there was only a minor difference in the response rates for the long and short versions of the questionnaire, the 1997-98 survey relied on cash incentives as the primary method to increase student responses.

**Figure 1: Response rates, 1988-89 to 1997-98**



As shown in Figure 1, above, the response rate to the 1997-98 survey actually decreased, compared to 1994-95, to just 41%. All of the postsecondary segments that participated in the survey had lower response rates compared to 1994-95, however the reasons remain unclear. Possible explanations are currently being investigated to inform the design of future surveys.

The survey pool was based on a stratified random sample, drawn from all students (excluding residents in the health sciences) listed in the Fall 1997 corporate files. The final sample consisted of 16,175 students. Students were selected by student level, campus, and ethnicity, with various populations being oversampled to assure adequate representation. The final number of respondents was 6,564. Undergraduates had a response rate of 38.9%, compared to 42.4% for graduate students. Response rates also varied by campus and ethnicity. Graduate students at Santa Cruz had the lowest response rate (34.4%), while undergraduate students at San Francisco had the highest response rate (65.7%) (see Table 1). As in 1994-95, African American students were the least likely to respond (36.2%) of any ethnic group. Native American students responded at the highest rate (43.7%).

**Table 1**  
**Response rate by campus and student level**

	<u>UCB</u>	<u>UCD</u>	<u>UCI</u>	<u>UCLA</u>	<u>UCR</u>	<u>UCSD</u>	<u>UCSF</u>	<u>UCSB</u>	<u>UCSC</u>	<u>ALL</u>
<b>Under-graduates</b>	38%	40%	37%	42%	37%	39%	66%	40%	39%	39%
<b>Graduate students</b>	45%	48%	38%	39%	40%	38%	56%	47%	34%	42%
<b>All students</b>	41%	43%	37%	40%	38%	39%	57%	43%	37%	41%

**Appendix B, Tables B1 and B2** present the sample size, response rate, and number of respondents by campus, student level and ethnicity.

### **Non-response bias**

Response bias is always a possibility in survey research, particularly when the response rate is not very high. It is important to determine whether respondents were somehow different from non-respondents. In order to address this issue, a database of the entire SEARS sample (respondents and non-respondents) was developed that included registration elements (e.g.,

age, gender, units attempted, and grade point average) pulled from the Corporate Student System.

Respondents appear to be very similar to non-respondents, particularly in terms of grade point average and California residency (see **Appendix C, Table C1**). For example, undergraduate respondents had a median GPA of 2.86, while undergraduate non-respondents had a median GPA of 2.81. For graduate students the differences were also minimal (3.80 and 3.75, for respondents and non-respondents, respectively).

A comparison was also made of the parent income (as indicated on their application for admission) between new California freshmen respondents and non-respondents. The median parent income of non-respondents was over 33% greater than that of respondents (\$57,105 versus \$42,829). This is consistent with results from the 1994-95 survey, which found that the parent income of non-respondents was over 20% greater than that of respondents (\$47,978 versus \$39,421).

A comparison of other undergraduate characteristics suggests that there may be some additional bias in the sample. To explore this possibility, both respondents and non-respondents were matched to the university financial aid database. Aid recipients responded at a higher rate than non aid-recipients (41% versus 33%), resulting in a respondent pool comprised of 75% aid recipients and 25% non aid-recipients. In contrast, the sample consisted of 70% aid recipients and 30% non aid-recipients (results not presented here). Since aid recipients have a lower parent income than non-aid recipients (roughly \$46,000 compared to \$96,000), this may help explain the parent income bias described above.

### **Sampling error**

The questionnaire was mailed to over 16,000 students, a sample of the population of 165,195 undergraduates and graduate students who attended the university in the first term of the 1997-98 academic year. In addition to non-response bias, another type of bias that can occur in survey research is sampling error. This occurs when responses from those individuals in the sample are not representative of the responses that would have been obtained if the whole population had been surveyed.

Upon completion of the survey, one way to gauge the extent of sampling error is to measure how close the sample values are to the “true” mean of the entire population (i.e., the mean that would result if data were collected from every student). This measurement found that the mean undergraduate parent income for most of the campuses (excluding San Francisco because of the small population size) was between 7.1% and 9.5% of the population mean at a 95% confidence level; for graduate students the range was 6.7% to 10.8% (see Table 2). That is, there is a 95% chance that the mean parent income for students from UCLA, for example, is within 7.7% of the “true” mean if all students had responded. In terms of dollars, the annual income estimate is within \$4,395 for UCLA students.

**Table 2**  
**Sampling error by campus and student level**

Campus	Mean parent income	Confidence interval	
		Dollars	Percent
<b><u>Undergraduates</u></b>			
Berkeley	\$56,385	+/- \$4,631	+/- 8.2%
Davis	\$58,561	+/- \$5,100	+/- 8.7%
Irvine	\$57,626	+/- \$4,842	+/- 8.4%
Los Angeles	\$56,881	+/- \$4,395	+/- 7.7%
Riverside	\$49,366	+/- \$4,669	+/- 9.5%
San Diego	\$66,087	+/- \$4,713	+/- 7.1%
Santa Barbara	\$62,871	+/- \$4,909	+/- 7.8%
Santa Cruz	\$66,399	+/- \$4,832	+/- 7.3%
<i>Systemwide</i>	\$59,722	+/- \$1,692	+/- 2.8%
<b><u>Graduate students</u></b>			
Berkeley	\$68,085	+/- \$4,560	+/- 6.7%
Davis	\$62,980	+/- \$5,351	+/- 8.5%
Irvine	\$61,346	+/- \$5,200	+/- 8.5%
Los Angeles	\$55,669	+/- \$4,120	+/- 7.4%
Riverside	\$55,160	+/- \$5,946	+/- 10.8%
San Diego	\$72,071	+/- \$6,116	+/- 8.5%
San Francisco	\$67,700	+/- \$5,473	+/- 8.1%
Santa Barbara	\$61,856	+/- \$5,054	+/- 8.2%
Santa Cruz	\$67,690	+/- \$6,158	+/- 9.1%
<i>Systemwide</i>	\$63,445	+/- \$1,746	+/- 2.8%

**Appendix D** Table D1 includes the confidence intervals by student level and campus. It also compares the 1997-98 confidence intervals with those from the 1994-95 SEARS.

Another method used to gauge sampling error is to compare characteristics of the respondents with those of the population (see **Appendix C, Table C2**). Three available data elements were particularly useful in comparing the student population to survey respondents: gender, California residency, and parent income. There were only small differences between respondents and the student population in terms of gender composition and residency status. However, the results of the parent income data show that the median parent income of freshmen respondents was \$57,973, compared to a median parent income of \$72,396 for the entire population of new California freshmen. This suggests that freshmen with lower parent incomes could be over-represented in the sample and, therefore, analyses related to parent income must be interpreted with caution (i.e., parent income may be underestimated). Further research must be done to determine the cause and the extent of this bias.

In-depth analysis of sampling error at the campus level revealed a problem with the Berkeley sample. The Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative as it differs substantially from the population with regard to class year (i.e., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior). Specifically, 6.3% of the Berkeley undergraduate sample were freshmen compared to 20.6% in the total Berkeley undergraduate population. Because class year affects many of the variables under study (e.g., dependency status, housing patterns, etc.), the Berkeley data should be interpreted with caution. For this reason, tables presented in this report include systemwide totals calculated both with and without Berkeley.

## **Section A: Dependency Status**

### ***Undergraduates***

There was a small increase in the proportion of independent undergraduates from 16% in 1994-95 to 16.9% (w/o UCB) in 1997-98 (see Appendix E for 1997-98 independent student definition). (*Table A3*)

- *Ethnicity.* Chicano and Latino students had the largest proportions of independent undergraduates (21.9% and 20.2% w/o UCB) and Asian Americans had the lowest proportion (15.3% w/o UCB). (*Table A2*)
- *Campus.* Most campuses have similar proportions of dependent and independent students. As expected, only 40% of students at UCSF were independent due to the special nature of its undergraduate programs. Although the percentage of independent undergraduate students at Berkeley has traditionally been similar to other campuses, sampling problems in 1997-98 led to a significant increase in the proportion of independent undergraduates to 45.3%. (*Table A6*)

### ***Graduates***

By definition, all graduate students are independent.

**TABLE A1**  
**Percent of Students by Dependency Status \***

	<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>		<i>GRADUATES</i>	<i>ALL</i>
		<u>w/o Berkeley*</u>		
Dependent	78.3%	83.1%	N/A	61.3%
Independent	21.7%	16.9%	100.0%	38.7%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: See Appendix E for 1997-98 independent student definition.*

**TABLE A2**  
**Percent of Students by Dependency Status and Ethnicity \***

	<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>				<i>GRADUATES</i>	<i>ALL</i>	
	<u>% Dep.</u>	<u>% Ind.</u>	<u>% Dep.</u>	<u>% Ind.</u>	<u>% Ind.</u>	<u>% Dep.</u>	<u>% Ind.</u>
			<u>w/o Berkeley*</u>				
Asian American	79.1%	20.9%	84.7%	15.3%	100.0%	70.1%	29.9%
African American	77.8%	22.3%	81.9%	18.1%	100.0%	63.0%	37.0%
Chicano	74.5%	25.5%	78.1%	21.9%	100.0%	66.7%	33.3%
Latino	77.2%	22.8%	79.8%	20.2%	100.0%	63.2%	36.8%
White	80.7%	19.3%	84.4%	15.6%	100.0%	58.9%	41.1%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: Undergraduate Asian American category includes Filipino students.*

**TABLE A3**  
**Percent of Students by Dependency Status, 1979-80 to 1997-98 \***

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>		<b>GRADUATES</b>	
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>
1979-80	85.6%	14.4%	38.2%	61.8%
1982-83	84.0%	16.0%	36.5%	63.5%
1985-86	85.1%	14.9%	31.0%	69.0%
1988-89	85.4%	14.6%	3.4%	96.6%
1991-92	81.7%	18.3%	4.6%	95.4%
1994-95	84.0%	16.0%	NA	100.0%
1997-98 *	78.3%	21.7%	NA	100.0%
1997-98 * w/o Berkeley	83.1%	16.9%		

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: See Appendix E for 1997-98 independent student definition.*



**SYSTEMWIDE**

**TREND**

**TABLE A4**  
**Percent of Undergraduates by Dependency Status and Ethnicity, 1991-92 to 1997-98 \***

	<i>DEPENDENT</i>				<i>INDEPENDENT</i>			
	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1997-98</u>	<u>1997-98</u> w/o UCB*	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1997-98</u>	<u>1997-98</u> w/o UCB*
All Students	81.7%	84.0%	78.3%	83.1%	18.3%	16.0%	21.7%	16.9%
Asian American	90.9%	89.9%	79.1%	84.7%	9.1%	10.1%	20.9%	15.3%
African American	72.2%	77.7%	77.8%	81.9%	27.8%	22.3%	22.3%	18.1%
Chicano	78.5%	82.2%	74.5%	78.1%	21.5%	17.8%	25.5%	21.9%
Latino	77.9%	84.5%	77.2%	79.8%	22.1%	15.5%	22.8%	20.2%
White	80.2%	81.3%	80.7%	84.4%	19.8%	18.7%	19.3%	15.6%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: See Appendix E for 1997-98 independent student definition.

Note: Undergraduate Asian American category includes Filipino students..

**TABLE A5**  
**Dependency Status \***

**UNDERGRADUATES**

**Percent Dependent:**

1. Santa Barbara	88.4%
2. Irvine	85.8%
3. Davis	83.5%
4. Los Angeles	83.0%
5. Santa Cruz	80.4%
6. San Diego	79.7%
7. Riverside	77.2%
8. Berkeley *	54.7%
9. San Francisco	40.0%

<b>SYSTEMWIDE *</b>	78.3%
<b>SYSTEMWIDE w/o UCB *</b>	83.1%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: See Appendix E for 1997-98 independent student definition.*

**TABLE A6**  
**Percent of Dependent and Independent Undergraduates**  
**by Campus, 1988-89 to 1997-98 \***

	<b>DEPENDENT</b>			
	<b><u>1988</u></b>	<b><u>1991</u></b>	<b><u>1994</u></b>	<b><u>1997</u></b>
Berkeley *	87.6%	81.0%	84.5%	54.7%
Davis	85.6%	85.8%	85.2%	83.5%
Irvine	82.1%	79.9%	87.8%	85.8%
Los Angeles	86.0%	78.1%	83.4%	83.0%
Riverside	84.6%	86.6%	79.8%	77.2%
San Diego	83.7%	83.0%	84.4%	79.7%
San Francisco	11.7%	15.1%	32.1%	40.0%
Santa Barbara	88.7%	82.0%	85.8%	88.4%
Santa Cruz	80.8%	81.3%	76.2%	80.4%
<b>SYSTEMWIDE *</b>	<b>85.4%</b>	<b>81.7%</b>	<b>84.0%</b>	<b>78.3%</b>
<b>SYS w/o UCB *</b>				<b>83.1%</b>

	<b>INDEPENDENT</b>			
	<b><u>1988</u></b>	<b><u>1991</u></b>	<b><u>1994</u></b>	<b><u>1997</u></b>
Berkeley	12.4%	19.0%	15.5%	45.3%
Davis	14.4%	14.2%	14.8%	16.6%
Irvine	17.9%	20.1%	12.2%	14.2%
Los Angeles	14.0%	21.9%	16.6%	17.0%
Riverside	15.4%	13.4%	20.2%	22.8%
San Diego	16.3%	17.0%	15.6%	20.3%
San Francisco	88.3%	84.9%	67.9%	60.0%
Santa Barbara	11.3%	18.0%	14.3%	11.6%
Santa Cruz	19.2%	18.7%	23.8%	19.6%
<b>SYSTEMWIDE *</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>18.3%</b>	<b>16.0%</b>	<b>21.7%</b>
<b>SYS w/o UCB *</b>				<b>16.9%</b>

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: See Appendix E for 1997-98 independent student definition.*

## Section B: Parent Income

### ***Undergraduates***

The median parent income of undergraduates was \$50,761 (\$50,238 w/o UCB). There has been a sharp decrease in the median undergraduate parent income since 1991 when, in constant 1997 dollars, it was \$62,384. (*Table B2*)

- *Dependency.* Dependent students (\$55,262) continue to report much higher median parent incomes than their independent counterparts (\$34,241) (see Appendix E for independent student definition). The parent incomes of dependent and independent students, without Berkeley (due to the apparent bias in their sample), were \$53,937 and \$28,657 respectively. The gap in parent income between these two groups is the largest in SEARS history, with the undergraduate median dependent parent income 88% higher than that of independent students. (*Table B1*)
- *Aid recipient status.* The median parent income of aid recipients was \$33,719, a decrease from the 1994 inflation-adjusted median income of \$41,254. The median parent income of students who were not aid recipients has decreased slightly from \$90,811 in 1994-95 to \$90,244 (\$91,308 w/o UCB). (*Table B4*)
- *Ethnicity.* Whites reported the highest median parent income (\$72,345 or \$71,061 w/o UCB) while Asian Americans reported the lowest (\$27,681 or \$26,226 w/o UCB). Asian Americans experienced the largest change in parent income with a decrease of more than \$10,000 from the 1994 inflation-adjusted parent income of \$38,295. (*Table B6*)
- *Campus.* As in the past, parent income was the highest at Santa Barbara, \$63,704. Riverside reported the lowest median parent income, \$33,557, just over one-half the parent income of UCSB. Los Angeles, which had the lowest parent income in the 1991-92 and 1994-95 surveys, reported a median parent income of \$52,722, almost \$2,000 more than the systemwide median of \$50,761. (*Table B8*)

### ***Graduates***

The median parent income of graduate students was \$59,246 in 1997, an increase from the inflation-adjusted 1994 median of \$57,464. (*Table B2*)

- *Aid recipient status.* The median parent income of graduate student aid recipients was nearly identical to that of non-aid recipients (\$59,176 and \$59,397 respectively). (*Table B4*)
- *Ethnicity.* Parent income varied by ethnicity with White students reporting the highest median parent income at \$71,801, while Chicanos reported the lowest at \$32,953. In three years, the gap between these two groups has widened from \$29,000 to nearly \$39,000 in constant 1997 dollars. (*Table B6*)
- *Campus.* San Francisco had the highest parent income (\$66,118) and Riverside had the lowest (\$46,942). These results are similar to those found in the two previous survey years. (*Tables B7 and B8*)

**TABLE B1**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status \***

	UNDERGRADUATES			GRADUATES
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than \$6,000	3.3%	11.5%	5.0%	7.4%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	8.0%	11.1%	8.6%	4.1%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	6.9%	9.1%	7.4%	4.4%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	5.9%	6.4%	6.0%	4.2%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	5.2%	5.2%	5.2%	5.1%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	6.0%	9.4%	6.7%	5.5%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	4.7%	6.9%	5.2%	4.7%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	3.6%	3.8%	3.7%	5.4%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	5.7%	2.4%	5.0%	5.7%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	3.3%	2.8%	3.2%	4.1%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	5.7%	7.0%	6.0%	7.2%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	4.5%	2.2%	4.0%	3.5%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	3.3%	2.7%	3.2%	3.4%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	3.4%	4.6%	3.6%	3.9%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	3.6%	0.7%	3.0%	3.0%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	3.6%	3.0%	3.4%	3.8%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	6.2%	3.0%	5.5%	6.2%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	4.9%	3.1%	4.6%	3.8%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	4.5%	0.6%	3.7%	4.5%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	1.6%	1.2%	1.5%	2.5%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	1.4%	1.1%	1.3%	1.9%
\$192,000 or more	4.9%	2.1%	4.4%	5.9%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars *	\$55,262	\$34,241	\$50,761	\$59,246
Mean Dollars *	\$65,795	\$46,427	\$59,722	\$69,365
No. of Respondents	2,412	644	3,056	3,079
Non-respondents	4.4%	11.5%	5.9%	8.0%
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$53,937	\$28,657	\$50,238	
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$65,922	\$40,636	\$61,961	

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Question 11 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE B2**  
**Parent Income, 1971 to 1997 \***

**ACTUAL DOLLARS**

	MEAN Parent Income		MEDIAN Parent Income	
	<u>Undergraduates</u>	<u>Graduates</u>	<u>Undergraduates</u>	<u>Graduates</u>
1971	\$16,100	\$13,300	\$14,900	\$11,600
1974	\$18,300	\$15,200	\$17,900	\$13,800
1979	\$30,000	\$27,000	\$29,300	\$26,000
1982	\$38,936	\$33,212	\$36,644	\$29,482
1985	\$45,082	\$40,612	\$40,049	\$35,633
1988	\$53,371	\$45,439	\$50,205	\$40,263
1991	\$64,534	\$59,371	\$55,019	\$50,684
1994	\$61,899	\$65,303	\$52,561	\$54,350
1997*	\$59,722	\$69,365	\$50,761	\$59,246
1997 w/o UCB*	\$60,217	N/A	\$50,238	N/A

**CONSTANT 1997 DOLLARS**

	MEAN Parent Income		MEDIAN Parent Income	
	<u>Undergraduates</u>	<u>Graduates</u>	<u>Undergraduates</u>	<u>Graduates</u>
1971	\$63,018	\$52,058	\$58,321	\$45,404
1974	\$59,465	\$49,392	\$58,166	\$44,843
1979	\$64,494	\$58,044	\$62,989	\$55,895
1982	\$61,270	\$52,263	\$57,663	\$46,393
1985	\$65,489	\$58,996	\$58,178	\$51,763
1988	\$69,575	\$59,235	\$65,448	\$52,488
1991	\$73,173	\$67,319	\$62,384	\$57,469
1994	\$65,446	\$69,045	\$55,573	\$57,464
1997*	\$59,722	\$69,365	\$50,761	\$59,246
1997 w/o UCB*	\$60,217	N/A	\$50,238	N/A

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Notes:*

1) Constant dollar values are based on the California Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers.

2) Mean values are highly influenced by changes in the income intervals from 1971 to 1997

Question 11 (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE B3**  
**Parent Income by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status \***

**UNDERGRADUATES**

	<i>Dependent</i>			<i>Independent</i>			<i>All</i>		
	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>
Less than \$6,000	4.7%	0.6%	3.3%	13.5%	5.8%	11.5%	6.7%	1.4%	5.0%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	11.7%	0.9%	8.0%	14.1%	2.7%	11.1%	12.3%	1.2%	8.6%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	10.2%	0.9%	6.9%	11.5%	2.2%	9.1%	10.4%	1.1%	7.4%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	8.7%	0.6%	5.9%	6.3%	6.8%	6.4%	8.2%	1.6%	6.0%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	7.2%	1.6%	5.2%	5.9%	3.4%	5.2%	6.9%	1.9%	5.2%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	8.6%	1.2%	6.0%	10.4%	6.6%	9.4%	9.0%	2.0%	6.7%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	5.8%	2.8%	4.7%	6.2%	9.0%	6.9%	5.9%	3.8%	5.2%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	4.4%	2.2%	3.6%	2.7%	7.0%	3.8%	4.0%	3.0%	3.7%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	6.4%	4.3%	5.7%	3.3%	0.1%	2.4%	5.7%	3.6%	5.0%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	3.8%	2.4%	3.3%	3.0%	2.2%	2.8%	3.6%	2.4%	3.2%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	4.1%	8.7%	5.7%	4.6%	13.9%	7.0%	4.2%	9.6%	6.0%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	4.2%	5.1%	4.5%	1.9%	2.8%	2.2%	3.7%	4.7%	4.0%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	2.4%	4.9%	3.3%	3.2%	1.3%	2.7%	2.6%	4.3%	3.2%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	2.5%	5.1%	3.4%	2.6%	10.3%	4.6%	2.5%	5.9%	3.6%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	3.4%	3.8%	3.6%	0.6%	1.0%	0.7%	2.8%	3.4%	3.0%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	1.6%	7.3%	3.6%	3.9%	0.3%	3.0%	2.1%	6.2%	3.4%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	2.6%	13.1%	6.2%	1.9%	5.8%	3.0%	2.4%	11.9%	5.5%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	2.7%	9.1%	4.9%	1.4%	7.9%	3.1%	2.4%	8.9%	4.6%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	2.2%	8.8%	4.5%	0.4%	1.4%	0.6%	1.8%	7.6%	3.7%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	1.0%	2.8%	1.6%	0.5%	3.2%	1.2%	0.9%	2.9%	1.5%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	0.6%	2.8%	1.4%	1.5%	0.0%	1.1%	0.8%	2.3%	1.3%
\$192,000 or more	1.5%	11.4%	4.9%	0.6%	6.3%	2.1%	1.3%	10.5%	4.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars *	\$35,273	\$94,239	\$55,262	\$28,689	\$61,770	\$34,241	\$33,719	\$90,244	\$50,761
Mean Dollars *	\$46,902	\$101,410	\$65,795	\$38,505	\$68,839	\$46,427	\$45,017	\$96,143	\$59,722
No. of Respondents	1,718	694	2,412	506	138	644	2,224	832	3,056
Non-respondents	4.3%	4.4%	4.4%	10.6%	13.7%	11.5%	5.8%	6.1%	5.9%
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$35,561	\$94,839	\$53,937	\$23,077	\$60,875	\$28,657	\$33,686	\$91,308	\$50,238
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$47,271	\$102,800	\$65,944	\$35,407	\$66,098	\$40,713	\$45,036	\$99,594	\$60,217

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.  
*Question 11 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE B3 (continued)**  
**Parent Income by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status**

**GRADUATES**

	<i>Independent</i>		
	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>
Less than \$6,000	6.5%	10.0%	7.4%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	3.8%	4.9%	4.1%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	4.9%	3.0%	4.4%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	3.5%	6.5%	4.2%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	5.3%	4.4%	5.1%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	5.5%	5.7%	5.5%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	4.6%	4.8%	4.7%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	6.0%	3.5%	5.4%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	6.3%	3.7%	5.7%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	4.2%	3.8%	4.1%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	7.2%	7.0%	7.2%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	3.6%	3.4%	3.5%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	3.4%	3.3%	3.4%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	3.9%	4.0%	3.9%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	2.7%	4.1%	3.0%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	3.4%	5.0%	3.8%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	6.8%	4.3%	6.2%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	4.1%	2.7%	3.8%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	4.9%	3.1%	4.5%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	2.9%	1.3%	2.5%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	1.9%	1.7%	1.9%
\$192,000 or more	4.6%	9.8%	5.9%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$59,176	\$59,397	\$59,246
Mean Dollars	\$69,041	\$70,359	\$69,365
No. of Respondents	2,455	624	3,079
Non-respondents	8.0%	8.2%	8.0%

*Question 11 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*



**TABLE B4**  
**Parent Income by Aid Recipient Status, 1988 to 1997 \***

**ACTUAL DOLLARS**

	MEAN Parent Income				MEDIAN Parent Income			
	<u>Undergraduates</u>		<u>Graduates</u>		<u>Undergraduates</u>		<u>Graduates</u>	
	<i>Aid recipients</i>	<i>Non aid recipients</i>	<i>Aid recipients</i>	<i>Non aid recipients</i>	<i>Aid recipients</i>	<i>Non aid recipients</i>	<i>Aid recipients</i>	<i>Non aid recipients</i>
1988	\$36,093	\$65,070	\$43,117	\$49,322	\$29,938	\$63,559	\$37,648	\$43,117
1991	\$47,981	\$87,547	\$57,624	\$63,094	\$39,100	\$77,288	\$49,652	\$52,596
1994	\$48,472	\$92,794	\$62,879	\$71,918	\$39,018	\$85,890	\$52,676	\$61,671
1997 *	\$45,017	\$96,143	\$69,041	\$70,359	\$33,719	\$90,244	\$59,176	\$59,397
1997 w/o UCB*	\$45,036	\$99,594	N/A	N/A	\$33,686	\$91,308	N/A	N/A

**CONSTANT 1997 DOLLARS**

	MEAN Parent Income				MEDIAN Parent Income			
	<u>Undergraduates</u>		<u>Graduates</u>		<u>Undergraduates</u>		<u>Graduates</u>	
	<i>Aid recipients</i>	<i>Non aid recipients</i>	<i>Aid recipients</i>	<i>Non aid recipients</i>	<i>Aid recipients</i>	<i>Non aid recipients</i>	<i>Aid recipients</i>	<i>Non aid recipients</i>
1988	\$47,051	\$84,826	\$56,208	\$64,297	\$39,028	\$82,857	\$49,079	\$56,208
1991	\$54,404	\$99,267	\$65,338	\$71,540	\$44,334	\$87,635	\$56,299	\$59,637
1994	\$51,249	\$98,111	\$66,482	\$76,039	\$41,254	\$90,811	\$55,694	\$65,205
1997 *	\$45,017	\$96,143	\$69,041	\$70,359	\$33,719	\$90,244	\$59,176	\$59,397
1997 w/o UCB*	\$45,036	\$99,594	N/A	N/A	\$33,686	\$91,308	N/A	N/A

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

1) Constant dollar values are based on the California Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers.

2) Mean values are highly influenced by changes in the income intervals from 1971 to 1997

Question 11 (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE B5**  
**Parent Income by Dependency and Ethnicity \***

**UNDERGRADUATES**

	<i>Dependent</i>					<i>Independent</i>					<i>All</i>				
	<u>Asian</u>		<u>African</u>			<u>Asian</u>		<u>African</u>			<u>Asian</u>		<u>African</u>		
	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>
Less than \$6,000	6.6%	3.8%	2.8%	4.2%	0.3%	11.4%	7.9%	11.9%	8.0%	12.8%	7.6%	4.7%	5.0%	5.1%	2.6%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	17.7%	8.7%	6.2%	3.8%	1.7%	21.6%	10.7%	11.4%	9.2%	4.0%	18.5%	9.1%	7.4%	5.0%	2.1%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	10.1%	5.4%	8.2%	6.6%	3.6%	17.3%	6.5%	9.7%	9.5%	2.0%	11.5%	5.6%	8.6%	7.2%	3.3%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	9.8%	6.7%	10.1%	7.4%	2.6%	7.7%	2.8%	9.6%	4.0%	4.9%	9.3%	5.9%	10.0%	6.6%	3.0%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	5.4%	8.8%	9.9%	8.9%	2.8%	3.0%	7.9%	8.9%	11.6%	4.8%	4.9%	8.6%	9.6%	9.5%	3.2%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	4.8%	11.3%	10.0%	7.6%	6.3%	2.5%	12.0%	8.9%	13.2%	12.9%	4.4%	11.5%	9.7%	8.8%	7.5%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	3.8%	5.3%	6.5%	5.4%	5.1%	5.5%	6.5%	13.3%	0.8%	5.9%	4.2%	5.6%	8.1%	4.4%	5.2%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	3.4%	6.9%	5.8%	4.6%	3.3%	3.9%	5.6%	2.8%	7.0%	4.7%	3.5%	6.6%	5.0%	5.1%	3.6%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	6.2%	2.8%	5.6%	7.6%	6.0%	0.0%	4.1%	3.2%	4.0%	4.8%	4.9%	3.1%	5.0%	6.9%	5.8%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	3.1%	4.1%	4.8%	3.3%	2.3%	4.8%	1.5%	3.2%	1.2%	1.8%	3.4%	3.5%	4.4%	2.9%	2.2%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	5.7%	6.4%	5.2%	7.1%	5.3%	4.4%	9.3%	3.6%	6.4%	10.3%	5.4%	7.0%	4.8%	7.0%	6.2%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	4.1%	5.1%	3.5%	4.4%	5.5%	1.9%	2.2%	3.3%	1.1%	2.9%	3.7%	4.5%	3.4%	3.7%	5.0%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	1.4%	1.8%	3.7%	3.4%	4.0%	1.2%	6.9%	3.2%	1.1%	4.0%	1.4%	2.8%	3.6%	2.9%	4.0%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	2.1%	2.5%	1.8%	4.4%	5.0%	2.5%	1.5%	1.0%	4.3%	7.0%	2.1%	2.3%	1.6%	4.4%	5.4%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	0.9%	2.8%	1.5%	1.6%	5.9%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	5.0%	1.3%	0.7%	2.5%	1.1%	2.3%	5.0%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	2.3%	3.1%	3.3%	2.7%	3.9%	1.2%	2.2%	1.9%	4.3%	0.5%	2.1%	2.9%	2.9%	3.0%	3.2%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	2.6%	4.8%	4.8%	4.2%	10.1%	5.1%	3.0%	1.9%	2.1%	1.6%	3.1%	4.4%	4.1%	3.7%	8.5%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	3.2%	3.3%	1.7%	3.5%	7.1%	3.5%	3.0%	0.0%	1.1%	4.7%	3.3%	3.2%	1.3%	3.0%	6.7%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	3.4%	1.8%	2.0%	3.7%	7.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.6%	3.2%	1.0%	2.7%	1.7%	1.7%	3.6%	5.9%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	0.5%	1.9%	1.3%	1.5%	2.3%	1.2%	0.8%	0.9%	3.2%	1.5%	0.6%	1.7%	1.2%	1.9%	2.2%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	0.0%	0.8%	0.3%	1.3%	3.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.9%	0.2%	1.0%	3.0%
\$192,000 or more	3.0%	2.1%	1.3%	2.8%	6.9%	1.4%	1.5%	0.9%	0.0%	3.7%	2.7%	1.9%	1.2%	2.2%	6.3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars *	\$30,596	\$42,017	\$38,749	\$49,165	\$79,434	\$17,910	\$38,003	\$29,024	\$33,513	\$45,628	\$27,681	\$41,032	\$35,833	\$46,043	\$72,345
Mean Dollars *	\$46,078	\$53,853	\$49,586	\$58,817	\$85,571	\$36,676	\$49,079	\$35,399	\$46,842	\$57,968	\$44,136	\$52,852	\$46,150	\$56,262	\$80,456
No. of Respondents	393	291	375	325	646	101	79	119	89	153	494	370	494	414	799
Non-respondents	3.3%	4.2%	3.1%	6.4%	5.7%	4.5%	11.2%	9.3%	14.1%	10.3%	3.5%	5.7%	4.7%	8.1%	6.6%
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$31,744	\$43,364	\$39,169	\$49,457	\$76,307	\$13,513	\$26,110	\$25,547	\$32,546	\$46,479	\$26,226	\$41,901	\$35,808	\$45,874	\$71,061
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$47,465	\$54,372	\$49,797	\$57,886	\$84,593	\$27,663	\$46,451	\$33,616	\$41,682	\$53,528	\$44,401	\$53,075	\$46,392	\$54,706	\$79,981

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Undergraduate Asian American category includes Filipino students. Data for domestic students only.

Question 11 (See Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE B5 (continued)**  
**Parent Income by Dependency and Ethnicity**

**GRADUATES**

	<i>Independent</i>				
	<u>Asian Amer.</u>	<u>African Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>
Less than \$6,000	9.0%	5.5%	5.1%	7.3%	2.5%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	6.9%	4.5%	7.7%	5.2%	2.0%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	9.1%	3.4%	12.6%	6.9%	1.4%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	4.8%	3.5%	9.8%	10.5%	3.0%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	6.3%	6.7%	11.0%	4.3%	4.6%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	8.0%	10.7%	7.7%	6.4%	4.1%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	4.8%	9.0%	7.3%	7.8%	5.3%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	5.3%	4.5%	4.2%	6.7%	5.0%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	4.1%	5.7%	4.1%	9.1%	7.6%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	5.0%	6.2%	4.2%	2.9%	4.2%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	6.4%	8.8%	6.6%	3.3%	5.6%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	1.9%	5.4%	4.0%	2.9%	4.8%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	2.2%	3.5%	3.4%	4.3%	3.9%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	2.5%	3.2%	1.7%	2.8%	5.4%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	2.5%	1.6%	2.2%	2.5%	3.0%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	2.7%	1.9%	2.3%	3.7%	4.4%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	4.4%	5.0%	2.4%	2.2%	8.2%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	4.9%	3.4%	1.7%	2.5%	4.4%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	2.7%	2.1%	1.3%	2.8%	5.9%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	2.5%	3.0%	0.2%	1.5%	3.8%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	1.8%	1.4%	0.0%	1.0%	2.4%
\$192,000 or more	2.3%	1.2%	0.7%	3.7%	8.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$43,248	\$50,317	\$32,953	\$43,541	\$71,801
Mean Dollars	\$55,886	\$58,000	\$42,068	\$55,083	\$83,690
No. of Respondents	550	247	325	282	1,143
Non-repondents	4.7%	7.7%	5.0%	6.7%	10.7%

*Note: Graduate Asian American category does not include Filipino students.*

*Data for domestic students only.*

*Question 11 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE B6**  
**Median Parent Income by Ethnicity 1988 to 1997**

<b>ACTUAL DOLLARS</b>									
	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>					<b>GRADUATES</b>			
	<b>1988</b>	<b>1991</b>	<b>1994</b>	<b>1997</b>	<b>w/o UCB*</b>	<b>1988</b>	<b>1991</b>	<b>1994</b>	<b>1997</b>
Asian American	\$44,057	\$45,429	\$36,220	\$27,681	\$26,226	\$39,142	\$44,400	\$50,655	\$43,248
African American	\$33,329	\$41,065	\$41,471	\$41,032	\$41,901	\$35,670	\$46,027	\$49,007	\$50,317
Chicano	\$26,803	\$35,241	\$31,322	\$35,833	\$35,808	\$27,813	\$29,604	\$37,528	\$32,953
Latino	\$32,441	\$42,000	\$40,944	\$46,043	\$45,874	\$30,905	\$32,970	\$38,345	\$43,541
White	\$57,461	\$65,731	\$71,083	\$72,345	\$71,061	\$48,556	\$59,111	\$64,633	\$71,801
<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	<b>\$50,205</b>	<b>\$55,019</b>	<b>\$52,561</b>	<b>\$50,761</b>	<b>\$50,238</b>	<b>\$40,263</b>	<b>\$50,684</b>	<b>\$54,350</b>	<b>\$59,246</b>
<b>CONSTANT 1997 DOLLARS</b>									
	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>					<b>GRADUATES</b>			
	<b>1988</b>	<b>1991</b>	<b>1994</b>	<b>1997</b>	<b>w/o UCB*</b>	<b>1988</b>	<b>1991</b>	<b>1994</b>	<b>1997</b>
Asian American	\$57,433	\$51,511	\$38,295	\$27,681	\$26,226	\$51,026	\$50,344	\$53,558	\$43,248
African American	\$43,448	\$46,562	\$43,847	\$41,032	\$41,901	\$46,500	\$52,189	\$51,815	\$50,317
Chicano	\$34,941	\$39,959	\$33,117	\$35,833	\$35,808	\$36,257	\$33,567	\$39,679	\$32,953
Latino	\$42,291	\$47,623	\$43,290	\$46,043	\$45,874	\$40,288	\$37,384	\$40,542	\$43,541
White	\$74,907	\$74,530	\$75,156	\$72,345	\$71,061	\$63,298	\$67,024	\$68,337	\$71,801
<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	<b>\$65,448</b>	<b>\$62,384</b>	<b>\$55,573</b>	<b>\$50,761</b>	<b>\$50,238</b>	<b>\$52,488</b>	<b>\$57,469</b>	<b>\$57,464</b>	<b>\$59,246</b>

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Constant dollar values are based on the California Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers.

Question 11 (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE B7**  
**Parent Income \***

<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>		<b>GRADUATES</b>	
<b><u>Median Parent Income:</u></b>			
1. Santa Barbara	\$63,704	1. San Francisco	\$66,118
2. Santa Cruz	\$56,580	2. San Diego	\$64,993
3. San Diego	\$56,333	3. Davis	\$63,946
4. Berkeley	\$53,533	4. Berkeley	\$61,972
5. Los Angeles	\$52,722	5. Santa Cruz	\$59,272
6. San Francisco	\$47,333	6. Los Angeles	\$53,761
7. Davis	\$45,804	7. Santa Barbara	\$52,916
8. Irvine	\$36,995	8. Irvine	\$51,501
9. Riverside	\$33,557	9. Riverside	\$46,942
<b>SYSTEMWIDE *</b>	\$50,761	<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	\$59,246
<b>SYSTEM w/o UCB *</b>	\$50,238		

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.  
*Question 11 (See Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE B8**  
**Median Parent Income by Campus, 1979 to 1997**

**ACTUAL DOLLARS**

	UNDERGRADUATES							GRADUATES						
	1979	1982	1985	1988	1991	1994	1997	1979	1982	1985	1988	1991	1994	1997
Berkeley	\$28,200	\$36,000	\$36,692	\$55,363	\$55,158	\$52,608	\$53,533	\$27,600	\$33,167	\$35,636	\$47,471	\$49,219	\$58,036	\$61,972
Davis	\$30,100	\$35,766	\$43,500	\$46,072	\$52,026	\$56,827	\$45,804	\$30,900	\$28,500	\$37,463	\$39,049	\$49,239	\$53,272	\$63,946
Irvine	\$29,000	\$33,312	\$37,241	\$44,213	\$52,831	\$45,947	\$36,995	\$24,600	\$27,000	\$33,900	\$39,543	\$51,786	\$48,548	\$51,501
Los Angeles	\$30,000	\$39,000	\$35,775	\$51,040	\$49,781	\$43,071	\$52,722	\$25,800	\$28,636	\$37,135	\$36,912	\$51,833	\$52,450	\$53,761
Riverside	\$24,100	\$31,429	\$36,545	\$50,724	\$56,198	\$45,228	\$33,557	\$20,200	\$24,750	\$35,667	\$33,439	\$42,896	\$46,326	\$46,942
San Diego	\$28,900	\$35,529	\$42,968	\$48,422	\$62,966	\$58,230	\$56,333	\$23,500	\$26,143	\$35,897	\$39,392	\$55,875	\$59,374	\$64,993
San Francisco	\$20,100	\$31,000	\$31,800	\$37,087	\$50,571	\$33,908	\$47,333	\$27,000	\$33,375	\$34,600	\$46,493	\$55,200	\$60,790	\$66,118
Santa Barbara	\$32,400	\$40,385	\$48,000	\$55,752	\$63,455	\$64,331	\$63,704	\$20,800	\$24,273	\$30,428	\$34,928	\$43,245	\$53,646	\$52,916
Santa Cruz	\$25,700	\$34,385	\$40,667	\$45,537	\$52,525	\$61,425	\$56,580	\$21,000	\$31,500	\$32,100	\$36,727	\$50,135	\$50,979	\$59,272
<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	\$29,300	\$36,644	\$40,049	\$50,205	\$55,019	\$52,561	\$50,761	\$26,000	\$29,482	\$35,633	\$40,263	\$50,684	\$54,350	\$59,246
<b>SYSTEM w/o UCB</b>							\$50,238							

**CONSTANT 1997 DOLLARS**

	UNDERGRADUATES							GRADUATES						
	1979	1982	1985	1988	1991	1994	1997	1979	1982	1985	1988	1991	1994	1997
Berkeley	\$60,624	\$56,650	\$53,301	\$72,172	\$62,542	\$55,622	\$53,533	\$59,334	\$52,192	\$51,767	\$61,884	\$55,808	\$61,361	\$61,972
Davis	\$64,709	\$56,282	\$63,191	\$60,060	\$58,991	\$60,083	\$45,804	\$66,429	\$44,848	\$54,421	\$50,905	\$55,831	\$56,324	\$63,946
Irvine	\$62,344	\$52,420	\$54,099	\$57,637	\$59,903	\$48,580	\$36,995	\$52,885	\$42,487	\$49,246	\$51,549	\$58,719	\$51,330	\$51,501
Los Angeles	\$64,494	\$61,371	\$51,969	\$66,537	\$56,445	\$45,539	\$52,722	\$55,465	\$45,062	\$53,945	\$48,119	\$58,772	\$55,455	\$53,761
Riverside	\$51,810	\$49,457	\$53,088	\$66,125	\$63,721	\$47,820	\$33,557	\$43,426	\$38,947	\$51,812	\$43,592	\$48,638	\$48,980	\$46,942
San Diego	\$62,129	\$55,909	\$62,418	\$63,124	\$71,395	\$61,567	\$56,333	\$50,520	\$41,139	\$52,147	\$51,352	\$63,355	\$62,776	\$64,993
San Francisco	\$43,211	\$48,782	\$46,195	\$48,347	\$57,341	\$35,851	\$47,333	\$58,044	\$52,519	\$50,262	\$60,609	\$62,590	\$64,273	\$66,118
Santa Barbara	\$69,653	\$63,550	\$69,728	\$72,679	\$71,950	\$68,017	\$63,704	\$44,716	\$38,196	\$44,202	\$45,533	\$49,034	\$56,720	\$52,916
Santa Cruz	\$55,250	\$54,108	\$59,076	\$59,363	\$59,557	\$64,945	\$56,580	\$45,146	\$49,569	\$46,631	\$47,878	\$56,847	\$53,900	\$59,272
<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	\$62,989	\$57,663	\$58,178	\$65,448	\$62,384	\$55,573	\$50,761	\$55,895	\$46,393	\$51,763	\$52,488	\$57,469	\$57,464	\$59,246

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Constant dollar values are based on the California Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers.

Question 11 (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE B9**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status \***

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than \$6,000	4.5%	10.2%	7.0%	6.0%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	7.7%	3.2%	5.8%	2.8%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	4.7%	5.3%	5.0%	3.5%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	5.9%	7.2%	6.5%	4.6%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	7.2%	3.4%	5.6%	4.3%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	2.7%	13.0%	7.2%	5.5%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	4.3%	7.2%	5.6%	5.5%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	1.9%	5.5%	3.4%	6.7%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	5.3%	3.5%	4.5%	5.2%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	4.2%	4.0%	4.1%	3.3%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	3.5%	8.1%	5.5%	7.8%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	4.5%	1.6%	3.2%	3.1%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	0.4%	1.7%	1.0%	4.3%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	2.0%	4.0%	2.8%	3.7%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	9.2%	0.2%	5.3%	3.4%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	9.1%	6.5%	8.0%	4.3%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	11.2%	4.1%	8.1%	5.9%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	4.6%	4.0%	4.4%	3.8%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	3.1%	0.2%	1.9%	5.3%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	2.5%	1.6%	2.1%	3.3%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	0.5%	2.7%	1.4%	2.4%
\$192,000 or more	1.2%	2.9%	1.9%	5.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$62,890	\$42,470	\$53,533	\$61,972
Mean Dollars	\$64,714	\$56,525	\$61,181	\$72,560
No. of Respondents	246	149	395	485
Non-respondents	0.8%	9.1%	4.5%	6.9%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Question 11 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE B10**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than \$6,000	2.2%	21.0%	4.8%	8.1%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	8.6%	20.9%	10.3%	4.0%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	9.8%	10.1%	9.9%	3.4%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	4.9%	5.4%	4.9%	2.5%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	4.4%	12.2%	5.5%	3.2%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	6.2%	6.0%	6.2%	6.6%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	5.3%	10.4%	6.1%	4.1%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	4.1%	0.7%	3.6%	3.9%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	4.8%	0.4%	4.2%	5.0%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	2.2%	0.2%	1.9%	3.8%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	5.3%	2.2%	4.8%	8.2%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	4.4%	1.0%	4.0%	2.8%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	5.4%	0.6%	4.8%	4.9%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	3.8%	0.0%	3.3%	4.2%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	3.2%	0.0%	2.7%	4.0%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	1.0%	0.0%	0.9%	3.6%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	4.9%	0.0%	4.2%	9.7%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	6.8%	4.5%	6.4%	2.2%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	5.0%	0.0%	4.3%	4.9%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	1.1%	0.0%	0.9%	3.1%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	1.4%	0.0%	1.2%	1.7%
\$192,000 or more	5.4%	4.5%	5.2%	6.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$53,587	\$16,857	\$45,804	\$63,946
Mean Dollars	\$65,686	\$31,896	\$60,933	\$72,975
No. of Respondents	265	54	319	306
Non-respondents	3.3%	20.2%	6.1%	10.6%

*Question 11 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*



**TABLE B11**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than \$6,000	7.0%	6.0%	6.8%	9.1%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	9.1%	35.4%	12.9%	5.5%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	7.4%	17.5%	8.9%	4.6%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	7.5%	3.4%	6.9%	4.1%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	5.9%	3.7%	5.6%	5.4%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	8.2%	5.9%	7.8%	6.3%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	6.7%	3.6%	6.2%	6.6%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	4.9%	5.0%	4.9%	4.3%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	4.6%	0.0%	3.9%	7.1%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	3.3%	1.4%	3.0%	2.1%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	7.3%	1.6%	6.5%	8.1%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	5.9%	3.7%	5.6%	4.6%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	2.9%	1.4%	2.7%	3.1%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	2.2%	0.5%	2.0%	3.6%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	1.1%	1.4%	1.2%	2.5%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	2.2%	0.5%	1.9%	2.8%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	4.9%	0.0%	4.2%	5.1%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	1.5%	3.3%	1.8%	2.7%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	2.0%	0.0%	1.7%	3.7%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	1.4%	2.3%	1.6%	2.0%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	0.6%	1.4%	0.7%	1.9%
\$192,000 or more	3.4%	2.0%	3.2%	4.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$40,385	\$14,966	\$36,995	\$51,501
Mean Dollars	\$52,243	\$34,909	\$49,749	\$63,003
No. of Respondents	339	59	398	361
Non-respondents	2.8%	1.4%	2.6%	8.2%

*Question 11 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE B12**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than \$6,000	2.8%	17.8%	5.1%	6.8%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	9.1%	7.4%	8.9%	5.4%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	8.1%	12.8%	8.9%	5.9%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	7.2%	5.8%	7.0%	4.2%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	4.5%	1.6%	4.0%	6.4%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	5.3%	12.2%	6.4%	4.5%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	2.2%	1.6%	2.1%	4.4%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	2.5%	1.6%	2.3%	6.1%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	7.9%	1.5%	6.9%	6.5%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	1.0%	0.8%	1.0%	5.0%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	4.5%	8.5%	5.1%	7.3%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	3.4%	0.0%	2.9%	2.4%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	2.7%	7.8%	3.5%	2.2%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	2.7%	18.0%	5.1%	4.0%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	3.3%	0.0%	2.8%	2.7%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	3.3%	0.4%	2.9%	2.5%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	5.0%	0.3%	4.3%	5.9%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	7.2%	0.6%	6.1%	2.9%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	5.6%	0.3%	4.8%	3.8%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	0.5%	0.0%	0.4%	1.9%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	2.7%	0.4%	2.4%	2.6%
\$192,000 or more	8.6%	0.8%	7.4%	6.7%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$57,118	\$32,313	\$52,722	\$53,761
Mean Dollars	\$72,359	\$41,133	\$67,444	\$67,567
No. of Respondents	370	83	453	487
Non-respondents	8.4%	16.5%	9.8%	9.3%

*Question 11 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE B13**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than \$6,000	5.6%	7.4%	6.0%	12.8%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	16.9%	10.0%	15.4%	6.8%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	11.8%	11.7%	11.8%	5.8%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	6.7%	3.6%	6.0%	4.2%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	5.9%	10.4%	6.8%	4.6%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	7.8%	2.9%	6.7%	6.3%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	6.6%	5.2%	6.3%	5.3%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	5.9%	7.9%	6.3%	5.0%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	4.2%	4.1%	4.2%	3.3%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.7%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	5.3%	10.2%	6.4%	7.0%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	2.8%	4.3%	3.1%	4.7%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	2.0%	2.1%	2.0%	4.6%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	1.2%	1.5%	1.3%	3.0%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	2.0%	2.1%	2.0%	0.9%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	1.7%	1.5%	1.7%	3.6%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	1.5%	8.8%	3.1%	4.3%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	2.4%	0.0%	1.9%	4.0%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	2.5%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	0.8%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	1.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.8%
\$192,000 or more	1.7%	0.0%	1.3%	3.9%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$32,417	\$40,793	\$33,557	\$46,942
Mean Dollars	\$42,625	\$44,250	\$42,983	\$55,989
No. of Respondents	214	65	279	238
Non-respondents	3.2%	7.3%	4.1%	10.5%

*Question 11 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE B14**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than \$6,000	2.5%	14.0%	4.6%	6.2%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	6.4%	10.8%	7.2%	2.3%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	6.0%	12.2%	7.1%	3.8%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	4.6%	10.5%	5.7%	4.7%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	5.0%	7.1%	5.4%	5.1%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	7.6%	4.0%	6.9%	5.1%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	3.5%	3.0%	3.4%	2.7%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	1.4%	0.0%	1.2%	4.3%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	7.8%	2.4%	6.8%	8.5%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	4.5%	3.6%	4.3%	2.7%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	8.2%	6.5%	7.9%	5.4%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	3.7%	2.1%	3.4%	3.9%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	4.2%	3.5%	4.1%	2.9%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	3.7%	1.5%	3.3%	4.7%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	2.8%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	4.1%	0.5%	3.4%	5.8%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	7.4%	6.5%	7.2%	6.3%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	4.3%	3.2%	4.1%	5.1%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	4.2%	2.7%	3.9%	7.1%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	3.7%	3.0%	3.6%	2.7%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	0.8%	0.0%	0.7%	0.5%
\$192,000 or more	4.9%	1.5%	4.2%	7.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$60,555	\$26,206	\$56,333	\$64,993
Mean Dollars	\$69,100	\$45,820	\$64,776	\$75,497
No. of Respondents	335	79	414	295
Non-respondents	5.1%	14.9%	7.1%	3.2%

*Question 11 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE B15**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than \$6,000	0.0%	8.9%	5.0%	6.7%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	0.0%	8.9%	5.0%	5.1%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	0.0%	8.9%	5.0%	4.8%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	11.4%	0.0%	5.0%	4.2%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	0.0%	14.3%	8.0%	4.0%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	9.1%	8.9%	9.0%	3.8%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	11.4%	0.0%	5.0%	3.9%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	0.0%	16.1%	9.0%	3.8%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	11.4%	0.0%	5.0%	2.7%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	0.0%	7.1%	4.0%	5.2%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	0.0%	8.9%	5.0%	6.6%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	22.7%	0.0%	10.0%	2.9%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	11.4%	0.0%	5.0%	6.5%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	11.4%	8.9%	10.0%	5.6%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
\$192,000 or more	11.4%	8.9%	10.0%	7.6%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$73,803	\$35,993	\$47,333	\$66,118
Mean Dollars	\$79,364	\$55,500	\$66,000	\$73,447
No. of Respondents	9	12	21	353
Non-respondents	0.0%	15.2%	9.1%	6.8%

*Question 11 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE B16**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than \$6,000	1.4%	0.0%	1.3%	9.7%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	4.1%	18.2%	5.7%	2.2%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	3.9%	3.0%	3.8%	3.3%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	5.0%	5.4%	5.0%	6.8%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	4.8%	6.6%	5.0%	7.0%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	5.0%	9.0%	5.4%	7.8%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	6.2%	24.8%	8.3%	4.8%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	4.1%	6.8%	4.4%	4.5%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	3.8%	0.0%	3.4%	4.9%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	4.7%	0.8%	4.3%	3.8%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	5.8%	4.6%	5.7%	5.6%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	6.4%	5.2%	6.3%	4.0%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	3.7%	3.6%	3.7%	3.8%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	6.2%	5.6%	6.1%	2.3%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	4.5%	0.6%	4.1%	3.6%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	4.3%	0.0%	3.8%	4.5%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	6.9%	0.2%	6.1%	6.2%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	3.8%	5.2%	4.0%	5.1%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	8.2%	0.2%	7.3%	3.1%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	1.9%	0.2%	1.7%	3.3%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	1.4%	0.0%	1.2%	1.0%
\$192,000 or more	4.1%	0.0%	3.6%	3.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$67,213	\$37,892	\$63,704	\$52,916
Mean Dollars	\$73,555	\$42,123	\$69,977	\$62,874
No. of Respondents	292	56	348	341
Non-respondents	4.4%	6.4%	4.7%	7.9%

*Question 11 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE B17**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than \$6,000	1.6%	11.3%	3.4%	9.9%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	4.8%	11.6%	6.0%	1.6%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	3.9%	8.6%	4.8%	3.6%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	5.4%	6.8%	5.7%	3.2%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	5.4%	3.3%	5.0%	4.2%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	7.1%	9.6%	7.5%	8.1%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	4.6%	3.6%	4.4%	3.7%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	6.7%	1.2%	5.7%	6.5%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	6.0%	5.9%	6.0%	3.9%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	3.7%	4.1%	3.8%	6.1%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	6.6%	11.8%	7.6%	6.8%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	4.0%	4.4%	4.0%	6.6%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	3.9%	1.3%	3.4%	2.8%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	4.2%	0.0%	3.4%	4.6%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	2.5%	2.9%	2.6%	2.8%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	2.4%	5.4%	2.9%	3.1%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	6.9%	1.3%	5.9%	5.0%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	6.7%	1.5%	5.8%	9.2%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	2.8%	1.3%	2.6%	1.8%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	1.5%	1.3%	1.4%	1.5%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	1.6%	0.0%	1.3%	1.9%
\$192,000 or more	8.0%	2.7%	7.0%	3.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$60,817	\$35,271	\$56,580	\$59,272
Mean Dollars	\$73,312	\$46,802	\$68,510	\$65,650
No. of Respondents	342	87	429	213
Non-respondents	4.0%	13.1%	5.8%	7.8%

*Question 11 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

## Section C: Student Employment

### ***Undergraduates***

The employment rate for undergraduates was 59.6% (60.1% w/o UCB). This is the highest employment rate since 1988-89 where 61.5% of undergraduate students were employed. The median number of hours worked per week for those students who were employed during the 1997-98 academic year was 17.1 (16.9 hours w/o UCB). (*Table C3*)

- *Dependency status.* As expected, independent undergraduate students were employed at a much higher rate than dependent students were (64.4% and 58.3% respectively). Independent employed students also worked more hours (a median of 20.0 hours per week) than employed dependent students (a median of 15.0 hours per week). Systemwide (excluding Berkeley due to the apparent sample bias), the independent and dependent employment rates were 73.8% and 57.4%, respectively. (*Table C1*)
- *Aid recipient status.* Aid recipients (62.4%) had a higher employment rate than non-aid recipients (58.3%). Employed non-aid recipients continue to work more hours than employed aid recipients do (a median of 18 hours per week versus 15 hours per week). (*Table C2*)
- *Ethnicity.* Employment rates varied by ethnicity. Chicanos were employed at the highest rate (73.8%), while Asian Americans were employed at the lowest rate (51.6%). Employed Asian Americans also worked the fewest hours per week on average (15.2 hours), and employed African Americans worked the most hours (an average of 18.3 hours per week). (*Table C4*)
- *Parent income.* The survey found differences in employment rate by parent income. Students whose parent incomes were \$30,000 to \$60,000 were employed at the highest rate (69.4% or 70.1% w/o UCB), while those at the \$90,000 and above level were employed at the lowest rate (51.1% or 51.4% w/o UCB). Students across all parent income levels worked on average the same number of hours per week during the academic year. (*Table C7*)
- *Class year.* The survey also found large variations in employment by class year. While only 40.4% of freshmen were employed, seniors were employed at a rate of 71.5%. Employed freshmen also worked the fewest hours per week on average, while seniors worked the most (13.8 and 17.8 hours per week). (*Table C6*)
- *On-campus and Off-campus.* Employment rates rose for both on-campus and off-campus employment from 29.2% and 29% in 1994-95 to 36.8% and 32.5%, respectively. Students working off-campus worked on average about five hours more than those who worked on-campus (20.3 hours versus 14.7 hours per week). (*Table C9*)
- *Campus.* As in the 1991-92 and 1994-95 surveys, Los Angeles had the highest employment rate of the nine campuses (70.9%). The lowest employment rate (not including UCSF) was found at the Santa Barbara campus (46.8% employed). The average hours worked per week ranged from 14.9 (Santa Cruz) to 18.9 hours (Riverside). (*Table C11*)
- *Summer employment.* As expected, both the percentage of students and the number of hours undergraduates worked increased during the summer months. On average students worked 31.1 hours per week during the summer compared to 16.6 hours per week during the academic year. (*Table C1 and Table C21*)



- *Units attempted and Grade point average.* Students who worked more hours attempted fewer units and had lower grade point averages than students who worked fewer hours or those who did not work during the 1997-98 academic year. (*Table C24*)

### **Graduates**

Graduate students were employed at a rate of 68.4%, a slight decrease from the 1994-95 rate of 69.4%. Employed graduate students worked about the same number of hours as they have in past years (a median of 22.4 hours per week). (*Table C3*)

- *Aid recipient status.* Aid recipients had a lower rate of employment (66.1%) than non-aid recipients (75.5%). The median hours worked per week for employed non-aid recipients was one hour more than employed aid recipients (23.1 compared to 22.1 hours per week). (*Table C3*)
- *Ethnicity.* White graduate students continue to be employed at the highest rate (74.2% down from 76.2% in 1994-95). Asian Americans were the least likely to work with only 56.4% employed. Whites also worked the most hours (23.1 hours per week) and Asian Americans the fewest (21.3 hours per week). (*Table C4*)
- *Campus.* Santa Barbara continued to have the highest employment rate (85.5%) while San Francisco reported the lowest (44.7%). San Francisco has had the lowest employment rate since SEARS 1979-80. There was little variation in hours worked; eight of the nine campuses reported median hours between 22 hours per week (Los Angeles) and 23.6 hours per week (Irvine). (*Table C11*)

**TABLE C1**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status \***

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b>Dependent</b>	<b>Independent</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>Independent</b>
<b>Not employed</b>	41.7%	35.6%	40.4%	31.6%
<b>Employed</b>	58.3%	64.4%	59.6%	68.4%
<b>Not employed w/o UCB*</b>	42.6%	26.2%	39.9%	
<b>Employed w/o UCB*</b>	57.4%	73.8%	60.1%	

***Hours worked per week during the Academic Year:***

	<b>Dependent</b>	<b>Independent</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>Independent</b>
Less than 10	16.6%	12.7%	15.7%	8.3%
10 to 14	29.8%	15.4%	26.5%	13.4%
15 to 19	19.7%	15.8%	18.8%	7.9%
20 to 24	20.2%	26.1%	21.6%	42.8%
25 to 29	5.8%	8.4%	6.4%	4.1%
30 to 34	5.0%	9.0%	5.9%	4.1%
35 to 39	1.0%	2.1%	1.3%	1.5%
40 or more	1.9%	10.7%	3.9%	17.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>99.9%</i>
Median Hours (1)	15.9	21.2	17.1	22.4
Mean Hours (1)	16.5	21.3	17.6	23.3
Median Hours (2) *	15.0	20.0	15.0	20.0
Mean Hours (2) *	15.6	20.2	16.6	22.6
No. of Respondents	2,381	687	3,068	3,116
Non-respondents	5.4%	7.6%	5.9%	5.2%
Median w/o Berkeley (2) *	15.0	20.0	15.0	
Mean w/o Berkeley (2) *	15.7	19.7	16.5	

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only.*

*(1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.*

*(2) Calculations based on actual hours reported.*

*Question 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**SYSTEMWIDE**

**SEARS 1997-98**

**TABLE C2**  
**Student Employment by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status \***

**UNDERGRADUATES**

**GRADUATES**

	Dependent			Independent			All			Independent		
	Aid	No Aid	All	Aid	No Aid	All	Aid	No Aid	All	Aid	No Aid	All
Not employed	38.6%	47.6%	41.7%	34.4%	39.0%	35.6%	37.6%	46.2%	40.4%	33.9%	24.5%	31.6%
Employed	61.4%	52.4%	58.3%	65.6%	61.0%	64.4%	62.4%	53.8%	59.6%	66.1%	75.5%	68.4%
Not Employed w/o UCB *	39.3%	49.3%	42.6%	26.8%	23.5%	26.2%	36.9%	46.9%	39.9%			
Employed w/o UCB *	60.7%	50.7%	57.4%	73.2%	76.5%	73.8%	63.1%	53.1%	60.1%			

*Hours worked per week during the Academic Year:*

	Dependent			Independent			All			Independent		
	Aid	No Aid	All	Aid	No Aid	All	Aid	No Aid	All	Aid	No Aid	All
Less than 10	17.6%	14.3%	16.6%	13.5%	10.0%	12.7%	16.6%	13.5%	15.7%	9.1%	6.1%	8.3%
10 to 14	30.7%	27.8%	29.8%	19.1%	3.3%	15.4%	27.8%	23.2%	26.5%	15.8%	6.9%	13.4%
15 to 19	21.5%	15.6%	19.7%	18.0%	8.7%	15.8%	20.6%	14.3%	18.8%	8.2%	7.2%	7.9%
20 to 24	19.1%	22.7%	20.2%	25.0%	29.5%	26.1%	20.6%	24.0%	21.6%	41.1%	47.6%	42.8%
25 to 29	5.9%	5.6%	5.8%	6.4%	14.8%	8.4%	6.0%	7.3%	6.4%	4.7%	2.6%	4.1%
30 to 34	3.3%	8.9%	5.0%	8.6%	10.2%	9.0%	4.6%	9.1%	5.9%	4.1%	4.1%	4.1%
35 to 39	0.8%	1.6%	1.0%	1.8%	2.9%	2.1%	1.0%	1.9%	1.3%	1.8%	0.7%	1.5%
40 or more	1.1%	3.6%	1.9%	7.6%	20.5%	10.7%	2.8%	6.7%	3.9%	15.3%	24.8%	17.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Median Hours (1)	15.4	17.5	15.9	19.8	24.7	21.2	16.3	19.6	17.1	22.1	23.1	22.4
Mean Hours (1)	15.8	18.1	16.5	19.9	25.8	21.3	16.8	19.6	17.6	22.4	25.5	23.3
Median Hours (2) *	15.0	16.0	15.0	20.0	24.0	20.0	15.0	18.0	15.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
Mean Hours (2) *	14.9	17.1	15.6	18.8	24.8	20.2	15.8	18.6	16.6	21.8	24.6	22.6
No. of Respondents	1,704	677	2,381	545	142	687	2,249	819	3,068	2,514	652	3,166
Non-respondents	5.0%	6.2%	5.4%	5.4%	13.7%	7.6%	5.1%	7.5%	5.9%	4.9%	6.0%	5.2%
Median w/o Berkeley (2) *	15.0	15.0	15.0	16.0	25.0	20.0	15.0	18.0	15.0			
Mean w/o Berkeley (2) *	15.1	17.2	15.7	18.3	25.9	19.7	15.8	18.4	16.5			

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only

(1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.

(2) Calculations based on actual hours reported.

Question 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TREND**

**SEARS 1997-98**

**TABLE C3**

**Student Employment by Dependency and Aid, 1988-89 to 1997-98 \***

Employed	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>						<b>GRADUATES</b>					
	<i>Dependent</i>			<i>Independent</i>			<i>All</i>			<i>Independent</i>		
	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>
1997-98 w/o UCB*	60.7%	50.7%	57.4%	73.2%	76.5%	73.8%	63.1%	53.1%	60.1%			
1997-98 *	61.4%	52.4%	58.3%	65.6%	61.0%	64.4%	62.4%	53.8%	59.6%	66.1%	75.5%	68.4%
1994-95	56.8%	44.1%	52.9%	63.6%	58.1%	62.1%	58.0%	45.6%	54.4%	65.9%	80.0%	69.4%
1991-92	62.8%	48.1%	56.0%	71.4%	63.3%	69.1%	64.7%	50.2%	58.4%	65.1%	74.6%	68.0%
1988-89	61.1%	59.2%	59.9%	78.1%	74.9%	76.9%	65.0%	59.3%	61.5%	70.5%	74.1%	71.9%

**Hours worked per week during the Academic Year:**

**Median Hours**

1997-98 w/o UCB*	15.6	17.6	16.1	19.2	25.5	20.5	16.4	19.0	16.9			
1997-98 *	15.4	17.5	15.9	19.8	24.7	21.2	16.3	19.6	17.1	22.1	23.1	22.4
1994-95	14.3	15.9	14.8	20.2	23.8	20.6	15.3	16.5	15.7	21.6	23.6	22.2
1991-92	16.5	16.8	16.6	20.6	26.5	21.7	17.4	18.0	17.6	21.0	23.3	21.8
1988-89	14.8	14.9	14.8	18.7	24.8	20.8	15.3	15.5	15.4	21.6	22.1	21.8

**Mean Hours**

1997-98 w/o UCB*	16.0	18.2	16.6	19.3	27.2	20.7	16.7	19.4	17.5			
1997-98 *	15.8	18.1	16.5	19.9	25.8	21.3	16.8	19.6	17.6	22.4	25.5	23.3
1994-95	15.9	16.6	16.1	20.5	25.2	21.2	16.8	17.8	17.0	22.3	27.7	23.8
1991-92	17.2	17.0	17.1	21.2	27.0	22.8	18.2	18.7	18.4	21.0	23.3	21.8
1988-89	15.6	15.7	15.7	19.5	25.0	21.4	16.4	16.7	16.6	22.9	24.2	23.4

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only.

Question 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE C4**  
**Student Employment by Dependency and Ethnicity \***

**UNDERGRADUATES**

	<i>Dependent</i>					<i>Independent</i>					<i>All</i>				
	Asian		African			Asian		African			Asian		African		
	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>
Not employed *	49.4%	26.0%	29.5%	31.4%	38.5%	44.6%	33.5%	16.5%	22.8%	31.3%	48.4%	27.7%	26.2%	29.4%	37.1%
Employed *	50.6%	74.0%	70.5%	68.6%	61.5%	55.4%	66.5%	83.5%	77.2%	68.7%	51.6%	72.3%	73.8%	70.6%	62.9%
Not employed w/o UCB	49.7%	29.5%	31.2%	31.6%	39.4%	36.7%	35.2%	16.1%	19.7%	21.9%	47.7%	30.5%	27.9%	29.0%	36.7%
Employed w/o UCB	50.3%	70.5%	68.8%	68.4%	60.6%	63.3%	64.9%	83.9%	80.4%	78.1%	52.3%	69.5%	72.1%	71.0%	63.3%

**Hours worked per week during the Academic Year:**

	<i>Dependent</i>					<i>Independent</i>					<i>All</i>				
	Asian		African			Asian		African			Asian		African		
	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>
Less than 10	18.9%	12.9%	15.5%	16.1%	16.3%	10.6%	9.4%	7.7%	5.1%	20.5%	17.1%	12.2%	13.3%	13.2%	17.2%
10 to 14	37.5%	27.5%	23.1%	27.5%	27.7%	22.4%	5.3%	18.7%	22.8%	12.7%	34.1%	23.0%	21.8%	26.2%	24.6%
15 to 19	21.5%	19.7%	24.4%	19.0%	18.4%	22.3%	22.0%	12.5%	20.1%	11.6%	21.7%	20.2%	21.0%	19.3%	17.0%
20 to 24	12.9%	23.3%	24.8%	22.5%	22.7%	13.9%	23.1%	28.7%	29.5%	28.8%	13.1%	23.3%	26.0%	24.4%	24.0%
25 to 29	3.6%	6.6%	5.7%	5.3%	4.7%	12.0%	6.4%	12.4%	2.5%	1.4%	5.4%	6.6%	7.7%	4.6%	4.0%
30 to 34	3.5%	4.8%	3.9%	7.1%	7.0%	9.6%	14.9%	5.3%	8.5%	10.6%	4.9%	6.8%	4.3%	7.5%	7.8%
35 to 39	1.1%	0.5%	2.0%	1.3%	0.9%	2.4%	4.2%	1.9%	0.9%	2.2%	1.4%	1.3%	2.0%	1.2%	1.2%
40 or more	1.1%	4.7%	0.6%	1.2%	2.3%	6.8%	14.7%	12.8%	10.8%	12.2%	2.3%	6.7%	4.1%	3.7%	4.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Median Hours (1)	14.1	17.4	17.3	16.7	16.6	18.8	22.9	21.9	20.4	20.9	14.8	18.7	18.6	17.7	17.4
Mean Hours (1)	14.9	17.9	17.0	17.0	17.1	20.1	24.2	22.2	21.1	20.6	16.0	19.2	18.4	18.1	17.8
Median Hours (2) *	12.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	14.0	16.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Mean Hours (2) *	13.9	17.2	15.9	15.9	16.0	19.5	22.9	21.3	20.1	19.8	15.2	18.3	17.5	17.0	16.8
No. of Respondents	376	289	377	324	647	97	83	127	104	161	473	372	504	428	808
Non-respondents	7.2%	5.0%	2.2%	6.6%	4.5%	7.7%	5.3%	2.6%	0.0%	6.3%	7.3%	5.0%	2.3%	5.1%	4.8%
Median w/o Berkeley (2) *	12.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	14.0	16.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Mean w/o Berkeley (2) *	13.9	17.6	15.8	16.1	16.4	17.6	20.0	20.9	20.0	19.6	14.6	18.0	17.1	17.0	17.0

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Data for domestic students only. Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only.

(1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.

(2) Calculations based on actual hours reported.

Question 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE C4 (continued)**  
**Student Employment by Dependency and Ethnicity**

**GRADUATES**

	<i>Independent</i>				
	Asian	African			
	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>
<b>Not employed</b>	43.6%	38.8%	36.9%	33.0%	25.8%
<b>Employed</b>	56.4%	61.3%	63.1%	67.1%	74.2%

*Hours worked per week during the Academic Year:*

	<i>Independent</i>				
	Asian	African			
	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>
Less than 10	18.2%	11.2%	13.5%	8.4%	6.8%
10 to 14	16.1%	12.1%	13.9%	14.4%	13.2%
15 to 19	9.0%	10.4%	8.4%	6.1%	7.6%
20 to 24	27.2%	36.5%	32.2%	43.0%	42.7%
25 to 29	2.2%	6.2%	4.6%	3.5%	5.2%
30 to 34	3.4%	5.8%	7.3%	8.8%	4.0%
35 to 39	2.7%	2.3%	0.7%	1.1%	1.6%
40 or more	21.1%	15.5%	19.6%	14.6%	18.9%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours (1)	21.2	22.2	22.2	22.4	22.6
Mean Hours (1)	21.9	22.7	22.9	23.0	23.8
Median Hours (2)	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
Mean Hours (2)	21.3	21.6	22.9	22.0	23.1
No. of Respondents	544	251	329	295	1,213
Non-respondents	4.9%	6.4%	4.6%	3.0%	5.3%

*Note: Data for domestic students only. Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only.*

*(1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.*

*(2) Calculations based on actual hours reported.*

*Question 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

TABLE C5

Undergraduate Employment by Dependency and Ethnicity, 1988-89 to 1997-98 \*

## UNDERGRADUATES

Employed	<i>Dependent</i>					<i>Independent</i>					<i>All</i>				
	<u>Asian American</u>	<u>African American</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Asian American</u>	<u>African American</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Asian American</u>	<u>African American</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>
1997-98 w/o UCB *	50.3%	70.5%	68.8%	68.4%	60.6%	63.3%	64.9%	83.9%	80.4%	78.1%	52.3%	69.5%	72.1%	71.0%	63.3%
1997-98 *	50.6%	74.0%	70.5%	68.6%	61.5%	55.4%	66.5%	83.5%	77.2%	68.7%	51.6%	72.3%	73.8%	70.6%	62.9%
1994-95	46.3%	70.4%	66.7%	62.4%	54.4%	47.2%	79.2%	77.0%	69.3%	65.0%	46.4%	72.4%	68.6%	63.4%	56.4%
1991-92	48.3%	62.4%	65.5%	66.2%	59.2%	54.3%	78.3%	73.8%	75.6%	69.1%	48.9%	66.8%	67.3%	68.2%	61.2%
1988-89	53.7%	66.3%	70.2%	47.7%	61.2%	71.6%	74.7%	76.8%	83.0%	78.8%	54.4%	66.0%	69.4%	65.2%	62.6%
<b><i>Hours worked per week during the Academic Year:</i></b>															
<b>Median Hours (1)</b>															
1997-98 w/o UCB *	14.3	17.7	17.2	16.9	17.0	17.6	20.3	21.7	20.3	20.8	14.7	18.2	18.2	17.8	17.7
1997-98 *	14.1	17.4	17.3	16.7	16.6	18.8	22.9	21.9	20.4	20.9	14.8	18.7	18.6	17.7	17.4
1994-95	13.5	16.6	15.6	15.6	15.4	21.4	21.3	18.2	19.8	21.0	13.9	17.6	16.0	16.1	16.2
1991-92	14.6	17.7	17.2	17.4	16.9	20.0	20.8	21.6	20.7	21.8	15.2	18.5	18.2	18.2	17.8
1988-89	14.6	15.8	15.7	15.0	14.8	14.4	22.0	19.2	21.0	22.1	14.4	16.0	16.1	16.9	15.5
<b>Mean Hours (1)</b>															
1997-98 w/o UCB *	14.8	18.3	16.8	17.1	17.4	18.6	20.9	21.8	21.3	20.6	15.5	18.7	18.0	18.1	18.0
1997-98 *	14.9	17.9	17.0	17.0	17.1	20.1	24.2	22.2	21.1	20.6	16.0	19.2	18.4	18.1	17.8
1994-95	15.2	17.2	16.1	16.5	16.3	22.3	22.6	19.5	21.3	21.3	15.9	18.6	16.8	17.4	17.4
1991-92	15.1	18.2	17.5	17.7	17.5	20.6	22.2	24.4	22.5	22.8	15.7	19.5	19.2	18.9	18.7
1988-89	14.8	16.8	17.0	16.3	15.6	16.6	23.8	19.6	21.6	22.4	15.0	17.7	17.2	18.1	16.8

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only.

(1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.

Questions 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE C6**  
**Undergraduate Employment by Dependency and Class Year\***

**UNDERGRADUATES**

	<i>Dependent</i>				<i>Independent</i>				<i>All</i>			
	<i>Freshman</i>	<i>Sophomore</i>	<i>Junior</i>	<i>Senior</i>	<i>Freshman</i>	<i>Sophomore</i>	<i>Junior</i>	<i>Senior</i>	<i>Freshman</i>	<i>Sophomore</i>	<i>Junior</i>	<i>Senior</i>
<b>Not employed</b>	59.1%	41.7%	32.2%	26.0%	70.3%	35.2%	33.3%	33.1%	59.6%	41.1%	32.5%	28.5%
<b>Employed</b>	40.9%	58.3%	67.8%	74.0%	29.7%	64.8%	66.7%	66.9%	40.4%	59.0%	67.5%	71.5%
<b>Not employed w/o UCB *</b>	58.6%	40.1%	35.7%	25.2%	74.8%	35.6%	22.2%	19.0%	59.2%	39.8%	32.1%	23.5%
<b>Employed w/o UCB *</b>	41.4%	59.9%	64.3%	74.8%	25.2%	64.4%	77.8%	81.0%	40.8%	60.2%	67.9%	76.5%
<b><i>Hours worked per week during the Academic Year:</i></b>												
	<i>Dependent</i>				<i>Independent</i>				<i>All</i>			
	<i>Freshman</i>	<i>Sophomore</i>	<i>Junior</i>	<i>Senior</i>	<i>Freshman</i>	<i>Sophomore</i>	<i>Junior</i>	<i>Senior</i>	<i>Freshman</i>	<i>Sophomore</i>	<i>Junior</i>	<i>Senior</i>
Less than 10	20.7%	19.8%	17.3%	11.1%	14.0%	11.2%	6.2%	17.0%	20.5%	18.9%	14.0%	13.1%
10 to 14	35.3%	31.9%	31.0%	23.5%	17.4%	8.9%	16.8%	15.0%	34.7%	29.5%	26.7%	20.7%
15 to 19	20.5%	17.3%	17.6%	22.1%	28.2%	7.0%	12.9%	18.5%	20.8%	16.2%	16.2%	20.9%
20 to 24	17.2%	19.9%	21.8%	21.3%	19.8%	39.4%	21.9%	27.5%	17.3%	22.0%	21.8%	23.4%
25 to 29	2.9%	3.7%	5.8%	9.3%	0.0%	8.1%	11.9%	6.5%	2.8%	4.2%	7.6%	8.4%
30 to 34	1.8%	3.5%	3.8%	9.3%	7.9%	4.3%	12.1%	7.6%	2.0%	3.6%	6.3%	8.7%
35 to 39	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%	1.2%	3.4%	10.2%	1.0%	1.6%	1.0%	2.2%	0.8%	1.4%
40 or more	0.7%	2.7%	2.0%	2.2%	9.3%	10.9%	17.2%	6.3%	1.0%	3.6%	6.6%	3.6%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours (1)	14.2	14.7	15.5	18.5	18.3	22.9	23.2	19.8	14.3	15.5	17.9	18.9
Mean Hours (1)	14.5	15.8	16.3	18.7	19.6	23.4	24.0	19.3	14.7	16.6	18.6	18.9
Median Hours (2)*	13.0	15.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	13.0	15.0	15.0	18.5
Mean Hours (2)*	13.8	15.1	15.2	17.5	18.4	22.4	22.6	18.4	13.9	15.9	17.4	17.8
No. of Respondents	818	462	581	519	47	62	243	330	865	524	824	849
Non-respondents	4.5%	4.4%	6.0%	6.7%	1.0%	3.5%	7.7%	8.0%	4.4%	4.3%	6.6%	7.2%
Median w/o UCB (2)*	12.0	14.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	20.0	20.0	16.0	12.0	15.0	15.0	16.0
Mean w/o uCB (2)*	13.9	15.2	15.2	18.0	17.8	23.6	21.4	18.1	14.0	15.8	17.1	18.0

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution.

Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only.

(1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.

(2) Calculations based on actual hours reported.

Questions 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)



**TABLE C7**

**Undergraduate Employment by Dependency and Parent Income \***

**UNDERGRADUATES**

	<i>Dependent</i>				<i>Independent</i>				<i>All</i>			
	<u>Less than \$30,000</u>	<u>\$30,000 to \$59,999</u>	<u>\$60,000 to \$89,999</u>	<u>\$90,000 and above</u>	<u>Less than \$30,000</u>	<u>\$30,000 to \$59,999</u>	<u>\$60,000 to \$89,999</u>	<u>\$90,000 and above</u>	<u>Less than \$30,000</u>	<u>\$30,000 to \$59,999</u>	<u>\$60,000 to \$89,999</u>	<u>\$90,000 and above</u>
Not employed *	40.7%	31.9%	44.8%	48.6%	39.2%	25.9%	16.6%	51.3%	40.3%	30.6%	40.3%	48.9%
Employed *	59.3%	68.1%	55.2%	51.4%	60.8%	74.1%	83.5%	48.7%	59.7%	69.4%	59.7%	51.1%
Not employed w/o UCB*	41.9%	32.2%	45.9%	50.2%	32.7%	16.0%	17.0%	24.0%	39.7%	29.9%	42.0%	48.6%
Employed w/o UCB*	58.1%	67.8%	54.1%	49.8%	67.3%	84.0%	82.0%	76.0%	60.3%	70.1%	58.0%	51.4%

**Hours worked per week during the Academic Year:**

	<i>Dependent</i>				<i>Independent</i>				<i>All</i>			
	<u>Less than \$30,000</u>	<u>\$30,000 to \$59,999</u>	<u>\$60,000 to \$89,999</u>	<u>\$90,000 and above</u>	<u>Less than \$30,000</u>	<u>\$30,000 to \$59,999</u>	<u>\$60,000 to \$89,999</u>	<u>\$90,000 and above</u>	<u>Less than \$30,000</u>	<u>\$30,000 to \$59,999</u>	<u>\$60,000 to \$89,999</u>	<u>\$90,000 and above</u>
Less than 10	16.6%	18.9%	17.2%	15.4%	14.0%	3.0%	24.6%	8.0%	15.9%	15.1%	18.8%	14.5%
10 to 14	29.3%	28.3%	29.4%	33.1%	21.9%	16.3%	3.4%	20.0%	27.2%	25.4%	23.6%	31.6%
15 to 19	23.1%	18.8%	17.0%	17.5%	23.6%	8.4%	10.6%	20.6%	23.2%	16.3%	15.6%	17.9%
20 to 24	16.4%	23.3%	25.1%	16.8%	17.3%	39.9%	22.3%	29.3%	16.7%	27.3%	24.5%	18.3%
25 to 29	8.4%	5.5%	4.1%	4.7%	5.9%	6.2%	15.0%	9.6%	7.7%	5.6%	6.5%	5.3%
30 to 34	3.4%	3.3%	3.1%	9.0%	7.6%	9.9%	6.5%	2.4%	4.6%	4.9%	3.8%	8.2%
35 to 39	0.8%	1.0%	1.5%	1.1%	0.9%	2.1%	5.4%	1.7%	0.8%	1.2%	2.3%	1.1%
40 or more	2.1%	0.9%	2.7%	2.4%	8.8%	14.3%	12.3%	8.5%	4.0%	4.1%	4.9%	3.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Median Hours (1)	15.9	15.7	16.0	15.4	18.0	22.8	22.6	20.2	16.5	17.9	17.4	16.1
Mean Hours (1)	16.4	16.0	16.6	17.0	19.2	23.9	21.6	20.3	17.2	17.9	17.7	17.4
Median Hours (2) *	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	16.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	16.0	15.0
Mean Hours (2) *	15.4	15.0	15.8	16.0	18.1	22.5	20.9	19.2	16.2	16.8	16.9	16.4
No. of Respondents	659	620	462	553	265	169	98	80	924	789	560	633
Non-respondents	4.9%	5.4%	5.7%	5.6%	5.9%	1.1%	17.2%	2.1%	5.2%	4.5%	7.8%	5.2%
Median w/o Berkeley (2) *	15.0	15.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	20.0	20.0	17.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Mean w/o Berkeley (2) *	15.8	15.0	16.0	15.9	18.5	20.6	22.5	18.6	16.5	15.9	17.3	16.2

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only.

(1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.

(2) Calculations based on actual hours reported.

Questions 11 and 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)

TABLE C8

## Undergraduate Employment by Dependency and Parent Income, 1994-95 and 1997-98 \*

## UNDERGRADUATES

	<i>Dependent</i>				<i>Independent</i>				<i>All</i>			
	<u>Less than \$30,000</u>	<u>\$30,000 to \$59,999</u>	<u>\$60,000 to \$89,999</u>	<u>\$90,000 and above</u>	<u>Less than \$30,000</u>	<u>\$30,000 to \$59,999</u>	<u>\$60,000 to \$89,999</u>	<u>\$90,000 and above</u>	<u>Less than \$30,000</u>	<u>\$30,000 to \$59,999</u>	<u>\$60,000 to \$89,999</u>	<u>\$90,000 and above</u>
<b>Employed</b>												
1997-98 w/o UCB *	58.1%	67.8%	54.1%	49.8%	67.3%	84.0%	82.4%	76.0%	60.3%	70.1%	58.0%	51.4%
1997-98 *	59.3%	68.1%	55.2%	51.4%	60.8%	74.1%	83.5%	48.7%	59.7%	69.4%	59.7%	51.1%
1994-95	57.4%	57.0%	52.3%	45.4%	61.5%	57.8%	70.6%	51.1%	58.4%	57.1%	55.0%	45.9%
<b><i>Hours worked per week during the Academic Year:</i></b>												
<b>Median Hours</b>												
1997-98 w/o UCB *	16.5	15.8	16.4	15.0	18.4	21.7	23.5	17.5	17.0	16.8	17.8	15.3
1997-98 *	15.9	15.7	16.0	15.4	18.0	22.8	22.6	20.2	16.5	17.9	17.4	16.1
1994-95	14.3	15.3	15.2	14.3	20.2	21.4	20.8	17.1	15.3	16.4	15.7	14.5
<b>Mean Hours</b>												
1997-98 w/o UCB *	16.7	16.0	16.7	16.8	19.5	21.4	23.4	19.2	17.5	17.0	18.0	17.1
1997-98 *	16.4	16.0	16.6	17.0	19.2	23.9	21.6	20.3	17.2	17.9	17.7	17.4
1994-95	14.7	16.4	15.0	14.7	20.7	20.5	21.0	16.9	16.1	17.0	16.1	14.9

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only.

Questions 11 and 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE C9**  
**Undegraduate Employment, On- and Off-Campus, 1991-92 to 1997-98 \***

	<i>OFF-CAMPUS</i>				<i>ON-CAMPUS</i>				<i>ALL</i>			
	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1997-98</u>	<u>w/o UCB *</u>	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1997-98</u>	<u>w/o UCB *</u>	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1997-98</u>	<u>w/o UCB *</u>
<b>Percent employed</b>	35.0%	29.2%	36.8%	35.9%	28.4%	29.0%	32.5%	33.4%	58.4%	53.7%	59.6%	60.1%
<b><i>Hours worked per week during the Academic Year:</i></b>												
	<i>OFF-CAMPUS</i>				<i>ON-CAMPUS</i>				<i>ALL</i>			
	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1997-98</u>	<u>w/o UCB *</u>	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1997-98</u>	<u>w/o UCB *</u>	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1997-98</u>	<u>w/o UCB *</u>
Less than 10	13.3%	18.3%	13.7%	12.8%	18.2%	24.0%	22.9%	23.8%	12.5%	15.0%	15.7%	15.9%
10 to 14	17.2%	18.7%	18.9%	19.4%	33.3%	41.6%	32.3%	31.8%	23.5%	30.0%	26.5%	26.3%
15 to 19	19.7%	19.5%	16.4%	18.4%	27.2%	21.7%	20.7%	21.1%	22.9%	21.6%	18.8%	19.9%
20 to 24	23.8%	19.5%	24.6%	23.1%	16.2%	8.1%	15.0%	14.5%	21.8%	16.3%	21.6%	20.5%
25 to 29	10.5%	11.0%	7.2%	8.3%	1.9%	2.7%	4.0%	3.6%	7.6%	7.7%	6.4%	6.8%
30 to 34	8.4%	7.9%	7.3%	7.2%	2.2%	1.2%	3.2%	3.3%	6.5%	5.8%	5.9%	5.8%
35 to 39	2.2%	1.8%	3.1%	3.3%	0.5%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	1.6%	0.8%	1.3%	1.4%
40 or more	4.9%	3.5%	8.8%	7.6%	0.6%	0.7%	1.7%	1.7%	3.6%	2.8%	3.9%	3.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours (1)	19.4	17.8	20.2	19.8	14.3	11.0	14.2	14.1	17.6	15.7	17.1	16.9
Mean Hours (1)	19.7	18.3	20.3	20.1	14.7	13.1	14.7	14.6	18.4	17.0	17.6	17.5
No. of Respondents	1,072	948	1,050	909	893	982	1,083	927	1,835	1,778	1,989	1,989

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Number of respondents, hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only

(1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.

Totals may not equal 100 percent due to rounding.

Question 13B (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE C10**  
**Employment Rates and Work Load \***

<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>		<i>GRADUATES</i>	
<b><i>Percent Employed:</i></b>			
1. Los Angeles	70.9%	1. Santa Barbara	85.5%
2. Riverside	66.1%	2. Santa Cruz	84.4%
3. Irvine	59.9%	3. Berkeley	80.0%
4. San Diego	59.4%	4. Riverside	76.3%
5. Santa Cruz	59.2%	5. Irvine	71.6%
6. Berkeley	57.3%	6. Davis	69.8%
7. Davis	56.0%	7. San Diego	61.4%
8. Santa Barbara	46.8%	8. Los Angeles	57.6%
9. San Francisco	17.1%	9. San Francisco	44.7%
<b>SYSTEMWIDE*</b>	59.6%	<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	68.4%
<b>SYSTEM w/o UCB *</b>	60.1%		

<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>		<i>GRADUATES</i>	
<b><i>Median hours worked per week during the Academic Year:</i></b>			
1. Riverside	18.9	1. Irvine	23.6
2. Berkeley	18.2	2. San Diego	23.3
3. Los Angeles	17.4	3. Santa Barbara	23.1
4. Santa Barbara	17.4	4. Riverside	23.0
5. San Diego	17.3	5. Davis	22.3
6. Irvine	16.9	6. Berkeley	22.2
7. Davis	15.4	7. Santa Cruz	22.1
8. Santa Cruz	14.9	8. Los Angeles	22.0
9. San Francisco	na	9. San Francisco	19.4
<b>SYSTEMWIDE*</b>	17.1	<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	22.4
<b>SYSTEM w/o UCB *</b>	16.9		

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.  
*Question 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE C11**  
**Academic Year Student Employment by Campus, 1979-80 to 1997-98 \***

**EMPLOYMENT RATES**

	UNDERGRADUATES							GRADUATES						
	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98
Berkeley	59.6%	57.3%	60.8%	56.6%	52.0%	49.9%	57.3%	70.1%	75.8%	79.8%	77.4%	68.5%	76.5%	80.0%
Davis	48.2%	48.8%	55.4%	63.4%	53.2%	49.8%	56.0%	75.2%	69.7%	67.7%	69.7%	68.1%	68.7%	69.8%
Irvine	64.4%	64.1%	63.1%	67.2%	57.9%	54.3%	59.9%	79.8%	65.1%	73.0%	73.9%	69.7%	73.1%	71.6%
Los Angeles	68.2%	62.4%	69.9%	67.0%	67.3%	65.7%	70.9%	73.9%	65.2%	75.8%	67.4%	68.8%	64.7%	57.6%
Riverside	63.2%	63.5%	64.7%	62.5%	60.9%	54.7%	66.1%	80.1%	78.5%	85.5%	88.4%	77.1%	80.6%	76.3%
San Diego	59.0%	59.9%	68.1%	61.2%	61.6%	48.0%	59.4%	63.6%	60.0%	67.0%	76.3%	59.3%	63.0%	61.4%
San Francisco	50.9%	51.7%	57.4%	44.7%	39.4%	10.2%	17.1%	40.8%	52.6%	59.1%	55.8%	47.8%	42.9%	44.7%
Santa Barbara	54.4%	53.1%	56.6%	60.5%	58.1%	55.0%	46.8%	81.2%	79.8%	91.2%	90.8%	81.1%	85.4%	85.5%
Santa Cruz	59.1%	59.0%	60.3%	62.1%	54.3%	52.3%	59.2%	80.6%	78.6%	90.8%	87.4%	89.1%	84.3%	84.4%
<b>SYSTEMWIDE*</b>	<b>57.8%</b>	<b>58.6%</b>	<b>60.6%</b>	<b>61.5%</b>	<b>58.4%</b>	<b>54.4%</b>	<b>59.6%</b>	<b>73.7%</b>	<b>68.5%</b>	<b>73.0%</b>	<b>71.9%</b>	<b>68.0%</b>	<b>69.4%</b>	<b>68.4%</b>
<b>SYS w/o UCB *</b>							<b>60.1%</b>							

**MEDIAN WORK LOAD (HOURS per WEEK) during the Academic Year**

	UNDERGRADUATES							GRADUATES						
	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98
Berkeley	13.0	14.2	13.9	14.0	16.7	14.1	18.2	21.8	22.6	23.2	21.5	21.4	21.3	22.2
Davis	12.7	13.7	14.9	13.3	16.0	13.1	15.4	22.6	22.1	21.6	21.9	21.3	21.7	22.3
Irvine	18.2	15.1	16.5	17.2	18.6	19.9	16.9	28.5	24.5	24.4	23.8	23.1	23.9	23.6
Los Angeles	16.3	14.9	16.9	17.2	18.5	16.5	17.4	21.3	20.5	22.3	20.6	21.7	22.1	22.0
Riverside	15.7	14.4	17.3	17.2	19.6	18.6	18.9	22.6	24.3	24.3	21.6	23.6	23.3	23.0
San Diego	16.2	16.0	16.3	18.0	19.7	15.6	17.3	22.8	23.5	27.3	24.1	22.7	23.3	23.3
San Francisco	10.1	16.6	15.5	20.7	11.9	12.0	na	13.9	17.4	18.5	18.8	13.4	13.7	19.4
Santa Barbara	14.1	13.5	14.7	14.8	16.3	15.5	17.4	22.1	24.8	23.2	23.1	23.2	23.5	23.1
Santa Cruz	12.9	12.2	14.4	13.9	14.3	14.3	14.9	22.5	22.0	23.2	23.4	23.1	22.8	22.1
<b>SYSTEMWIDE*</b>	<b>14.4</b>	<b>15.1</b>	<b>15.3</b>	<b>15.4</b>	<b>17.6</b>	<b>15.7</b>	<b>17.1</b>	<b>22.2</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>22.8</b>	<b>21.8</b>	<b>21.8</b>	<b>22.2</b>	<b>22.4</b>
<b>SYS w/o UCB *</b>							<b>16.9</b>							

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Median hours worked per week are for employed students only.

Question 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE C12**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status \***

	UNDERGRADUATES			GRADUATES
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Not Employed	34.6%	52.3%	42.8%	20.0%
Employed	65.4%	47.7%	57.3%	80.0%

***Hours worked per week during the Academic Year.***

	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
	Less than 10	17.8%	9.2%	14.5%
10 to 14	34.6%	15.4%	27.2%	11.8%
15 to 19	14.9%	9.2%	12.7%	7.3%
20 to 24	23.1%	33.8%	27.2%	50.1%
25 to 29	1.3%	9.1%	4.3%	3.4%
30 to 34	6.0%	7.2%	6.4%	4.4%
35 to 39	0.8%	1.0%	0.9%	0.6%
40 or more	1.5%	15.2%	6.8%	13.2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours (1)	14.7	22.4	18.2	22.2
Mean Hours (1)	15.9	22.8	18.5	22.2
Median Hours (2)	15.0	20.0	15.0	20.0
Mean Hours (2)	14.8	21.5	17.3	21.5
No. of Respondents	234	160	394	505
Non-respondents	8.5%	5.7%	7.3%	3.2%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only*

*(1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.*

*(2) Calculations based on actual hours reported.*

*Question 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE C13**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
<b>Not Employed</b>	48.0%	20.0%	43.5%	30.2%
<b>Employed</b>	52.0%	80.0%	56.0%	69.8%

***Hours worked per week during the Academic Year.***

	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than 10	15.1%	24.9%	17.4%	13.1%
10 to 14	34.9%	18.3%	31.1%	12.3%
15 to 19	17.6%	22.5%	18.7%	5.2%
20 to 24	24.1%	20.7%	23.3%	41.8%
25 to 29	2.4%	2.1%	2.3%	3.7%
30 to 34	3.2%	10.3%	4.8%	3.1%
35 to 39	0.4%	0.1%	0.3%	1.1%
40 or more	2.4%	1.0%	2.1%	19.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours (1)	15.0	16.5	15.4	22.3
Mean Hours (1)	16.0	16.2	16.1	22.9
Median Hours (2)	14.5	15.0	15.0	20.0
Mean Hours (2)	15.1	15.2	15.1	21.8
No. of Respondents	264	62	326	315
Non-respondents	4.6%	8.0%	5.2%	9.2%

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only*

*(1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.*

*(2) Calculations based on actual hours reported.*

*Question 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE C14**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
<b>Not Employed</b>	42.5%	24.6%	40.1%	28.4%
<b>Employed</b>	57.5%	75.4%	59.9%	71.6%

***Hours worked per week during the Academic Year.***

	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than 10	18.5%	13.2%	17.6%	6.4%
10 to 14	27.7%	11.9%	25.0%	8.3%
15 to 19	21.0%	14.0%	19.8%	6.8%
20 to 24	13.9%	32.8%	17.2%	40.0%
25 to 29	9.7%	7.9%	9.4%	4.1%
30 to 34	5.2%	11.4%	6.3%	3.5%
35 to 39	2.2%	2.1%	2.2%	1.7%
40 or more	1.7%	6.8%	2.6%	29.2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Median Hours (1)	15.9	21.7	16.9	23.6
Mean Hours (1)	16.7	21.1	17.5	26.4
Median Hours (2)	15.0	20.0	17.0	20.0
Mean Hours (2)	16.2	20.3	16.9	26.2
No. of Respondents	332	55	387	371
Non-respondents	5.9%	9.4%	6.4%	5.2%

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only  
 (1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.  
 (2) Calculations based on actual hours reported.  
 Question 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)*



**TABLE C15**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
<b>Not Employed</b>	31.4%	16.1%	29.1%	42.4%
<b>Employed</b>	68.6%	84.0%	70.9%	57.6%

***Hours worked per week during the Academic Year.***

	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than 10	13.1%	10.0%	12.6%	6.0%
10 to 14	31.3%	11.3%	27.7%	18.9%
15 to 19	23.1%	6.4%	20.1%	9.7%
20 to 24	20.1%	30.7%	22.0%	39.0%
25 to 29	6.1%	14.2%	7.5%	5.5%
30 to 34	4.7%	1.4%	4.1%	2.7%
35 to 39	1.5%	4.5%	2.0%	3.0%
40 or more	0.1%	21.6%	4.0%	15.2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Median Hours (1)	16.2	23.6	17.4	22.0
Mean Hours (1)	16.5	24.7	18.0	22.6
Median Hours (2)	15.0	20.0	15.0	20.0
Mean Hours (2)	15.3	23.4	16.8	21.5
No. of Respondents	369	90	459	490
Non-respondents	3.5%	15.5%	5.6%	6.2%

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only*  
 (1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.  
 (2) Calculations based on actual hours reported.  
 Question 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE C16**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
<b>Not Employed</b>	34.5%	31.8%	33.9%	23.7%
<b>Employed</b>	65.5%	68.2%	66.1%	76.3%
<i><b>Hours worked per week during the Academic Year.</b></i>				
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than 10	21.0%	4.0%	17.1%	10.0%
10 to 14	20.5%	16.8%	19.7%	9.6%
15 to 19	16.2%	20.3%	17.2%	10.2%
20 to 24	26.3%	24.5%	25.8%	34.4%
25 to 29	5.5%	12.5%	7.2%	7.2%
30 to 34	5.1%	7.9%	5.7%	3.6%
35 to 39	1.2%	3.9%	1.8%	0.5%
40 or more	4.2%	10.2%	5.6%	24.6%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours (1)	17.6	21.8	18.9	23.0
Mean Hours (1)	17.4	22.7	18.6	24.6
Median Hours (2)	16.0	20.0	18.5	20.0
Mean Hours (2)	16.3	21.4	17.5	24.3
No. of Respondents	219	69	288	258
Non-respondents	2.7%	4.0%	3.0%	2.8%

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only*

*(1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.*

*(2) Calculations based on actual hours reported.*

*Question 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE C17**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
<b>Not Employed</b>	41.9%	35.7%	40.6%	38.6%
<b>Employed</b>	58.1%	64.3%	59.4%	61.4%

***Hours worked per week during the Academic Year.***

	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than 10	15.6%	6.4%	13.6%	6.3%
10 to 14	28.9%	10.2%	24.8%	14.0%
15 to 19	23.1%	30.7%	24.8%	5.3%
20 to 24	18.5%	25.4%	20.0%	37.3%
25 to 29	5.2%	2.3%	4.5%	2.3%
30 to 34	6.5%	19.3%	9.3%	4.9%
35 to 39	0.6%	2.5%	1.1%	1.2%
40 or more	1.6%	3.3%	2.0%	28.7%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours (1)	16.2	20.6	17.3	23.3
Mean Hours (1)	16.6	21.5	17.7	25.8
Median Hours (2)	15.0	20.0	15.0	20.0
Mean Hours (2)	15.6	20.2	16.6	26.2
No. of Respondents	329	86	415	291
Non-respondents	6.7%	6.8%	6.7%	3.2%

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only*

*(1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.*

*(2) Calculations based on actual hours reported.*

*Question 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE C18**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
<b>Not Employed</b>	68.2%	93.4%	82.9%	55.3%
<b>Employed</b>	31.8%	65.6%	17.1%	44.7%

***Hours worked per week during the Academic Year.***

	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than 10	71.4%	100.0%	77.8%	18.4%
10 to 14	28.6%	0.0%	22.2%	21.4%
15 to 19	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.6%
20 to 24	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	24.3%
25 to 29	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
30 to 34	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.4%
35 to 39	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%
40 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours (1)	na	na	na	19.4
Mean Hours (1)	7.0	5.0	6.6	20.3
Median Hours (2)	6.0	4.0	6.0	15.0
Mean Hours (2)	8.0	4.0	7.1	20.9
No. of Respondents	9	13	22	357
Non-respondents	0.0%	7.6%	4.6%	5.6%

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only*  
 (1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.  
 (2) Calculations based on actual hours reported.  
 Question 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE C19**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
<b>Not employed</b>	56.9%	25.1%	53.2%	14.5%
<b>Employed</b>	43.1%	74.9%	46.8%	85.5%

**Hours worked per week during the Academic Year.**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than 10	17.0%	11.7%	16.1%	2.3%
10 to 14	25.5%	17.9%	24.1%	9.0%
15 to 19	19.5%	24.2%	20.4%	6.9%
20 to 24	16.0%	7.2%	14.4%	50.9%
25 to 29	9.9%	16.3%	11.1%	6.1%
30 to 34	7.4%	10.8%	8.0%	6.4%
35 to 39	0.2%	1.6%	0.5%	2.0%
40 or more	4.4%	10.3%	5.5%	16.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours (1)	16.9	19.2	17.4	23.1
Mean Hours (1)	17.7	21.2	18.4	24.9
Median Hours (2)	15.0	20.0	15.0	20.0
Mean Hours (2)	16.9	20.6	17.6	24.0
No. of Respondents	290	59	349	355
Non-respondents	5.0%	4.9%	5.0%	4.4%

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only*  
 (1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.  
 (2) Calculations based on actual hours reported.  
 Question 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE C20**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
<b>Not employed</b>	41.7%	37.0%	40.8%	15.7%
<b>Employed</b>	58.3%	63.0%	59.2%	84.4%

***Hours worked per week during the Academic Year.***

	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
	Less than 10	21.7%	28.2%	23.1%
10 to 14	27.6%	27.4%	27.5%	10.8%
15 to 19	16.9%	14.4%	16.4%	13.6%
20 to 24	20.9%	13.0%	19.3%	47.5%
25 to 29	8.5%	0.0%	6.7%	3.3%
30 to 34	1.6%	11.9%	3.7%	6.4%
35 to 39	1.0%	2.0%	1.2%	0.7%
40 or more	1.7%	3.1%	2.0%	12.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours (1)	15.2	14.0	14.9	22.1
Mean Hours (1)	15.8	15.8	15.8	22.6
Median Hours (2)	14.0	10.0	12.0	20.0
Mean Hours (2)	14.9	15.3	14.9	21.5
No. of Respondents	335	93	428	224
Non-respondents	6.9%	6.4%	6.8%	3.4%

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only*

*(1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.*

*(2) Calculations based on actual hours reported.*

*Question 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE C21**  
**Student Summer Employment by Dependency Status \***

	<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>			<i>GRADUATES</i>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Not employed	30.6%	24.8%	29.3%	33.9%
Employed	69.4%	75.3%	70.7%	66.1%
Not employ w/o UCB *	29.6%	20.5%	28.0%	
Employ w/o UCB *	70.4%	79.5%	72.0%	

***Hours worked per week during the Summer:***

	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than 10	3.1%	2.9%	3.1%	3.5%
10 to 14	7.5%	7.3%	7.5%	4.8%
15 to 19	4.4%	5.1%	4.6%	3.0%
20 to 24	14.8%	13.3%	14.5%	18.4%
25 to 29	7.8%	6.4%	7.4%	2.2%
30 to 34	14.6%	10.0%	13.5%	7.0%
35 to 39	7.0%	3.4%	6.2%	2.9%
40 or more	40.8%	51.6%	43.3%	58.2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours (1)	34.2	40.2	34.8	40.7
Mean Hours (1)	31.6	32.7	31.8	33.7
Median Hours (2) *	32.0	37.0	35.0	40.0
Mean Hours (2) *	31.0	31.7	31.1	33.6
No. of Respondents	2,191	648	2,839	2,886
Non-respondents	13.2%	10.6%	12.6%	12.6%
Median w/o Berkeley (2) *	30.0	30.0	30.0	
Mean w/o Berkeley (2) *	31.0	30.2	30.9	

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only*

*(1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.*

*(2) Calculations based on actual hours reported.*

*Questions 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

TABLE C22

## Undergraduate Summer Employment by Dependency Status, 1994-95 and 1997-98 \*

	<i>Dependent</i>			<i>Independent</i>			<i>All undergraduates</i>		
	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1997-98</u>	<u>w/o UCB *</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1997-98</u>	<u>w/o UCB *</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1997-98</u>	<u>w/o UCB *</u>
<b>Employed</b>	76.3%	69.4%	70.4%	75.5%	75.3%	79.5%	76.2%	70.7%	72.0%
<b><i>Hours worked per week during the Summer:</i></b>									
	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1997-98</u>	<u>w/o UCB</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1997-98</u>	<u>w/o UCB</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1997-98</u>	<u>w/o UCB</u>
Less than 10	2.6%	3.1%	3.9%	0.9%	2.9%	3.9%	2.4%	3.1%	3.4%
10 to 14	4.5%	7.5%	8.8%	2.4%	7.3%	8.8%	4.1%	7.5%	7.0%
15 to 19	4.5%	4.4%	6.8%	1.6%	5.1%	6.8%	4.0%	4.6%	4.3%
20 to 24	14.4%	14.8%	15.3%	14.5%	13.3%	15.3%	14.4%	14.5%	15.0%
25 to 29	7.6%	7.8%	4.1%	6.2%	6.4%	4.1%	7.4%	7.4%	7.5%
30 to 34	16.4%	14.6%	12.4%	13.0%	10.0%	12.4%	15.8%	13.5%	14.7%
35 to 39	8.6%	7.0%	4.8%	5.3%	3.4%	4.8%	8.0%	6.2%	6.6%
40 or more	41.5%	40.8%	43.9%	56.1%	51.6%	43.9%	43.8%	43.3%	41.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours (1)	35.0	34.2	34.3	40.5	40.2	34.4	36.1	34.8	34.3
Mean Hours (1)	32.5	31.6	31.6	35.2	32.7	31.0	32.9	31.8	31.5
Median Hours (2)	N/A	32.0	30.0	N/A	40.0	30.0	N/A	32.0	30.0
Mean Hours (2)	N/A	30.9	31.0	N/A	31.7	30.2	N/A	31.1	30.9
No. of Respondents	2,443	2,191	1,432	547	648	377	2,990	2,839	1,809
Non-respondents	2.0%	13.2%	13.0%	2.7%	10.6%	13.7%	2.1%	12.6%	13.1%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only

(1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.

(2) Calculations based on actual hours reported.

Question 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)



**TABLE C23**  
**Student Summer Employment by Dependency Status and Campus \***

<b>DEPENDENT UNDERGRADUATES</b>									
	<u>Berkeley *</u>	<u>Davis</u>	<u>Irvine</u>	<u>Los Angeles</u>	<u>Riverside</u>	<u>San Diego</u>	<u>San Francisco</u>	<u>Santa Barbara</u>	<u>Santa Cruz</u>
Not employed	38.6%	28.2%	39.8%	34.6%	38.4%	24.2%	44.1%	21.0%	22.2%
Employed	61.5%	71.8%	60.2%	65.5%	61.6%	75.8%	55.9%	79.0%	77.8%
Mean hours worked per week (1)	31.3	31.9	29.0	30.1	31.0	33.8	33.6	32.7	31.7
Mean hours worked per week (2)	30.3	31.8	28.0	30.3	29.4	32.7	32.1	32.2	30.9
No. of Respondents	223	246	309	310	197	309	7	272	250
Non-respondents	14.8%	11.4%	13.0%	17.9%	13.2%	12.8%	7.6%	9.6%	10.4%
<b>INDEPENDENT UNDERGRADUATES</b>									
	<u>Berkeley *</u>	<u>Davis</u>	<u>Irvine</u>	<u>Los Angeles</u>	<u>Riverside</u>	<u>San Diego</u>	<u>San Francisco</u>	<u>Santa Barbara</u>	<u>Santa Cruz</u>
Not employed	31.9%	17.8%	27.4%	7.1%	35.6%	24.4%	45.9%	18.5%	21.5%
Employed	68.1%	82.2%	72.7%	92.9%	64.4%	75.6%	54.1%	81.5%	78.5%
Mean hours worked per week (1)	35.9	27.8	28.4	31.7	30.1	33.9	35.8	33.9	31.0
Mean hours worked per week (2)	34.5	27.6	27.2	30.7	28.8	33.2	34.9	33.0	30.3
No. of Respondents	156	59	51	76	67	80	13	55	91
Non-respondents	4.9%	11.2%	14.0%	25.6%	9.7%	10.9%	22.7%	6.1%	8.3%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Mean hours worked per week are for employed students only.

(1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.

(2) Calculations based on actual hours reported.

Question 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE C23 (continued)**  
**Student Summer Employment by Dependency Status and Campus \***

<b>ALL UNDERGRADUATES</b>									
	<u>Berkeley*</u>	<u>Davis</u>	<u>Irvine</u>	<u>Los Angeles</u>	<u>Riverside</u>	<u>San Diego</u>	<u>San Francisco</u>	<u>Santa Barbara</u>	<u>Santa Cruz</u>
Not employed	35.4%	26.5%	38.1%	30.3%	37.8%	24.3%	45.3%	20.7%	22.0%
Employed	64.7%	73.5%	61.9%	69.7%	62.2%	75.7%	54.7%	79.3%	78.0%
Mean hours worked per week (1)	33.6	31.1	28.9	30.5	30.8	33.8	35.0	32.8	31.6
Mean hours worked per week (2)	32.4	31.0	27.8	30.4	29.2	32.8	33.9	32.3	30.8
No. of Respondents	379	305	360	386	264	389	20	327	341
Non-respondents	10.3%	11.3%	13.1%	19.2%	12.4%	12.4%	13.6%	9.2%	10.0%
<b>ALL GRADUATES</b>									
	<u>Berkeley *</u>	<u>Davis</u>	<u>Irvine</u>	<u>Los Angeles</u>	<u>Riverside</u>	<u>San Diego</u>	<u>San Francisco</u>	<u>Santa Barbara</u>	<u>Santa Cruz</u>
Not employed	28.8%	37.0%	35.0%	32.8%	33.3%	45.3%	49.5%	26.5%	24.3%
Employed	71.2%	63.0%	65.0%	67.3%	66.7%	54.7%	50.5%	73.5%	75.7%
Mean hours worked per week (1)	33.1	33.8	33.4	34.8	32.5	33.9	35.6	31.2	31.2
Mean hours worked per week (2)	33.0	33.6	33.7	34.6	32.7	34.4	36.0	31.3	30.0
No. of Respondents	454	271	351	464	236	257	323	317	213
Non-respondents	11.0%	21.0%	10.8%	10.2%	11.7%	13.2%	14.8%	13.7%	8.3%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: Mean hours worked per week are for employed students only.*

*(1) Calculations based on midpoints of ranges. This method was used in past reports.*

*(2) Calculations based on actual hours reported.*

*Questions 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE C24**  
**Undergraduate Students by Employment Status,**  
**Average Units Attempted, and Grade Point Average \***

	Average units attempted				Grade point average			
	1991-92	1994-95	1997-98	w/o UCB*	1991-92	1994-95	1997-98	w/o UCB*
<b>Not Employed</b>	14.39	14.40	14.15	14.28	2.98	2.95	2.91	2.88
<b>Employed</b>	14.18	14.14	13.94	13.95	2.92	2.94	2.90	2.86
<b>All students</b>	14.27	14.27	14.02	14.07	2.95	2.95	2.91	2.88
<b><i>Hours worked per week during the Academic Year:</i></b>								
Not Employed	14.39	14.40	14.15	14.28	2.98	2.95	2.91	2.88
1 to 5 hours	14.57	14.32	14.20	14.15	3.00	3.05	3.03	3.05
6 to 10 hours	14.55	14.64	14.12	14.06	3.02	3.03	2.98	2.90
11 to 15 hours	14.36	14.27	14.08	13.95	2.95	2.96	2.95	2.94
16 to 20 hours	14.02	13.62	14.10	14.24	2.91	2.92	2.87	2.82
21 to 25 hours	13.83	14.02	13.37	13.28	2.85	2.84	2.72	2.72
26 to 30 hours	13.94	14.27	13.77	13.50	2.81	2.83	2.81	2.76
31 to 35 hours	13.44	13.95	13.35	13.44	2.78	2.66	2.57	2.59
36 or more hours	13.39	12.14	12.37	13.21	2.73	2.59	2.66	2.64
No. of Respondents	2,966	3,069	3,239	2,821	2,669	2,757	2,784	2,374

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Question 13A (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

## Section D: Student Income

### **Undergraduates**

The median annual income for undergraduates was \$3,499 (\$3,366 w/o UCB), a small increase from the inflation-adjusted 1994 income of \$3,299. (*Table D3*)

- *Dependency status.* The median income of independent students (\$7,807) was more than double than that of dependent undergraduates (\$2,996) (recall that independent students work nearly 6 hours per week more than their dependent counterparts). (*Table D1*)
- *Aid recipient status.* Non-aid recipients (\$3,749 or \$3,586 w/o UCB) had higher annual incomes than aid recipients (\$3,411 or \$3,296 w/o UCB) did. (*Table D3*)
- *Ethnicity.* Chicano students had the highest employment rate in 1997-98 and also reported the highest median annual income (\$4,258), while Asian Americans (who were the least likely to be employed, and worked the fewest hours) reported the lowest median annual income (\$2,475). (*Table D4*)
- *Campus.* Undergraduates at San Francisco reported the highest median annual income (\$4,168) and Irvine reported the lowest median income (\$2,839). In past survey years Berkeley students reported the lowest median, but because of the apparent bias in the 1997-98 sample, the student income figure may not reflect the income of the population of undergraduates. (*Table D6*)

### **Graduates**

Graduate student income was \$14,780 in 1997-98, a significant increase from the inflation-adjusted 1994-95 income of \$13,581. (*Table D3*)

- *Aid recipient status.* Non-aid recipients continue to have much higher incomes than aid recipients do (\$19,214 versus \$13,019). While the median income of non-aid recipients rose only slightly since 1994-95 (from \$19,096 to \$19,214 in constant dollars), the income of aid recipients rose significantly (from \$11,631 to \$13,019). (*Table D3*)
- *Ethnicity.* As in past surveys, white graduate students reported the highest median annual income of all the ethnic groups (\$16,534). This represents a large increase since the 1994-95 income of \$15,005 (in constant dollars). Asian American graduate students reported the lowest annual income (\$9,128). Chicano students experienced the largest income rise (from \$9,244 to \$11,575 in constant dollars). (*Table D5*)
- *Campus.* Berkeley graduate students reported the highest median annual income of the nine campuses (\$16,570). As would be expected because of their low number of hours worked per week, San Francisco reported the lowest median income (\$8,258). (*Table D6*)

**TABLE D1**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency**

	UNDERGRADUATES			GRADUATES
	Dependent	Independent	All	Independent
Nothing	18.2%	10.8%	16.6%	11.6%
Less than \$1,000	9.5%	4.0%	8.3%	1.5%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	33.5%	17.3%	30.1%	8.6%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	21.4%	14.5%	19.9%	7.6%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	7.5%	12.6%	8.6%	7.9%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	6.9%	15.7%	8.8%	13.4%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	1.9%	5.6%	2.7%	18.3%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.6%	8.2%	2.2%	9.5%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	5.7%	1.2%	6.6%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	1.1%	0.2%	3.5%
\$50,000 or more	0.5%	4.5%	1.3%	11.6%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars *	\$ 2,996	\$ 7,807	\$ 3,499	\$ 14,780
Mean Dollars *	\$ 4,010	\$ 12,670	\$ 5,853	\$ 21,284
No. of Respondents	2,455	713	3,168	3,257
Non-respondents	2.3%	4.4%	2.7%	2.7%
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$ 2,978	\$ 8,305	\$ 3,366	
Mean Dollars w/o Berkeley *	\$ 3,992	\$ 12,369	\$ 5,387	

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.*

*Median and mean dollars are calculated for those students who responded to both Q19 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.*

**TABLE D2**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status \***

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>									<b>GRADUATES</b>		
	<i>Dependent</i>			<i>Independent</i>			<i>All</i>			<i>Independent</i>		
	<i>Aid</i>	<i>No Aid</i>	<i>All</i>	<i>Aid</i>	<i>No Aid</i>	<i>All</i>	<i>Aid</i>	<i>No Aid</i>	<i>All</i>	<i>Aid</i>	<i>No Aid</i>	<i>All</i>
Nothing	17.3%	20.0%	18.2%	8.8%	16.7%	10.8%	15.3%	19.4%	16.6%	12.2%	9.8%	11.6%
Less than \$1,000	9.2%	10.1%	9.5%	5.1%	0.9%	4.0%	8.2%	8.5%	8.3%	1.8%	0.7%	1.5%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	37.0%	26.8%	33.5%	19.7%	10.2%	17.3%	33.0%	24.1%	30.1%	10.0%	4.2%	8.6%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	22.6%	19.2%	21.4%	16.3%	9.4%	14.5%	21.1%	17.6%	19.9%	8.7%	4.3%	7.6%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	7.1%	8.1%	7.5%	13.3%	10.8%	12.6%	8.6%	8.6%	8.6%	8.9%	4.8%	7.9%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	5.0%	10.6%	6.9%	17.1%	11.6%	15.7%	7.8%	10.7%	8.8%	14.0%	11.5%	13.4%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	1.5%	2.8%	1.9%	5.5%	5.8%	5.6%	2.4%	3.3%	2.7%	18.5%	17.6%	18.3%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.3%	1.2%	0.6%	6.7%	12.4%	8.2%	1.8%	3.1%	2.2%	9.0%	11.2%	9.5%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	8.7%	5.7%	1.1%	1.5%	1.2%	6.3%	7.5%	6.6%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	1.3%	1.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	2.4%	6.6%	3.5%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	1.3%	0.5%	1.8%	12.2%	4.5%	0.4%	3.2%	1.3%	8.3%	22.0%	11.6%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars *	\$ 2,907	\$ 3,231	\$ 2,996	\$ 7,032	\$ 10,906	\$ 7,807	\$ 3,411	\$ 3,749	\$ 3,499	\$ 13,019	\$ 19,214	\$ 14,780
Mean Dollars *	\$ 3,456	\$ 5,062	\$ 4,010	\$ 10,100	\$ 20,130	\$ 12,670	\$ 5,017	\$ 7,584	\$ 5,853	\$ 18,815	\$ 40,441	\$ 21,284
No. of Respondents	1,746	709	2,455	567	146	713	2,313	855	3,168	2,951	306	3,257
Non-respondents	1.9%	2.8%	2.3%	2.9%	8.6%	4.4%	2.2%	3.9%	2.7%	4.7%	2.5%	2.7%
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$ 2,864	\$ 3,278	\$ 2,978	\$ 7,686	\$ 12,420	\$ 8,305	\$ 3,296	\$ 3,568	\$ 3,366			
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$ 3,438	\$ 5,091	\$ 3,992	\$ 10,565	\$ 20,908	\$ 12,369	\$ 4,854	\$ 6,601	\$ 5,393			

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution.

Therefore systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.

Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to both Q19 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.

TABLE D3

Median Annual Income by Dependency and Aid, 1988-89 to 1997-98 \*

## ACTUAL DOLLARS

## UNDERGRADUATES

## GRADUATES

	<i>Dependent</i>			<i>Independent</i>			<i>All</i>			<i>Independent</i>		
	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>
1997-98 w/o UCB *	\$ 2,864	\$ 3,278	\$ 2,978	\$ 7,686	\$12,420	\$ 8,305	\$ 3,296	\$ 3,568	\$ 3,366			
1997-98 *	\$ 2,907	\$ 3,231	\$ 2,996	\$ 7,032	\$10,906	\$ 7,807	\$ 3,411	\$ 3,749	\$ 3,499	\$13,019	\$19,214	\$14,780
1994-95	\$ 2,819	\$ 2,788	\$ 2,812	\$ 6,082	\$ 7,156	\$ 6,385	\$ 3,157	\$ 3,014	\$ 3,128	\$11,028	\$18,106	\$12,877
1991-92	\$ 3,124	\$ 2,977	\$ 3,054	\$ 6,526	\$12,086	\$ 7,340	\$ 3,576	\$ 3,335	\$ 3,473	\$ 9,931	\$17,690	\$12,128
1988-89	\$ 2,831	\$ 3,096	\$ 2,994	\$ 5,167	\$13,779	\$ 6,929	\$ 3,105	\$ 3,239	\$ 3,185	\$ 9,588	\$14,657	\$11,252

## CONSTANT 1997-98 DOLLARS

## UNDERGRADUATES

## GRADUATES

	<i>Dependent</i>			<i>Independent</i>			<i>All</i>			<i>Independent</i>		
	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>
1997-98 w/o UCB *	\$ 2,864	\$ 3,278	\$ 2,978	\$ 7,686	\$12,420	\$ 8,305	\$ 3,296	\$ 3,568	\$ 3,366			
1997-98 *	\$ 2,907	\$ 3,231	\$ 2,996	\$ 7,032	\$10,906	\$ 7,807	\$ 3,411	\$ 3,749	\$ 3,499	\$13,019	\$19,214	\$14,780
1994-95	\$ 2,973	\$ 2,940	\$ 2,966	\$ 6,415	\$ 7,547	\$ 6,734	\$ 3,330	\$ 3,179	\$ 3,299	\$11,631	\$19,096	\$13,581
1991-92	\$ 3,508	\$ 3,343	\$ 3,429	\$ 7,328	\$13,572	\$ 8,242	\$ 4,016	\$ 3,745	\$ 3,900	\$11,152	\$19,865	\$13,619
1988-89	\$ 3,628	\$ 3,967	\$ 3,836	\$ 6,621	\$17,656	\$ 8,879	\$ 3,979	\$ 4,150	\$ 4,081	\$12,286	\$18,781	\$14,418

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.

Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to both Q19 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.

**TABLE D4**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency and Ethnicity \***

**UNDERGRADUATES**

	<i>Dependent</i>					<i>Independent</i>					<i>All</i>				
	<u>Asian</u>	<u>African</u>				<u>Asian</u>	<u>African</u>				<u>Asian</u>	<u>African</u>			
	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>
Nothing	27.9%	10.9%	16.1%	13.5%	10.6%	19.4%	10.8%	3.5%	2.8%	6.4%	26.1%	10.9%	12.9%	11.0%	9.8%
Less than \$1,000	10.8%	9.8%	6.5%	9.2%	9.1%	4.0%	2.7%	3.3%	6.6%	3.0%	9.4%	8.2%	5.7%	8.5%	7.9%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	30.8%	34.7%	35.5%	37.2%	37.7%	25.0%	21.2%	11.8%	17.9%	9.4%	29.6%	31.7%	29.4%	32.7%	32.2%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	18.7%	23.4%	23.7%	20.7%	22.7%	16.6%	17.1%	22.9%	17.2%	6.1%	18.3%	22.0%	23.5%	19.9%	19.4%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	5.2%	9.9%	8.3%	9.9%	8.6%	11.7%	11.3%	9.4%	12.7%	16.9%	6.5%	10.2%	8.6%	10.5%	10.2%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	4.1%	9.6%	6.7%	8.0%	8.3%	15.0%	13.5%	23.5%	15.3%	14.3%	6.4%	10.5%	11.0%	9.7%	9.5%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	1.8%	1.1%	2.6%	1.0%	2.3%	0.5%	11.9%	7.7%	4.8%	10.0%	1.5%	3.5%	4.0%	1.9%	3.8%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.8%	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%	0.7%	5.5%	4.5%	3.8%	3.6%	12.6%	1.8%	1.5%	1.4%	1.3%	3.0%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	1.1%	2.4%	7.6%	9.7%	10.7%	0.2%	0.5%	2.0%	2.3%	2.2%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	2.6%	1.9%	1.7%	0.0%	0.3%	0.7%	0.4%	0.3%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	3.3%	3.9%	7.6%	8.8%	0.2%	0.7%	1.0%	1.8%	1.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Median dollars *	\$2,101	\$3,527	\$3,311	\$3,201	\$3,407	\$4,301	\$6,675	\$9,738	\$8,304	\$12,864	\$2,475	\$3,921	\$4,258	\$3,798	\$4,015
Mean dollars *	\$3,026	\$4,117	\$4,146	\$3,961	\$4,227	\$6,479	\$10,324	\$13,550	\$15,241	\$19,609	\$3,752	\$5,509	\$6,566	\$6,661	\$7,241
No. of Respondents	397	297	380	329	664	104	87	131	103	170	501	384	511	432	834
Non-respondents	2.0%	1.9%	1.4%	5.0%	2.8%	1.1%	1.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.9%	1.9%	1.7%	1.1%	4.1%	2.4%
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$2,068	\$3,256	\$3,148	\$3,119	\$3,400	\$3,978	\$4,814	\$8,596	\$9,370	\$13,110	\$2,377	\$3,428	\$3,792	\$3,729	\$3,730
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$2,906	\$3,883	\$3,949	\$3,895	\$4,241	\$5,923	\$8,349	\$13,553	\$16,223	\$17,823	\$3,375	\$4,682	\$6,077	\$6,077	\$6,387

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.

Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to both Q19 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.

Data for domestic students only. Undergraduate Asian American category includes Filipino students.



**TABLE D4 (continued)**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency and Ethnicity**

**GRADUATES**

	<i>Independent</i>				
	<u>Asian</u>	<u>African</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>
	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>			
Nothing	17.0%	11.7%	13.9%	12.8%	6.5%
Less than \$1,000	4.0%	2.1%	2.9%	3.3%	0.8%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	15.2%	14.4%	13.1%	10.9%	5.9%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	9.2%	10.0%	10.5%	8.8%	8.3%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	6.5%	11.6%	5.5%	9.1%	7.8%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	9.7%	14.1%	13.3%	11.7%	14.6%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	13.7%	12.1%	12.7%	14.0%	19.9%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	8.7%	11.5%	6.2%	11.3%	10.1%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	4.9%	3.9%	7.5%	7.1%	7.0%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	2.2%	1.3%	2.8%	2.3%	4.5%
\$50,000 or more	8.9%	7.3%	11.8%	8.8%	14.6%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median dollars	\$9,128	\$10,053	\$11,575	\$12,174	\$16,534
Mean dollars	\$16,929	\$15,886	\$19,663	\$17,521	\$24,728
No. of Respondents	561	259	334	300	1,251
Non-respondents	2.4%	3.9%	2.5%	1.2%	2.4%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.  
 Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to both Q19 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.  
 Data for domestic students only. Graduate Asian American category does not include Filipino students.*

**TABLE D5**  
**Median Student Annual Income by Ethnicity, 1988-89 to 1997-98**

**ACTUAL DOLLARS**

	UNDERGRADUATES					GRADUATES				
	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	97-98	w/o UCB*	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	97-98	
Asian American	\$ 2,508	\$ 2,193	\$ 2,061	\$ 2,475	\$ 2,377	\$ 7,727	\$ 8,650	\$ 9,307	\$ 9,128	
African American	\$ 2,852	\$ 4,305	\$ 4,076	\$ 3,921	\$ 3,428	\$ 6,061	\$ 8,311	\$ 9,336	\$ 10,053	
Chicano	\$ 3,123	\$ 3,695	\$ 3,517	\$ 4,258	\$ 3,792	\$ 6,853	\$ 9,519	\$ 8,765	\$ 11,575	
Latino	\$ 3,230	\$ 3,950	\$ 3,606	\$ 3,798	\$ 3,729	\$ 9,891	\$ 11,653	\$ 12,087	\$ 12,174	
White	\$ 3,485	\$ 3,902	\$ 3,683	\$ 4,015	\$ 3,730	\$ 12,390	\$ 13,782	\$ 14,227	\$ 16,534	
<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	\$ 3,185	\$ 3,473	\$ 3,128	\$ 3,499	\$ 3,366	\$ 11,252	\$ 12,128	\$ 12,877	\$ 14,780	

**CONSTANT 1997-98 DOLLARS**

	UNDERGRADUATES					GRADUATES				
	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	97-98	w/o UCB*	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	97-98	
Asian American	\$ 3,214	\$ 2,463	\$ 2,174	\$ 2,475	\$ 2,377	\$ 9,901	\$ 9,713	\$ 9,816	\$ 9,128	
African American	\$ 3,654	\$ 4,834	\$ 4,299	\$ 3,921	\$ 3,428	\$ 7,766	\$ 9,332	\$ 9,846	\$ 10,053	
Chicano	\$ 4,002	\$ 4,150	\$ 3,710	\$ 4,258	\$ 3,792	\$ 8,781	\$ 10,689	\$ 9,244	\$ 11,575	
Latino	\$ 4,139	\$ 4,435	\$ 3,803	\$ 3,798	\$ 3,729	\$ 12,674	\$ 13,085	\$ 12,748	\$ 12,174	
White	\$ 4,466	\$ 4,382	\$ 3,884	\$ 4,015	\$ 3,730	\$ 15,876	\$ 15,477	\$ 15,005	\$ 16,534	
<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	\$ 4,081	\$ 3,900	\$ 3,299	\$ 3,499	\$ 3,366	\$ 14,418	\$ 13,619	\$ 13,581	\$ 14,780	

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Constant dollar values are based on the California Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers.

Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to both Q19 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.

**TABLE D6**  
**Student Annual Income \***

<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>		<b>GRADUATES</b>	
<b><u>Median Student Income:</u></b>			
1. Berkeley *	\$4,429	1. Berkeley	\$16,570
2. San Francisco	\$4,168	2. Santa Barbara	\$16,026
3. San Diego	\$3,804	3. Irvine	\$15,644
4. Los Angeles	\$3,960	4. Davis	\$15,557
5. Santa Cruz	\$3,394	5. Santa Cruz	\$14,435
6. Davis	\$3,260	6. Riverside	\$14,088
7. Riverside	\$3,040	7. San Diego	\$13,754
8. Santa Barbara	\$2,925	8. Los Angeles	\$11,665
9. Irvine	\$2,839	9. San Francisco	\$8,258
<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	\$ 3,499	<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	\$14,780
<b>SYSTEM w/o UCB*</b>	\$ 3,366		

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.*

*Median and mean dollars are calculated for those students who responded to both Q19 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.*

TREND

SEARS 1997-98

**TABLE D7**  
**Median Student Annual Income by Campus, 1979-80 to 1997-98**

		ACTUAL DOLLARS													
		UNDERGRADUATES							GRADUATES						
		'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	97-98*	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	97-98
Berkeley		\$ 2,026	\$ 2,050	\$ 2,774	\$ 2,927	\$ 2,902	\$ 2,829	\$ 4,429	--	\$ 7,875	\$ 9,304	\$ 11,249	\$ 11,875	\$ 12,660	\$ 16,570
Davis		\$ 1,788	\$ 2,147	\$ 2,651	\$ 3,019	\$ 3,402	\$ 2,897	\$ 3,260	--	\$ 5,906	\$ 9,070	\$ 9,768	\$ 11,643	\$ 12,397	\$ 15,557
Irvine		\$ 2,292	\$ 2,515	\$ 2,993	\$ 3,435	\$ 3,054	\$ 3,047	\$ 2,839	--	\$ 6,625	\$ 10,792	\$ 14,063	\$ 14,102	\$ 16,258	\$ 15,644
Los Angeles		\$ 1,856	\$ 2,406	\$ 3,048	\$ 3,469	\$ 4,423	\$ 3,543	\$ 3,960	--	\$ 7,125	\$ 9,267	\$ 10,560	\$ 12,319	\$ 12,306	\$ 11,665
Riverside		\$ 2,172	\$ 2,927	\$ 3,008	\$ 3,189	\$ 3,661	\$ 2,923	\$ 3,040	--	\$ 10,562	\$ 11,750	\$ 13,081	\$ 12,128	\$ 15,431	\$ 14,088
San Diego		\$ 1,800	\$ 2,134	\$ 3,203	\$ 3,441	\$ 4,548	\$ 3,082	\$ 3,804	--	\$ 5,375	\$ 8,667	\$ 11,318	\$ 11,457	\$ 11,866	\$ 13,754
San Francisco		\$ 2,500	\$ 6,000	\$ 5,668	\$ 8,605	\$ 9,455	\$ 3,463	\$ 4,168	--	\$ 4,944	\$ 6,708	\$ 7,859	\$ 6,186	\$ 6,045	\$ 8,258
Santa Barbara		\$ 1,874	\$ 1,970	\$ 2,745	\$ 2,967	\$ 3,125	\$ 3,142	\$ 2,925	--	\$ 7,500	\$ 10,506	\$ 13,079	\$ 13,431	\$ 14,926	\$ 16,026
Santa Cruz		\$ 1,847	\$ 1,928	\$ 2,612	\$ 3,240	\$ 3,067	\$ 3,499	\$ 3,394	--	\$ 10,500	\$ 6,969	\$ 12,485	\$ 14,160	\$ 15,167	\$ 14,435
<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>		\$ 1,933	\$ 2,235	\$ 2,870	\$ 3,185	\$ 3,473	\$ 3,128	\$ 3,499	--	\$ 6,911	\$ 9,367	\$ 11,252	\$ 12,128	\$ 12,877	\$ 14,780
<b>SYSTEM w/o UCB</b>								\$ 3,366							
		CONSTANT 1997-98 DOLLARS													
		UNDERGRADUATES							GRADUATES						
		'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	97-98	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	97-98
Berkeley		\$ 4,036	\$ 3,251	\$ 3,997	\$ 3,751	\$ 3,259	\$ 2,984	\$ 4,429	--	\$ 12,488	\$ 13,407	\$ 14,414	\$ 13,335	\$ 13,352	\$ 16,570
Davis		\$ 3,562	\$ 3,405	\$ 3,820	\$ 3,868	\$ 3,820	\$ 3,055	\$ 3,260	--	\$ 9,366	\$ 13,070	\$ 12,517	\$ 13,074	\$ 13,075	\$ 15,557
Irvine		\$ 4,566	\$ 3,988	\$ 4,313	\$ 4,402	\$ 3,429	\$ 3,214	\$ 2,839	--	\$ 10,506	\$ 15,551	\$ 18,020	\$ 15,836	\$ 17,147	\$ 15,644
Los Angeles		\$ 3,698	\$ 3,815	\$ 4,392	\$ 4,445	\$ 4,967	\$ 3,737	\$ 3,960	--	\$ 11,299	\$ 13,353	\$ 13,531	\$ 13,833	\$ 12,979	\$ 11,665
Riverside		\$ 4,327	\$ 4,642	\$ 4,334	\$ 4,086	\$ 4,111	\$ 3,083	\$ 3,040	--	\$ 16,749	\$ 16,931	\$ 16,762	\$ 13,619	\$ 16,275	\$ 14,088
San Diego		\$ 3,586	\$ 3,384	\$ 4,615	\$ 4,409	\$ 5,107	\$ 3,251	\$ 3,804	--	\$ 8,524	\$ 12,489	\$ 14,503	\$ 12,865	\$ 12,515	\$ 13,754
San Francisco		\$ 4,981	\$ 9,515	\$ 8,167	\$ 11,026	\$ 10,617	\$ 3,652	\$ 4,168	--	\$ 7,840	\$ 9,666	\$ 10,070	\$ 6,946	\$ 6,376	\$ 8,258
Santa Barbara		\$ 3,734	\$ 3,124	\$ 3,955	\$ 3,802	\$ 3,509	\$ 3,314	\$ 2,925	--	\$ 11,893	\$ 15,139	\$ 16,759	\$ 15,082	\$ 15,742	\$ 16,026
Santa Cruz		\$ 3,680	\$ 3,057	\$ 3,764	\$ 4,152	\$ 3,444	\$ 3,690	\$ 3,394	--	\$ 16,651	\$ 10,042	\$ 15,998	\$ 15,901	\$ 15,997	\$ 14,435
<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>		\$ 3,851	\$ 3,544	\$ 4,136	\$ 4,081	\$ 3,900	\$ 3,299	\$ 3,499	--	\$ 10,959	\$ 13,497	\$ 14,418	\$ 13,619	\$ 13,581	\$ 14,780
<b>SYSTEM w/o UCB</b>								\$ 3,366							

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Constant dollar values are based on the California Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers.

Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to both Q19 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.

**TABLE D8**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency Status \***

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	22.0%	14.6%	18.8%	5.1%
Less than \$1,000	7.4%	1.7%	4.9%	0.4%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	28.8%	16.8%	23.5%	4.3%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	22.3%	17.3%	20.1%	5.7%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	8.0%	12.7%	10.1%	10.8%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	8.0%	15.4%	11.3%	17.7%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	1.8%	3.6%	2.6%	19.3%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	1.7%	5.5%	3.4%	13.3%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	5.0%	2.2%	8.1%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%	3.3%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	7.1%	3.1%	12.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 3,143	\$ 6,917	\$ 4,429	\$ 16,570
Mean Dollars	\$ 4,143	\$ 13,196	\$ 8,153	\$ 23,466
No. of Respondents	247	165	412	517
Non-respondents	1.9%	5.8%	3.7%	1.0%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.*

*Median and mean dollars are calculated for those students who responded to both Q19 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.*

**TABLE D9**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	18.6%	4.9%	16.3%	11.9%
Less than \$1,000	9.9%	8.0%	9.6%	1.2%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	35.0%	17.4%	32.1%	7.2%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	21.1%	14.6%	20.0%	6.2%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	9.9%	23.5%	12.2%	7.3%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	5.1%	4.0%	4.9%	14.4%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	0.2%	1.4%	0.5%	17.0%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.2%	3.8%	0.8%	8.1%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	14.8%	2.5%	9.5%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	7.6%	1.3%	13.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 2,844	\$ 7,645	\$ 3,260	\$ 15,557
Mean Dollars	\$ 3,361	\$ 14,714	\$ 5,272	\$ 23,681
No. of Respondents	269	63	332	329
Non-respondents	2.4%	0.4%	2.0%	3.8%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.  
Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to both Q19 (summer income)  
and Q21 (academic year income).*

**TABLE D10**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	27.7%	15.7%	26.0%	14.3%
Less than \$1,000	7.3%	4.1%	6.9%	1.5%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	30.0%	16.7%	28.0%	7.0%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	20.7%	12.8%	19.6%	5.6%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	6.2%	3.1%	5.7%	6.6%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	6.2%	28.6%	9.4%	12.8%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	1.2%	1.4%	1.3%	17.2%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.5%	7.9%	1.6%	8.0%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.2%	1.9%	0.5%	7.3%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	3.1%	0.4%	1.9%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	4.9%	0.7%	17.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 2,499	\$ 7,808	\$ 2,839	\$ 15,644
Mean Dollars	\$ 3,457	\$ 13,541	\$ 4,911	\$ 26,482
No. of Respondents	342	60	402	384
Non-respondents	1.7%	0.0%	1.4%	1.7%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.  
Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to both Q19 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income).*

**TABLE D11**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	16.7%	1.2%	14.3%	14.8%
Less than \$1,000	6.6%	1.0%	5.7%	1.9%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	33.5%	14.3%	30.4%	13.0%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	24.8%	4.6%	21.6%	10.7%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	5.0%	16.8%	6.9%	6.8%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	8.1%	27.3%	11.1%	8.6%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	2.9%	9.8%	4.0%	16.6%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.0%	19.5%	3.1%	7.8%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	2.7%	0.4%	4.9%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	4.1%
\$50,000 or more	2.4%	2.7%	2.4%	10.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Median Dollars	\$3,393	\$12,236	\$3,960	\$11,665
Mean Dollars	\$5,159	\$14,005	\$6,558	\$19,353
No. of Respondents	382	93	475	502
Non-respondents	3.4%	11.4%	4.7%	5.4%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings. Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to both Q19 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income).*



**TABLE D12**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b><u>Dependent</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>
Nothing	24.5%	21.5%	23.8%	7.4%
Less than \$1,000	9.5%	1.4%	7.6%	0.8%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	28.7%	22.4%	27.3%	10.1%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	15.9%	19.8%	16.8%	8.1%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	8.8%	3.3%	7.5%	7.8%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	7.8%	10.9%	8.5%	19.5%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	2.3%	5.0%	2.9%	17.0%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	2.6%	6.7%	3.5%	7.0%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	1.2%	0.3%	5.9%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	5.3%	1.2%	3.2%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	2.6%	0.6%	13.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 2,670	\$ 4,708	\$ 3,040	\$ 14,088
Mean Dollars	\$ 4,026	\$ 10,402	\$ 5,495	\$ 22,174
No. of Respondents	219	72	291	263
	2.7%	1.3%	2.4%	1.1%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.  
Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to both Q19 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income).*

**TABLE D13**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	12.4%	11.9%	12.3%	18.5%
Less than \$1,000	10.1%	4.3%	9.0%	2.0%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	34.5%	16.3%	30.8%	9.7%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	24.9%	12.4%	22.3%	6.5%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	6.3%	10.6%	7.2%	4.9%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	8.3%	17.7%	10.3%	11.3%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	2.7%	7.5%	3.6%	26.1%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.8%	9.6%	2.6%	8.2%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	8.1%	1.6%	4.3%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	1.3%	0.3%	3.5%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	0.4%	0.1%	5.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 3,391	\$ 8,450	\$ 3,804	\$ 13,754
Mean Dollars	\$ 4,207	\$ 11,080	\$ 5,606	\$ 15,345
No. of Respondents	341	91	432	301
Non-respondents	1.7%	1.3%	1.6%	0.3%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.  
 Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to both Q19 (summer income)  
 and Q21 (academic year income).*

**TABLE D14**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	22.7%	6.6%	13.3%	22.3%
Less than \$1,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	31.8%	39.3%	36.2%	11.3%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	11.4%	6.6%	8.6%	8.4%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	11.4%	0.0%	4.8%	7.3%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	0.0%	8.2%	4.8%	8.2%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.3%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	11.4%	0.0%	4.8%	7.8%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	11.4%	8.2%	9.5%	4.7%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	16.4%	9.5%	1.9%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	14.8%	8.6%	13.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Median Dollars	\$ 3,571	\$ 5,875	\$ 4,168	\$ 8,258
Mean Dollars	\$ 7,705	\$ 24,402	\$ 17,405	\$ 18,741
No. of Respondents	9	13	22	367
Non-respondents	0.0%	7.6%	4.6%	2.1%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.  
Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to both Q19 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income).*

**TABLE D15**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	13.6%	2.3%	12.3%	4.7%
Less than \$1,000	14.3%	14.5%	14.3%	0.7%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	38.7%	18.5%	36.4%	4.8%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	15.5%	13.7%	15.3%	7.1%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	9.5%	9.7%	9.6%	9.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	6.3%	9.9%	6.7%	18.5%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	2.0%	12.9%	3.3%	25.7%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.0%	9.3%	1.1%	12.2%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	6.4%	0.7%	5.1%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	1.5%	0.2%	3.6%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	1.2%	0.1%	8.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 2,713	\$ 7,290	\$ 2,925	\$ 16,026
Mean Dollars	\$ 3,511	\$ 11,046	\$ 4,368	\$ 20,097
No. of Respondents	301	59	360	362
Non-respondents	1.5%	3.5%	1.7%	2.5%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.  
Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to both Q19 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income).*

**TABLE D16**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	13.2%	10.7%	12.7%	4.4%
Less than \$1,000	12.2%	5.8%	11.0%	1.3%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	36.0%	20.8%	33.0%	11.6%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	23.5%	20.8%	23.0%	7.9%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	6.4%	12.2%	7.5%	9.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	5.3%	7.9%	5.8%	17.8%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	2.4%	9.3%	3.8%	17.9%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	1.0%	5.9%	2.0%	9.2%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	3.8%	0.7%	10.9%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	1.2%	0.2%	2.6%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	1.6%	0.3%	7.5%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 3,052	\$ 5,007	\$ 3,394	\$ 14,435
Mean Dollars	\$ 3,739	\$ 9,426	\$ 4,852	\$ 20,141
No. of Respondents	345	97	442	232
Non-respondents	2.6%	3.0%	2.7%	0.3%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.  
Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to both Q19 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income).*

**TABLE D17**  
**Student Academic Year Income by Dependency \***

	UNDERGRADUATES			GRADUATES
	Dependent	Independent	All	Independent
Nothing	35.1%	19.9%	31.8%	21.6%
Less than \$1,000	15.9%	9.1%	14.4%	2.8%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	12.4%	4.0%	10.5%	2.5%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	10.7%	8.5%	10.2%	2.8%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	7.9%	8.4%	8.0%	2.2%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	8.1%	10.6%	8.6%	5.8%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	7.7%	17.7%	9.9%	18.6%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	1.5%	7.4%	2.8%	18.8%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	0.3%	3.8%	1.1%	5.0%
\$24,000 to \$35,999	0.0%	5.6%	1.2%	7.4%
\$36,000 to \$47,999	0.5%	2.4%	0.9%	5.1%
\$48,000 to \$59,999	0.0%	1.2%	0.3%	2.4%
\$60,000 to \$71,999	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	1.5%
\$72,000 to \$83,999	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.9%
\$84,000 to \$95,999	0.0%	0.9%	0.2%	0.5%
\$96,000 or more	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	2.2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>92.6%</i>
Median Dollars *	\$ 940	\$ 4,008	\$ 1,359	\$ 9,985
Mean Dollars *	\$ 2,399	\$ 9,182	\$ 3,862	\$ 16,034
No. of Respondents	2,485	720	3,205	3,289
Non-respondents	1.4%	2.0%	1.6%	1.5%
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$ 936	\$ 4,728	\$ 1,259	
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$ 2,396	\$ 9,209	\$ 3,533	

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for all students who respond to Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.*

**TABLE D18**  
**Student Academic Year Income by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status \***

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>						<b>GRADUATES</b>					
	<i>Dependent</i>			<i>Independent</i>			<i>All</i>			<i>Independent</i>		
	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>
Nothing	32.4%	40.3%	35.1%	18.6%	23.8%	19.9%	29.1%	37.5%	31.8%	23.8%	14.9%	21.6%
Less than \$1,000	17.8%	12.2%	15.9%	11.6%	1.9%	9.1%	16.3%	10.4%	14.4%	3.3%	1.3%	2.8%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	14.9%	7.5%	12.4%	4.5%	2.4%	4.0%	12.4%	6.7%	10.5%	2.8%	1.6%	2.5%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	13.0%	6.3%	10.7%	8.8%	7.8%	8.5%	12.0%	6.6%	10.2%	3.1%	1.9%	2.8%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	8.3%	7.2%	7.9%	11.2%	0.4%	8.4%	9.0%	6.0%	8.0%	2.5%	1.3%	2.2%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	6.8%	10.6%	8.1%	9.6%	13.5%	10.6%	7.5%	11.1%	8.6%	6.5%	3.6%	5.8%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	5.5%	11.9%	7.7%	17.2%	19.1%	17.7%	8.3%	13.1%	9.9%	18.6%	18.5%	18.6%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	1.2%	2.1%	1.5%	7.4%	7.4%	7.4%	2.7%	3.0%	2.8%	19.2%	17.6%	18.8%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	0.2%	0.7%	0.3%	4.3%	2.4%	3.8%	1.2%	1.0%	1.1%	4.6%	6.2%	5.0%
\$24,000 to \$35,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	8.8%	5.6%	1.1%	1.5%	1.2%	6.7%	9.8%	7.4%
\$36,000 to \$47,999	0.0%	1.3%	0.5%	2.1%	3.3%	2.4%	0.5%	1.7%	0.9%	4.2%	8.1%	5.1%
\$48,000 to \$59,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	4.3%	1.2%	0.0%	0.7%	0.3%	1.8%	4.2%	2.4%
\$60,000 to \$71,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.8%	3.3%	1.5%
\$72,000 to \$83,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%	1.9%	0.9%
\$84,000 to \$95,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	0.9%	0.0%	0.6%	0.2%	0.5%	0.6%	0.5%
\$96,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	1.2%	5.4%	2.2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<i>All students</i>												
Median Dollars *	\$990	\$800	\$940	\$3,587	\$6,091	\$4,008	\$1,370	\$1,311	\$1,359	\$8,600	\$14,386	\$9,985
Mean Dollars *	\$1,987	\$3,185	\$2,399	\$7,202	\$15,020	\$9,182	\$3,230	\$5,177	\$3,862	\$13,394	\$24,151	\$16,034
No. of Respondents	1,768	717	2,485	572	148	720	2,340	865	3,205	2,614	675	3,289
Non-respondents	2.2%	1.0%	1.4%	0.1%	7.4%	2.0%	0.8%	3.1%	1.6%	1.4%	1.8%	1.5%
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$999	\$766	\$936	\$4,048	\$7,953	\$4,728	\$1,325	\$1,029	\$1,259			
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$1,998	\$3,187	\$2,396	\$7,800	\$15,636	\$9,209	\$2,147	\$4,397	\$3,533			

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.  
 Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.

**TABLE D19**  
**Student Academic Year Income by Dependency Status \***

	<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>			<i>GRADUATES</i>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	35.7%	25.8%	31.2%	11.0%
Less than \$1,000	15.0%	6.4%	11.1%	1.5%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	9.5%	2.6%	6.4%	1.6%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	11.5%	8.2%	10.0%	3.5%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	9.3%	13.2%	11.0%	2.0%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	9.2%	12.6%	10.7%	6.4%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	6.5%	13.6%	9.8%	26.1%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	2.5%	4.9%	3.6%	20.8%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	0.8%	1.5%	1.2%	5.8%
\$24,000 to \$35,999	0.0%	4.3%	2.0%	8.3%
\$36,000 to \$47,999	0.0%	4.1%	1.9%	5.5%
\$48,000 to \$59,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%
\$60,000 to \$71,999	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%	2.2%
\$72,000 to \$83,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
\$84,000 to \$95,999	0.0%	2.5%	1.1%	0.4%
\$96,000 or more	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	2.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 949	\$ 3,534	\$ 2,129	\$ 11,542
Mean Dollars	\$ 2,423	\$ 9,106	\$ 5,449	\$ 17,772
No. of Respondents	249	166	415	521
Non-respondents	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	0.4%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.*



**TABLE D20**  
**Student Academic Year Income by Dependency Status**

	<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>			<i>GRADUATES</i>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	39.8%	11.0%	34.9%	19.2%
Less than \$1,000	20.8%	15.5%	19.9%	2.7%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	7.5%	1.3%	6.5%	3.2%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	6.5%	15.9%	8.0%	2.5%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	10.1%	4.4%	9.1%	2.7%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	8.8%	13.9%	9.6%	3.1%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	6.2%	10.8%	7.0%	17.7%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	0.3%	5.0%	1.1%	18.3%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	0.1%	0.4%	0.2%	5.8%
\$24,000 to \$35,999	0.0%	14.4%	2.4%	11.0%
\$36,000 to \$47,999	0.0%	0.4%	0.1%	5.8%
\$48,000 to \$59,999	0.0%	6.8%	1.1%	0.8%
\$60,000 to \$71,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
\$72,000 to \$83,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
\$84,000 to \$95,999	0.0%	0.4%	0.1%	0.8%
\$96,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 493	\$ 4,294	\$ 1,000	\$11,612
Mean Dollars	\$ 1,794	\$ 11,650	\$ 3,440	\$18,058
No. of Respondents	273	63	336	333
Non-respondents	1.5%	0.4%	1.3%	2.4%

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.*

**TABLE D21**  
**Student Academic Year Income by Dependency Status**

	<i><b>UNDERGRADUATES</b></i>			<i><b>GRADUATES</b></i>
	<u><b>Dependent</b></u>	<u><b>Independent</b></u>	<u><b>All</b></u>	<u><b>Independent</b></u>
Nothing	38.8%	25.0%	36.9%	20.5%
Less than \$1,000	13.3%	4.5%	12.0%	2.5%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	10.9%	3.4%	9.8%	1.9%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	9.4%	7.0%	9.1%	1.0%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	9.2%	2.0%	8.1%	1.9%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	10.4%	10.9%	10.5%	5.2%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	6.3%	28.1%	9.4%	17.7%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	1.0%	4.7%	1.5%	18.7%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	0.5%	4.6%	1.1%	5.8%
\$24,000 to \$35,999	0.2%	3.6%	0.7%	5.9%
\$36,000 to \$47,999	0.0%	1.4%	0.2%	6.3%
\$48,000 to \$59,999	0.0%	1.4%	0.2%	2.9%
\$60,000 to \$71,999	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	1.5%
\$72,000 to \$83,999	0.0%	1.4%	0.2%	2.3%
\$84,000 to \$95,999	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1.5%
\$96,000 or more	0.0%	1.4%	0.2%	4.6%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 840	\$ 5,489	\$ 1,113	\$11,806
Mean Dollars	\$ 2,196	\$ 10,476	\$ 3,381	\$20,798
No. of Respondents	348	60	408	388
Non-respondents	0.8%	0.0%	0.7%	0.7%

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.*

**TABLE D22**  
**Student Academic Year Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b><u>Dependent</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>
Nothing	24.6%	9.9%	22.3%	32.6%
Less than \$1,000	11.1%	7.7%	10.6%	3.5%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	17.9%	4.9%	15.9%	3.6%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	18.5%	5.4%	16.4%	2.8%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	7.9%	3.1%	7.2%	1.9%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	7.5%	7.4%	7.5%	6.9%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	9.7%	33.8%	13.5%	13.3%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	0.4%	9.3%	1.8%	12.1%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	0.0%	14.1%	2.2%	3.7%
\$24,000 to \$35,999	0.0%	1.7%	0.3%	7.6%
\$36,000 to \$47,999	2.4%	1.7%	2.3%	5.2%
\$48,000 to \$59,999	0.0%	1.0%	0.2%	3.0%
\$60,000 to \$71,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
\$72,000 to \$83,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
\$84,000 to \$95,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
\$96,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 1,795	\$ 8,060	\$ 2,077	\$ 5,623
Mean Dollars	\$ 3,359	\$ 9,876	\$ 4,391	\$13,951
No. of Respondents	384	94	478	509
Non-respondents	3.3%	11.2%	4.6%	3.1%

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.*

**TABLE D23**  
**Student Academic Year Income by Dependency Status**

	<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>			<i>GRADUATES</i>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	32.7%	24.0%	30.7%	15.5%
Less than \$1,000	12.8%	12.0%	12.6%	2.2%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	17.3%	2.7%	14.0%	2.2%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	8.4%	10.6%	8.9%	2.4%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	4.4%	10.9%	5.9%	2.5%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	11.5%	8.1%	10.7%	6.4%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	9.2%	14.7%	10.4%	25.4%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	2.4%	4.1%	2.8%	17.8%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	1.4%	4.1%	2.0%	4.4%
\$24,000 to \$35,999	0.0%	2.5%	0.6%	6.5%
\$36,000 to \$47,999	0.0%	5.2%	1.2%	5.0%
\$48,000 to \$59,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%
\$60,000 to \$71,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%
\$72,000 to \$83,999	0.0%	1.3%	0.3%	1.7%
\$84,000 to \$95,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$96,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 1,258	\$ 3,065	\$ 1,476	\$10,439
Mean Dollars	\$ 2,727	\$ 7,856	\$ 3,908	\$17,310
No. of Respondents	222	73	295	264
Non-respondents	1.4%	0.0%	1.1%	0.7%

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.*

**TABLE D24**  
**Student Academic Year Income by Dependency Status**

	<i><b>UNDERGRADUATES</b></i>			<i><b>GRADUATES</b></i>
	<u><b>Dependent</b></u>	<u><b>Independent</b></u>	<u><b>All</b></u>	<u><b>Independent</b></u>
Nothing	32.6%	21.6%	30.4%	28.5%
Less than \$1,000	16.8%	8.3%	15.1%	0.8%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	13.7%	2.7%	11.5%	1.7%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	7.7%	5.8%	7.3%	2.0%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	9.4%	11.8%	9.9%	1.9%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	7.2%	5.2%	6.8%	3.8%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	10.0%	19.8%	12.0%	14.7%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	2.2%	14.9%	4.8%	31.0%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	0.5%	1.5%	0.7%	3.8%
\$24,000 to \$35,999	0.0%	6.7%	1.4%	6.3%
\$36,000 to \$47,999	0.0%	1.5%	0.3%	3.1%
\$48,000 to \$59,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
\$60,000 to \$71,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
\$72,000 to \$83,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$84,000 to \$95,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$96,000 or more	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.7%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 1,047	\$ 3,977	\$ 1,399	\$10,589
Mean Dollars	\$ 2,497	\$ 7,980	\$ 3,611	\$11,957
No. of Respondents	347	92	439	302
Non-respondents	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.*

**TABLE D25**  
**Student Academic Year Income by Dependency Status**

	<i><b>UNDERGRADUATES</b></i>			<i><b>GRADUATES</b></i>
	<u><b>Dependent</b></u>	<u><b>Independent</b></u>	<u><b>All</b></u>	<u><b>Independent</b></u>
Nothing	34.1%	39.3%	37.1%	34.0%
Less than \$1,000	20.5%	14.8%	17.1%	6.5%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	11.4%	6.6%	8.6%	3.2%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	11.4%	0.0%	4.8%	5.0%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.4%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	11.4%	0.0%	4.8%	12.5%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	11.4%	0.0%	4.8%	4.5%
\$24,000 to \$35,999	0.0%	24.6%	14.3%	3.1%
\$36,000 to \$47,999	0.0%	6.6%	3.8%	4.7%
\$48,000 to \$59,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%
\$60,000 to \$71,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%
\$72,000 to \$83,999	0.0%	8.2%	4.8%	0.8%
\$84,000 to \$95,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
\$96,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 778	\$ 723	\$ 751	\$ 3,685
Mean Dollars	\$ 4,875	\$ 16,762	\$ 11,781	\$13,778
No. of Respondents	9	13	22	373
Non-respondents	0.0%	7.6%	4.6%	0.8%

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.*

**TABLE D26**  
**Student Academic Year Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b><u>Dependent</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>
Nothing	43.7%	12.7%	40.0%	8.4%
Less than \$1,000	18.7%	12.7%	18.0%	1.2%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	9.8%	12.4%	10.1%	1.7%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	9.4%	9.0%	9.3%	3.8%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	3.3%	0.6%	3.0%	0.5%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	6.4%	13.4%	7.2%	7.5%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	6.8%	14.6%	7.8%	26.1%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	2.0%	10.9%	3.1%	28.4%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	0.0%	5.2%	0.6%	7.3%
\$24,000 to \$35,999	0.0%	7.5%	0.9%	5.2%
\$36,000 to \$47,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%
\$48,000 to \$59,999	0.0%	1.1%	0.1%	2.4%
\$60,000 to \$71,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
\$72,000 to \$83,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
\$84,000 to \$95,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
\$96,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 340	\$ 4,405	\$ 554	\$12,182
Mean Dollars	\$ 1,825	\$ 8,061	\$ 2,555	\$15,548
No. of Respondents	302	60	362	366
Non-respondents	1.0%	0.0%	0.9%	1.0%

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.*

**TABLE D27**  
**Student Academic Year Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b><u>Dependent</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>
Nothing	34.5%	18.3%	31.3%	8.6%
Less than \$1,000	18.5%	15.0%	17.8%	8.7%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	13.7%	8.8%	12.7%	2.5%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	9.8%	7.8%	9.4%	2.5%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	8.8%	8.1%	8.6%	2.7%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	4.9%	9.0%	5.7%	5.7%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	6.8%	11.3%	7.7%	21.8%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	2.8%	10.9%	4.4%	27.8%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	0.3%	2.7%	0.8%	6.1%
\$24,000 to \$35,999	0.0%	6.7%	1.3%	6.1%
\$36,000 to \$47,999	0.0%	0.4%	0.1%	1.9%
\$48,000 to \$59,999	0.0%	1.2%	0.2%	1.4%
\$60,000 to \$71,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
\$72,000 to \$83,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
\$84,000 to \$95,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$96,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$838	\$3,017	\$1,072	\$11,311
Mean Dollars	\$2,186	\$7,120	\$3,163	\$15,027
No. of Respondents	351	99	450	233
Non-respondents	1.2%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q21 (academic year income) and includes those with zero income.*



**TABLE D28**  
**Student Summer Income by Dependency \***

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b>Dependent</b>	<b>Independent</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>Independent</b>
Nothing	28.9%	18.9%	26.8%	23.6%
Less than \$1,000	20.6%	11.3%	18.6%	4.8%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	18.6%	12.2%	17.2%	7.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	15.5%	14.1%	15.2%	10.4%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	7.8%	15.0%	9.3%	11.8%
\$4,000 to \$4,999	4.0%	7.6%	4.8%	7.3%
\$5,000 to \$5,999	1.4%	4.4%	2.1%	4.2%
\$6,000 to \$6,999	1.5%	3.2%	1.8%	5.2%
\$7,000 to \$7,999	0.2%	3.8%	1.0%	3.3%
\$8,000 to \$9,999	0.7%	3.1%	1.2%	5.0%
\$10,000 to \$11,999	0.1%	2.8%	0.6%	3.6%
\$12,000 to \$13,999	0.3%	1.5%	0.6%	3.3%
\$14,000 to \$15,999	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	3.0%
\$16,000 to \$17,999	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	1.6%
\$18,000 to \$19,999	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%	1.2%
\$20,000 or more	0.0%	1.3%	0.3%	4.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars *	\$ 1,031	\$ 2,547	\$ 1,270	\$ 3,361
Mean Dollars *	\$ 1,595	\$ 3,404	\$ 1,978	\$ 5,174
No. of Respondents	2,470	714	3,184	3,274
Non-respondents	1.2%	4.1%	1.8%	2.1%
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$ 1,037	\$ 2,349	\$ 1,223	
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$ 1,582	\$ 3,179	\$ 1,845	

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q19 (summer income) and includes those with zero income.

**TABLE D29**  
**Student Summer Income by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status \***

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>						<b>GRADUATES</b>					
	<i>Dependent</i>			<i>Independent</i>			<i>All</i>			<i>Independent</i>		
	<i>Aid</i>	<i>No Aid</i>	<i>All</i>	<i>Aid</i>	<i>No Aid</i>	<i>All</i>	<i>Aid</i>	<i>No Aid</i>	<i>All</i>	<i>Aid</i>	<i>No Aid</i>	<i>All</i>
Nothing	28.9%	28.8%	28.9%	17.6%	22.5%	18.9%	26.3%	27.8%	26.8%	25.1%	18.8%	23.6%
Less than \$1,000	22.1%	17.7%	20.6%	12.9%	6.7%	11.3%	19.9%	15.8%	18.6%	5.2%	3.4%	4.8%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	19.3%	17.3%	18.6%	13.1%	9.4%	12.2%	17.9%	16.0%	17.2%	8.0%	3.6%	7.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	14.7%	17.0%	15.5%	16.1%	8.5%	14.1%	15.0%	15.5%	15.2%	11.1%	8.2%	10.4%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	8.2%	7.1%	7.8%	17.7%	7.2%	15.0%	10.4%	7.1%	9.3%	12.7%	9.1%	11.8%
\$4,000 to \$4,999	4.0%	4.1%	4.0%	6.6%	10.4%	7.6%	4.6%	5.1%	4.8%	7.4%	7.0%	7.3%
\$5,000 to \$5,999	0.9%	2.3%	1.4%	3.8%	6.2%	4.4%	1.6%	3.0%	2.1%	4.2%	4.3%	4.2%
\$6,000 to \$6,999	0.8%	2.8%	1.5%	3.2%	3.4%	3.2%	1.3%	2.9%	1.8%	4.7%	6.9%	5.2%
\$7,000 to \$7,999	0.1%	0.4%	0.2%	3.3%	5.3%	3.8%	0.8%	1.2%	1.0%	2.8%	4.6%	3.3%
\$8,000 to \$9,999	0.5%	1.1%	0.7%	3.0%	3.3%	3.1%	1.1%	1.5%	1.2%	5.2%	4.7%	5.0%
\$10,000 to \$11,999	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	1.9%	5.2%	2.8%	0.5%	0.9%	0.6%	2.5%	7.0%	3.6%
\$12,000 to \$13,999	0.5%	0.1%	0.3%	0.4%	4.7%	1.5%	0.4%	0.9%	0.6%	2.9%	4.2%	3.3%
\$14,000 to \$15,999	0.0%	1.3%	0.5%	0.1%	1.6%	0.5%	0.1%	1.4%	0.5%	2.4%	4.7%	3.0%
\$16,000 to \$17,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	1.8%	1.6%
\$18,000 to \$19,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.8%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	1.1%	1.7%	1.2%
\$20,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	4.7%	1.3%	0.0%	0.8%	0.3%	3.1%	9.9%	4.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$956	\$1,203	\$1,031	\$2,400	\$3,404	\$2,547	\$1,213	\$1,401	\$1,270	\$3,041	\$4,977	\$3,361
Mean Dollars	\$1,458	\$1,855	\$1,595	\$2,869	\$4,938	\$3,404	\$1,787	\$2,372	\$1,978	\$4,551	\$7,108	\$5,174
No. of Respondents	1,756	714	2,470	567	147	714	2,323	861	3,184	2,600	674	3,274
Non-respondents	1.0%	1.6%	1.2%	2.9%	7.3%	4.1%	1.5%	2.6%	1.8%	1.8%	3.1%	2.1%
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$927	\$1,303	\$1,037	\$2,329	\$2,589	\$2,349	\$1,157	\$1,381	\$1,223			
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$1,429	\$1,885	\$1,582	\$2,835	\$4,787	\$3,179	\$1,705	\$2,158	\$1,845			

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q19 (summer income) and includes those with zero income.*

**TABLE D30**  
**Student Summer Income by Dependency \***

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	29.0%	25.8%	27.6%	20.0%
Less than \$1,000	21.3%	5.2%	14.2%	2.7%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	22.6%	7.1%	15.7%	6.1%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	8.0%	11.5%	9.5%	8.2%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	5.0%	17.7%	10.7%	13.7%
\$4,000 to \$4,999	6.7%	8.6%	7.6%	9.6%
\$5,000 to \$5,999	0.4%	6.3%	3.0%	4.8%
\$6,000 to \$6,999	2.7%	1.4%	2.2%	6.9%
\$7,000 to \$7,999	0.1%	3.9%	1.8%	3.6%
\$8,000 to \$9,999	4.1%	2.1%	3.2%	6.7%
\$10,000 to \$11,999	0.0%	6.4%	2.9%	4.5%
\$12,000 to \$13,999	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	2.4%
\$14,000 to \$15,999	0.0%	1.1%	0.5%	2.4%
\$16,000 to \$17,999	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%	1.5%
\$18,000 to \$19,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
\$20,000 or more	0.0%	2.6%	1.2%	5.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 985	\$ 3,025	\$ 1,525	\$ 3,948
Mean Dollars	\$ 1,697	\$ 3,813	\$ 2,636	\$ 5,661
No. of Respondents	248	166	414	519
Non-respondents	1.1%	4.8%	2.8%	0.6%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q19 (summer income) and includes those with zero income.*

**DAVIS****SEARS 1997-98**

**TABLE D31**  
**Student Summer Income by Dependency**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b><u>Dependent</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>
Nothing	25.8%	8.6%	23.0%	19.0%
Less than \$1,000	21.3%	24.6%	21.8%	7.3%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	17.1%	5.8%	15.2%	5.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	20.4%	18.9%	20.1%	7.5%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	6.4%	9.3%	6.9%	16.4%
\$4,000 to \$4,999	5.7%	12.0%	6.7%	5.8%
\$5,000 to \$5,999	1.7%	4.5%	2.1%	3.0%
\$6,000 to \$6,999	1.6%	8.1%	2.7%	5.1%
\$7,000 to \$7,999	0.0%	6.9%	1.2%	3.9%
\$8,000 to \$9,999	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	7.9%
\$10,000 to \$11,999	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	3.9%
\$12,000 to \$13,999	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	4.4%
\$14,000 to \$15,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%
\$16,000 to \$17,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
\$18,000 to \$19,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
\$20,000 or more	0.0%	0.8%	0.1%	6.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 1,171	\$ 2,584	\$ 1,344	\$ 3,681
Mean Dollars	\$ 1,565	\$ 3,064	\$ 1,814	\$ 5,660
No. of Respondents	270	63	333	330
Non-respondents	1.0%	0.4%	0.9%	3.8%

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q19 (summer income) and includes those with zero income.*

**TABLE D32**  
**Student Summer Income by Dependency**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b>Dependent</b>	<b>Independent</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>Independent</b>
Nothing	39.7%	23.2%	37.4%	24.2%
Less than \$1,000	20.6%	11.6%	19.3%	6.1%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	16.2%	14.9%	16.0%	5.7%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	9.8%	20.2%	11.3%	9.3%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	7.2%	10.0%	7.6%	12.0%
\$4,000 to \$4,999	2.5%	2.8%	2.6%	8.2%
\$5,000 to \$5,999	1.8%	1.9%	1.8%	2.9%
\$6,000 to \$6,999	1.0%	4.7%	1.5%	4.3%
\$7,000 to \$7,999	0.5%	0.2%	0.4%	3.6%
\$8,000 to \$9,999	0.0%	4.2%	0.6%	3.3%
\$10,000 to \$11,999	0.0%	1.6%	0.2%	4.2%
\$12,000 to \$13,999	0.8%	1.5%	0.9%	2.3%
\$14,000 to \$15,999	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	1.6%
\$16,000 to \$17,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%
\$18,000 to \$19,999	0.0%	1.4%	0.2%	1.7%
\$20,000 or more	0.0%	1.4%	0.2%	8.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 500	\$ 2,016	\$ 657	\$ 3,391
Mean Dollars	\$ 1,252	\$ 3,066	\$ 1,512	\$ 5,623
No. of Respondents	344	60	404	385
Non-respondents	1.1%	0.0%	0.9%	1.4%

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q19 (summer income) and includes those with zero income.*

**TABLE D33**  
**Student Summer Income by Dependency**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	34.1%	6.1%	29.8%	27.9%
Less than \$1,000	17.0%	9.0%	15.8%	3.8%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	16.3%	20.5%	17.0%	9.9%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	16.9%	12.2%	16.2%	10.7%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	6.7%	19.3%	8.7%	5.9%
\$4,000 to \$4,999	2.6%	8.2%	3.4%	4.7%
\$5,000 to \$5,999	1.4%	2.1%	1.5%	3.2%
\$6,000 to \$6,999	1.4%	1.1%	1.4%	5.5%
\$7,000 to \$7,999	0.0%	3.2%	0.5%	3.6%
\$8,000 to \$9,999	0.0%	10.4%	1.6%	4.5%
\$10,000 to \$11,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%
\$12,000 to \$13,999	1.2%	7.3%	2.2%	4.6%
\$14,000 to \$15,999	2.3%	0.0%	2.0%	5.0%
\$16,000 to \$17,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%
\$18,000 to \$19,999	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.8%
\$20,000 or more	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	4.3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 935	\$ 3,113	\$ 1,264	\$ 2,777
Mean Dollars	\$ 1,780	\$ 4,127	\$ 2,146	\$ 5,243
No. of Respondents	385	93	478	508
Non-respondents	1.5%	11.4%	3.2%	4.1%

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q19 (summer income) and includes those with zero income.*

**TABLE D34**  
**Student Summer Income by Dependency**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	40.5%	31.8%	38.5%	18.4%
Less than \$1,000	16.4%	11.5%	15.3%	6.0%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	17.1%	19.5%	17.6%	9.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	10.4%	10.8%	10.5%	18.2%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	9.5%	5.5%	8.6%	12.5%
\$4,000 to \$4,999	2.6%	5.3%	3.2%	7.8%
\$5,000 to \$5,999	2.1%	3.4%	2.4%	4.8%
\$6,000 to \$6,999	1.4%	3.6%	1.9%	3.2%
\$7,000 to \$7,999	0.0%	2.0%	0.5%	1.7%
\$8,000 to \$9,999	0.0%	2.1%	0.5%	2.4%
\$10,000 to \$11,999	0.0%	0.7%	0.2%	3.2%
\$12,000 to \$13,999	0.0%	1.3%	0.3%	1.4%
\$14,000 to \$15,999	0.0%	1.3%	0.3%	3.1%
\$16,000 to \$17,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%
\$18,000 to \$19,999	0.0%	1.3%	0.3%	3.1%
\$20,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 579	\$ 1,346	\$ 752	\$ 2,911
Mean Dollars	\$ 1,254	\$ 2,490	\$ 1,536	\$ 4,821
No. of Respondents	221	72	293	265
Non-respondents	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	0.4%

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q19 (summer income) and includes those with zero income.*

**TABLE D35**  
**Student Summer Income by Dependency**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	22.3%	18.8%	21.6%	30.7%
Less than \$1,000	19.3%	8.0%	17.0%	4.7%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	21.7%	12.8%	19.9%	5.7%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	18.3%	20.9%	18.9%	15.8%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	8.5%	12.5%	9.3%	13.8%
\$4,000 to \$4,999	5.4%	8.6%	6.0%	8.3%
\$5,000 to \$5,999	2.1%	4.3%	2.5%	4.2%
\$6,000 to \$6,999	1.1%	1.8%	1.3%	3.2%
\$7,000 to \$7,999	0.8%	6.7%	2.0%	1.9%
\$8,000 to \$9,999	0.1%	2.1%	0.5%	3.4%
\$10,000 to \$11,999	0.1%	1.3%	0.4%	4.0%
\$12,000 to \$13,999	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%	1.6%
\$14,000 to \$15,999	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	1.1%
\$16,000 to \$17,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
\$18,000 to \$19,999	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	0.0%
\$20,000 or more	0.0%	1.4%	0.3%	1.2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 1,383	\$ 2,496	\$ 1,570	\$ 2,561
Mean Dollars	\$ 1,716	\$ 3,190	\$ 2,016	\$ 3,375
No. of Respondents	341	91	432	301
Non-respondents	1.7%	1.3%	1.6%	0.3%

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q19 (summer income) and includes those with zero income.*



**TABLE D36**  
**Student Summer Income by Dependency**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	22.7%	6.6%	13.3%	29.9%
Less than \$1,000	31.8%	0.0%	13.3%	6.7%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	0.0%	8.2%	4.8%	3.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	11.4%	21.3%	17.1%	6.6%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	0.0%	16.4%	9.5%	10.4%
\$4,000 to \$4,999	11.4%	0.0%	4.8%	8.5%
\$5,000 to \$5,999	11.4%	0.0%	4.8%	7.4%
\$6,000 to \$6,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%
\$7,000 to \$7,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
\$8,000 to \$9,999	0.0%	8.2%	4.8%	3.8%
\$10,000 to \$11,999	11.4%	8.2%	9.5%	3.7%
\$12,000 to \$13,999	0.0%	16.4%	9.5%	3.0%
\$14,000 to \$15,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
\$16,000 to \$17,999	0.0%	8.2%	4.8%	1.5%
\$18,000 to \$19,999	0.0%	6.6%	3.8%	1.4%
\$20,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 857	\$ 3,850	\$ 3,151	\$ 3,369
Mean Dollars	\$ 2,830	\$ 7,639	\$ 5,624	\$ 4,853
No. of Respondents	9	13	22	370
Non-respondents	0.0%	7.6%	4.6%	1.4%

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q19 (summer income) and includes those with zero income.*

**TABLE D37**  
**Student Summer Income by Dependency**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	19.9%	8.4%	18.6%	17.9%
Less than \$1,000	25.1%	22.0%	24.8%	6.3%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	17.7%	21.2%	18.1%	7.2%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	18.6%	9.5%	17.6%	13.7%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	12.1%	17.0%	12.6%	17.3%
\$4,000 to \$4,999	3.2%	2.2%	3.1%	8.4%
\$5,000 to \$5,999	0.6%	4.6%	1.1%	6.3%
\$6,000 to \$6,999	1.5%	10.7%	2.5%	4.4%
\$7,000 to \$7,999	0.0%	1.2%	0.2%	3.5%
\$8,000 to \$9,999	1.3%	1.2%	1.3%	2.9%
\$10,000 to \$11,999	0.0%	0.6%	0.1%	3.8%
\$12,000 to \$13,999	0.0%	1.2%	0.1%	3.6%
\$14,000 to \$15,999	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1.1%
\$16,000 to \$17,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
\$18,000 to \$19,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%
\$20,000 or more	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	2.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 1,281	\$ 1,925	\$ 1,366	\$ 3,283
Mean Dollars	\$ 1,669	\$ 2,782	\$ 1,794	\$ 4,414
No. of Respondents	304	59	363	364
Non-respondents	0.5%	3.5%	0.9%	1.9%

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q19 (summer income) and includes those with zero income.*

**TABLE D38**  
**Student Summer Income by Dependency**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	21.9%	19.1%	21.4%	14.3%
Less than \$1,000	23.7%	17.4%	22.5%	6.9%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	23.6%	11.7%	21.3%	8.2%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	15.8%	13.4%	15.3%	17.8%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	8.3%	19.7%	10.5%	16.6%
\$4,000 to \$4,999	3.4%	4.7%	3.7%	6.9%
\$5,000 to \$5,999	1.8%	2.9%	2.0%	4.3%
\$6,000 to \$6,999	0.7%	1.2%	0.8%	3.0%
\$7,000 to \$7,999	0.5%	2.9%	0.9%	1.7%
\$8,000 to \$9,999	0.0%	2.3%	0.4%	4.0%
\$10,000 to \$11,999	0.4%	1.9%	0.7%	2.6%
\$12,000 to \$13,999	0.0%	2.4%	0.5%	2.8%
\$14,000 to \$15,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
\$16,000 to \$17,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
\$18,000 to \$19,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%
\$20,000 or more	0.0%	0.4%	0.1%	2.6%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$ 1,185	\$ 2,132	\$ 1,289	\$ 3,167
Mean Dollars	\$ 1,528	\$ 2,760	\$ 1,768	\$ 5,094
No. of Respondents	348	97	445	232
Non-respondents	2.0%	3.0%	2.2%	0.3%

*Note: Median and mean dollars are calculated for students who responded to Q19 (summer income) and includes those with zero income.*

## Section E: Loans and Debt

### ***Undergraduates***

The proportion of undergraduates with loans rose slightly from 51% in 1994-95 to 52.9% in 1997-98. However, the average loan amount (among borrowers) fell somewhat from \$4,893 to \$4,660, in constant dollars (\$4,274 w/o UCB). (*Table E2*)

- *Employment status.* Students who were not employed continued to borrow at a lower rate than employed students (49.4% versus 55.7%) do (*Table E1*). However, the gap has narrowed in the last two survey years, from about 12 percentage points in 1994-95, to just over six in 1997-98. The average loan amount went down for both groups (from \$5,311 to \$4,788 for non-employed students and from \$4,621 to \$4,582 for employed students). (*Table E2*)
- *Parent income.* The most dramatic change in borrowing amounts occurred among non-employed students whose parents earned between \$60,000 and \$90,000 per year. Average loan amounts for this income group decreased by approximately \$3,000 since 1994-95 (w/o UCB). (*Table E2*)
- *Personal loans.* Approximately 40% of students took out personal loans from family and friends, a dramatic increase from past years where the rate has been 20%. The median loan amount also rose, from \$1,513 in 1994-95 to \$2,317, after adjusting for inflation. The percentage of students with credit card loans also rose sharply, from 19.3% to 34.5% in 1997-98. Median loan amounts for credit cards showed no change from past survey years (a median of \$1,479). (*Table E3*)
- *Cumulative debt.* Cumulative debt is the total amount a student has borrowed to finance his or her education. Overall, a higher percentage of students are borrowing and they are borrowing more money than in previous years. Almost three-quarters of all juniors borrowed money to finance their education in 1997-98. Cumulative debt rose about \$1,500 for all students (in constant dollars). (*Table E4*)
- *Home equity loans.* The percentage of families that took out home equity loans to help pay for UC increased from 8.3% in 1994-95 to 10.7% (w/o UCB). This increase occurred mostly among aid applicants while the percentage of home equity loans among non-aid applicants dropped slightly. (*Table E6*)

### ***Graduates***

- *Personal loans.* The percentage of graduate students taking out loans from family and friends or from credit cards rose more than five percentage points since 1994-95. The loan amount from family and friends fell from \$2,270 to \$1,878 (in constant dollars), while loan amounts from credit cards rose slightly. (*Table E3*)
- *Cumulative debt.* The cumulative indebtedness of new graduate students is the total amount borrowed prior to enrolling in the University of California plus the amount borrowed during their first year at UC. The percentage of students borrowing decreased, from 65.7% to 62.2%. Among students who did borrow there was an increase in the median constant dollar amount borrowed, from \$16,220 in 1994-95 to \$17,952 in 1997-98. (*Table E4*)

TABLE E1

## Loan Status of All Undergraduates by Employment Status, Class Level, and Parent Income \*

ALL UNDERGRADUATES			EMPLOYED STUDENTS			NON-EMPLOYED STUDENTS		
	<u>% with a loan</u>	<u>Average loan</u>		<u>% with a loan</u>	<u>Average loan</u>		<u>% with a loan</u>	<u>Average loan</u>
All Undergraduates	52.2%	\$4,661	All Employed students	55.7%	\$4,578	All Non-Employed students	49.4%	\$4,795
<b>CLASS LEVEL</b>			<b>CLASS LEVEL</b>			<b>CLASS LEVEL</b>		
Freshman	53.4%	\$3,111	Freshman	65.0%	\$2,950	Freshman	46.6%	\$3,264
Sophomore	48.1%	\$3,949	Sophomore	52.7%	\$4,148	Sophomore	43.9%	\$3,621
Junior	60.3%	\$5,245	Junior	63.5%	\$5,015	Junior	57.5%	\$5,717
Senior	47.0%	\$6,009	Senior	46.2%	\$5,501	Senior	52.2%	\$7,285
<b>PARENT INCOME</b>			<b>PARENT INCOME</b>			<b>PARENT INCOME</b>		
Less than \$30,000	73.5%	\$4,331	Less than \$30,000	74.1%	\$4,232	Less than \$30,000	74.8%	\$4,517
\$30,000 to \$59,999	62.6%	\$4,499	\$30,000 to \$59,999	62.8%	\$4,455	\$30,000 to \$59,999	62.1%	\$4,388
\$60,000 to \$89,999	44.6%	\$4,716	\$60,000 to \$89,999	49.6%	\$5,169	\$60,000 to \$89,999	40.7%	\$3,883
\$90,000 or more	19.6%	\$5,605	\$90,000 or more	22.4%	\$5,029	\$90,000 or more	17.8%	\$6,429
			<b>Hours Worked per Week:</b>					
			Less than 10 hours	56.2%	\$4,350			
			10 to 14	55.5%	\$4,651			
			15 to 19	61.0%	\$4,447			
			20 to 24	56.5%	\$4,562			
			25 to 29	51.1%	\$4,671			
			30 to 34	49.3%	\$5,349			
			35 to 39	44.7%	\$4,547			
			40 or more	46.0%	\$4,635			

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Notes: Does not include loans from family, friends, or credits cards.

Loan status of all undergraduates by employment status, class level and parent income without Berkeley is presented in Table E1(2).

Loan refers to all loans taken out for the 1997-98 academic year.

The average loan is calculated for students with some loan.

TABLE E1 (2)

## Loan Status of All Undergraduates by Employment Status, Class Level, and Parent Income, without Berkeley \*

ALL UNDERGRADUATES			EMPLOYED STUDENTS			NON-EMPLOYED STUDENTS		
	<u>% with a loan</u>	<u>Average loan</u>		<u>% with a loan</u>	<u>Average loan</u>		<u>% with a loan</u>	<u>Average loan</u>
All Undergraduates	53.9%	\$4,274	All Employed students	57.9%	\$4,401	All Non-Employed students	50.1%	\$4,053
<b>CLASS LEVEL</b>			<b>CLASS LEVEL</b>			<b>CLASS LEVEL</b>		
Freshman	53.9%	\$3,056	Freshman	64.8%	\$2,854	Freshman	47.5%	\$3,260
Sophomore	48.6%	\$3,864	Sophomore	51.7%	\$4,017	Sophomore	45.7%	\$3,604
Junior	64.4%	\$5,094	Junior	68.6%	\$5,024	Junior	57.4%	\$5,376
Senior	48.1%	\$5,156	Senior	48.4%	\$5,220	Senior	54.2%	\$4,919
<b>PARENT INCOME</b>			<b>PARENT INCOME</b>			<b>PARENT INCOME</b>		
Less than \$30,000	74.8%	\$4,122	Less than \$30,000	75.0%	\$4,130	Less than \$30,000	75.9%	\$4,135
\$30,000 to \$59,999	64.8%	\$4,306	\$30,000 to \$59,999	65.4%	\$4,338	\$30,000 to \$59,999	63.4%	\$4,045
\$60,000 to \$89,999	47.5%	\$4,221	\$60,000 to \$89,999	51.0%	\$4,454	\$60,000 to \$89,999	45.2%	\$3,854
\$90,000 or more	19.9%	\$4,345	\$90,000 or more	25.4%	\$4,970	\$90,000 or more	14.8%	\$3,141
			<b>Hours Worked per Week:</b>					
			Less than 10 hours	56.8%	\$4,098			
			10 to 14	56.3%	\$4,166			
			15 to 19	60.9%	\$4,366			
			20 to 24	62.5%	\$4,550			
			25 to 29	56.7%	\$4,394 (25 hrs or more)			
			30 to 34	52.1%				
			35 to 39	46.5%				
			40 or more	47.0%				

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Notes: Does not include loans from family, friends, or credits cards.

Loan status of all undergraduates by employment status, class level and parent income without Berkeley is presented in Table E1(2).

Loan refers to all loans taken out for the 1997-98 academic year.

The average loan is calculated for students with some loan.

**TABLE E2**  
**Loan Status of Domestic Undergraduates by Employment**  
**Status, Class Level, and Parent Income 1991-92 to 1997-98 \***

ALL STUDENTS											
Hours Worked per Week:	% with a loan				Average loan						
	1991-92	1994-95	1997-98	w/o UCB*	1991-92	1994-95	1991-92	1994-95	1997-98	w/o UCB*	
					<i>actual dollars</i>			<i>constant dollars</i>			
Not Employed	30.3%	44.7%	49.8%	50.1%	\$3,846	\$5,036	\$4,319	\$5,311	\$4,788	\$4,053	
Less than 10 hours	39.3%	58.7%	57.2%	56.8%	\$3,194	\$4,033	\$3,587	\$4,254	\$4,350	\$4,098	
10 to 14	52.5%	52.0%	56.2%	56.3%	\$3,501	\$4,159	\$3,931	\$4,387	\$4,651	\$4,166	
15 to 19	48.0%	52.1%	61.5%	60.9%	\$3,282	\$4,370	\$3,685	\$4,609	\$4,454	\$4,366	
20 to 24	47.8%	57.9%	56.9%	62.5%	\$3,809	\$4,316	\$4,277	\$4,552	\$4,562	\$4,550	
25 or more	48.9%	63.4%	50.1%	56.7%	\$3,276	\$5,032	\$3,679	\$5,307	\$4,903	\$4,394	
All Employed students	48.1%	56.3%	56.5%	57.9%	\$3,443	\$4,381	\$3,866	\$4,621	\$4,582	\$4,401	
All Undergraduates	40.8%	51.0%	52.9%	53.9%	\$3,553	\$4,639	\$3,990	\$4,893	\$4,660	\$4,274	
No. of Respondents	3,006	3,069	3,202	2,796	1,324	1,780			1,907	1,657	

EMPLOYED STUDENTS											
CLASS LEVEL	% with a loan				Average loan						
	1991-92	1994-95	1997-98	w/o UCB*	1991-92	1994-95	1991-92	1994-95	1997-98	w/o UCB*	
					<i>actual dollars</i>			<i>constant dollars</i>			
Freshman	53.0%	62.4%	64.9%	64.8%	\$3,153	\$3,424	\$3,541	\$3,612	\$2,950	\$2,854	
Sophomore	47.8%	63.3%	52.7%	51.7%	\$2,909	\$4,189	\$3,267	\$4,418	\$4,151	\$4,017	
Junior	48.8%	55.7%	66.0%	68.6%	\$3,524	\$4,674	\$3,957	\$4,930	\$5,022	\$5,024	
Senior	43.7%	50.0%	46.6%	48.4%	\$3,869	\$4,842	\$4,345	\$5,107	\$5,506	\$5,220	
PARENT INCOME											
Less than \$30,000	69.1%	76.0%	75.8%	75.0%	\$3,222	\$4,049	\$3,618	\$4,270	\$4,233	\$4,130	
\$30,000 to \$59,999	54.2%	63.3%	62.9%	65.4%	\$3,383	\$4,274	\$3,799	\$4,507	\$4,464	\$4,338	
\$60,000 to \$89,999	35.9%	45.5%	50.9%	51.0%	\$4,047	\$5,254	\$4,545	\$5,542	\$5,169	\$4,454	
\$90,000 or more	20.6%	28.6%	22.6%	25.4%	\$3,403	\$4,316	\$3,821	\$4,552	\$5,029	\$4,970	

NON-EMPLOYED STUDENTS											
CLASS LEVEL	% with a loan				Average loan						
	1991-92	1994-95	1997-98	w/o UCB*	1991-92	1994-95	1991-92	1994-95	1997-98	w/o UCB*	
					<i>actual dollars</i>			<i>constant dollars</i>			
Freshman	27.0%	43.4%	46.4%	47.5%	\$3,446	\$4,530	\$3,870	\$4,778	\$3,248	\$3,260	
Sophomore	32.0%	39.6%	44.6%	45.7%	\$4,160	\$5,153	\$4,671	\$5,434	\$3,594	\$3,604	
Junior	35.4%	50.8%	57.9%	57.4%	\$4,018	\$5,596	\$4,512	\$5,902	\$5,725	\$5,376	
Senior	28.8%	45.6%	53.1%	54.2%	\$4,308	\$5,211	\$4,838	\$5,496	\$7,360	\$4,919	
PARENT INCOME											
Less than \$30,000	61.3%	71.9%	75.2%	75.9%	\$3,797	\$4,229	\$4,264	\$4,460	\$4,488	\$4,135	
\$30,000 to \$59,999	35.3%	53.7%	62.8%	63.4%	\$4,050	\$4,620	\$4,548	\$4,873	\$4,353	\$4,045	
\$60,000 to \$89,999	19.7%	36.8%	41.0%	45.2%	\$3,412	\$6,209	\$3,831	\$6,548	\$3,883	\$3,854	
\$90,000 or more	12.2%	16.3%	17.6%	14.8%	\$4,539	\$7,447	\$5,097	\$7,854	\$6,586	\$3,141	

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Notes: Loan refers to all loans taken out for the 1997-98 academic year.

The average loan is calculated for students with some loan.

**TABLE E3**  
**Personal Loans, 1991-92 to 1997-98 \***

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>								<b>GRADUATES</b>							
	Family/friends				w/o UCB*	Credit cards				Family/friends			Credit cards			
	1991-92	1994-95	1997-98			1991-92	1994-95	1997-98		1991-92	1994-95	1997-98	1991-92	1994-95	1997-98	
Nothing	80.0%	79.7%	59.1%	58.5%		80.2%	80.7%	65.8%	65.5%		87.1%	86.2%	81.1%	82.3%	78.2%	72.7%
Less than \$200	2.8%	3.3%	5.6%	6.1%		2.7%	2.7%	5.8%	5.9%		0.8%	1.1%	2.3%	1.1%	1.9%	2.5%
\$200 to \$499	3.2%	2.9%	4.7%	4.8%		5.6%	4.2%	7.6%	7.8%		1.4%	2.0%	2.2%	3.3%	2.6%	3.5%
\$500 to \$999	3.5%	2.9%	4.4%	4.4%		5.4%	5.3%	6.8%	7.4%		2.1%	2.1%	2.6%	4.8%	4.2%	4.8%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	3.4%	2.5%	4.7%	4.6%		3.5%	3.8%	6.5%	6.3%		2.2%	1.5%	2.6%	4.3%	6.0%	6.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	2.0%	2.1%	3.4%	3.3%		1.6%	1.3%	3.1%	2.9%		1.8%	1.7%	2.2%	2.0%	3.7%	4.3%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	1.1%	1.0%	2.3%	2.4%		0.4%	0.9%	2.1%	1.9%		1.0%	0.9%	1.2%	1.1%	1.2%	3.0%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	1.4%	2.1%	3.6%	4.1%		0.2%	0.9%	0.8%	0.9%		1.4%	1.3%	1.0%	0.7%	1.5%	1.6%
\$6,000 to \$7,999	1.0%	1.1%	2.6%	2.5%		0.2%	0.1%	0.8%	0.7%		0.9%	0.9%	1.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.8%
\$8,000 to \$9,999	0.6%	0.5%	1.7%	1.8%		0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.4%		0.4%	0.6%	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%	0.4%
\$10,000 or more	1.0%	1.9%	8.0%	7.6%		0.2%	0.1%	0.5%	0.5%		1.0%	1.8%	3.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>		<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>		<b>100.1%</b>	<b>100.1%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>99.9%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Median loan</b>																
nominal dollars	\$1,141	\$1,434	\$2,317	\$2,280		\$645	\$762	\$776	\$744		\$2,002	\$2,152	\$1,878	\$961	\$1,357	\$1,467
constant (1997-98) dollars	\$1,281	\$1,513	\$2,317	\$2,280		\$724	\$804	\$776	\$744		\$2,248	\$2,270	\$1,878	\$1,079	\$1,432	\$1,467
<b>Mean loan</b>																
nominal dollars	\$2,402	\$2,960	\$4,115	\$4,074		\$1,086	\$1,265	\$1,479	\$1,413		\$3,234	\$3,608	\$3,591	\$1,535	\$1,853	\$2,082
constant (1997-98) dollars	\$2,697	\$3,122	\$4,115	\$4,074		\$1,220	\$1,334	\$1,479	\$1,413		\$3,632	\$3,805	\$3,591	\$1,724	\$1,955	\$2,082
<b>No. of respondents</b>																
No. of respondents	2,985	2,840	3,025			2,689	2,538	2,764			3,079	3,898	3,108	2,867	3,770	3,035
Non-respondents	4.1%	6.6%	7.4%			12.3%	15.8%	15.1%			4.0%	5.6%	5.9%	10.3%	9.4%	8.6%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

The personal loan analysis is not effected by this sampling problem.

Notes: Means and medians are calculated for students with some loan.

Some totals may not equal 100 percent due to rounding.



**TABLE E4**  
**Cumulative Undergraduate Indebtedness, 1991-92 to 1997-98 \***

	Freshmen				Sophomores				Juniors				Seniors				All			
	1991-92	1994-95	1997-98	w/o UCB*	1991-92	1994-95	1997-98	w/o UCB*	1991-92	1994-95	1997-98	w/o UCB*	1991-92	1994-95	1997-98	w/o UCB*	1991-92	1994-95	1997-98	w/o UCB*
Nothing	56.3%	47.7%	41.7%	40.4%	45.9%	43.2%	37.6%	37.1%	43.0%	37.3%	25.5%	22.4%	47.4%	40.7%	31.5%	33.9%	48.1%	42.2%	33.9%	33.8%
Less than \$1,000	13.5%	2.1%	3.9%	3.1%	15.5%	2.9%	2.2%	2.0%	12.1%	2.5%	2.3%	2.7%	9.7%	3.3%	0.8%	1.0%	12.5%	2.7%	2.3%	2.2%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	4.2%	4.8%	2.8%	2.9%	4.1%	2.5%	1.2%	1.3%	5.8%	3.6%	1.9%	1.8%	3.5%	2.3%	0.5%	0.7%	4.5%	3.4%	1.6%	1.8%
\$2,000 to \$3,999	9.2%	17.8%	25.2%	18.6%	6.4%	8.8%	6.6%	5.5%	6.1%	5.2%	6.5%	6.3%	7.8%	4.7%	4.3%	4.0%	7.4%	9.3%	11.1%	9.4%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	6.5%	10.9%	11.0%	10.4%	9.7%	9.5%	10.0%	10.9%	9.7%	11.1%	10.1%	9.7%	6.4%	5.6%	3.4%	3.8%	8.0%	9.3%	8.3%	8.6%
\$6,000 to \$9,999	5.6%	9.2%	8.3%	12.4%	6.2%	12.5%	13.5%	11.7%	8.1%	10.4%	12.9%	13.8%	6.8%	10.2%	11.3%	12.7%	6.8%	10.4%	11.2%	12.7%
\$10,000 to \$13,999	2.5%	4.7%	4.3%	6.4%	6.4%	9.3%	14.1%	13.4%	7.9%	15.3%	16.3%	18.8%	6.8%	13.2%	12.7%	11.1%	5.9%	10.7%	11.5%	11.8%
\$14,000 to \$17,999	1.2%	1.8%	1.0%	2.5%	2.5%	5.8%	8.8%	6.2%	3.0%	5.7%	7.5%	7.5%	4.6%	7.1%	10.7%	11.8%	2.9%	5.0%	6.9%	6.8%
\$18,000 to \$21,999	0.3%	0.9%	1.3%	1.7%	1.3%	3.1%	2.4%	6.0%	2.0%	3.6%	5.7%	5.8%	2.6%	4.8%	5.9%	7.5%	1.6%	3.1%	4.0%	5.0%
\$22,000 to \$25,999	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.7%	1.0%	0.8%	0.9%	2.6%	0.3%	2.4%	4.1%	3.6%	1.5%	2.7%	6.0%	4.7%	0.8%	1.5%	3.1%	2.8%
\$26,000 to \$29,999	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.6%	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	1.4%	1.5%	1.1%	0.7%	2.4%	2.4%	2.3%	0.3%	1.1%	1.2%	1.0%
\$30,000 to \$33,999	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.8%	0.5%	1.3%	1.5%	0.6%	0.7%	2.7%	3.0%	0.5%	0.4%	1.2%	1.3%
\$34,000 to \$37,999	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.8%	0.6%	0.6%	0.2%	0.3%	1.2%	1.3%	0.3%	0.5%	2.0%	1.2%	0.2%	0.4%	1.1%	0.9%
\$38,000 to \$41,999	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.5%	0.7%	0.1%	0.3%	0.4%	0.6%	0.1%	0.5%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%
\$42,000 to \$45,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	1.4%	0.5%	0.0%	1.1%	1.1%	0.2%	0.1%	1.9%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%	1.1%	0.6%
\$46,000 to \$49,999	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
\$50,000 to \$53,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
\$54,000 to \$61,999	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	1.7%	2.1%	0.2%	1.0%	1.4%	1.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.9%	0.8%
\$62,000 to \$69,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$70,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Median debt</b>																				
nominal dollars	\$3,741	\$4,300	\$3,789	\$5,006	\$5,194	\$7,517	\$9,362	\$13,512	\$5,740	\$9,452	\$11,186	\$10,979	\$7,087	\$11,060	\$14,978	\$13,950	\$5,355	\$7,672	\$9,545	\$9,528
constant (1997-98) dollars	\$4,200	\$4,535	\$3,789	\$5,006	\$5,832	\$7,928	\$9,362	\$13,512	\$6,446	\$9,969	\$11,186	\$10,979	\$7,959	\$11,665	\$14,978	\$13,950	\$6,013	\$8,091	\$9,545	\$9,528
<b>Mean debt</b>																				
nominal dollars	\$5,326	\$5,609	\$5,279	\$6,821	\$7,191	\$8,934	\$10,476	\$11,548	\$8,216	\$10,592	\$13,299	\$13,369	\$10,074	\$12,596	\$18,271	\$15,970	\$7,897	\$9,565	\$12,484	\$11,908
constant (1997-98) dollars	\$5,981	\$5,915	\$5,279	\$6,821	\$8,075	\$9,422	\$10,476	\$11,548	\$9,226	\$11,171	\$13,299	\$13,369	\$11,313	\$13,285	\$18,271	\$15,970	\$8,867	\$10,088	\$12,484	\$11,908
No. of Respondents	816	856	914	879	566	541	551	481	842	806	867	743	733	725	900	711	2,957	2,928	3,239	2,821

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Notes: Cumulative debt includes borrowing for the current academic year as well as previous years. Means and medians are calculated for students with some debt.

On the SEARS 1991-92 questionnaire, \$42,000 or more was the highest interval for previous debt.

**TABLE E4 (continued)**  
**Cumulative New Graduate Indebtedness, 1991-92 to 1997-98**

<b>PROFESSIONAL</b>									
	<b>Health Sciences</b>			<b>Other</b>			<b>All professional</b>		
	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1997-98</u>	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1997-98</u>	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1997-98</u>
Nothing	24.3%	23.6%	22.6%	33.3%	18.6%	29.5%	29.0%	20.8%	26.7%
Less than \$1,000	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	2.3%	0.1%	0.6%	1.6%	0.1%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	0.7%	0.6%	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%	0.6%	0.6%	0.3%	0.5%
\$2,000 to \$3,999	2.1%	3.4%	1.9%	4.3%	0.6%	1.6%	3.2%	1.8%	1.7%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	4.8%	1.5%	5.4%	9.4%	2.9%	4.0%	7.2%	2.3%	4.6%
\$6,000 to \$9,999	19.8%	10.6%	7.7%	11.5%	11.7%	4.3%	15.5%	11.2%	5.7%
\$10,000 to \$13,999	16.5%	12.8%	7.7%	12.4%	10.7%	9.5%	14.4%	11.7%	8.8%
\$14,000 to \$17,999	9.1%	12.3%	8.3%	6.9%	23.9%	8.2%	8.0%	18.7%	8.3%
\$18,000 to \$21,999	7.7%	11.1%	11.4%	3.4%	7.1%	11.3%	5.5%	8.9%	11.4%
\$22,000 to \$25,999	4.4%	5.1%	7.0%	12.4%	5.5%	7.1%	8.5%	5.3%	7.0%
\$26,000 to \$29,999	1.7%	7.4%	7.1%	3.6%	2.8%	3.7%	2.7%	4.8%	5.1%
\$30,000 to \$33,999	0.0%	4.2%	6.4%	1.2%	4.3%	12.9%	0.6%	4.3%	10.2%
\$34,000 to \$37,999	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	3.8%	1.5%	0.0%	2.1%	2.0%
\$38,000 to \$41,999	0.0%	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%	2.7%	1.1%	0.0%	1.7%	1.4%
\$42,000 to \$45,999	2.1%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.5%	1.0%	0.1%	1.9%
\$46,000 to \$49,999	5.8%	3.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.1%	1.1%	2.8%	1.4%	1.0%
\$50,000 to \$53,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.5%	0.3%	0.5%	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%
\$54,000 to \$61,999	0.6%	3.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.8%	0.3%	1.8%	0.9%
\$62,000 to \$69,999	0.0%	0.3%	3.3%	0.0%	0.4%	1.3%	0.0%	0.4%	2.1%
\$70,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Median debt</b>									
nominal dollars	\$12,406	\$16,848	\$20,585	\$12,277	\$16,058	\$20,408	\$12,348	\$16,289	\$20,483
constant (1997-98) dollars	\$13,931	\$17,769	\$20,585	\$13,787	\$16,937	\$20,408	\$13,866	\$17,180	\$20,483
<b>Mean debt</b>									
nominal dollars	\$16,171	\$19,492	\$23,426	\$13,982	\$18,855	\$22,034	\$15,112	\$19,129	\$22,641
constant (1997-98) dollars	\$18,159	\$20,558	\$23,426	\$15,701	\$19,886	\$22,034	\$16,970	\$20,175	\$22,641
No. of Respondents	156	226	200	118	230	203	274	456	403

*Note: Cumulative debt includes borrowing for the current academic year as well as previous years. Means and medians are calculated for students with some debt. Some totals may not equal 100 percent due to rounding. On the 1991-92 SEARS questionnaire, \$42,000 or more was the highest interval for previous debt.*

TREND

SEARS 1997-98

**TABLE E4 (continued)**  
**Cumulative New Graduate Indebtedness, 1991-92 to 1997-98**

	ACADEMIC								
	Health Sciences			Other			All academic		
	1991-92	1994-95	1997-98	1991-92	1994-95	1997-98	1991-92	1994-95	1997-98
Nothing	61.2%	48.7%	53.7%	38.4%	39.4%	44.1%	46.8%	43.4%	47.6%
Less than \$1,000	1.7%	0.3%	0.0%	2.5%	1.1%	1.5%	2.2%	0.7%	1.0%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	2.0%	1.8%	0.5%	1.8%	1.9%	0.2%	1.9%	1.8%	0.3%
\$2,000 to \$3,999	8.8%	3.6%	1.9%	5.2%	3.3%	2.3%	6.5%	3.5%	2.2%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	2.0%	6.8%	4.0%	4.6%	5.1%	3.4%	3.6%	5.9%	3.6%
\$6,000 to \$9,999	6.9%	6.4%	7.5%	11.5%	8.8%	6.1%	9.8%	7.7%	6.6%
\$10,000 to \$13,999	5.7%	10.1%	6.7%	13.0%	9.0%	8.3%	10.3%	9.5%	7.8%
\$14,000 to \$17,999	2.7%	3.2%	8.6%	10.3%	9.4%	12.7%	7.5%	6.8%	11.2%
\$18,000 to \$21,999	4.1%	7.6%	5.1%	7.8%	8.2%	6.3%	6.4%	7.9%	5.9%
\$22,000 to \$25,999	1.6%	1.3%	2.5%	2.8%	4.8%	3.9%	2.3%	3.3%	3.4%
\$26,000 to \$29,999	0.0%	5.3%	1.2%	0.3%	2.3%	2.7%	0.2%	3.6%	2.1%
\$30,000 to \$33,999	0.9%	1.4%	3.2%	1.0%	0.9%	3.1%	0.9%	1.1%	3.1%
\$34,000 to \$37,999	0.7%	1.0%	2.4%	0.8%	2.1%	0.5%	0.8%	1.6%	1.2%
\$38,000 to \$41,999	1.3%	0.1%	0.7%	0.2%	0.2%	0.9%	0.6%	0.1%	0.8%
\$42,000 to \$45,999	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	0.5%	0.4%
\$46,000 to \$49,999	0.4%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%
\$50,000 to \$53,999	0.0%	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
\$54,000 to \$61,999	0.0%	2.2%	1.0%	0.0%	3.0%	3.1%	0.0%	2.7%	2.3%
\$62,000 to \$69,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%
\$70,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Median debt</b>									
nominal dollars	\$8,824	\$12,716	\$15,221	\$11,612	\$14,473	\$15,900	\$10,993	\$13,687	\$15,714
constant (1997-98) dollars	\$9,909	\$13,411	\$15,221	\$13,040	\$15,265	\$15,900	\$12,344	\$14,435	\$15,714
<b>Mean debt</b>									
nominal dollars	\$11,652	\$16,314	\$17,753	\$12,115	\$16,915	\$18,441	\$11,991	\$16,683	\$18,219
constant (1997-98) dollars	\$13,085	\$17,206	\$17,753	\$13,605	\$17,840	\$18,441	\$13,466	\$17,595	\$18,219
No. of Respondents	156	267	154	341	445	326	497	712	480

*Note: Cumulative debt includes borrowing for the current academic year as well as previous years. Means and medians are calculated for students with some debt. Some totals may not equal 100 percent due to rounding. On the 1991-92 SEARS questionnaire, \$42,000 or more was the highest interval for previous debt.*

**TABLE E4 (continued)**  
**Cumulative New Graduate Indebtedness, 1991-92 to 1997-98**

<b>ALL</b>									
	<b>Health Sciences</b>			<b>Other</b>			<b>All</b>		
	<b>1991-92</b>	<b>1994-95</b>	<b>1997-98</b>	<b>1991-92</b>	<b>1994-95</b>	<b>1997-98</b>	<b>1991-92</b>	<b>1994-95</b>	<b>1997-98</b>
Nothing	45.4%	38.2%	38.2%	36.8%	31.3%	37.5%	40.3%	34.3%	37.8%
Less than \$1,000	1.2%	0.4%	0.0%	1.9%	1.6%	0.9%	1.6%	1.1%	0.6%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	1.4%	1.3%	0.4%	1.4%	1.2%	0.4%	1.4%	1.2%	0.4%
\$2,000 to \$3,999	6.0%	3.5%	1.9%	4.9%	2.2%	2.0%	5.3%	2.8%	2.0%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	3.2%	4.6%	4.7%	6.1%	4.2%	3.7%	4.9%	4.4%	4.1%
\$6,000 to \$9,999	12.5%	8.1%	7.6%	11.5%	9.9%	5.3%	11.9%	9.1%	6.2%
\$10,000 to \$13,999	10.3%	11.2%	7.2%	12.8%	9.7%	8.9%	11.8%	10.3%	8.2%
\$14,000 to \$17,999	5.4%	7.0%	8.4%	9.2%	15.2%	10.7%	7.7%	11.6%	9.8%
\$18,000 to \$21,999	5.6%	9.1%	8.2%	6.4%	7.7%	8.6%	6.1%	8.3%	8.4%
\$22,000 to \$25,999	2.8%	2.9%	4.7%	5.8%	5.1%	5.4%	4.6%	4.1%	5.1%
\$26,000 to \$29,999	0.7%	6.2%	4.1%	1.3%	2.5%	3.1%	1.1%	4.1%	3.5%
\$30,000 to \$33,999	0.5%	2.6%	4.8%	1.0%	2.3%	7.5%	0.8%	2.4%	6.4%
\$34,000 to \$37,999	0.4%	0.6%	2.5%	0.5%	2.8%	1.0%	0.5%	1.8%	1.5%
\$38,000 to \$41,999	0.8%	0.2%	1.3%	0.1%	1.2%	1.0%	0.4%	0.8%	1.1%
\$42,000 to \$45,999	0.9%	0.2%	2.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%	0.3%	1.1%
\$46,000 to \$49,999	2.7%	1.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	1.1%	0.6%	0.6%
\$50,000 to \$53,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%
\$54,000 to \$61,999	0.3%	2.6%	1.0%	0.0%	2.2%	2.0%	0.1%	2.4%	1.6%
\$62,000 to \$69,999	0.0%	0.1%	1.6%	0.0%	0.2%	0.7%	0.1%	0.2%	1.1%
\$70,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.2%</b>	<b>100.1%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Median debt</b>									
nominal dollars	\$11,192	\$15,016	\$18,348	\$11,809	\$15,479	\$17,765	\$11,596	\$15,379	\$17,952
constant (1997-98) dollars	\$12,568	\$15,837	\$18,348	\$13,261	\$16,326	\$17,765	\$13,021	\$16,220	\$17,952
<b>Mean debt</b>									
nominal dollars	\$14,333	\$17,958	\$21,296	\$12,734	\$17,856	\$20,259	\$13,332	\$17,898	\$20,658
constant (1997-98) dollars	\$16,095	\$18,940	\$21,296	\$14,299	\$18,833	\$20,259	\$14,971	\$18,877	\$20,658
No. of Respondents	312	493	354	460	679	529	772	1172	883

*Note: Cumulative debt includes borrowing for the current academic year as well as previous years. Means and medians are calculated for students with some debt. Some totals may not equal 100 percent due to rounding. On the 1991-92 SEARS questionnaire, \$42,000 or more was the highest interval for previous debt.*

**TABLE E5**  
Home Ownership Status of Undergraduate Parents, 1991-92 to 1997-98 \*

	Percent of parents who own a home					Percent of parents who own a home			
	1991-92	1994-95	1997-98	w/o UCB		1991-92	1994-95	1997-98	w/o UCB
<b>PARENT INCOME:</b>					<b>AID RECIPIENT STATUS:</b>				
Less than \$30,000	46.8%	41.9%	31.8%	31.3%	Received aid	66.5%	63.9%	55.3%	55.5%
\$30,000 to \$59,999	77.3%	75.2%	72.9%	75.1%	Did not receive aid	88.6%	90.7%	86.7%	87.9%
\$60,000 to \$89,999	88.7%	86.9%	81.5%	81.9%					
\$90,000 and above	91.3%	91.6%	88.2%	87.4%	<i>Number of respondents</i>	2,498	2,870	2,945	2,554
<i>Number of respondents</i>	2,381	2,822	2,823	2,447	<b>TOTAL (all students):</b>	75.4%	72.0%	65.1%	64.6%

**TABLE E6**  
Home Equity Loans -- Undergraduates, 1991-92 to 1997-98 \*

ETHNICITY							
		Asian American	African American	Chicano	Latino	White	All
<i>Percent of parents with a home equity loan to help pay for UC</i>	1991-92	12.9%	9.1%	10.5%	9.8%	14.6%	13.2%
	1994-95	6.2%	4.0%	6.0%	8.9%	9.1%	8.3%
	1997-98*	12.5%	7.6%	9.9%	12.7%	10.4%	10.7%
	1997 w/o UCB*	13.9%	9.1%	9.9%	14.3%	11.4%	11.8%

PARENT INCOME					
		Less than \$30,000	\$30,000 to \$59,999	\$60,000 to \$89,999	\$90,000 or more
<i>Percent of parents with a home equity loan to help pay for UC</i>	1991-92	6.4%	13.7%	19.6%	15.8%
	1994-95	3.3%	8.4%	11.0%	12.4%
	1997-98*	6.0%	10.6%	13.1%	10.9%
	1997 w/o UCB*	6.6%	12.0%	13.8%	12.6%

FINANCIAL AID APPLICANT STATUS			
	Aid applicant	Non-applicant	
<i>Percent of parents with a home equity loan to help pay for UC</i>	1991-92	12.3%	14.0%
	1994-95	7.2%	10.2%
	1997-98*	11.9%	8.7%
	1997 w/o UCB*	12.4%	10.6%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: Data are for domestic students only. "All students" includes ethnic groups not specifically named.

**Table E7**  
**Cumulative Undergraduate Indebtedness \***

	Freshmen	Sophomores	Juniors	Seniors	All
Nothing	41.7%	37.6%	25.5%	31.5%	33.9%
Less than \$1,000	3.9%	2.2%	2.3%	0.8%	2.3%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	2.8%	1.2%	1.9%	0.5%	1.6%
\$2,000 to \$3,999	25.2%	6.6%	6.5%	4.3%	11.1%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	11.0%	10.0%	10.1%	3.4%	8.3%
\$6,000 to \$9,999	8.3%	13.5%	12.9%	11.3%	11.2%
\$10,000 to \$13,999	4.3%	14.1%	16.3%	12.7%	11.5%
\$14,000 to \$17,999	1.0%	8.8%	7.5%	10.7%	6.9%
\$18,000 to \$21,999	1.3%	2.4%	5.7%	5.9%	4.0%
\$22,000 to \$25,999	0.2%	0.9%	4.1%	6.0%	3.1%
\$26,000 to \$29,999	0.1%	0.3%	1.5%	2.4%	1.2%
\$30,000 to \$33,999	0.2%	0.1%	1.3%	2.7%	1.2%
\$34,000 to \$37,999	0.1%	0.6%	1.2%	2.0%	1.1%
\$38,000 to \$41,999	0.0%	0.5%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%
\$42,000 to \$45,999	0.0%	1.4%	1.1%	1.9%	1.1%
\$46,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.4%	0.2%
\$50,000 to \$53,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%
\$54,000 to \$61,999	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	1.4%	0.9%
\$62,000 to \$69,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$70,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Mean debt *	\$5,279	\$10,476	\$13,299	\$18,271	\$12,484
Median debt *	\$3,789	\$9,362	\$11,186	\$14,978	\$9,545
No. of Respondents	914	551	867	900	3,239
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$6,821	\$11,548	\$13,369	\$15,970	\$11,908
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$4,564	\$10,002	\$10,979	\$13,953	\$9,528

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: Means and medians are calculated for students with some debt.*

**Table E7 (continued)**  
**Cumulative New Graduate Indebtedness**

	<b>PROFESSIONAL</b>			<b>ACADEMIC</b>			<b>ALL</b>		
	<b>Health Sciences</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>All professional</b>	<b>Health Sciences</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>All academic</b>	<b>Health Sciences</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>All</b>
Nothing	22.6%	29.5%	26.7%	53.7%	44.1%	47.6%	38.2%	37.5%	37.8%
Less than \$1,000	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	1.5%	1.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.6%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	0.3%	0.6%	0.5%	0.5%	0.2%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
\$2,000 to \$3,999	1.9%	1.6%	1.7%	1.9%	2.3%	2.2%	1.9%	2.0%	2.0%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	5.4%	4.0%	4.6%	4.0%	3.4%	3.6%	4.7%	3.7%	4.1%
\$6,000 to \$9,999	7.7%	4.3%	5.7%	7.5%	6.1%	6.6%	7.6%	5.3%	6.2%
\$10,000 to \$13,999	7.7%	9.5%	8.8%	6.7%	8.3%	7.8%	7.2%	8.9%	8.2%
\$14,000 to \$17,999	8.3%	8.2%	8.3%	8.6%	12.7%	11.2%	8.4%	10.7%	9.8%
\$18,000 to \$21,999	11.4%	11.3%	11.4%	5.1%	6.3%	5.9%	8.2%	8.6%	8.4%
\$22,000 to \$25,999	7.0%	7.1%	7.0%	2.5%	3.9%	3.4%	4.7%	5.4%	5.1%
\$26,000 to \$29,999	7.1%	3.7%	5.1%	1.2%	2.7%	2.1%	4.1%	3.1%	3.5%
\$30,000 to \$33,999	6.4%	12.9%	10.2%	3.2%	3.1%	3.1%	4.8%	7.5%	6.4%
\$34,000 to \$37,999	2.6%	1.5%	2.0%	2.4%	0.5%	1.2%	2.5%	1.0%	1.5%
\$38,000 to \$41,999	1.9%	1.1%	1.4%	0.7%	0.9%	0.8%	1.3%	1.0%	1.1%
\$42,000 to \$45,999	4.0%	0.5%	1.9%	0.2%	0.6%	0.4%	2.1%	0.6%	1.1%
\$46,000 to \$49,999	0.7%	1.1%	1.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.2%	0.7%	0.5%	0.6%
\$50,000 to \$53,999	0.2%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%
\$54,000 to \$61,999	1.0%	0.8%	0.9%	1.0%	3.1%	2.3%	1.0%	2.0%	1.6%
\$62,000 to \$69,999	3.3%	1.3%	2.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	1.6%	0.7%	1.1%
\$70,000 or more	0.7%	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%	0.2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Mean debt	\$23,426	\$22,034	\$22,641	\$17,753	\$18,441	\$18,219	\$21,296	\$20,259	\$20,658
Median debt	\$20,585	\$20,408	\$20,483	\$15,221	\$15,900	\$15,714	\$18,348	\$17,765	\$17,952
No. of Respondents	200	203	403	154	326	480	354	529	883

*Note: Means and medians are calculated for students with some debt.*

## Section F: Budget Category

Students are classified into budget categories based on where they live during the academic year, their marital and parental status. Campus financial aid offices use the budget category distinctions to determine the expenses a student can be expected to encounter during the year. Students who commute to classes while living with their parents, for example, have different living (e.g. housing and food) expenses than student who live in dormitories or off-campus apartments and, therefore, must be distinguished for financial aid purposes. More detailed definitions of the different budget categories can be found in Appendix F.

Problems with the UCB undergraduate sample means that very few freshmen (and therefore students living on-campus) were included in the survey and a disproportionate number of non-traditional (over 24 years of age and typically living off-campus) students were included. Therefore, the budget category analysis focuses on systemwide totals that do not include Berkeley.

### ***Undergraduates***

The proportion of undergraduate commuters increased to 14.2% (w/o UCB), its highest level since the 1985-86 survey. The percentage of students in the other/off-campus category continued its decline since the 1991-92 peak of 61.2% to 52.9% (w/o UCB). (Table F2)

- *Campus.* (Table F3)
  - Commuter Irvine reported the highest proportion of commuters (30.3%), as it has in the previous three surveys. Santa Barbara had the fewest commuters (3.5%).
  - On-Campus Santa Cruz continues to have the largest proportion of undergraduates living on-campus (43.3%).
  - Other/off-campus Excluding UCSF and UCB, Davis continues to have the largest off-campus population (65.2%). At 37.4%, Irvine had the smallest percentage of off-campus students.
- *Ethnicity.* (Table F4)
  - Commuter Native Americans had the lowest percentage of commuters (6.7% w/o UCB), as they did in past years. Chicanos and students marking “other” had the highest proportions of commuters (17.6% and 19.9%, w/o UCB).
  - On-Campus African Americans had the largest proportion of students living on-campus (38.6% w/o UCB), while Native Americans had the smallest (24.1% w/o UCB).
  - Other/off-campus Native Americans and Latinos had the largest proportions of off-campus living (69.2% and 58.0%, w/o UCB). Asian Americans continued to report the smallest proportion living off-campus of all the ethnic groups.



## **Graduates**

The vast majority of graduate students are in the category of other/off-campus (87.1%). In 1997-98, 29.9% of graduate students were married and 14.5% had children. (*Table F1*)

- *Campus.* (*Table F6*)
  - Commuter Riverside reported the highest percentage of commuters (5.8%) while Santa Barbara reported the lowest (1.3%).
  - On-Campus Systemwide, only 9.9% of graduate students live on-campus, but San Diego and Irvine those proportions are 37.2% and 29.7%, respectively. In comparison, only 2.7% of Berkeley graduate students live on-campus.
  - Other/off-campus About 95% of students at Davis, Santa Barbara and Berkeley are in this budget category. Only 60.8% of San Diego graduate students live off-campus.

**TABLE F1**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category \***

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADS *</b>	<b>UG w/o Berkeley *</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	13.4%	14.2%	3.1%	11.2%
On-Campus	29.8%	32.9%	9.9%	25.4%
Other	56.8%	52.9%	87.1%	63.4%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADS *</b>	<b>UG w/o Berkeley *</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	13.4%	14.2%	3.1%	11.2%
On-Campus	29.8%	32.9%	9.9%	25.4%
Off-Campus	47.7%	44.8%	54.1%	49.1%
Married Non-Parent	2.6%	2.4%	18.5%	6.1%
Married Parent	2.3%	2.5%	11.4%	4.3%
Single Parent	4.2%	3.2%	3.1%	3.9%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home.*

*'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units.*

*Questions 1, 2, and 4 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE F2**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1997-98 \***

	UNDERGRADUATES								GRADUATES						
	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	97-98	w/o UCB*	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	97-98
<i>Commuter</i>	--	15.1%	15.4%	12.6%	11.0%	10.2%	13.4%	14.2%	--	3.3%	2.8%	3.2%	3.0%	2.5%	3.1%
<i>On-Campus</i>	--	34.7%	36.5%	33.9%	27.8%	33.6%	29.8%	32.9%	--	7.4%	6.3%	7.7%	8.1%	9.7%	9.9%
<i>Other</i>	--	50.2%	48.0%	53.5%	61.2%	56.2%	56.8%	52.9%	--	89.3%	90.9%	89.1%	88.8%	87.8%	87.1%
Off-Campus	--	43.3%	41.5%	48.1%	53.1%	48.8%	47.7%	44.8%	--	56.4%	52.4%	52.7%	54.5%	56.2%	54.1%
Married Non-Parents	--	2.7%	2.6%	2.2%	2.8%	2.2%	2.6%	2.4%	--	17.2%	20.5%	19.2%	18.0%	17.8%	18.5%
Married Parents	--	2.0%	1.6%	1.2%	1.3%	1.1%	2.3%	2.5%	--	12.1%	14.3%	13.3%	12.2%	10.5%	11.4%
Single Parents	--	2.2%	2.3%	2.0%	4.0%	4.1%	4.2%	3.2%	--	3.6%	3.7%	3.9%	4.2%	3.3%	3.1%

	ALL						
	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	97-98
<i>Commuter</i>	10.6%	11.6%	12.4%	10.5%	9.3%	8.5%	11.2%
<i>On-Campus</i>	25.8%	26.5%	29.2%	28.0%	23.4%	28.3%	25.4%
<i>Other</i>	63.6%	62.0%	58.4%	61.4%	67.3%	63.3%	63.4%
Off-Campus	47.8%	48.2%	44.1%	49.1%	53.4%	50.4%	49.1%
Married Non-Parents	7.7%	6.5%	6.9%	6.0%	6.2%	5.7%	6.1%
Married Parents	5.2%	4.7%	4.7%	3.9%	3.7%	3.2%	4.3%
Single Parents	2.9%	2.6%	2.7%	2.4%	4.0%	3.9%	3.9%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: 'Other' budget category includes off-campus students, married non-parents, and single parents.

Questions 1, 2, and 4 (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE F3**  
**Undergraduate Budget Category by Campus, 1988-89 to 1997-98 \***

	<u>Berkeley*</u>	<u>Davis</u>	<u>Irvine</u>	<u>Los Angeles</u>	<u>Riverside</u>	<u>San Diego</u>	<u>San Francisco</u>	<u>Santa Barbara</u>	<u>Santa Cruz</u>	<u>Systemwide*</u>	<u>Sys w/o UCB*</u>
<b>COMMUTER</b>											
<b>1988-89</b>	12.4%	2.7%	31.6%	14.5%	19.1%	18.1%	23.8%	1.5%	4.8%	12.6%	
<b>1991-92</b>	7.6%	3.2%	22.1%	15.2%	21.4%	17.0%	7.7%	3.1%	2.9%	11.0%	
<b>1994-95</b>	7.5%	4.2%	23.0%	14.7%	22.9%	10.1%	17.3%	1.3%	2.0%	10.2%	
<b>1997-98</b>	9.7%	7.3%	30.3%	19.0%	25.3%	12.4%	9.1%	3.5%	3.9%	13.4%	14.2%
<b>ON-CAMPUS</b>											
<b>1988-89</b>	38.6%	31.7%	21.9%	29.3%	33.3%	36.3%	2.2%	39.6%	42.8%	33.9%	
<b>1991-92</b>	36.5%	22.6%	26.9%	25.4%	24.3%	25.1%	11.4%	21.8%	42.7%	27.8%	
<b>1994-95</b>	37.2%	24.3%	33.9%	33.8%	27.7%	37.4%	22.4%	33.3%	41.7%	33.6%	
<b>1997-98</b>	13.9%	27.6%	32.3%	30.2%	23.7%	36.6%	0.0%	39.1%	43.3%	29.8%	32.9%
<b>OTHER</b>											
<b>1988-89</b>	49.0%	65.5%	46.5%	56.2%	47.5%	45.6%	74.1%	58.9%	52.4%	53.5%	
<b>1991-92</b>	55.9%	74.2%	51.0%	59.3%	54.4%	58.0%	81.0%	75.1%	54.4%	61.2%	
<b>1994-95</b>	55.3%	71.5%	43.1%	51.5%	49.3%	52.5%	60.4%	65.4%	56.3%	56.2%	
<b>1997-98</b>	76.4%	65.2%	37.4%	50.9%	51.0%	51.0%	90.9%	57.4%	52.9%	56.8%	52.9%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: 'Other' budget category includes off-campus students, married non-parents, and single parents.

Questions 1, 2, and 4 (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE F4**  
**Undergraduate Budget Category by Ethnicity, 1988-89 to 1997-98 \***

	<u>Asian American</u>	<u>African American</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>Native American</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Systemwide</u>
<b>COMMUTER</b>								
1988-89	17.0%	17.1%	17.1%	17.3%	7.0%	9.2%	19.1%	12.6%
1991-92	14.9%	6.6%	16.0%	12.8%	13.6%	8.0%	14.7%	11.0%
1994-95	11.7%	7.3%	12.8%	13.6%	7.9%	8.6%	9.6%	10.2%
1997-98 *	15.5%	9.1%	15.8%	13.1%	6.8%	10.2%	19.9%	13.4%
1997-98 w/o UCB *	16.2%	10.7%	17.6%	14.3%	6.7%	11.2%	19.9%	14.2%
<b>ON-CAMPUS</b>								
1988-89	35.3%	35.2%	36.2%	30.7%	22.6%	33.7%	34.5%	33.9%
1991-92	28.5%	27.0%	29.1%	29.1%	30.8%	26.0%	35.8%	27.8%
1994-95	38.6%	35.5%	32.6%	33.2%	30.9%	29.9%	35.4%	33.6%
1997-98 *	31.1%	32.3%	26.2%	24.6%	21.1%	32.0%	22.5%	29.8%
1997-98 w/o UCB *	33.7%	38.6%	30.7%	27.7%	24.1%	34.7%	22.5%	32.9%
<b>OTHER</b>								
1988-89	47.7%	47.7%	46.7%	52.0%	70.4%	57.1%	46.4%	53.5%
1991-92	56.6%	66.4%	54.9%	58.1%	55.6%	66.0%	49.6%	61.2%
1994-95	49.8%	57.3%	54.6%	53.2%	61.3%	61.5%	55.1%	56.2%
1997-98 *	53.4%	58.6%	58.0%	62.3%	72.1%	57.8%	57.7%	56.8%
1997-98 w/o UCB *	50.7%	50.1%	51.8%	58.0%	69.2%	54.1%	57.7%	52.9%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: 'Other' budget category includes off-campus students, married non-parents, and single parents.  
 Questions 1, 2, and 4 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE F5**

Undergraduate Budget Category by Parent Income, 1991-92 and 1997-98

	Less than <u>\$30,000</u>	\$30,000 to <u>\$59,999</u>	\$60,000 to <u>\$89,999</u>	\$90,000 and <u>above</u>
<b>COMMUTER</b>				
<b>1991-92</b>	11.2%	13.1%	10.6%	9.0%
<b>1994-95</b>	10.2%	11.3%	6.8%	10.4%
<b>1997-98</b>	16.2%	12.1%	17.6%	8.3%
<b>1997-98 w/o UCB</b>	17.8%	13.5%	15.8%	9.1%
<b>ON-CAMPUS</b>				
<b>1991-92</b>	25.6%	26.7%	31.0%	30.2%
<b>1994-95</b>	34.5%	39.6%	34.7%	38.3%
<b>1997-98</b>	29.0%	29.3%	25.7%	34.9%
<b>1997-98 w/o UCB</b>	30.7%	33.4%	30.2%	37.8%
<b>OTHER</b>				
<b>1991-92</b>	63.2%	60.1%	58.4%	60.8%
<b>1994-95</b>	55.3%	49.1%	58.5%	51.3%
<b>1997-98</b>	54.8%	58.6%	56.7%	56.8%
<b>1997-98 w/o UCB</b>	51.5%	53.1%	54.0%	53.2%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: 'Other' budget category includes off-campus students, married non-parents, and single parents.*

*Questions 1, 2, and 4 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE F6**  
**Budget Category**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>		<b>GRADUATES</b>
<b><u>Percent Commuter:</u></b>			
1. Irvine	30.3%	1. Riverside	5.8%
2. Riverside	25.3%	2. Los Angeles	4.4%
3. Los Angeles	19.0%	3. Irvine	3.2%
4. San Diego	12.4%	4. Berkeley	3.1%
5. Berkeley	9.7%	5. San Francisco	2.4%
6. San Francisco	9.1%	6. San Diego	2.1%
7. Davis	7.3%	7. Santa Cruz	2.0%
8. Santa Cruz	3.9%	8. Davis	1.5%
9. Santa Barbara	3.5%	9. Santa Barbara	1.3%
<b>SYSTEMWIDE*</b>	13.4%	<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	3.1%
<b>SYSTEM w/o UCB*</b>	14.2%		
<b><u>Percent On-Campus:</u></b>			
1. Santa Cruz	43.3%	1. San Diego	37.2%
2. Santa Barbara	39.1%	2. Irvine	29.7%
3. San Diego	36.6%	3. Riverside	10.9%
4. Irvine	32.3%	4. San Francisco	8.1%
5. Los Angeles	30.2%	5. Los Angeles	7.0%
6. Davis	27.6%	6. Santa Cruz	5.8%
7. Riverside	23.7%	7. Santa Barbara	4.4%
8. Berkeley	13.9%	8. Davis	3.2%
9. San Francisco	0.0%	9. Berkeley	2.7%
<b>SYSTEMWIDE*</b>	29.8%	<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	9.9%
<b>SYSTEM w/o UCB*</b>	32.9%		
<b><u>Percent Other:</u></b>			
1. San Francisco	90.9%	1. Davis	95.3%
2. Berkeley	76.4%	2. Santa Barbara	94.3%
3. Davis	65.2%	3. Berkeley	94.2%
4. Santa Barbara	57.4%	4. Santa Cruz	92.3%
5. Santa Cruz	52.9%	5. San Francisco	89.6%
6. Riverside	51.0%	6. Los Angeles	88.6%
7. San Diego	51.0%	7. Riverside	83.3%
8. Los Angeles	50.9%	8. Irvine	67.2%
9. Irvine	37.4%	9. San Diego	60.8%
<b>SYSTEMWIDE*</b>	56.8%	<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	87.1%
<b>SYSTEM w/o UCB*</b>	52.9%		

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Questions 1, 2, and 4 (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE F7**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category \***

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES*</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	9.7%	3.1%	7.8%
On-Campus	13.9%	2.7%	10.7%
Other	76.4%	94.2%	81.5%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES*</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	9.7%	3.1%	7.8%
On-Campus	13.9%	2.7%	10.7%
Off-Campus	62.4%	57.8%	61.1%
Married Non-Parent	3.9%	22.3%	9.1%
Married Parent	1.2%	12.4%	4.4%
Single Parent	9.0%	1.7%	6.9%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshmen, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home.*

*'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units.*

*Questions 1, 2, and 4 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*



**TABLE F8**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category**

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	7.3%	1.5%	6.1%
On-Campus	27.6%	3.2%	22.9%
Other	65.2%	95.3%	71.0%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	7.3%	1.5%	6.1%
On-Campus	27.6%	3.2%	22.9%
Off-Campus	56.5%	55.1%	56.2%
Married Non-Parent	4.8%	22.4%	8.2%
Married Parent	1.6%	14.7%	4.1%
Single Parent	2.4%	3.1%	2.5%

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home.*

*'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units.*

*Questions 1, 2, and 4 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE F9**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category**

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	30.3%	3.2%	25.7%
On-Campus	32.3%	29.7%	31.8%
Other	37.4%	67.2%	42.5%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	30.3%	3.2%	25.7%
On-Campus	32.3%	29.7%	31.8%
Off-Campus	31.9%	30.1%	31.6%
Married Non-Parent	1.9%	19.2%	4.8%
Married Parent	0.7%	13.8%	2.9%
Single Parent	3.0%	4.0%	3.1%

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home. 'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units. Questions 1, 2, and 4 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE F10**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category**

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	19.0%	4.4%	14.7%
On-Campus	30.2%	7.0%	23.4%
Other	50.9%	88.6%	62.0%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	19.0%	4.4%	14.7%
On-Campus	30.2%	7.0%	23.4%
Off-Campus	42.5%	58.6%	47.2%
Married Non-Parent	0.5%	16.9%	5.3%
Married Parent	5.4%	10.0%	6.8%
Single Parent	2.5%	3.1%	2.7%

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home.*

*'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units.*

*Questions 1, 2, and 4 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE F11**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category**

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	25.3%	5.8%	22.6%
On-Campus	23.7%	10.9%	21.9%
Other	51.0%	83.3%	55.6%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	25.3%	5.8%	22.6%
On-Campus	23.7%	10.9%	21.9%
Off-Campus	37.4%	44.9%	38.5%
Married Non-Parent	3.1%	17.9%	5.2%
Married Parent	2.7%	16.0%	4.6%
Single Parent	7.8%	4.7%	7.3%

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home.*

*'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units.*

*Questions 1, 2, and 4 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE F12**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category**

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	12.4%	2.1%	10.7%
On-Campus	36.6%	37.2%	36.7%
Other	51.0%	60.8%	52.6%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	12.4%	2.1%	10.7%
On-Campus	36.6%	37.2%	36.7%
Off-Campus	42.7%	36.8%	41.8%
Married Non-Parent	3.3%	13.8%	5.0%
Married Parent	1.4%	7.0%	2.3%
Single Parent	3.5%	3.2%	3.5%

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home. 'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units. Questions 1, 2, and 4 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE F13**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category**

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	9.1%	2.4%	2.5%
On-Campus	0.0%	8.1%	8.0%
Other	90.9%	89.6%	89.6%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	9.1%	2.4%	2.5%
On-Campus	0.0%	8.1%	8.0%
Off-Campus	57.3%	64.2%	64.1%
Married Non-Parent	12.7%	12.1%	12.1%
Married Parent	17.3%	8.6%	8.7%
Single Parent	3.6%	4.7%	4.7%

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home. 'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units. Questions 1, 2, and 4 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE F14**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category**

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	3.5%	1.3%	3.3%
On-Campus	39.1%	4.4%	35.0%
Other	57.4%	94.3%	61.7%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	3.5%	1.3%	3.3%
On-Campus	39.1%	4.4%	35.0%
Off-Campus	51.2%	63.6%	52.7%
Married Non-Parent	1.6%	16.4%	3.4%
Married Parent	2.3%	11.3%	3.3%
Single Parent	2.3%	2.9%	2.4%

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home.*

*'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units.*

*Questions 1, 2, and 4 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE F15**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category**

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	3.9%	2.0%	3.7%
On-Campus	43.3%	5.8%	39.8%
Other	52.9%	92.3%	56.5%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	3.9%	2.0%	3.7%
On-Campus	43.3%	5.8%	39.8%
Off-Campus	44.8%	63.2%	46.5%
Married Non-Parent	2.3%	14.4%	3.4%
Married Parent	1.4%	9.4%	2.1%
Single Parent	4.4%	5.2%	4.5%

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home.*

*'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units.*

*Questions 1, 2, and 4 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*



## Section G: Expenses

A number of questions regarding student expenses were changed for the 1997-98 survey. Items that were traditionally captured in one question were disaggregated into separate questions. Where relevant, these changes are noted below. As a result of these modifications, expense figures are, in many cases, much higher than they were in past survey years. Appendix A contains a copy of the survey instrument.

### ***Undergraduates***

- Housing expenses continued to change very little systemwide across all budget categories. On-campus students pay \$685 per month for housing while students in the other/off-campus category pay \$462 per month. Married parents (\$899 per month) paid the highest housing costs. (*Table G5*)
- Food expenses rose for all budget categories. This could be due, in part, to the separation of food expenses into multiple questions on the 1997-98 survey. (*Table G6*)
- Books and school supplies expenses also rose for all budget categories (\$660 to \$787 for other/off-campus, \$667 to \$849 for commuters, \$667 to \$798 for on-campus, all in constant 1997 dollars). (*Table G7*)
- Computer related expenses cost undergraduates an average of \$407 during the academic year (a median expense of \$61). This question is new to the 1997-98 survey. (*Table G22*)
- Local transportation expenses increased since 1994-95 (\$64 to \$81 for commuters, \$25 to \$34 for other/off-campus, when adjusted for inflation). The range of response options was changed for the 1997-98 survey. (*Table G8*)

### ***Graduates***

- Housing expenses rose for on-campus graduate students (from \$604 to \$691 per month, in 1997 dollars) but they fell for students in the other/off-campus category (from \$728 to \$635, in 1997 dollars). Single off-campus housing costs (from uniform budget categories) rose from \$541 to \$577 per month. (*Table G5*)
- Food expenses rose by \$50-\$75 for all budget categories. Again, this increase may be due to changes in the food expense question in the 1997-98 questionnaire. (*Table G6*)
- Books and schools supplies expenses also rose for all budget categories (from \$559 to \$635 for off-campus, from \$625 to \$719 for commuters, in constant dollars). (*Table G7*)
- Computer expenses cost graduate students an average of \$604 for the academic year (a median cost of \$125). (*Table G22*)

**TABLE G1**  
**Student Housing Expenses by Budget Category \***

<i>Dollars per month:</i>	COMMUTER			ON-CAMPUS			OTHER		
	UG	GR	All	UG	GR	All	UG	GR	All
Nothing	53.7%	31.9%	52.4%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	2.2%	0.5%	1.7%
Less than \$100	17.8%	9.6%	17.3%	2.1%	0.2%	2.0%	0.9%	0.4%	0.8%
\$100 to \$199	10.4%	11.7%	10.5%	2.4%	1.0%	2.3%	2.9%	0.8%	2.3%
\$200 to \$299	7.2%	9.0%	7.3%	1.8%	0.7%	1.7%	7.4%	1.8%	5.7%
\$300 to \$399	3.9%	13.7%	4.5%	2.6%	3.7%	2.7%	21.4%	7.5%	17.0%
\$400 to \$499	1.2%	9.7%	1.7%	5.6%	14.6%	6.4%	24.6%	14.8%	21.5%
\$500 to \$599	1.4%	10.5%	2.0%	10.5%	14.5%	10.8%	17.7%	18.9%	18.1%
\$600 to \$699	0.2%	1.9%	0.3%	29.0%	16.8%	28.0%	9.2%	15.0%	11.0%
\$700 to \$799	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	21.0%	16.7%	20.6%	4.3%	11.6%	6.6%
\$800 to \$899	0.6%	1.0%	0.6%	13.4%	10.7%	13.2%	3.6%	7.3%	4.8%
\$900 to \$1,059	0.1%	0.6%	0.2%	7.7%	10.8%	8.0%	2.2%	6.7%	3.6%
\$1,050 to \$1,199	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	4.5%	3.3%	0.8%	4.0%	1.8%
\$1,200 to \$1,349	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.2%	3.7%	0.5%	1.6%	3.2%	2.1%
\$1,350 to \$1,499	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.5%	0.2%	0.4%	1.5%	0.7%
\$1,500 or more	2.4%	0.0%	2.2%	0.1%	1.6%	0.2%	1.0%	6.1%	2.6%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars *	na	\$173	na	\$685	\$691	\$686	\$462	\$635	\$506
Mean Dollars *	\$126	\$224	\$132	\$678	\$722	\$682	\$499	\$717	\$567
No. of Respondents	387	100	487	920	383	1303	1718	2718	4436
Non-respondents	6.5%	5.5%	6.5%	1.5%	1.3%	1.5%	9.6%	3.0%	7.6%
Median w/o Berkeley *	na			\$680			\$449		
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$135			\$671			\$488		

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: On-campus housing expenses are actually a combination of food and housing expenses.

Questions 31-36 (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE G2**  
**Food Expenses by Budget Category \***

<u>Dollars</u> <u>per month:</u>	COMMUTER			OTHER		
	<u>UG</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>UG</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>All</u>
Nothing	2.3%	0.5%	2.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Less than \$75	34.1%	6.2%	32.4%	7.6%	1.9%	5.8%
\$75 to \$149	35.6%	23.4%	34.9%	25.6%	10.2%	20.7%
\$150 to \$224	12.1%	21.9%	12.7%	24.9%	16.8%	22.3%
\$225 to \$299	6.0%	21.4%	6.9%	15.0%	20.6%	16.7%
\$300 to \$374	6.2%	17.6%	6.9%	9.8%	15.8%	11.7%
\$375 to \$449	2.9%	6.0%	3.1%	6.9%	9.6%	7.8%
\$450 to \$524	0.3%	0.6%	0.3%	4.9%	6.8%	5.5%
\$525 to \$599	0.2%	0.7%	0.2%	1.7%	5.8%	3.0%
\$600 to \$674	0.2%	0.7%	0.3%	1.3%	2.8%	1.8%
\$675 to \$749	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.9%	4.7%	2.1%
\$750 or more	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1.2%	4.8%	2.3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars *	\$104	\$218	\$108	\$200	\$302	\$229
Mean Dollars *	\$128	\$229	\$134	\$236	\$345	\$271
No. of Respondents	389	100	489	1,718	2,730	4,448
Non-respondents	6.1%	5.5%	6.1%	9.6%	2.8%	7.6%
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$100			\$187		
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$124			\$223		

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: Separate food expenses are not available for students living on-campus.*

*Questions 35 and 36 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE G3**  
**Books and Supplies Expenses by Budget Category \***

<i>Dollars per month:</i>	COMMUTER			ON-CAMPUS			OTHER		
	<u>UG</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>UG</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>UG</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>All</u>
Less than \$100	0.2%	2.0%	0.3%	0.1%	6.4%	0.6%	0.1%	8.3%	2.6%
\$100 to \$199	0.3%	2.1%	0.4%	0.0%	4.5%	0.4%	0.1%	5.4%	1.7%
\$200 to \$299	0.4%	1.1%	0.4%	0.4%	2.6%	0.6%	0.5%	5.2%	1.9%
\$300 to \$399	2.2%	12.3%	2.8%	4.2%	7.9%	4.5%	2.9%	9.4%	4.8%
\$400 to \$499	5.4%	9.0%	5.6%	5.5%	9.9%	5.9%	5.3%	12.8%	7.6%
\$500 to \$599	4.1%	9.5%	4.4%	4.3%	3.7%	4.3%	8.5%	6.7%	8.0%
\$600 to \$699	10.7%	7.9%	10.6%	20.5%	13.0%	19.9%	17.6%	10.1%	15.3%
\$700 to \$799	22.5%	12.5%	21.9%	15.2%	11.5%	14.9%	17.3%	7.9%	14.5%
\$800 to \$899	8.8%	8.5%	8.7%	7.2%	5.2%	7.0%	10.1%	4.8%	8.5%
\$900 to \$999	14.3%	3.7%	13.7%	15.1%	10.1%	14.7%	14.6%	7.6%	12.5%
\$1,000 to \$1,099	10.5%	10.1%	10.5%	11.2%	6.1%	10.8%	8.3%	5.8%	7.6%
\$1,100 to \$1,199	8.1%	10.0%	8.2%	8.5%	5.3%	8.2%	6.5%	5.3%	6.2%
\$1,200 to \$1,299	5.8%	3.2%	5.7%	2.8%	6.8%	3.1%	4.3%	3.7%	4.1%
\$1,300 to \$1,399	4.6%	1.7%	4.4%	3.8%	3.6%	3.8%	3.1%	3.3%	3.2%
\$1,400 or more	2.2%	6.5%	2.5%	1.3%	3.7%	1.5%	0.8%	3.7%	1.7%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars *	\$849	\$749	\$841	\$798	\$719	\$793	\$787	\$622	\$756
Mean Dollars *	\$874	\$773	\$868	\$835	\$730	\$826	\$820	\$657	\$771
No. of Respondents	414	105	519	940	386	1326	1849	2796	4645
Non-respondents	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	1.1%	0.4%	0.9%
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$885			\$824			\$802		
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$891			\$843			\$843		

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Note: On-campus housing expenses are actually a combination of food and housing expenses.

Questions 37 and 38 (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE G4**  
**Local Transportation Expenses by Budget Category and Student Level \***

<i>Dollars per month</i>	COMMUTER			ON-CAMPUS			OTHER			All		
	UG	GR	All	UG	GR	All	UG	GR	All	UG	GR	All
Nothing	3.3%	3.6%	3.3%	63.5%	36.8%	61.3%	23.2%	18.3%	21.8%	32.6%	19.7%	29.8%
Less than \$10	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	9.0%	12.6%	9.3%	10.1%	8.1%	9.5%	8.5%	8.3%	8.4%
\$10 to \$19	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	2.7%	5.6%	3.0%	6.4%	7.1%	6.6%	4.5%	6.7%	5.0%
\$20 to \$29	5.2%	2.4%	5.1%	6.0%	9.2%	6.3%	8.0%	9.1%	8.4%	7.1%	8.9%	7.5%
\$30 to \$39	7.3%	9.5%	7.4%	4.0%	7.1%	4.3%	5.7%	8.2%	6.5%	5.4%	8.1%	6.0%
\$40 to \$49	9.6%	7.7%	9.5%	3.6%	8.1%	3.9%	8.5%	7.8%	8.3%	7.2%	7.8%	7.3%
\$50 to \$64	11.0%	10.6%	10.9%	3.2%	5.4%	3.4%	8.0%	11.2%	9.0%	7.0%	10.6%	7.8%
\$65 to \$79	11.8%	4.9%	11.4%	1.4%	2.8%	1.5%	5.8%	6.5%	6.0%	5.3%	6.1%	5.5%
\$80 to \$94	9.3%	10.1%	9.3%	2.1%	4.8%	2.3%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	4.9%	5.5%	5.0%
\$95 to \$104	9.0%	13.7%	9.3%	2.1%	3.2%	2.2%	3.6%	4.1%	3.8%	3.9%	4.3%	4.0%
\$105 to \$119	6.2%	11.7%	6.5%	0.7%	0.4%	0.6%	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	3.4%	4.1%	3.5%
\$120 to \$139	8.8%	7.2%	8.7%	0.7%	0.8%	0.7%	3.0%	3.1%	3.0%	3.1%	3.0%	3.1%
\$140 to \$159	6.6%	10.0%	6.8%	0.3%	0.7%	0.4%	2.8%	1.8%	2.5%	2.6%	1.9%	2.5%
\$160 or more	11.0%	7.9%	10.9%	0.8%	2.5%	0.9%	5.1%	5.2%	5.1%	4.6%	5.0%	4.7%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars *	\$81	\$95	\$82	na	\$11	na	\$34	\$39	\$36	\$36	\$38	\$39
Mean Dollars *	\$87	\$90	\$87	\$15	\$29	\$17	\$48	\$50	\$49	\$43	\$50	\$45
No. of Respondents	412	105	517	933	384	1317	1,842	2,787	4,629	3,212	3,301	6,513
Non-respondents	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.7%	0.5%	0.7%	1.3%	0.9%	1.2%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$76			na			\$35			\$36		
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$81			\$16			\$46			\$41		

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Question 42 (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE G5**  
**Median Housing Expenses by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1997-98 \***

ACTUAL DOLLARS per month															
	UNDERGRADUATES								GRADUATES						
	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98	w/o UCB*	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98
<i>Commuter</i>	\$69	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$73	\$	\$	\$96	\$	\$	\$173
<i>On-Campus</i>	\$262	\$347	\$407	\$538	\$617	\$650	\$685	\$680	\$249	\$367	\$411	\$524	\$589	\$573	\$691
<i>Other</i>	--	--	--	\$365	\$395	\$423	\$462	\$449	--	--	--	\$501	\$542	\$690	\$635
Off-Campus	\$170	\$234	\$274	\$355	\$385	\$412	\$447	\$435	\$205	\$280	\$346	\$431	\$470	\$513	\$577
Married Non-Parents	\$271	\$276	\$506	\$633	\$737	\$680	\$682	\$671	\$294	\$435	\$512	\$672	\$791	\$767	\$735
Married Parents	\$319	\$643	\$610	\$794	\$817	\$913	\$899	\$926	\$339	\$418	\$597	\$770	\$776	\$961	\$960
Single Parents	\$193	\$304	\$355	\$502	\$401	\$439	\$470	\$425	\$248	\$333	\$480	\$517	\$589	\$751	\$739
CONSTANT 1997-98 DOLLARS per month															
	UNDERGRADUATES								GRADUATES						
	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98	w/o UCB*	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98
<i>Commuter</i>	\$137	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	--	\$	\$	\$123	\$	\$	\$173
<i>On-Campus</i>	\$522	\$550	\$586	\$689	\$693	\$686	\$685	\$680	--	\$582	\$592	\$671	\$661	\$604	\$691
<i>Other</i>	--	--	--	\$468	\$444	\$446	\$462	\$449	--	--	--	\$642	\$609	\$728	\$635
Off-Campus	\$339	\$371	\$395	\$455	\$432	\$435	\$447	\$435	--	\$444	\$499	\$552	\$528	\$541	\$577
Married Non-Parents	\$540	\$438	\$729	\$811	\$828	\$717	\$682	\$671	--	\$690	\$738	\$861	\$888	\$809	\$735
Married Parents	\$636	\$1020	\$879	\$1017	\$917	\$963	\$899	\$926	--	\$663	\$860	\$987	\$872	\$1014	\$960
Single Parents	\$385	\$482	\$512	\$643	\$450	\$463	\$470	\$425	--	\$528	\$692	\$662	\$661	\$792	\$739

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Notes:*

- 1) Constant dollar values are based on the California Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers.
- 2) On-campus housing expenses are actually a combination of food and housing expenses.
- 3) 'Other' budget category includes off-campus students, married non-parents, married parents, and single parents.
- 4) The 1979-80 survey did not include a "zero" interval.
- 5) The 1988-89 expense figures may be influenced by the use of more detailed, itemized questions.

Questions 31-36 (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE G6**  
**Median Food Expenses by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1997-98 \***

ACTUAL DOLLARS per month															
	UNDERGRADUATES								GRADUATES						
	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98	w/o UCB*	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98
Commuter	\$78	\$57	\$33	\$67	\$62	\$60	\$104	\$100	\$95	\$92	\$59	\$141	\$98	\$127	\$218
On-Campus	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Other	--	--	--	\$160	\$149	\$153	\$200	\$187	--	--	--	\$240	\$223	\$237	\$302
Off-Campus	\$91	\$113	\$116	\$152	\$143	\$143	\$187	\$176	\$120	\$139	\$151	\$199	\$189	\$201	\$272
Married Non-Parents	\$167	\$196	\$213	\$311	\$276	\$221	\$259	\$263	\$183	\$215	\$243	\$322	\$289	\$296	\$345
Married Parents	\$275	\$312	\$373	\$381	\$400	\$394	\$409	\$417	\$261	\$304	\$338	\$437	\$396	\$400	\$482
Single Parents	\$146	\$203	\$170	\$201	\$165	\$192	\$300	\$305	\$199	\$196	\$263	\$300	\$316	\$258	\$343
CONSTANT 1997-98 DOLLARS per month															
	UNDERGRADUATES								GRADUATES						
	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98	w/o UCB*	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98
Commuter	\$155	\$90	\$48	\$86	\$70	\$63	\$104	\$100	\$189	\$146	\$85	\$181	\$110	\$134	\$218
On-Campus	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Other	--	--	--	\$205	\$167	\$161	\$200	\$187	--	--	--	\$308	\$250	\$250	\$302
Off-Campus	\$181	\$179	\$167	\$195	\$161	\$151	\$187	\$176	\$239	\$220	\$218	\$255	\$212	\$212	\$272
Married Non-Parents	\$333	\$311	\$307	\$399	\$310	\$233	\$259	\$263	\$365	\$341	\$350	\$413	\$324	\$312	\$345
Married Parents	\$548	\$495	\$537	\$488	\$449	\$416	\$409	\$417	\$520	\$482	\$487	\$560	\$444	\$422	\$482
Single Parents	\$291	\$322	\$245	\$258	\$186	\$203	\$300	\$305	\$396	\$311	\$379	\$384	\$354	\$272	\$343

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Notes:

- 1) Constant dollar values are based on the California Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers.
- 2) Separate food expenses are not available for students living on-campus.
- 3) 'Other' budget category includes off-campus students, married non-parents, married parents, and single parents.
- 4) The 1979-80 survey did not include a "zero" interval.
- 5) The 1988-89 expense figures may be influenced by the use of more detailed, itemized questions.

Questions 35 and 36 (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**TABLE G7**  
**Median Books and Supplies Expenses by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1997-98**

ACTUAL DOLLARS per year																
	UNDERGRADUATES								GRADUATES							
	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98	w/o UCB*	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98	
<i>Commuter</i>	\$225	\$297	\$368	\$496	\$594	\$632	\$849	\$885	\$28	\$288	\$255	\$600	\$632	\$629	\$749	
<i>On-Campus</i>	\$246	\$297	\$362	\$508	\$597	\$632	\$798	\$824	\$283	\$288	\$349	\$408	\$543	\$593	\$719	
<i>Other</i>	--	--	--	\$515	\$587	\$626	\$787	\$802	--	--	--	\$424	\$546	\$533	\$622	
Off-Campus	\$240	\$306	\$365	\$513	\$583	\$622	\$778	\$793	\$248	\$315	\$317	\$428	\$428	\$530	\$635	
Married Non-Parents	\$274	\$315	\$398	\$494	\$619	\$595	\$865	\$908	\$246	\$333	\$314	\$434	\$434	\$528	\$589	
Married Parents	\$242	\$306	\$334	\$491	\$650	\$599	\$794	\$785	\$277	\$333	\$311	\$386	\$386	\$541	\$604	
Single Parents	\$281	\$261	\$383	\$614	\$623	\$849	\$854	\$953	\$260	\$333	\$315	\$462	\$462	\$571	\$650	
<b>ALL STUDENTS</b>	<b>\$242</b>	<b>\$303</b>	<b>\$366</b>	<b>\$510</b>	<b>\$591</b>	<b>\$629</b>	<b>\$794</b>	<b>\$809</b>	<b>\$259</b>	<b>\$321</b>	<b>\$317</b>	<b>\$425</b>	<b>\$548</b>	<b>\$545</b>	<b>\$636</b>	
CONSTANT 1997-98 DOLLARS per year																
	UNDERGRADUATES								GRADUATES							
	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98	w/o UCB*	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98	
<i>Commuter</i>	\$448	\$471	\$530	\$636	\$667	\$667	\$849	\$885	\$56	\$457	\$367	\$769	\$710	\$663	\$749	
<i>On-Campus</i>	\$490	\$471	\$522	\$651	\$670	\$667	\$798	\$824	\$564	\$457	\$503	\$523	\$610	\$625	\$719	
<i>Other</i>	--	--	--	\$660	\$659	\$660	\$787	\$802	--	--	--	\$543	\$613	\$562	\$622	
Off-Campus	\$478	\$485	\$526	\$657	\$655	\$656	\$778	\$793	\$494	\$500	\$457	\$548	\$481	\$559	\$635	
Married Non-Parents	\$546	\$500	\$574	\$633	\$695	\$628	\$865	\$908	\$490	\$528	\$452	\$556	\$487	\$557	\$589	
Married Parents	\$482	\$485	\$481	\$629	\$730	\$631	\$794	\$785	\$552	\$528	\$448	\$495	\$433	\$570	\$604	
Single Parents	\$560	\$414	\$552	\$787	\$700	\$895	\$854	\$953	\$518	\$528	\$454	\$592	\$519	\$602	\$650	
<b>ALL STUDENTS</b>	<b>\$482</b>	<b>\$480</b>	<b>\$527</b>	<b>\$654</b>	<b>\$664</b>	<b>\$663</b>	<b>\$794</b>	<b>\$809</b>	<b>\$516</b>	<b>\$509</b>	<b>\$457</b>	<b>\$545</b>	<b>\$615</b>	<b>\$575</b>	<b>\$636</b>	

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Notes:

- 1) Constant dollar values are based on the California Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers.
- 2) 'Other' budget category includes off-campus students, married non-parents, married parents, and single parents.
- 3) The 1988-89 expense figures may be influenced by the use of more detailed, itemized questions.
- 4) The 1997-98 books expense figures were separated from the supplies expenses for the first time. Questions 37 and 38 (see Appendix A for complete survey)



**TABLE G8**  
**Median Local Transportation Expenses by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1997-98 \***

<i>ACTUAL DOLLARS per month</i>																
	UNDERGRADUATES								GRADUATES							
	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98	w/o UCB*	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98	
<i>Commuter</i>	\$51	\$52	\$52	\$51	\$55	\$61	\$81	\$76	\$58	\$50	\$57	\$52	\$54	\$65	\$95	
<i>On-Campus</i>	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$7	\$18	\$9	\$	\$5	\$6	\$29	
<i>Other</i>	--	--	--	\$25	\$17	\$24	\$34	\$35	--	--	--	\$36	\$32	\$32	\$39	
Off-Campus	\$15	\$25	\$19	\$23	\$14	\$21	\$100	\$156	\$25	\$31	\$31	\$33	\$29	\$30	\$73	
Married Non-Parents	\$34	\$44	\$38	\$47	\$51	\$46	\$153	\$97	\$27	\$35	\$35	\$40	\$37	\$31	\$92	
Married Parents	\$38	\$62	\$44	\$50	\$53	\$36	\$148	\$137	\$36	\$42	\$40	\$41	\$40	\$44	\$108	
Single Parents	\$26	\$39	\$37	\$37	\$38	\$32	\$119	\$110	\$33	\$47	\$40	\$39	\$43	\$41	\$71	
<i>CONSTANT 1994-95 DOLLARS per month</i>																
	UNDERGRADUATES								GRADUATES							
	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98	w/o UCB*	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'97-98	
<i>Commuter</i>	\$102	\$82	\$75	\$65	\$62	\$64	\$81	\$76	\$116	\$79	\$82	\$67	\$61	\$69	\$95	
<i>On-Campus</i>	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$14	\$29	\$13	\$	\$6	\$6	\$29	
<i>Other</i>	--	--	--	\$32	\$19	\$25	\$34	\$35	\$	\$	--	\$46	\$36	\$34	\$39	
Off-Campus	\$30	\$40	\$27	\$29	\$16	\$22	\$100	\$156	\$50	\$49	\$45	\$42	\$33	\$31	\$73	
Married Non-Parents	\$68	\$70	\$55	\$60	\$57	\$48	\$153	\$97	\$54	\$56	\$50	\$51	\$42	\$32	\$92	
Married Parents	\$76	\$98	\$63	\$64	\$60	\$38	\$148	\$137	\$72	\$67	\$58	\$53	\$45	\$46	\$108	
Single Parents	\$52	\$62	\$53	\$47	\$43	\$34	\$119	\$110	\$66	\$75	\$58	\$50	\$49	\$43	\$71	

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Notes:*

- 1) *Constant dollar values are based on the California Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers.*
- 2) *'Other' budget category includes off-campus students, married non-parents, married parents, and single parents.*
- 3) *The 1988-89 expense figures may be influenced by the use of more detailed, itemized questions.*
- 3) *The range of response options was changed for the 1997-98 survey.*

*Question 42 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**Table G9**  
**Grocery and Household Supplies Expenses by Budget Category \***

<u>Dollars</u> <u>per month:</u>	COMMUTER			OTHER		
	<u>UG</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>UG</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>All</u>
Nothing	41.8%	14.4%	40.1%	2.4%	1.0%	1.9%
Less than \$50	26.3%	20.3%	25.9%	10.9%	4.6%	8.9%
\$50 to \$74	11.1%	8.6%	11.0%	12.9%	5.0%	10.4%
\$75 to \$99	5.1%	6.9%	5.2%	10.8%	6.5%	9.5%
\$100 to \$124	4.7%	11.1%	5.1%	13.6%	7.3%	11.6%
\$125 to \$149	2.9%	17.6%	3.8%	9.7%	6.6%	8.7%
\$150 to \$175	0.9%	1.8%	1.0%	8.1%	7.4%	7.9%
\$175 to \$199	1.1%	0.6%	1.0%	4.3%	7.0%	5.2%
\$200 to \$224	3.9%	10.4%	4.3%	9.0%	13.1%	10.3%
\$225 to \$249	0.0%	2.3%	0.2%	3.1%	5.2%	3.8%
\$250 to \$274	0.3%	1.8%	0.4%	2.9%	5.4%	3.7%
\$275 to \$299	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	1.6%	4.3%	2.5%
\$300 to \$374	1.4%	1.9%	1.5%	4.7%	7.0%	5.4%
\$375 to \$449	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%	2.4%	6.4%	3.7%
\$450 to \$524	0.0%	1.4%	0.1%	1.8%	5.0%	2.8%
\$525 to \$599	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.4%	1.5%	0.7%
\$600 or more	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1.5%	6.8%	3.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars *	\$16	\$99	\$20	\$124	\$209	\$147
Mean Dollars *	\$46	\$106	\$50	\$155	\$243	\$183
No. of Respondents	382	99	481	1,688	2,696	4,384
Non-respondents	7.6%	5.0%	7.5%	10.8%	3.2%	8.5%
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$17			\$118		
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$47			\$149		

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: Separate food expenses are not available for students living on-campus.*

*Question 35 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**Table G10**  
**Dining out and snacks expenses by Budget Category \***

<u>Dollars</u> <u>per month:</u>	<b>COMMUTER</b>			<b>OTHER</b>		
	<u>UG</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>UG</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>All</u>
Nothing	3.2%	0.5%	3.1%	0.9%	0.8%	0.9%
Less than \$25	11.1%	5.0%	10.7%	9.5%	7.0%	8.7%
\$25 to \$49	21.3%	10.3%	20.6%	20.2%	12.7%	17.8%
\$50 to \$74	18.9%	6.5%	18.2%	21.4%	15.7%	19.6%
\$75 to \$99	11.9%	21.0%	12.5%	10.7%	13.1%	11.5%
\$100 to \$124	17.1%	22.6%	17.5%	14.9%	15.8%	15.2%
\$125 to \$149	5.1%	7.0%	5.2%	6.0%	8.5%	6.8%
\$150 to \$199	3.8%	8.2%	4.1%	5.7%	9.8%	7.0%
\$200 to \$249	2.3%	14.2%	3.0%	6.7%	9.0%	7.4%
\$250 to \$299	1.7%	1.5%	1.7%	1.5%	3.1%	2.0%
\$300 or more	3.6%	3.2%	3.6%	2.5%	4.4%	3.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars *	\$69	\$107	\$106	\$73	\$101	\$81
Mean Dollars *	\$86	\$123	\$88	\$94	\$116	\$101
No. of Respondents	389	100	489	1,715	2,722	4,437
Non-respondents	5.9%	4.7%	5.9%	8.8%	2.3%	6.8%
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$65			\$68		
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$82			\$87		

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Note: Separate food expenses are not available for students living on-campus.*

*Question 36 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**Table G11**  
**Academic Year Books and Supplies by Campus \***

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>										<b>ALL</b>	
	Los Angeles					San Francisco		Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz		w/o UCB*	
	Berkeley	Davis	Irvine	Riverside	San Diego	San Francisco	Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz				
Less than \$100	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%	0.5%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	
\$100 to \$199	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	
\$200 to \$299	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	0.6%	1.1%	0.4%	0.4%	
\$300 to \$399	2.5%	3.2%	2.1%	3.4%	3.0%	3.6%	9.1%	4.1%	3.1%	3.1%	3.3%	
\$400 to \$499	6.5%	3.2%	3.8%	5.2%	5.4%	7.7%	8.2%	3.1%	9.7%	5.3%	5.1%	
\$500 to \$599	16.8%	4.1%	3.8%	4.8%	2.1%	5.9%	0.0%	5.2%	5.9%	6.7%	4.6%	
\$600 to \$699	18.0%	18.4%	12.7%	17.0%	10.8%	18.8%	0.0%	24.0%	15.1%	17.5%	17.4%	
\$700 to \$799	19.3%	21.4%	14.2%	17.7%	20.1%	18.1%	9.1%	14.7%	14.3%	17.7%	17.4%	
\$800 to \$899	13.8%	9.6%	7.1%	8.4%	7.6%	7.1%	4.6%	7.6%	7.3%	9.0%	8.0%	
\$900 to \$999	11.6%	14.8%	16.0%	15.3%	16.3%	12.9%	3.6%	18.3%	12.4%	14.6%	15.3%	
\$1,000 to \$1,099	5.2%	8.0%	11.6%	12.5%	9.0%	8.7%	12.7%	10.1%	12.5%	9.5%	10.3%	
\$1,100 to \$1,199	1.6%	9.0%	12.0%	7.3%	12.1%	6.6%	9.1%	7.4%	6.4%	7.3%	8.5%	
\$1,200 to \$1,299	3.5%	2.8%	7.2%	2.5%	6.7%	5.9%	18.2%	0.9%	6.7%	4.0%	4.1%	
\$1,300 to \$1,399	0.4%	5.6%	5.2%	4.4%	4.8%	2.9%	12.7%	2.3%	3.3%	3.5%	4.1%	
\$1,400 or more	0.0%	0.1%	3.8%	0.6%	1.5%	1.5%	8.2%	1.2%	1.8%	1.1%	1.3%	
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	
Median Dollars	\$728	\$799	\$936	\$812	\$902	\$775	\$1086	\$785	\$804	\$794	\$809	
Mean Dollars	\$744	\$848	\$922	\$839	\$891	\$821	\$977	\$814	\$833	\$831	\$849	
No. of Respondents	417	340	410	484	295	441	23	366	452	3,228	2,811	
Non-respondents	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	2.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.7%	0.8%	

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.  
*Question 37 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**Table G12**  
**Academic Year Books by Campus**

	<i>GRADUATES</i>									<i>ALL</i>
	Los				San	Santa	Santa			
	Berkeley	Davis	Irvine	Angeles	Riverside	San Diego	Francisco	Barbara	Cruz	
Less than \$100	6.9%	6.7%	9.7%	8.2%	6.7%	10.1%	11.1%	5.3%	6.9%	7.9%
\$100 to \$199	7.0%	6.0%	4.3%	2.1%	5.7%	8.8%	3.9%	6.8%	6.3%	5.2%
\$200 to \$299	11.8%	0.9%	3.3%	1.9%	3.0%	4.3%	1.7%	6.3%	2.8%	4.8%
\$300 to \$399	9.3%	11.8%	10.2%	6.8%	9.3%	11.6%	8.8%	14.2%	12.8%	9.6%
\$400 to \$499	14.0%	15.8%	10.7%	11.5%	10.3%	8.4%	9.5%	14.8%	14.1%	12.4%
\$500 to \$599	12.9%	4.3%	5.6%	4.7%	5.4%	4.1%	2.4%	5.2%	4.3%	6.5%
\$600 to \$699	8.7%	15.2%	8.8%	7.9%	10.0%	14.3%	9.1%	13.7%	11.8%	10.2%
\$700 to \$799	6.6%	7.7%	7.7%	9.4%	9.6%	8.5%	8.4%	11.5%	10.9%	8.4%
\$800 to \$899	7.5%	2.7%	4.6%	3.6%	3.8%	3.9%	4.9%	8.1%	4.2%	4.9%
\$900 to \$999	7.2%	3.9%	9.8%	8.1%	9.5%	6.2%	13.5%	5.1%	13.5%	7.7%
\$1,000 to \$1,099	2.8%	8.8%	6.8%	7.9%	7.5%	6.2%	6.7%	2.2%	3.7%	6.0%
\$1,100 to \$1,199	3.2%	4.4%	7.8%	7.9%	7.2%	6.0%	5.7%	1.7%	1.4%	5.4%
\$1,200 to \$1,299	0.6%	4.2%	3.4%	8.5%	1.9%	2.7%	3.6%	0.9%	2.3%	3.9%
\$1,300 to \$1,399	0.7%	4.2%	3.5%	4.5%	6.8%	3.1%	3.5%	2.8%	3.2%	3.3%
\$1,400 or more	0.8%	3.5%	3.7%	7.0%	3.5%	2.0%	7.3%	1.6%	1.9%	3.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$507	\$630	\$669	\$773	\$697	\$620	\$742	\$550	\$625	\$636
Mean Dollars	\$543	\$669	\$686	\$785	\$714	\$616	\$727	\$578	\$629	\$667
No. of Respondents	520	337	389	519	265	304	376	369	233	3,312
Non-respondents	0.5%	0.2%	0.6%	0.8%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.5%

*Question 37 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**Table G13**  
**Academic Year Books by Campus \***

	<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>										<i>ALL</i>	
	Berkeley	Davis	Irvine	Los Angeles		Riverside	San Diego	San Francisco	Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz		<u>w/o UCB*</u>
				San Francisco	Santa Barbara			Santa Cruz				
Under \$100	0.6%	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.5%	0.9%	0.4%	0.3%	
\$100 to \$199	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	
\$200 to \$299	3.0%	0.1%	2.3%	0.8%	0.5%	1.0%	9.1%	1.4%	2.7%	1.4%	1.1%	
\$300 to \$399	7.4%	5.9%	6.4%	9.9%	8.4%	13.2%	12.7%	7.6%	11.7%	8.6%	8.9%	
\$400 to \$499	22.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	
\$500 to \$599	19.2%	20.0%	14.7%	20.1%	13.5%	24.3%	8.2%	25.7%	23.7%	20.4%	20.7%	
\$600 to \$699	25.8%	33.8%	24.6%	23.9%	28.7%	23.1%	4.6%	25.2%	23.5%	26.1%	26.2%	
\$700 to \$799	8.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	
\$800 to \$899	6.2%	19.2%	19.0%	23.2%	21.4%	19.3%	17.3%	23.6%	15.2%	18.1%	20.6%	
\$900 to \$999	3.3%	10.8%	20.9%	14.8%	16.6%	11.6%	30.9%	9.7%	16.5%	12.1%	14.0%	
\$1,000 or more	1.5%	10.2%	11.9%	7.1%	10.5%	7.3%	17.3%	6.3%	5.9%	7.2%	8.4%	
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
Median Dollars	\$578	\$671	\$810	\$680	\$695	\$649	\$889	\$658	\$647	\$658	\$673	
Mean Dollars	\$578	\$724	\$755	\$715	\$741	\$683	\$764	\$694	\$678	\$690	\$713	
No. of Respondents	416	339	410	483	294	440	23	366	451	3,222	2,806	
Non-respondents	0.1%	0.6%	0.5%	3.0%	1.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.9%	1.0%	

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.  
*Question 37 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**Table G14**  
**Academic Year Books by Campus**

	<i>GRADUATES</i>										<i>ALL</i>
	<i>Berkeley</i>	<i>Davis</i>	<i>Irvine</i>	<i>Los Angeles</i>	<i>Riverside</i>	<i>San Diego</i>	<i>San Francisco</i>	<i>Santa Barbara</i>	<i>Santa Cruz</i>		
Under \$100	15.3%	13.6%	17.6%	13.4%	15.5%	21.5%	16.9%	15.2%	16.1%	15.4%	
\$100 to \$199	10.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	
\$200 to \$299	15.0%	10.5%	11.6%	9.9%	11.9%	10.6%	10.9%	18.9%	15.0%	12.2%	
\$300 to \$399	14.9%	22.4%	16.1%	13.2%	15.7%	16.4%	12.6%	22.8%	17.1%	16.0%	
\$400 to \$499	13.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	
\$500 to \$599	9.3%	15.2%	12.0%	12.6%	13.0%	17.4%	13.9%	18.9%	16.6%	13.1%	
\$600 to \$699	7.5%	15.3%	14.6%	11.5%	14.5%	10.2%	16.6%	13.2%	19.2%	12.0%	
\$700 to \$799	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	
\$800 to \$899	3.8%	4.0%	11.5%	13.6%	9.3%	13.2%	11.6%	4.6%	6.7%	8.8%	
\$900 to \$999	2.2%	9.6%	7.0%	12.4%	10.6%	5.2%	8.3%	3.1%	5.5%	7.5%	
\$1,000 or more	2.4%	9.4%	9.7%	13.5%	9.6%	5.5%	9.3%	3.2%	3.8%	8.0%	
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
Median Dollars	\$361	\$524	\$540	\$608	\$554	\$509	\$569	\$370	\$511	\$504	
Mean Dollars	\$393	\$519	\$521	\$596	\$538	\$476	\$539	\$427	\$470	\$502	
No. of Respondents	518	337	389	518	265	304	376	369	230	3,306	
Non-respondents	0.9%	0.2%	0.6%	1.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%	1.6%	0.7%	

*Question 37 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**Table G15**  
**Academic Year Supplies by Campus \***

	<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>										<i>ALL</i>	
	Berkeley	Davis	Irvine	Los		San Diego	San Francisco	Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz		w/o UCB*	
				Angeles	Riverside							
Under \$40	6.7%	18.5%	10.6%	19.7%	10.0%	17.2%	13.6%	18.7%	13.6%	14.8%	16.5%	
\$40 to \$79	16.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	
\$80 to \$119	22.8%	27.1%	27.2%	30.7%	27.8%	30.2%	17.3%	33.0%	33.2%	28.6%	29.8%	
\$120 to \$159	14.2%	27.3%	21.8%	27.6%	26.1%	22.2%	13.6%	26.5%	18.2%	23.1%	24.9%	
\$160 to \$199	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	
\$200 to \$239	9.2%	9.4%	10.7%	5.0%	13.2%	10.4%	12.7%	7.9%	9.2%	8.9%	8.8%	
\$240 to \$279	5.2%	5.6%	9.4%	5.0%	9.5%	4.2%	0.0%	3.1%	9.9%	5.9%	6.1%	
\$280 to \$319	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	
\$320 to \$359	3.8%	10.3%	11.8%	7.0%	7.1%	9.6%	13.6%	7.7%	6.6%	7.9%	8.7%	
\$360 to \$399	1.4%	0.7%	3.1%	1.8%	1.9%	0.9%	9.1%	2.0%	2.5%	1.7%	1.8%	
\$400 or more	7.0%	1.1%	5.5%	3.1%	4.4%	5.3%	20.0%	1.2%	6.9%	4.1%	3.5%	
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
Median Dollars	\$132	\$126	\$142	\$119	\$139	\$125	\$217	\$118	\$127	\$127	\$126	
Mean Dollars	\$167	\$147	\$183	\$141	\$170	\$157	\$232	\$138	\$168	\$156	\$154	
No. of Respondents	417	339	409	482	295	441	23	365	452	3,223	2,806	
Non-respondents	0.1%	0.1%	1.0%	3.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.5%	0.8%	0.9%	

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.  
*Question 38 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*



**Table G16**  
**Academic Year Supplies by Campus**

	<i>GRADUATES</i>									<i>ALL</i>	
	<b>Berkeley</b>	<b>Davis</b>	<b>Irvine</b>	<b>Los Angeles</b>		<b>Riverside</b>	<b>San Diego</b>	<b>San Francisco</b>	<b>Santa Barbara</b>		<b>Santa Cruz</b>
				<b>San Francisco</b>	<b>Santa Barbara</b>			<b>Santa Cruz</b>			
Under \$40	15.6%	18.7%	20.8%	14.3%	12.2%	21.6%	14.7%	17.4%	12.8%	16.4%	
\$40 to \$79	15.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	
\$80 to \$119	19.7%	24.9%	16.2%	16.1%	20.3%	24.8%	19.3%	22.4%	22.7%	19.7%	
\$120 to \$159	11.3%	26.2%	20.8%	20.7%	24.8%	20.7%	18.1%	26.0%	26.4%	19.7%	
\$160 to \$199	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	
\$200 to \$239	11.9%	4.8%	10.6%	12.3%	10.8%	11.1%	9.0%	9.8%	10.5%	10.5%	
\$240 to \$279	4.0%	2.0%	5.3%	3.3%	7.9%	7.8%	5.8%	6.7%	3.1%	4.4%	
\$280 to \$319	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	
\$320 to \$359	1.9%	10.0%	14.8%	13.4%	10.6%	7.2%	16.6%	7.5%	12.2%	9.5%	
\$360 to \$399	0.2%	2.9%	2.4%	7.4%	4.1%	1.9%	1.5%	3.9%	2.3%	3.4%	
\$400 or more	9.3%	10.5%	9.3%	12.6%	9.3%	5.1%	15.1%	6.4%	9.9%	10.2%	
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
Median Dollars	\$119	\$50	\$185	\$169	\$148	\$127	\$191	\$138	\$142	\$141	
Mean Dollars	\$155	\$170	\$185	\$210	\$193	\$156	\$208	\$168	\$185	\$181	
No. of Respondents	520	337	389	518	265	304	375	367	233	3,308	
Non-respondents	0.5%	0.2%	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%	0.5%	

*Question 38 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**Table G17**  
**Academic Year Course Materials Fees by Campus \***

	<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>										<i>ALL</i>	
	Berkeley	Davis	Irvine	Los Angeles			San Diego	San Francisco	Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz		w/o UCB*
				Riverside								
Nothing	43.0%	46.3%	31.1%	27.8%	32.1%	43.2%	54.7%	35.9%	44.4%	37.9%	36.9%	
Under \$20	12.5%	20.3%	8.3%	5.9%	14.3%	11.5%	4.7%	13.5%	14.6%	12.3%	12.2%	
\$20 to \$39	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	
\$40 to \$59	5.1%	7.9%	6.0%	11.4%	7.6%	13.1%	4.7%	10.5%	9.4%	8.9%	9.7%	
\$60 to \$79	6.6%	12.2%	21.4%	8.5%	4.1%	14.5%	18.9%	20.4%	7.1%	12.0%	13.1%	
\$80 to \$99	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	
\$100 to \$119	6.5%	1.4%	9.8%	14.3%	2.9%	3.4%	0.0%	5.4%	5.2%	6.7%	6.7%	
\$120 to \$139	3.9%	2.1%	8.1%	10.5%	15.0%	6.2%	4.7%	8.0%	4.2%	6.8%	7.7%	
\$140 to \$159	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	
\$160 to \$179	1.1%	2.2%	7.3%	9.4%	6.0%	3.5%	0.0%	4.3%	4.4%	4.7%	5.4%	
\$180 to \$199	1.3%	1.2%	0.5%	1.9%	2.4%	1.3%	0.0%	0.3%	1.4%	1.3%	1.2%	
\$200 to \$399	3.8%	1.4%	4.3%	3.5%	10.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1.0%	2.7%	3.1%	2.9%	
\$400 to \$599	2.4%	2.2%	2.5%	5.8%	4.7%	1.4%	4.7%	0.7%	5.7%	3.1%	3.2%	
\$600 or more	1.5%	2.9%	0.7%	1.2%	0.9%	0.9%	7.6%	0.0%	0.9%	1.2%	1.2%	
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.3%</i>	
Median Dollars	\$12	\$4	\$64	\$72	\$50	\$12	na	\$41	\$8	\$20	\$21	
Mean Dollars	\$70	\$66	\$85	\$111	\$107	\$57	\$114	\$52	\$77	\$78	\$79	
No. of Respondents	417	337	410	479	295	440	22	366	448	3,214	2,797	
Non-respondents	0.1%	0.9%	0.5%	4.1%	0.4%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	1.4%	1.1%	1.3%	

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Question 39 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**Table G18**  
**Academic Year Course Materials Fees by Campus**

	GRADUATES									ALL
	Berkeley	Davis	Irvine	Los Angeles	Riverside	San Diego	San Francisco	Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz	
Nothing	77.3%	79.6%	71.6%	63.1%	73.1%	75.7%	60.1%	75.1%	64.2%	71.3%
Under \$20	7.0%	5.9%	3.8%	7.4%	5.1%	2.4%	6.9%	8.1%	8.7%	6.4%
\$20 to \$39	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
\$40 to \$59	3.9%	2.2%	3.8%	6.4%	4.7%	5.9%	5.5%	3.4%	4.6%	4.7%
\$60 to \$79	2.0%	5.0%	5.0%	6.2%	3.0%	1.4%	3.3%	4.4%	3.7%	4.0%
\$80 to \$99	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
\$100 to \$119	2.8%	0.1%	3.0%	2.1%	3.1%	3.6%	1.1%	2.0%	7.1%	2.3%
\$120 to \$139	0.8%	0.1%	1.0%	1.4%	1.3%	3.6%	3.1%	1.5%	1.5%	1.3%
\$140 to \$159	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
\$160 to \$179	1.1%	1.0%	3.7%	3.1%	0.7%	1.9%	6.4%	2.4%	6.9%	2.5%
\$180 to \$199	0.4%	1.4%	1.2%	0.3%	0.6%	1.3%	1.3%	0.8%	1.0%	0.8%
\$200 to \$399	1.0%	0.4%	2.0%	1.9%	2.8%	1.2%	3.8%	0.6%	1.1%	1.5%
\$400 to \$599	0.5%	2.5%	3.3%	5.1%	4.4%	2.6%	3.1%	0.6%	1.1%	2.8%
\$600 or more	0.0%	1.8%	1.6%	3.2%	1.1%	0.4%	5.5%	0.9%	0.0%	1.7%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
Mean Dollars	\$18	\$39	\$56	\$78	\$53	\$39	\$101	\$29	\$38	\$50
No. of Respondents	520	337	386	515	264	303	376	367	232	3,300
Non-respondents	0.5%	0.2%	1.3%	1.4%	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.7%	0.5%	0.8%

*Question 39 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**SYSTEMWIDE**

**SEARS 1997-98**

**Table G19  
Academic Year Books by Discipline \***

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>									<b>ALL</b>
	<b>Engineering &amp; Comp Sci</b>	<b>Fine Arts</b>	<b>General</b>	<b>Health Sciences</b>	<b>Humanities</b>	<b>Life &amp; Physical Sci</b>	<b>Other Professional</b>	<b>Social sciences</b>		
Under \$100	0.1%	0.7%	0.2%	0.0%	0.6%	0.2%	2.0%	0.6%	0.4%	
\$100 to \$199	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.1%	2.5%	0.0%	0.2%	
\$200 to \$299	0.8%	4.1%	1.6%	0.1%	3.4%	0.8%	2.5%	1.3%	1.4%	
\$300 to \$399	6.6%	22.2%	8.8%	4.8%	5.8%	8.8%	9.5%	8.1%	8.6%	
\$400 to \$499	7.7%	0.7%	3.3%	31.0%	7.7%	0.2%	8.3%	3.5%	3.9%	
\$500 to \$599	19.9%	28.5%	23.4%	4.7%	15.8%	18.7%	11.8%	21.0%	20.4%	
\$600 to \$699	24.9%	10.5%	26.4%	26.8%	32.4%	26.8%	30.2%	24.6%	26.1%	
\$700 to \$799	1.9%	0.1%	1.6%	4.6%	0.4%	0.9%	8.1%	0.6%	1.5%	
\$800 to \$899	16.8%	15.0%	16.2%	16.0%	14.5%	22.6%	14.9%	19.3%	18.1%	
\$900 to \$999	10.5%	6.0%	12.8%	11.6%	10.1%	11.7%	6.6%	15.5%	12.1%	
\$1,000 or more	10.9%	12.1%	5.4%	0.4%	9.1%	9.2%	3.9%	5.6%	7.2%	
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	
Median Dollars *	\$660	\$578	\$647	\$635	\$651	\$679	\$645	\$663	\$658	
Mean Dollars *	\$702	\$629	\$679	\$641	\$679	\$718	\$632	\$697	\$690	
No. of Respondents	244	105	1,195	37	226	672	90	652	3,222	
Non-respondents	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	8.9%	0.6%	0.0%	0.2%	0.9%	
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$679	\$590	\$662	\$825	\$659	\$686	\$673	\$676	\$673	
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$731	\$644	\$701	\$841	\$702	\$726	\$718	\$714	\$713	

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.  
Question 37 (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**Table G20**  
**Academic Year Supplies by Discipline**

	<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>								<i>ALL</i>
	<b>Engineering &amp; Comp Sci</b>	<b>Fine Arts</b>	<b>General</b>	<b>Health Sciences</b>	<b>Humanities</b>	<b>Life &amp; Physical Sci</b>	<b>Other Professional</b>	<b>Social sciences</b>	
Under \$40	16.6%	9.2%	16.6%	0.2%	12.1%	15.1%	10.9%	13.7%	14.8%
\$40 to \$79	3.4%	1.0%	3.3%	0.0%	4.1%	0.8%	0.0%	4.8%	2.7%
\$80 to \$119	28.9%	16.6%	28.0%	29.7%	36.3%	28.1%	22.7%	31.3%	28.6%
\$120 to \$159	14.4%	20.8%	24.6%	11.3%	24.9%	25.0%	18.8%	24.7%	23.1%
\$160 to \$199	0.7%	0.0%	1.5%	4.6%	0.8%	1.2%	2.0%	0.7%	1.2%
\$200 to \$239	5.9%	7.8%	8.6%	6.8%	8.5%	10.4%	10.0%	9.4%	8.9%
\$240 to \$279	12.3%	2.2%	4.6%	11.1%	5.8%	6.5%	3.2%	4.5%	5.9%
\$280 to \$319	0.7%	2.7%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	0.7%	1.1%
\$320 to \$359	10.9%	20.7%	5.0%	26.6%	4.0%	9.5%	13.0%	5.3%	7.9%
\$360 to \$399	0.2%	2.5%	3.2%	0.1%	2.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1.4%	1.7%
\$400 or more	5.9%	16.5%	2.7%	9.5%	1.6%	2.6%	15.5%	3.5%	4.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$123	\$213	\$163	\$225	\$157	\$130	\$155	\$120	\$127
Mean Dollars	\$166	\$229	\$148	\$229	\$139	\$155	\$206	\$145	\$156
No. of Respondents	243	105	1,193	37	227	674	90	653	3,223
Non-respondents	0.5%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	8.7%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.8%

*Question 39 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**SYSTEMWIDE**

**SEARS 1997-98**

**Table G21**  
**Academic Year Books and Supplies by Campus \***

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>									<b>ALL</b>
	<b>Engineering &amp; Comp Sci</b>	<b>Fine Arts</b>	<b>General</b>	<b>Health Sciences</b>	<b>Humanities</b>	<b>Life &amp; Physical Sci</b>	<b>Other Professional</b>	<b>Social sciences</b>		
Less than \$100	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.5%	0.2%	
\$100 to \$199	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	
\$200 to \$299	0.8%	0.1%	0.5%	0.1%	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	0.4%	
\$300 to \$399	2.2%	0.6%	3.8%	0.1%	3.2%	3.6%	2.5%	2.7%	3.1%	
\$400 to \$499	5.3%	8.5%	5.2%	0.1%	4.7%	5.2%	5.3%	6.1%	5.3%	
\$500 to \$599	4.4%	6.7%	7.1%	9.2%	10.1%	4.5%	11.4%	7.9%	6.7%	
\$600 to \$699	18.0%	20.9%	20.6%	0.0%	20.3%	14.4%	14.3%	16.3%	17.5%	
\$700 to \$799	20.7%	15.5%	15.6%	35.7%	19.7%	18.2%	16.0%	17.4%	17.7%	
\$800 to \$899	9.6%	13.3%	10.0%	11.1%	5.1%	8.1%	17.7%	6.0%	9.0%	
\$900 to \$999	10.0%	11.1%	14.4%	22.3%	11.4%	17.0%	10.4%	16.5%	14.6%	
\$1,000 to \$1,099	6.5%	5.4%	8.9%	15.9%	6.8%	11.6%	7.0%	10.9%	9.5%	
\$1,100 to \$1,199	6.7%	3.0%	6.6%	4.7%	9.9%	7.9%	9.3%	7.8%	7.3%	
\$1,200 to \$1,299	8.6%	4.4%	3.2%	0.4%	4.0%	3.6%	2.2%	4.2%	4.0%	
\$1,300 to \$1,399	5.6%	8.4%	2.9%	0.2%	2.9%	4.1%	1.6%	2.6%	3.5%	
\$1,400 or more	1.7%	2.0%	1.1%	0.1%	0.7%	1.0%	2.3%	0.8%	1.1%	
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	
Median Dollars *	\$793	\$785	\$781	\$843	\$753	\$842	\$803	\$793	\$794	
Mean Dollars *	\$858	\$836	\$813	\$857	\$805	\$852	\$820	\$828	\$831	
No. of Respondents	244	105	1,195	37	227	675	90	654	3,228	
Non-respondents	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.7%	
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$820	\$790	\$795	\$1025	\$773	\$861	\$817	\$809	\$809	
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$883	\$845	\$831	\$968	\$840	\$860	\$870	\$841	\$849	

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Question 37 (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**Table G22****Academic Year Computer Related Expenses by Student Level \***

	<b>UNDERGRADUATE</b>	<b>GRADUATE</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Nothing	30.5%	23.4%	28.9%
Less than \$100	32.2%	24.0%	30.4%
\$100 to \$249	13.5%	15.3%	13.9%
\$250 to \$499	8.5%	11.6%	9.2%
\$500 to \$749	1.9%	6.3%	2.9%
\$750 to \$999	1.2%	2.4%	1.5%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	2.0%	2.8%	2.2%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	2.5%	3.0%	2.6%
\$2,000 to \$2,499	2.6%	2.1%	2.5%
\$2,500 to \$2,999	1.7%	3.2%	2.0%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	1.9%	3.7%	2.3%
\$4,000 to \$4,999	0.8%	1.1%	0.8%
\$5,000 or more	0.7%	1.1%	0.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars *	\$61	\$125	\$70
Mean Dollars *	\$407	\$604	\$450
No. of Respondents	3,130	3,252	6,382
Non-respondents	3.4%	2.1%	3.1%
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$61		
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$427		

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Question 41 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**Table G23****Travel to Parents in California during Academic Year Expenses by Budget Category \***

<i>Dollars per month:</i>	ON-CAMPUS			OTHER			All		
	UG	GR	All	UG	GR	All	UG	GR	All
Nothing	10.3%	48.6%	13.5%	17.4%	52.1%	27.8%	15.0%	51.7%	23.6%
Under \$135	32.1%	18.3%	30.9%	38.5%	23.5%	34.1%	36.3%	23.0%	33.2%
\$135 to \$269	21.8%	11.1%	20.9%	17.9%	10.0%	15.5%	19.2%	10.1%	17.1%
\$270 to \$404	17.0%	8.2%	16.3%	12.7%	7.3%	11.1%	14.2%	7.4%	12.6%
\$405 to \$539	5.9%	7.5%	6.0%	5.9%	2.9%	5.0%	5.9%	3.4%	5.3%
\$540 to \$674	5.3%	1.1%	5.0%	3.0%	1.5%	2.5%	3.8%	1.4%	3.2%
\$675 to \$809	3.7%	1.8%	3.6%	2.3%	0.7%	1.8%	2.8%	0.8%	2.3%
\$810 to 944	1.0%	1.7%	1.1%	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%	0.7%	0.8%	0.7%
\$945 to \$1,079	1.3%	0.8%	1.2%	0.9%	0.4%	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%	0.9%
\$1,080 to \$1,214	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
\$1,215 to \$1,349	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
\$1,350 or more	0.9%	0.2%	0.8%	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars *	\$182	\$12	\$171	\$114	na	\$89	\$130	na	\$108
Mean Dollars *	\$254	\$151	\$245	\$193	\$111	\$169	\$213	\$116	\$190
No. of Respondents	935	381	1,316	1,831	2,736	4,567	2,791	3,140	5,931
Non-respondents	0.8%	1.8%	0.9%	2.2%	2.6%	2.3%	1.7%	2.7%	1.9%
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$186			\$118			\$136		
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$256			\$195			\$218		

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.  
*Question 43 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*



**Table G24****Travel to Parents Outside California during Academic Year Expenses by Budget Category \***

<i>Dollars per month:</i>	ON-CAMPUS			OTHER			All		
	UG	GR	All	UG	GR	All	UG	GR	All
Nothing	89.8%	55.1%	86.9%	88.2%	56.0%	78.7%	88.8%	56.0%	81.0%
Under \$135	2.2%	2.3%	2.2%	2.8%	3.3%	3.0%	2.6%	3.2%	2.7%
\$135 to \$269	0.8%	1.4%	0.9%	0.5%	2.2%	1.0%	0.6%	2.1%	1.0%
\$270 to \$404	1.3%	5.9%	1.7%	1.6%	6.4%	3.1%	1.5%	6.3%	2.7%
\$405 to \$539	0.3%	5.5%	0.7%	1.2%	6.6%	2.8%	0.9%	6.5%	2.2%
\$540 to \$674	1.1%	3.7%	1.3%	0.6%	5.0%	1.9%	0.7%	4.9%	1.7%
\$675 to \$809	0.7%	4.0%	1.0%	1.2%	4.9%	2.3%	1.0%	4.8%	1.9%
\$810 to 944	0.7%	2.5%	0.8%	0.3%	3.0%	1.1%	0.5%	2.9%	1.0%
\$945 to \$1,079	0.6%	4.5%	0.9%	1.1%	2.5%	1.5%	0.9%	2.7%	1.3%
\$1,080 to \$1,214	0.4%	3.3%	0.6%	0.9%	2.6%	1.4%	0.7%	2.7%	1.2%
\$1,215 to \$1,349	0.1%	1.4%	0.2%	0.5%	1.4%	0.8%	0.4%	1.4%	0.6%
\$1,350 or more	2.2%	10.5%	2.9%	1.0%	6.1%	2.5%	1.4%	6.6%	2.6%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars *	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
Mean Dollars *	\$68	\$373	\$94	\$71	\$312	\$143	\$75	\$317	\$132
No. of Respondents	935	381	1,316	1,831	2,736	4,567	2,791	3,140	5,931
Non-respondents	0.8%	1.8%	0.9%	2.2%	2.6%	2.3%	1.7%	2.7%	1.9%
Median w/o Berkeley *	na			na			na		
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$64			\$64			\$64		

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.  
*Question 43 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**SYSTEMWIDE**

**SEARS 1997-98**

**Table G25**  
**Personal and Recreation Expenses by Budget Category \***

<i>Dollars per month:</i>	<b>COMMUTER</b>			<b>ON-CAMPUS</b>			<b>OTHER</b>		
	<u>UG</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>UG</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>UG</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>All</u>
Under \$25	8.5%	10.7%	8.6%	9.4%	5.0%	9.0%	4.3%	4.0%	4.2%
\$25 to \$49	12.3%	10.3%	12.1%	20.0%	9.6%	19.1%	16.3%	9.8%	14.3%
\$50 to \$74	17.7%	9.2%	17.2%	15.1%	16.8%	15.2%	16.4%	13.5%	15.5%
\$75 to \$99	13.3%	13.4%	13.3%	11.2%	10.9%	11.2%	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%
\$100 to \$124	15.5%	6.8%	15.0%	13.7%	18.2%	14.1%	13.3%	15.9%	14.1%
\$125 to \$149	6.6%	7.8%	6.6%	6.5%	8.9%	6.7%	6.4%	6.5%	6.5%
\$150 to \$174	3.3%	4.4%	3.3%	3.8%	8.0%	4.1%	6.2%	7.0%	6.4%
\$175 to \$199	6.1%	11.2%	6.4%	3.6%	1.7%	3.5%	3.1%	3.6%	3.3%
\$200 to \$249	3.8%	6.0%	3.9%	4.8%	10.2%	5.3%	8.0%	10.7%	8.8%
\$250 to 299	3.3%	0.6%	3.1%	2.2%	2.4%	2.2%	3.3%	4.4%	3.6%
\$300 to \$399	3.4%	6.7%	3.6%	3.9%	3.3%	3.8%	4.5%	5.0%	4.7%
\$400 to \$499	4.1%	4.5%	4.1%	1.1%	0.8%	1.1%	1.8%	2.4%	2.0%
\$500 or more	2.3%	8.4%	2.7%	4.7%	4.3%	4.7%	5.2%	5.9%	5.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars *	\$97	\$124	\$98	\$87	\$111	\$90	\$104	\$118	\$110
Mean Dollars *	\$132	\$174	\$134	\$126	\$141	\$128	\$146	\$164	\$151
No. of Respondents	411	104	515	923	381	1304	1829	2756	4585
Non-respondents	1.7%	6.6%	2.0%	1.9%	1.3%	1.8%	1.7%	1.4%	1.6%
Median w/o Berkeley *	\$96			\$88			\$102		
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$136			\$127			\$144		

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

*Question 47 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**Table G26**  
**Type of Medical Insurance by Budget Category \***

	COMMUTER				ON-CAMPUS				OTHER				ALL			
	UG	GR	All	w/o UCB*	UG	GR	All	w/o UCB*	UG	GR	All	w/o UCB*	UG	GR	All	w/o UCB*
I do not have insurance.	27.7%	4.9%	26.3%	30.7%	14.7%	1.7%	13.6%	15.2%	20.9%	1.4%	15.1%	25.4%	20.0%	1.5%	15.9%	22.8%
I pay for my own insurance.	5.7%	7.2%	5.8%	3.3%	0.6%	2.6%	0.8%	0.6%	6.4%	7.4%	6.7%	6.7%	4.6%	6.9%	5.1%	4.2%
I have insurance through campus fees.	4.8%	61.6%	8.3%	2.0%	8.7%	80.5%	14.7%	5.7%	15.7%	66.3%	30.9%	6.9%	12.1%	67.6%	24.3%	5.8%
I have insurance paid for by my parent(s).	53.0%	13.6%	50.6%	54.8%	69.4%	5.0%	63.9%	72.1%	47.8%	4.0%	34.6%	52.8%	54.9%	4.4%	43.9%	59.5%
I have insurance paid for by my employer.	4.2%	11.9%	4.7%	4.0%	0.7%	10.0%	1.4%	0.7%	3.9%	12.2%	6.4%	3.8%	3.0%	12.0%	5.0%	2.8%
I have insurance through my spouse.	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	8.4%	4.0%	2.3%	1.2%	7.3%	2.5%	1.2%
I have insurance through Medi-Cal.	4.5%	0.9%	4.2%	5.0%	6.0%	0.2%	5.5%	5.7%	3.3%	0.4%	2.4%	2.2%	4.2%	0.4%	3.4%	3.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
No. of Respondents	410	106	516	378	935	385	1320	88	1841	2793	4634	1506	3186	3284	6470	2772
Non-respondents	2.2%	0.0%	2.1%	2.5%	1.0%	1.1%	1.0%	1.1%	1.4%	0.6%	1.2%	1.8%	1.4%	0.8%	1.3%	1.7%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Question 44A (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**Table G27**  
**Type of Dental Insurance by Budget Category \***

	COMMUTER				ON-CAMPUS				OTHER				ALL			
	UG	GR	All	w/o UCB*	UG	GR	All	w/o UCB*	UG	GR	All	w/o UCB*	UG	GR	All	w/o UCB*
I do not have insurance.	36.6%	63.4%	38.2%	37.4%	27.0%	45.6%	28.5%	26.3%	39.9%	56.6%	44.8%	38.5%	35.5%	55.7%	39.9%	34.3%
I pay for my own insurance.	4.1%	4.7%	4.1%	1.5%	0.1%	9.1%	0.8%	0.1%	3.9%	7.4%	5.0%	3.7%	2.8%	7.5%	3.8%	2.2%
I have insurance through campus fees.	1.1%	8.0%	1.5%	1.0%	1.8%	33.6%	4.4%	1.2%	3.1%	12.2%	5.8%	1.9%	2.4%	14.1%	4.9%	1.5%
I have insurance paid for by my parent(s).	49.5%	14.4%	47.4%	50.9%	64.4%	7.2%	59.7%	66.3%	45.3%	5.3%	33.4%	48.5%	51.6%	5.8%	41.8%	54.7%
I have insurance paid for by my employer.	4.2%	8.6%	4.4%	4.0%	0.6%	4.6%	1.0%	0.7%	3.4%	8.2%	4.8%	2.9%	2.7%	7.8%	3.8%	2.3%
I have insurance through my spouse.	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	10.0%	4.5%	2.4%	1.2%	8.7%	2.8%	1.3%
I have insurance through Medi-Cal.	4.5%	0.9%	4.2%	5.0%	6.1%	0.0%	5.6%	5.5%	2.3%	0.4%	1.8%	2.2%	3.8%	0.4%	3.0%	3.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
No. of Respondents	405	104	509	374	911	365	1276	865	1781	2691	4472	1465	3097	3160	6257	2704
Non-respondents	2.3%	0.9%	2.2%	2.5%	2.8%	6.1%	3.1%	3.0%	4.6%	4.9%	4.7%	4.5%	3.7%	5.0%	0.4%	3.7%

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.

Question 44A (see Appendix A for complete survey)

**Table G28  
Medical and Dental Insurance Premium Expenses by Budget Category \***

<i>Dollars per month:</i>	<b>COMMUTER</b>			<b>ON-CAMPUS</b>			<b>OTHER</b>			<b>All</b>		
	<u>UG</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>UG</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>UG</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>UG</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>All</u>
Nothing	71.7%	39.4%	69.7%	70.6%	43.3%	68.3%	58.5%	40.6%	53.0%	63.9%	40.8%	58.8%
Under \$50	11.9%	24.3%	12.6%	14.6%	20.6%	15.1%	18.2%	24.1%	20.0%	16.2%	23.7%	17.9%
\$50 to 99	5.8%	17.9%	6.6%	4.4%	15.2%	5.3%	9.6%	16.9%	11.9%	7.6%	16.8%	9.6%
\$100 to \$149	5.7%	13.1%	6.2%	5.6%	12.3%	6.2%	6.2%	8.7%	6.9%	5.9%	9.2%	6.7%
\$150 to \$199	1.1%	3.6%	1.3%	0.9%	1.9%	1.0%	2.2%	3.6%	2.6%	1.7%	3.4%	2.1%
\$200 to \$249	2.1%	1.3%	2.1%	2.1%	3.2%	2.2%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	2.6%	2.9%	2.7%
\$250 to \$299	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	0.3%	1.0%	0.3%	0.7%	1.3%	0.9%	0.5%	1.2%	0.7%
\$300 to \$349	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%	1.2%	0.6%	1.0%	0.9%	0.6%	0.8%
\$350 to \$399	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.7%	0.3%	0.1%	0.6%	0.2%
\$400 or more	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	1.1%	2.0%	1.2%	0.4%	0.7%	0.5%	0.7%	0.8%	0.7%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars *	na	\$22	na	na	\$17	na	na	\$20	na	na	\$20	na
Mean Dollars *	\$27	\$47	\$28	\$27	\$56	\$30	\$38	\$53	\$43	\$33	\$53	\$38
No. of Respondents	366	98	464	838	352	1,190	1,644	2,569	4,213	2,848	3,019	5,837
Non-respondents	10.7%	7.8%	10.5%	11.5%	9.7%	11.3%	12.9%	8.8%	11.7%	12.2%	9.0%	11.5%
Median w/o Berkeley *	na			na			na			na		
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$24			\$27			\$35			\$31		

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.  
*Question 44B (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**Table G29**  
**Out-of-pocket Medical and Dental Expenses by Budget Category \***

<i>Dollars per month:</i>	COMMUTER			ON-CAMPUS			OTHER			All		
	UG	GR	All	UG	GR	All	UG	GR	All	UG	GR	All
Nothing	53.6%	33.8%	52.3%	49.5%	37.3%	48.4%	43.8%	25.5%	38.2%	46.8%	26.9%	42.4%
Under \$10	12.5%	16.4%	12.7%	14.8%	24.5%	15.6%	14.3%	18.5%	15.6%	14.2%	19.1%	15.3%
\$10 to \$19	7.6%	5.9%	7.5%	9.9%	11.8%	10.1%	12.0%	15.7%	13.1%	10.8%	15.0%	11.7%
\$20 to \$29	9.0%	10.0%	9.1%	9.2%	8.6%	9.1%	9.4%	14.0%	10.8%	9.3%	13.3%	10.2%
\$30 to \$39	6.5%	12.5%	6.8%	4.5%	4.3%	4.5%	4.0%	5.9%	4.6%	4.5%	6.0%	4.8%
\$40 to \$49	2.0%	2.8%	2.0%	2.4%	3.7%	2.5%	5.4%	4.1%	5.0%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%
\$50 to \$64	4.0%	7.4%	4.2%	4.0%	3.2%	4.0%	2.6%	5.1%	3.4%	3.2%	5.0%	3.6%
\$65 to \$79	1.2%	0.0%	1.1%	1.7%	2.2%	1.8%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%
\$80 to \$94	0.9%	6.6%	1.3%	0.8%	0.9%	0.8%	1.9%	1.8%	1.9%	1.4%	1.8%	1.5%
\$95 to \$104	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	0.7%	0.2%	0.7%	0.9%	1.4%	1.1%	0.8%	1.3%	0.9%
\$105 to \$119	0.2%	1.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.7%	0.5%	0.6%	1.1%	0.8%	0.5%	1.1%	0.6%
\$120 or more	1.7%	2.6%	1.7%	2.1%	2.7%	2.1%	3.7%	5.4%	4.2%	2.9%	5.0%	3.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars *	na	\$10	na	\$1	\$6	\$2	\$5	\$13	\$8	\$3	\$12	\$5
Mean Dollars *	\$14	\$25	\$15	\$15	\$17	\$15	\$19148	\$26	\$21	\$17	\$25	\$19
No. of Respondents	398	105	503	905	383	1,288	1,787	2,748	4,535	3,090	3,236	6,326
Non-respondents	3.6%	0.6%	3.5%	4.2%	1.0%	3.9%	4.7%	1.8%	3.8%	4.4%	1.8%	3.8%
Median w/o Berkeley *	na			\$1			\$3			\$2		
Mean w/o Berkeley *	\$14			\$16			\$19			\$17		

\*Berkeley undergraduate sample appears non-representative, it differs substantially from the population in class (e.g., freshman, sophomore, junior, senior) distribution. Therefore, systemwide figures are also shown without Berkeley, for reference.  
*Question 45 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**Table G30**  
**Graduate Off-Campus Housing Expenses by Campus**

<i><u>Dollars</u></i> <i><u>per month:</u></i>	<i><b>GRADUATES</b></i>									<i><b>ALL</b></i>
	<b>Berkeley</b>	<b>Davis</b>	<b>Irvine</b>	<b>Los Angeles</b>	<b>Riverside</b>	<b>San Diego</b>	<b>San Francisco</b>	<b>Santa Barbara</b>	<b>Santa Cruz</b>	
Nothing	0.5%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.6%	0.7%	0.9%	0.4%	0.5%
Less than \$100	0.4%	0.1%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.4%
\$100 to \$199	1.1%	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%	3.9%	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%	2.4%	0.8%
\$200 to \$299	1.8%	2.8%	3.6%	0.6%	8.3%	2.0%	1.6%	1.1%	0.0%	1.8%
\$300 to \$399	5.2%	11.8%	5.6%	7.2%	13.9%	10.6%	3.0%	9.0%	5.9%	7.5%
\$400 to \$499	15.6%	19.6%	9.1%	11.7%	15.9%	21.1%	8.3%	20.6%	13.7%	14.8%
\$500 to \$599	22.3%	17.9%	16.6%	15.5%	15.8%	24.8%	17.7%	18.7%	27.1%	18.9%
\$600 to \$699	17.4%	15.1%	17.6%	12.2%	8.7%	13.7%	16.3%	16.6%	17.7%	15.0%
\$700 to \$799	13.2%	4.4%	10.3%	15.0%	7.6%	8.8%	14.1%	10.1%	10.0%	11.6%
\$800 to \$899	5.7%	5.1%	5.6%	10.7%	4.2%	5.7%	8.4%	7.4%	5.7%	7.3%
\$900 to \$1,059	6.0%	5.2%	5.1%	10.4%	3.2%	3.1%	7.9%	2.9%	3.4%	6.7%
\$1,050 to \$1,199	2.2%	4.2%	5.0%	5.6%	4.4%	3.2%	3.6%	3.6%	4.3%	4.0%
\$1,200 to \$1,349	2.9%	5.0%	2.3%	2.7%	1.6%	1.9%	5.4%	2.7%	3.4%	3.2%
\$1,350 to \$1,499	1.1%	1.0%	1.4%	2.2%	2.4%	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%	0.4%	1.5%
\$1,500 or more	4.7%	6.2%	16.6%	5.2%	6.4%	2.5%	10.3%	4.8%	5.7%	6.1%
<i><b>Total</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>
Median Dollars	\$618	\$579	\$679	\$711	\$528	\$561	\$714	\$594	\$635	\$635
Mean Dollars	\$686	\$685	\$817	\$759	\$621	\$628	\$813	\$668	\$693	\$717
No. of Respondents	470	315	246	427	214	183	322	336	205	2,718
Non-respondents	4.1%	1.5%	5.6%	2.0%	2.8%	4.2%	3.2%	2.3%	3.2%	3.0%

*Question 34 and 35 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**Table G31**  
**Graduate Off-Campus Grocery Expenses by Campus**

<u>Dollars</u> <u>per month:</u>	<b>GRADUATES</b>									<b>ALL</b>
	Berkeley	Davis	Irvine	Los Angeles	Riverside	San Diego	San	Santa	Santa Cruz	<u>ALL</u>
							Francisco	Barbara		
Nothing	0.8%	1.6%	0.4%	0.3%	4.2%	1.3%	1.1%	1.3%	1.5%	1.0%
Less than \$50	4.8%	4.1%	1.9%	3.7%	5.1%	5.3%	6.2%	5.3%	13.5%	4.6%
\$50 to \$74	6.9%	4.9%	4.8%	4.1%	5.7%	7.2%	3.2%	2.5%	3.3%	5.0%
\$75 to \$99	4.4%	4.7%	4.5%	11.2%	5.9%	6.8%	4.5%	3.6%	4.7%	6.5%
\$100 to \$124	6.5%	6.6%	6.6%	7.8%	11.1%	7.4%	9.5%	6.0%	6.7%	7.3%
\$125 to \$149	7.5%	7.6%	3.8%	5.5%	6.6%	7.1%	6.9%	5.9%	8.5%	6.6%
\$150 to \$175	7.1%	5.9%	7.3%	7.0%	8.4%	9.2%	10.1%	8.5%	9.5%	7.4%
\$175 to \$199	9.1%	4.8%	5.2%	6.5%	4.8%	7.1%	6.5%	8.8%	8.9%	7.0%
\$200 to \$224	12.5%	16.9%	11.3%	13.9%	10.9%	11.8%	11.2%	11.3%	9.3%	13.1%
\$225 to \$249	5.8%	5.9%	5.8%	3.5%	3.8%	6.4%	4.1%	8.8%	6.4%	5.2%
\$250 to \$274	4.9%	9.5%	6.9%	3.5%	5.1%	4.1%	5.6%	7.4%	3.7%	5.4%
\$275 to \$299	3.1%	4.3%	4.9%	6.2%	3.3%	4.5%	2.9%	2.5%	3.9%	4.3%
\$300 to \$374	6.5%	5.2%	10.5%	6.8%	7.5%	6.3%	8.0%	9.6%	7.0%	7.0%
\$375 to \$449	6.9%	4.8%	6.7%	6.3%	8.0%	8.6%	6.4%	6.3%	3.3%	6.4%
\$450 to \$524	7.3%	5.0%	3.7%	3.7%	3.6%	3.0%	4.8%	6.0%	3.3%	5.0%
\$525 to \$599	0.5%	1.6%	2.9%	2.2%	0.0%	1.3%	2.5%	0.9%	0.7%	1.5%
\$600 or more	5.6%	6.8%	12.8%	7.8%	6.3%	2.7%	6.5%	5.3%	6.0%	6.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$206	\$215	\$243	\$207	\$192	\$195	\$204	\$218	\$182	\$209
Mean Dollars	\$236	\$245	\$290	\$246	\$223	\$217	\$244	\$249	\$213	\$243
No. of Respondents	467	314	244	424	208	182	318	337	202	2,696
Non-respondents	3.2%	1.6%	6.4%	2.8%	5.8%	3.7%	4.0%	1.8%	4.0%	3.2%

*Question 35 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*



**Table G32**  
**Dining out and snacks expenses by Campus**

<i>Dollars per month:</i>	<b>GRADUATES -- OFF CAMPUS</b>									<b>ALL</b>
	<b>Berkeley</b>	<b>Davis</b>	<b>Irvine</b>	<b>Los Angeles</b>	<b>Riverside</b>	<b>San Diego</b>	<b>San Francisco</b>	<b>Santa Barbara</b>	<b>Santa Cruz</b>	
Nothing	0.5%	2.3%	0.9%	0.3%	1.4%	0.8%	1.1%	0.1%	2.2%	0.8%
Less than \$25	5.8%	6.2%	5.0%	8.9%	9.4%	8.8%	3.6%	7.9%	6.1%	7.0%
\$25 to \$49	11.5%	16.7%	11.2%	11.3%	18.8%	17.7%	7.8%	14.5%	10.5%	12.7%
\$50 to \$74	13.4%	18.7%	12.1%	13.4%	17.3%	20.1%	16.7%	19.7%	28.1%	15.7%
\$75 to \$99	13.1%	12.3%	14.1%	13.7%	14.2%	11.9%	12.9%	13.5%	10.6%	13.1%
\$100 to \$124	19.4%	17.7%	14.4%	12.3%	18.1%	13.5%	16.5%	14.2%	16.0%	15.8%
\$125 to \$149	7.3%	7.4%	10.9%	9.9%	4.4%	5.5%	11.3%	9.6%	7.8%	8.5%
\$150 to \$199	9.2%	4.8%	11.5%	13.8%	6.2%	7.9%	12.3%	6.7%	8.4%	9.8%
\$200 to \$249	10.9%	8.1%	9.6%	9.0%	6.5%	8.6%	9.1%	7.1%	4.5%	9.0%
\$250 to \$299	3.5%	3.3%	2.0%	3.0%	1.6%	1.9%	4.6%	3.4%	2.7%	3.1%
\$300 or more	5.5%	2.6%	8.4%	4.5%	2.2%	3.3%	4.0%	3.3%	3.1%	4.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Median Dollars	\$107	\$87	\$112	\$105	\$81	\$80	\$112	\$89	\$82	\$101
Mean Dollars	\$125	\$103	\$130	\$120	\$95	\$102	\$127	\$107	\$102	\$116
No. of Respondents	469	313	247	426	214	185	324	339	205	2,722
Non-respondents	2.2%	0.9%	5.0%	2.5%	2.4%	3.4%	2.7%	0.9%	2.6%	2.3%

*Note: Separate food expenses are not available for students living on-campus.*

*Question 36 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**Table G33**  
**Total Food (groceries and dining out) Expenses by Campus**

<u>Dollars</u> <u>per month:</u>	<b>GRADUATES -- OFF CAMPUS</b>									<b>ALL</b>
	Berkeley	Davis	Irvine	Los Angeles	Riverside	San Diego	San Francisco	Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz	
Nothing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.2%
Less than \$75	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.3%	4.9%	2.3%	3.2%	2.6%	1.6%	1.9%
\$75 to \$149	9.5%	11.9%	5.3%	10.4%	16.4%	12.1%	7.1%	7.7%	17.1%	10.2%
\$150 to \$224	15.5%	14.1%	13.5%	17.3%	20.6%	22.1%	19.2%	17.9%	20.7%	16.8%
\$225 to \$299	20.4%	20.9%	20.0%	22.3%	20.3%	19.4%	14.0%	21.5%	20.1%	20.6%
\$300 to \$374	17.7%	20.2%	14.3%	13.2%	8.0%	15.6%	17.5%	15.3%	15.7%	15.8%
\$375 to \$449	10.3%	7.0%	11.5%	8.4%	9.9%	12.9%	13.0%	10.8%	8.7%	9.6%
\$450 to \$524	7.8%	5.5%	8.1%	7.0%	6.7%	4.2%	7.0%	8.0%	3.4%	6.8%
\$525 to \$599	6.6%	8.5%	4.7%	5.6%	2.3%	2.9%	5.7%	4.1%	3.1%	5.8%
\$600 to \$674	2.7%	2.8%	4.0%	1.6%	3.2%	4.0%	3.9%	4.7%	2.1%	2.8%
\$675 to \$749	3.2%	5.8%	6.1%	6.4%	4.3%	2.1%	4.9%	3.0%	2.8%	4.7%
\$750 or more	4.5%	1.7%	10.7%	6.4%	2.9%	1.9%	4.7%	4.5%	4.0%	4.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$312	\$305	\$350	\$295	\$253	\$275	\$328	\$302	\$262	\$302
Mean Dollars	\$347	\$338	\$399	\$353	\$299	\$304	\$356	\$344	\$299	\$345
No. of Respondents	471	315	247	427	214	186	325	339	206	2,730
Non-respondents	3.9%	1.5%	5.2%	2.4%	2.8%	2.0%	2.4%	1.3%	2.8%	2.8%

*Questions 35 and 36 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**SYSTEMWIDE**

**SEARS 1997-98**

**Table G34  
Local Transportation Expenses by Campus**

<i><u>Dollars</u></i> <i><u>per month</u></i>	<i><b>GRADUATES -- OFF CAMPUS</b></i>										<i><b>ALL</b></i>
	<b>Berkeley</b>	<b>Davis</b>	<b>Irvine</b>	<b>Los Angeles</b>	<b>Riverside</b>	<b>San Diego</b>	<b>San Francisco</b>	<b>Santa Barbara</b>	<b>Santa Cruz</b>		
Nothing	27.0%	19.3%	16.8%	10.0%	17.5%	10.0%	26.5%	19.5%	17.4%		18.3%
Less than \$10	9.9%	14.6%	4.9%	3.5%	10.6%	8.0%	6.0%	11.1%	7.1%		8.1%
\$10 to \$19	9.2%	7.9%	5.6%	6.7%	4.3%	8.0%	2.2%	7.1%	5.8%		7.1%
\$20 to \$29	8.4%	5.8%	7.0%	12.1%	11.7%	10.4%	4.9%	7.7%	13.7%		9.1%
\$30 to \$39	3.9%	8.1%	9.0%	11.1%	8.3%	7.9%	11.2%	8.3%	10.6%		8.2%
\$40 to \$49	6.5%	10.1%	8.6%	5.8%	7.9%	13.4%	9.0%	9.1%	8.0%		7.8%
\$50 to \$64	10.7%	10.8%	11.4%	11.3%	11.2%	12.7%	9.1%	14.5%	10.9%		11.2%
\$65 to \$79	5.3%	4.2%	4.4%	9.0%	6.5%	7.8%	4.9%	6.0%	11.2%		6.5%
\$80 to \$94	3.2%	5.2%	9.6%	6.9%	3.3%	6.5%	5.6%	5.1%	3.0%		5.4%
\$95 to \$104	3.9%	3.7%	6.1%	4.8%	4.2%	3.5%	3.9%	2.0%	3.9%		4.1%
\$105 to \$119	3.1%	2.2%	3.5%	6.2%	5.1%	6.4%	3.8%	4.2%	1.4%		4.2%
\$120 to \$139	4.0%	2.3%	4.7%	2.3%	1.7%	3.9%	3.7%	2.0%	4.0%		3.1%
\$140 to \$159	1.3%	2.3%	2.7%	2.1%	1.6%	1.3%	2.2%	0.8%	0.0%		1.8%
\$160 or more	3.8%	3.5%	5.8%	8.4%	6.2%	0.4%	7.1%	2.7%	3.2%		5.2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>		<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$25	\$33	\$48	\$51	\$37	\$44	\$39	\$36	\$36		\$39
Mean Dollars	\$42	\$43	\$58	\$62	\$49	\$50	\$52	\$43	\$45		\$50
No. of Respondents	481	318	260	439	219	188	331	339	212		2,787
Non-respondents	2.1%	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%	0.8%	0.0%	0.1%	1.8%	0.0%		0.9%

*Question 42 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**Table G35**  
**Travel to Parents in California during Academic Year Expenses by Campus**

<i><u>Dollars</u></i> <i><u>per month:</u></i>	<b>GRADUATES -- OFF CAMPUS</b>										<b>ALL</b>
	<b>Berkeley</b>	<b>Davis</b>	<b>Irvine</b>	<b>Los</b>		<b>Riverside</b>	<b>San Diego</b>	<b>San Francisco</b>	<b>Santa Barbara</b>	<b>Santa Cruz</b>	
				<b>Angeles</b>	<b></b>						
Nothing	58.8%	50.3%	50.7%	44.9%	54.3%	60.0%	45.6%	58.0%	55.2%	52.1%	
Under \$135	18.8%	21.5%	27.6%	31.7%	24.7%	17.4%	20.0%	20.3%	14.2%	23.5%	
\$135 to \$269	9.5%	9.2%	7.3%	12.3%	8.8%	7.9%	9.9%	8.6%	9.8%	10.0%	
\$270 to \$404	7.7%	10.0%	4.0%	6.1%	4.9%	7.6%	8.9%	5.2%	13.9%	7.3%	
\$405 to \$539	2.4%	4.4%	2.6%	2.1%	2.6%	3.0%	6.2%	2.5%	1.7%	2.9%	
\$540 to \$674	0.7%	2.1%	1.6%	1.1%	1.9%	2.0%	3.2%	1.9%	1.2%	1.5%	
\$675 to \$809	0.8%	0.3%	1.4%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	1.5%	0.8%	1.1%	0.7%	
\$810 to 944	0.1%	0.7%	1.6%	1.1%	0.0%	0.2%	1.1%	0.7%	0.4%	0.7%	
\$945 to \$1,079	0.0%	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.4%	
\$1,080 to \$1,214	0.4%	0.8%	0.9%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.1%	0.6%	0.4%	0.3%	
\$1,215 to \$1,349	0.0%	0.8%	0.9%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%	
\$1,350 or more	0.9%	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	1.5%	0.2%	1.0%	0.5%	
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
Median Dollars	na	na	na	\$22	na	na	\$31	na	na	na	
Mean Dollars	\$97	\$129	\$133	\$98	\$110	\$100	\$176	\$107	\$132	\$111	
No. of Respondents	479	313	248	430	211	188	321	340	206	2,736	
Non-respondents	1.7%	2.3%	5.8%	3.2%	4.1%	0.0%	3.0%	1.3%	3.2%	2.6%	

*Question 43 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**Table G36**  
**Travel to Parents Outside California during Academic Year Expenses by Campus**

<i>Dollars per month:</i>	<b>GRADUATES -- OFF CAMPUS</b>									<b>ALL</b>
	<b>Berkeley</b>	<b>Davis</b>	<b>Irvine</b>	<b>Los Angeles</b>	<b>Riverside</b>	<b>San Diego</b>	<b>San Francisco</b>	<b>Santa Barbara</b>	<b>Santa Cruz</b>	
Nothing	51.6%	59.4%	64.1%	56.2%	63.4%	57.1%	60.5%	50.0%	54.1%	56.0%
Under \$135	4.4%	2.9%	4.3%	4.1%	3.0%	2.5%	0.4%	1.1%	1.4%	3.3%
\$135 to \$269	1.6%	1.2%	1.8%	3.0%	0.9%	5.9%	1.6%	1.3%	1.8%	2.2%
\$270 to \$404	7.5%	7.9%	6.4%	3.8%	7.4%	8.5%	8.0%	5.1%	7.4%	6.4%
\$405 to \$539	8.7%	5.1%	5.4%	6.7%	6.1%	3.6%	4.5%	5.7%	9.2%	6.6%
\$540 to \$674	5.3%	4.6%	2.8%	4.7%	1.9%	6.3%	5.6%	7.7%	5.3%	5.0%
\$675 to \$809	3.2%	5.4%	4.3%	5.3%	6.5%	5.0%	4.8%	8.1%	5.4%	4.9%
\$810 to 944	3.2%	1.9%	1.4%	3.5%	1.4%	2.3%	4.4%	3.4%	2.8%	3.0%
\$945 to \$1,079	2.4%	3.0%	3.0%	1.7%	3.2%	1.9%	3.4%	4.0%	3.0%	2.5%
\$1,080 to \$1,214	4.1%	2.1%	0.2%	2.9%	2.7%	0.2%	1.1%	2.5%	2.7%	2.6%
\$1,215 to \$1,349	1.7%	1.6%	2.3%	0.7%	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%	3.0%	1.4%	1.4%
\$1,350 or more	6.3%	4.9%	3.9%	7.6%	2.5%	5.7%	5.0%	8.2%	5.4%	6.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
Mean Dollars	\$339	\$284	\$233	\$318	\$241	\$271	\$287	\$407	\$326	\$312
No. of Respondents	479	313	248	430	211	188	321	340	206	2,736
Non-respondents	1.7%	2.3%	5.8%	3.2%	4.1%	0.0%	3.0%	1.3%	3.2%	2.6%

*Question 43 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**Table G37  
Personal and Recreation Expenses by Campus**

<i><u>Dollars per month:</u></i>	<i><b>GRADUATES -- OFF CAMPUS</b></i>									<i><b>ALL</b></i>
	<i><b>Berkeley</b></i>	<i><b>Davis</b></i>	<i><b>Irvine</b></i>	<i><b>Los Angeles</b></i>	<i><b>Riverside</b></i>	<i><b>San Diego</b></i>	<i><b>San Francisco</b></i>	<i><b>Santa Barbara</b></i>	<i><b>Santa Cruz</b></i>	
Under \$25	2.5%	4.3%	5.0%	5.5%	6.7%	3.9%	1.3%	3.0%	5.7%	4.0%
\$25 to \$49	11.9%	11.3%	10.0%	6.9%	6.8%	14.2%	9.2%	8.9%	11.2%	9.8%
\$50 to \$74	11.2%	13.9%	14.9%	14.9%	20.4%	17.9%	9.9%	11.9%	11.6%	13.5%
\$75 to \$99	7.3%	12.6%	10.3%	13.2%	13.4%	11.5%	13.0%	13.8%	12.3%	11.3%
\$100 to \$124	18.9%	20.7%	12.5%	13.4%	13.2%	10.0%	16.1%	15.1%	13.8%	15.9%
\$125 to \$149	7.7%	4.3%	6.6%	5.0%	8.4%	7.8%	5.9%	9.5%	8.6%	6.5%
\$150 to \$174	6.7%	5.2%	6.1%	8.0%	4.0%	4.3%	9.5%	6.1%	14.9%	7.0%
\$175 to \$199	3.7%	1.2%	4.0%	3.8%	3.7%	3.3%	4.4%	5.5%	4.7%	3.6%
\$200 to \$249	13.2%	6.8%	8.5%	11.5%	6.6%	10.4%	14.5%	9.3%	5.6%	10.7%
\$250 to 299	3.8%	5.8%	4.8%	4.5%	4.1%	2.3%	5.3%	4.0%	2.7%	4.4%
\$300 to \$399	5.0%	4.6%	4.5%	5.7%	3.7%	6.2%	3.9%	4.9%	3.5%	5.0%
\$400 to \$499	2.3%	3.1%	1.0%	3.4%	1.1%	1.3%	2.0%	1.6%	1.2%	2.4%
\$500 or more	5.9%	6.3%	11.8%	4.3%	7.9%	7.0%	5.1%	6.3%	4.4%	5.9%
<i><b>Total</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>	<i><b>100.0%</b></i>
Median Dollars	\$123	\$110	\$120	\$118	\$105	\$106	\$127	\$120	\$117	\$118
Mean Dollars	\$168	\$160	\$181	\$163	\$155	\$157	\$168	\$164	\$144	\$164
No. of Respondents	483	312	257	434	217	182	326	336	209	2,756
Non-respondents	0.5%	1.2%	1.7%	1.5%	1.6%	3.1%	1.3%	2.6%	1.4%	1.4%

*Question 47 (see Appendix A for complete survey)*

**TABLE B1**  
**Response Rates by Campus and Student Level**

**UNDERGRADUATES**

	Fall 1997			Percent
	<u>Enrollment</u>	<u>Mailed</u>	<u>Returned</u>	<u>Returned</u>
Berkeley	21,751	1,111	418	37.6%
Davis	19,253	856	340	39.7%
Irvine	14,224	1,119	412	36.8%
Los Angeles	23,925	1,159	487	42.0%
Riverside	8,531	813	297	36.5%
San Diego	15,221	1,145	441	38.5%
San Francisco	35	35	23	65.7%
Santa Barbara	16,718	926	366	39.5%
Santa Cruz	9,599	1,160	455	39.2%
<i>TOTAL</i>	<i>129,257</i>	<i>8,324</i>	<i>3,239</i>	<i>38.9%</i>

**GRADUATES**

	Fall 1997			Percent
	<u>Enrollment</u>	<u>Mailed</u>	<u>Returned</u>	<u>Returned</u>
Berkeley	8,530	1,174	523	44.5%
Davis	4,598	708	340	48.0%
Irvine	2,929	1,040	391	37.6%
Los Angeles	9,967	1,345	521	38.7%
Riverside	1,367	666	266	39.9%
San Diego	2,930	790	304	38.5%
San Francisco	2,356	670	377	56.3%
Santa Barbara	2,222	781	370	47.4%
Santa Cruz	1,039	677	233	34.4%
<i>TOTAL</i>	<i>35,938</i>	<i>7,851</i>	<i>3,325</i>	<i>42.4%</i>

**ALL**

	Fall 1997			Percent
	<u>Enrollment</u>	<u>Mailed</u>	<u>Returned</u>	<u>Returned</u>
Berkeley	30,281	2,285	941	41.2%
Davis	23,851	1,564	680	43.5%
Irvine	17,153	2,159	803	37.2%
Los Angeles	33,892	2,504	1,008	40.3%
Riverside	9,898	1,479	563	38.1%
San Diego	18,151	1,935	745	38.5%
San Francisco	2,391	705	400	56.7%
Santa Barbara	18,940	1,707	736	43.1%
Santa Cruz	10,638	1,837	688	37.5%
<i>TOTAL</i>	<i>165,195</i>	<i>16,175</i>	<i>6,564</i>	<i>40.6%</i>

**TABLE B2**  
**Response Rates by Ethnicity and Student Level**

**UNDERGRADUATES**

	Fall 1997			Percent
	<u>Enrollment</u>	<u>Mailed</u>	<u>Returned</u>	<u>Returned</u>
Asian American	43,917	1,307	530	40.6%
African American	5,033	1,096	391	35.7%
Chicano	12,381	1,229	520	42.3%
Latino	4,902	1,157	458	39.6%
Native American	1,201	786	339	43.1%

**GRADUATES**

	Fall 1997			Percent
	<u>Enrollment</u>	<u>Mailed</u>	<u>Returned</u>	<u>Returned</u>
Asian American	5,751	1,038	472	45.5%
African American	1,199	740	273	36.9%
Chicano	1,471	872	347	39.8%
Filipino	464	317	149	47.0%
Latino	1,188	803	330	41.1%
Native American	269	187	86	46.0%

**ALL**

	Fall 1997			Percent
	<u>Enrollment</u>	<u>Mailed</u>	<u>Returned</u>	<u>Returned</u>
Asian American	49,668	2,345	1,002	42.7%
African American	6,232	1,836	664	36.2%
Chicano	13,852	2,101	867	41.3%
Filipino	464	317	149	47.0%
Latino	6,090	1,960	788	40.2%
Native American	1,470	973	425	43.7%



**TABLE C1**  
**Survey Bias -- Respondents versus Non-respondents**

	<i>Respondents</i>	<i>Non-Respondents</i>
<b><u>Student level</u></b>		
Undergraduate	49.3%	52.9%
Graduate	50.7%	47.1%
<b><u>Campus enrolled</u></b>		
Berkeley	14.3%	14.0%
Davis	10.4%	9.2%
Irvine	12.2%	14.1%
Los Angeles	15.4%	15.6%
Riverside	8.6%	9.5%
San Diego	11.4%	12.4%
San Francisco	6.1%	3.2%
Santa Barbara	11.2%	10.1%
Santa Cruz	10.5%	12.0%
<b><u>Ethnicity</u></b>		
African American	10.1%	12.2%
Asian American	15.3%	14.0%
Chicano	13.2%	12.8%
Filipino American	2.3%	1.7%
Latino	12.0%	12.2%
Native American	6.5%	5.7%
White	32.7%	30.8%
Other	8.0%	10.6%
<b><u>Gender</u></b>		
Female	57.1%	49.2%
Male	42.9%	50.8%
<b><u>State residency status</u></b>		
California resident	90.2%	90.1%
Non-resident	9.8%	9.9%

**TABLE C1 (continued)**  
**Survey Bias -- Respondents versus Non-respondents**

**1997 Parent income for California freshmen**

	<i>Respondents</i>	<i>Non-Respondents</i>
\$1 to \$9,999	5.8%	5.0%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	16.8%	9.8%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	13.8%	10.4%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	9.8%	9.8%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	9.5%	9.0%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	6.1%	8.0%
\$60,000 to \$69,999	7.7%	7.9%
\$70,000 to \$79,999	6.7%	7.7%
\$80,000 to \$89,999	5.2%	6.5%
\$90,000 to \$99,999	3.6%	5.7%
\$100,000 or more	14.9%	20.1%
Median	\$42,829	\$57,105
Mean	\$58,082	\$67,400
No. of students	686	875

**GPA**

*Undergraduates*

Median	2.86	2.81
Mean	2.79	2.77
No. of students	2,784	4,328

*Graduates*

Median	3.80	3.75
Mean	3.68	3.63
No. of students	2,280	3,185

**TABLE C2**  
**Survey Bias -- Respondents versus the Population**

<u>Gender</u>	<i>Sample</i>	<i>Population</i>
Female	56.2%	51.3%
Male	43.8%	48.7%

<u>State residency status</u>		
California resident	91.8%	91.0%
Non-resident	8.2%	9.0%

**1994 Parent income for California freshmen**

\$1 to \$9,999	5.8%	3.9%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	16.8%	10.3%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	13.8%	10.1%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	9.8%	9.1%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	9.5%	8.3%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	6.1%	8.2%
\$60,000 to \$69,999	7.7%	8.0%
\$70,000 to \$79,999	6.7%	7.2%
\$80,000 to \$89,999	5.2%	6.2%
\$90,000 to \$99,999	3.6%	5.5%
\$100,000 or more	14.9%	23.2%

Median	\$57,973	\$72,396
Mean	\$42,829	\$60,000
No. of students	686	21083

**TABLE D1**

**Confidence Intervals: Undergraduates by Campus, SEARS 1994-95 and 1997-98**

	Berkeley	Davis	Irvine	Los Angeles	Riverside	San Diego	San Francisco	Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz	Systemwide
<b>SEARS 1997-98</b>										
Sample size	395	319	398	453	279	414	21	348	429	3,056
Confidence interval	\$ 4,631	\$ 5,100	\$ 4,842	\$ 4,395	\$ 4,669	\$ 4,713	\$ 25,440	\$ 4,909	\$ 4,832	\$ 1,692
percent of mean	8.2%	8.7%	8.4%	7.7%	9.5%	7.1%	39.3%	7.8%	7.3%	2.8%
<b>SEARS 1994-95</b>										
Sample size	531	466	250	534	254	287	22	311	250	2,905
Confidence interval	\$ 3,943	\$ 3,827	\$ 5,076	\$ 3,864	\$ 4,306	\$ 5,971	\$ 15,930	\$ 4,962	\$ 5,741	\$ 1,620
percent of mean	6.6%	6.6%	9.6%	7.2%	9.1%	9.3%	31.2%	8.2%	10.0%	2.8%
<b>Difference</b>	1.7%	2.1%	-1.2%	0.5%	0.3%	-2.2%	8.1%	-0.4%	-2.7%	0.0%

**Confidence Intervals: Graduates by Campus, SEARS 1994-95 and 1997-98**

	Berkeley	Davis	Irvine	Los Angeles	Riverside	San Diego	San Francisco	Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz	Systemwide
<b>SEARS 1997-98</b>										
Sample size	485	306	361	487	238	295	353	341	213	3,079
Confidence interval	\$ 4,560	\$ 5,351	\$ 5,200	\$ 4,120	\$ 5,946	\$ 6,116	\$ 5,473	\$ 5,054	\$ 6,158	\$ 1,746
percent of mean	6.7%	8.5%	8.5%	7.4%	10.8%	8.5%	8.1%	8.2%	9.1%	2.8%
<b>SEARS 1994-95</b>										
Sample size	530	418	475	524	424	497	375	423	204	3,870
Confidence interval	\$ 4,145	\$ 4,531	\$ 4,179	\$ 4,167	\$ 3,857	\$ 4,038	\$ 4,874	\$ 4,417	\$ 5,542	\$ 1,460
percent of mean	6.5%	7.2%	7.0%	7.1%	7.3%	6.2%	7.7%	7.5%	9.9%	2.4%
<b>Difference</b>	0.2%	1.3%	1.4%	0.3%	3.5%	2.3%	0.4%	0.7%	-0.8%	0.3%

## APPENDIX E

### Independent Student Definition

For the 1997-98 award year, a student is considered independent if he or she meets at least one of the following criteria:

- is at least 24 years of age by December 31, 1997. For the 1997-98 year this means that the student must have been born before January 1, 1974;
- is a veteran of the United States Armed Forces;
- is married (which may depend on the common law rules in the student's state of legal residence);
- is a ward of the court (or was a ward of the court until age 18) or both parents are deceased and the student has no adoptive or legal guardian (note that a student is not considered a ward of the court based solely on being incarcerated); or,
- have legal dependents other than a spouse.

**APPENDIX F**

Budget Categories

	<b>Marital Status</b>	<b>Parental Status</b>	<b>Residence</b>
<b><u>Uniform budget categories:</u></b>			
<i>Commuter</i>	Single or divorced	No dependents	Live with parents
<i>On-campus</i>	Single or divorced	No dependents	University dorm, apartment, fraternity or sorority
<i>Off-campus</i>	Single or divorced	No dependents	Non-university dorm, apartment or house
<i>Married-Non-parent</i>	Married	No dependents	
<i>Married-parent</i>	Married	Dependents	
<i>Single-parent</i>	Single or divorced	Dependents	
<b><u>Congressional budget categories:</u></b>			
<i>Commuter</i>	Single or divorced	No dependents	Live with parents
<i>On-campus</i>	Single or divorced	No dependents	University dorm, apartment, fraternity or sorority
<i>Other</i>	Includes all other uniform budgets categories	Off-campus, Married-Non-parent, Married-parent, Single-parent	