

**STUDENT EXPENSES  
AND  
RESOURCES SURVEY**

University of California  
Office of the President  
Student Academic Affairs  
Student Financial Support

**June 1996**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>SURVEY DESCRIPTION.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>SECTION A: DEPENDENCY STATUS .....</b>	<b>7</b>
SYSTEMWIDE DATA.....	8
TABLE A1: <i>Percent of Students by Dependency Status</i> .....	8
TABLE A2: <i>Percent of Students by Dependency Status and Ethnicity</i> .....	8
TREND DATA.....	9
TABLE A3: <i>Percent of Students by Dependency Status, 1979-80 to 1994-95</i> .....	9
CAMPUS COMPARISONS.....	10
TABLE A4: <i>Dependency Status</i> .....	10
CAMPUS DATA.....	11
TABLES A5 - A13: <i>Percent of Students by Dependency Status</i> .....	11
<b>SECTION B: PARENT INCOME .....</b>	<b>13</b>
SYSTEMWIDE DATA.....	14
TABLE B1: <i>Parent Income by Dependency Status</i> .....	14
TABLE B2: <i>Parent Income by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status</i> .....	15
TABLE B3: <i>Parent Income by Dependency and Ethnicity</i> .....	17
TREND DATA.....	19
TABLE B4: <i>Parent Income, 1971-1994</i> .....	19
TABLE B5: <i>Median Parent Income by Campus, 1979-1994</i> .....	20
CAMPUS COMPARISONS.....	21
TABLE B6: <i>Parent Income</i> .....	21
CAMPUS DATA.....	22
TABLES B7 - B15: <i>Parent Income by Dependency Status</i> .....	22
<b>SECTION C: STUDENT EMPLOYMENT .....</b>	<b>31</b>
SYSTEMWIDE DATA.....	33
TABLE C1: <i>Student Employment by Dependency Status</i> .....	33
TABLE C2: <i>Student Employment by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status</i> .....	34
TABLE C3: <i>Student Employment by Dependency and Ethnicity</i> .....	35
TABLE C4: <i>Undergraduate employment by Dependency and Parent Income</i> .....	37
TREND DATA.....	38
TABLE C5: <i>Academic Year Student Employment by Campus, 1979-80 to 1994-95</i> .....	38
TABLE C6: <i>Undergraduate Employment, On- and Off-Campus, 1991-92 and 1994-95</i> .....	39
TABLE C7: <i>Undergraduate Students by Employment Status, Average Units Attempted, and     Grade Point Average, 1991-92 and 1994-95</i> .....	40
CAMPUS COMPARISONS.....	41
TABLE C8: <i>Employment Rates and Work Load</i> .....	41
CAMPUS DATA.....	42
TABLES C9 - C17: <i>Student Employment by Dependency Status</i> .....	42
<b>SECTION D: STUDENT INCOME.....</b>	<b>51</b>
SYSTEMWIDE DATA.....	52
TABLE D1: <i>Student Academic Year Income by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status</i> .....	52
TABLE D2: <i>Undergraduate Academic Year Hourly Wage by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status</i> .....	53

TABLE D3: Student Summer Income by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status .....	54
TABLE D4: Student Annual Income by Dependency Status .....	55
TABLE D5: Student Annual Income by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status .....	56
TABLE D6: Student Annual Income by Dependency and Ethnicity .....	57
TREND DATA .....	59
TABLE D7: Median Student Annual Income by Campus, 1979-80 to 1994-95 .....	59
CAMPUS COMPARISONS .....	60
TABLE D8: Student Annual Income .....	60
CAMPUS DATA .....	61
TABLES D9-D17: Student Annual Income by Dependency Status .....	61
<b>SECTION E: LOANS AND DEBT .....</b>	<b>70</b>
SYSTEMWIDE DATA .....	72
TABLE E1: Loan Status of All Undergraduates, by Employment Status, Class Level, and Parent Income .....	72
TREND DATA .....	73
TABLE E2: Loan Status of Domestic Undergraduates, by Employment Status, Class Level, and Parent Income 1991-92 and 1994-95 .....	73
TABLE E3: Personal Loans, 1991-92 and 1994-95 .....	74
TABLE E4: Cumulative Undergraduate and New Graduate Indebtedness, 1991-92 and 1994-95 .....	75
TABLE E5: Home Ownership Status of Undergraduate Parents, 1991-92 and 1994-95 .....	78
TABLE E6: Home Equity Loans -- Undergraduates, 1991-92 and 1994-95 .....	78
TABLE E7: Percent of Undergraduates Using Fee Installment Plans, 1991-92 and 1994-95 .....	79
<b>SECTION F: BUDGET CATEGORY .....</b>	<b>80</b>
SYSTEMWIDE DATA .....	81
TABLE F1: Percent of Students by Budget Category .....	81
TREND DATA .....	82
TABLE F2: Percent of Students by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1994-95 .....	82
TABLE F3: Undergraduate Budget Category by Campus, 1988-89 to 1994-95 .....	83
TABLE F4: Undergraduate Budget Category by Ethnicity, 1988-89 to 1994-95 .....	84
TABLE F5: Undergraduate Budget Category by Parent Income, 1991-92 and 1994-95 .....	85
CAMPUS DATA .....	86
TABLES F6 - F15: Percent of Students by Budget Category .....	86
<b>SECTION G: EXPENSES .....</b>	<b>98</b>
SYSTEMWIDE DATA .....	97
TABLE G1: Student Housing Expenses by Budget Category .....	97
TABLE G2: Food Expenses by Budget Category .....	98
TABLE G3: School Supply Expenses by Budget Category and Student Level .....	99
TABLE G4: Local Transportation Expenses by Budget Category and Student Level .....	100
TREND DATA .....	101
TABLE G5: Median Housing Expenses by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1994-95 .....	101
TABLE G6: Median Food Expenses by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1994-95 .....	102
TABLE G7: Median School Supply Expenses by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1994-95 .....	103
TABLE G8: Median Local Transportation Expenses by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1994-95 .....	104
<b>APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>105</b>
APPENDIX A: SEARS Questionnaire .....	106
APPENDIX B: 1994-95 Response Rates .....	119
APPENDIX C1: Survey Bias -- Respondents versus Non-respondents .....	120
APPENDIX C2: Survey Bias -- Respondents versus the Population .....	122
APPENDIX D: Independent Student Definition .....	123

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The 1994-95 Student Expenses and Resources Survey (SEARS) is the ninth of its kind to be completed since the original Student Resources Survey of 1972. A total of 7,239 students from all nine University of California campuses participated in the 1994-95 SEARS.

<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>	<i>GRADUATE STUDENTS</i>
<p>◆ The median parent income of undergraduates was \$52,561 in 1994, a substantial decrease from the inflation-adjusted median parent income of 1991 (\$59,004). Parent income varied by ethnicity, with Whites reporting the highest median parent income (\$65,731) and Chicanos reporting the lowest median parent income (\$31,322).</p>	<p>◆ The median parent income of graduate students was \$54,350 in 1994, leaving it relatively unchanged from the inflation-adjusted median parent income of 1991 (\$54,355). Whites reported the highest median parent income (\$64,633) and Chicanos reported the lowest median parent income (\$37,528).</p>
<p>◆ The employment rate for undergraduates dropped to 54.4% in 1994-95. This continues the trend begun in 1991-92, when the employment rate dropped to 58.4% from the 1988-89 survey level of 61.5%. The median number of hours worked per week for those students who did work during the school year fell to 15.7, after peaking at 17.6 in the 1991-92 survey. The employment rate and work load varied by ethnicity. African American, Chicano, and Latino undergraduates had higher rates of employment and worked more hours per week than Whites and Asian Americans.</p>	<p>◆ The employment rate for graduate students was 69.4%, a increase from the all-time low of 68.0% in 1991-92. The work load increased slightly to 22.2 hours per week, compared to 21.8 hours per week in 1991-92. The average work load was similar for all ethnic groups, but the employment rate varied by ethnicity. White graduate students had the highest employment rate (76.2%), while Chicano graduate students had the lowest employment rate (55.2%).</p>
<p>◆ The median student income was \$3,128 in 1994-95, a decrease from the inflation-adjusted income of 1991-92 (\$3,634). Income varied by ethnicity, with African American undergraduates reporting the highest income (\$4,076) and Asian American undergraduates the lowest income (\$2,061).</p>	<p>◆ Student income for graduate students was \$12,877 in 1994-95, down from \$12,913 in 1991-92. White graduate students reported the highest income (\$14,227) and Chicano students the lowest income (\$8,765).</p>

<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>	<i>GRADUATE STUDENTS</i>
<p>◆ Over ten percentage points more undergraduates borrowed in 1994-95 than in 1991-92 (40.8% versus 51.0%). The average loan (among those students who borrowed) was over \$720 greater in 1994-95 than 1991-92, after being adjusted for inflation.</p>	<p>◆ There was an increase in the percentage of graduate students who had any previous debt: from 59.7% in 1991-92 to 65.7% in 1994-95. Among students who did borrow there was an increase in the median constant dollar amount borrowed, from \$12,346 in 1991-92 to \$15,379 in 1994-95.</p>
<p>◆ The median housing expenses changed very little from 1991-92 to 1994-95. After accounting for inflation, students living on-campus paid an average of \$650 per month for housing and food, compared to \$657 per month in 1991-92. Off-campus students paid an average of \$423 per month in housing, compared to \$421 per month in 1991-92.</p>	<p>◆ Overall, housing expenses increased from 1991-92 to 1994-95. Off-campus housing expenses increased to \$690 per month in 1994-95, compared with \$577 per month in 1991-92. However, for the small proportion of graduate students living on-campus expenses decreased: to an average of \$573 per month for housing and food in 1994-95, compared to \$627 per month in 1991-92.</p>
<p>◆ Overall, food expenses decreased slightly for the budget categories of commuter and “other.” Commuters paid an average of \$60 per month for food, compared to \$66 per month in 1991-92. Students living off-campus paid \$153 per month, compared to \$159 per month in 1991-92.</p>	<p>◆ Food expenses followed no particular pattern across budget categories. Commuters paid \$127 per month in food expenses in 1994-95, compared to \$104 per month in 1991-92. Graduate students living off-campus paid an average of \$237 per month in food in 1994-95, which is identical to the inflation-adjusted expense for the 1991-92 survey.</p>
<p>◆ School supply expenses were unchanged in 1994-95, compared to the inflation-adjusted expenses for 1991-92. Undergraduates spent an average of \$629 on school supplies.</p>	<p>◆ School supply expenses decreased in 1994-95, after rising dramatically between the 1998-89 and 1991-92 surveys. Graduate students spent an average of \$545 on school supplies during the academic year, compared to \$583 in 1991-92.</p>
<p>◆ Local transportation expenses increased slightly, with commuters paying \$61 per month in local transportation and off-campus students paying \$24 per month. In 1991-92, commuters paid \$59 per month and off-campus undergraduates paid \$18 per month in local transportation expenses.</p>	<p>◆ In 1994-95, local transportation expenses rose to \$65 for commuters and fell slightly to \$32 for students living off-campus. In 1991-92, commuters paid \$57 per month and off-campus graduate students paid \$34 per month in local transportation expenses.</p>

## SURVEY DESCRIPTION

The 1994-95 Student Expenses and Resources Survey (SEARS) is the ninth of its kind to be completed since the original Student Resources Survey of 1972. These surveys are conducted principally to gather information on student expenses and resources which financial aid offices then use for constructing student budgets. In addition, SEARS also collects demographic data and information on topics of special interest. The survey instrument is a mail-out questionnaire that is sent to a randomly selected group of undergraduate and graduate students on each of the nine campuses. Appendix A contains a copy of the survey instrument.

Since SEARS is administered every three years and much of the survey instrument has remained the same, SEARS affords the opportunity for trend analysis. This is especially true for certain expenses and resources, such as housing expenses and parent income. Moreover, as SEARS is a stratified random sample, comparisons can be made among different groups of students: students with and without financial aid, dependent and independent students, students from different ethnic backgrounds, etc.

SEARS was conducted as a joint effort between the California Student Aid Commission (CSAC), the California community colleges, the California State University (CSU), the University of California (UC), and the Association of Independent California Colleges and Universities (AICCU). For UC, the Student Financial Support unit had the responsibility of managing the survey. The first mailing was in January 1995, with two follow-up mailings in February and April. The final database merged SEARS responses with various elements from the registration file of the Corporate Student System (major code, sex, grade point average, etc.).

As in past administrations of the survey, the response rate was of great concern. Despite efforts made to increase the response during the 1991-92 administration of the survey (e.g., through redesigning the questionnaire and the UC version of the questionnaire including discount coupons for two California amusement parks) the response rate of 38.6% for that year was actually a decline from the 40.2% response rate of the 1988-89 survey. The 1994-95 survey employed a number of new techniques to increase the response rate. The UC questionnaire had two versions; the short version had a total of 41 questions, while the long version was identical but included eleven additional questions on student expenses for a total of 52 questions. The use of two questionnaires was based upon the hypothesis that a shorter questionnaire would have a higher response rate. Also in an effort to increase the response rate, cash prizes were awarded to four randomly selected students who returned completed questionnaires by April 30, 1995. First prize was \$500, while the remaining prizes were \$100 each. There was a large increase in the overall response rate, however, it is not possible to determine whether this is due to the two types of questionnaires, or the prize money offered.

The UC response rate for the rose to its highest level ever, from 38.6% in 1991-92 to 46.9% (see Appendix B). The stratified random sample, drawn from all students (excluding residents in the health sciences) listed in the Fall 1994 corporate files, consisted of 15,451 students. Students were selected

by student level, campus, and ethnicity, with various populations being oversampled to assure adequate representation. The final number of respondents was 7,239. The response rate for the long version of the questionnaire was 45.2%, while the short version had a response rate of 47.3%. Undergraduates had a response rate of 44.5%, compared with 48.8% for graduate students. Response rates also varied by campus and ethnicity. Graduates at UC-Santa Barbara had the lowest response rate (38.4%), while undergraduate students at UC-San Francisco had the highest response rate (66.7%). African American students were the least likely to respond (38.0%) of any ethnic group, while White students responded at the highest rate (49.6%).

Though the response rate was higher than in previous years, there was still the question of bias and representativeness. Were respondents somehow different from non-respondents? Do respondents truly represent the UC population? In order to address these questions, a database of the entire SEARS sample was developed using the registration elements pulled from the Corporate Student System.

Respondents appear to be very similar to non-respondents, particularly in terms of grade point average and California residency (see Appendix C1). For example, undergraduate respondents had a median GPA of 2.89, while undergraduate non-respondents had a median average GPA of 2.79. For graduate students the differences were even smaller (3.76 and 3.73, for respondents and non-respondents, respectively). A comparison was also made of the parent income of new California freshmen respondents and non-respondents, as indicated on their application for admission. In this respect respondents and non-respondents do demonstrate some level of dissimilarity, as the median parent income of non-respondents was over 20% greater than that of respondents (\$47,978 versus \$39,421). Further research will determine whether this difference represents random error or a biased sample. However, if there is evidence that the sample is biased it would hold for the freshmen survey respondents, and not necessarily other undergraduates or graduate students. (Parent income is only available for entering freshmen.)

Three available data elements were particularly useful in comparing the student population to survey respondents: gender, California residency, and parent income (see Appendix C2). Though there were small differences in both the gender composition (more females than males were survey respondents) and residency status, the sample is generally representative in these respects. However, the results of the parent income data, show that the median parent income of freshmen respondents was \$46,970, compared to a median parent income of \$51,412 for the entire population of new California freshmen. As noted above, further research must be done to determine whether this difference could be attributed to a biased sample (of entering freshmen). The impact of such bias on the results of this report would be that responses to questions related to or influenced by parent income may be over or under estimated, depending on the direction of the relationship.

## Section A: Dependency Status

### *Undergraduates*

There was a small decrease in the proportion of independent undergraduate students, which peaked at 18.3% in 1991-92 and fell to 16.0% in 1994-95 (see Appendix D for independent student definition). (Table A3)

- *Ethnicity.* African American students had the largest proportion of independent students (22.3%), while Asian Americans had the smallest, 10.1%. (Table A2)
- *Campus.* Generally, most campuses have similar proportions of dependent and independent students. Seven of the nine were within the range of 83 to 88 percent for dependent undergraduates, with Irvine at the high end (87.8%). Santa Cruz fell below this range with only 76.2%. As would be expected due to the nature of its small specialized undergraduate programs, only 32.1% of students at UCSF were dependent. (Table A4)

### *Graduates*

By definition, all graduate students are independent.



**TABLE A1**  
**Percent of Students by Dependency Status**

	<i><b>UNDERGRADUATES</b></i>	<i><b>GRADUATES</b></i>	<i><b>ALL</b></i>
Dependent	84.0%	NA	65.1%
Independent	16.0%	100.0%	34.9%

*Note: See Appendix D for independent student definition.*

**TABLE A2**  
**Percent of Students by Dependency Status and Ethnicity**

	<i><b>UNDERGRADUATES</b></i>		<i><b>GRADUATES</b></i>	<i><b>ALL</b></i>	
	<i><b>% Dep.</b></i>	<i><b>% Ind.</b></i>	<i><b>% Ind.</b></i>	<i><b>% Dep.</b></i>	<i><b>% Ind.</b></i>
Asian American	89.9%	10.1%	100.0%	81.4%	18.6%
African American	77.7%	22.3%	100.0%	61.8%	38.2%
Chicano	82.2%	17.8%	100.0%	72.9%	27.1%
Latino	84.5%	15.5%	100.0%	69.1%	30.9%
White	81.3%	18.7%	100.0%	60.2%	39.8%

*Note: Undergraduate Asian American category includes Filipino students..*

**TABLE A3**  
**Percent of Students by Dependency Status, 1979-80 to 1994-95**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>		<b>GRADUATES</b>	
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>
1979-80	85.6%	14.4%	38.2%	61.8%
1982-83	84.0%	16.0%	36.5%	63.5%
1985-86	85.1%	14.9%	31.0%	69.0%
1988-89	85.4%	14.6%	3.4%	96.6%
1991-92	81.7%	18.3%	4.6%	95.4%
1994-95	84.0%	16.0%	NA	100.0%

*Note: See Appendix D for 1994-95 independent student definition.*

**TABLE A4**  
**Dependency Status**

**UNDERGRADUATES**

**Percent Dependent:**

1. Irvine	87.8%
2. Santa Barbara	85.8%
3. Davis	85.2%
4. Berkeley	84.5%
5. San Diego	84.4%
6. Los Angeles	83.4%
7. Riverside	79.8%
8. Santa Cruz	76.2%
9. San Francisco	32.1%

**SYSTEMWIDE**

84.0%

*Note: See Appendix D for independent student definition.*

**TABLES A5 through A9**  
**Percent of Students by Dependency Status**

**TABLE A5: BERKELEY**

	<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>	<i>GRADUATES</i>	<i>ALL</i>
Dependent	84.5%	NA	60.3%
Independent	15.5%	100.0%	39.7%

**TABLE A6: DAVIS**

	<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>	<i>GRADUATES</i>	<i>ALL</i>
Dependent	85.2%	NA	68.1%
Independent	14.8%	100.0%	32.0%

**TABLE A7: IRVINE**

	<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>	<i>GRADUATES</i>	<i>ALL</i>
Dependent	87.8%	NA	72.6%
Independent	12.2%	100.0%	27.4%

**TABLE A8: LOS ANGELES**

	<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>	<i>GRADUATES</i>	<i>ALL</i>
Dependent	83.4%	NA	58.8%
Independent	16.6%	100.0%	41.2%

**TABLE A9: RIVERSIDE**

	<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>	<i>GRADUATES</i>	<i>ALL</i>
Dependent	79.8%	NA	68.2%
Independent	20.2%	100.0%	31.8%

*Note: See Appendix D for independent student definition.*

**TABLES A10 through A13**  
**Percent of Students by Dependency Status**

**TABLE A10: SAN DIEGO**

	<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>	<i>GRADUATES</i>	<i>ALL</i>
Dependent	84.4%	NA	70.5%
Independent	15.6%	100.0%	29.5%

**TABLE A11: SAN FRANCISCO**

	<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>	<i>GRADUATES</i>	<i>ALL</i>
Dependent	32.1%	NA	99.6%
Independent	67.9%	100.0%	0.5%

**TABLE A12: SANTA BARBARA**

	<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>	<i>GRADUATES</i>	<i>ALL</i>
Dependent	85.8%	NA	74.7%
Independent	14.3%	100.0%	25.3%

**TABLE A13: SANTA CRUZ**

	<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>	<i>GRADUATES</i>	<i>ALL</i>
Dependent	76.2%	NA	69.4%
Independent	23.8%	100.0%	30.6%

*Note: See Appendix D for independent student definition.*

## Section B: Parent Income

### *Undergraduates*

The median parent income of undergraduates was \$52,561 in 1994, a substantial decrease from the inflation-adjusted median parent income of 1991 (\$59,004). (*Table B4*)

- *Dependency status.* Dependent students reported parent incomes \$14,000 greater than those of their independent counterparts (see Appendix D for independent student definition), \$55,237 compared to \$41,236. (*Table B1*)
- *Aid recipient status.* The median parent income of aid recipients was \$39,018, a decrease from the 1991 inflation-adjusted income of \$41,932. The median parent income of students who were not aid recipients increased, from \$82,885 in 1991-92 to \$85,890 in 1994-95. Thus, non-aid recipients' parents had incomes of more than twice those of aid recipients' parents. (*Table B2*)
- *Ethnicity.* Parent income varied by ethnicity; Whites reported the highest median parent income (\$65,731) while Chicanos reported the lowest median parent income (\$31,322). Except for White students, whose parent incomes showed a slight increase, parent income decreased across all ethnic groups. The largest decrease was among Asian American undergraduate parents, whose median income decreased over 25% when adjusted for inflation (from \$48,719 to \$36,220). (*Table B3*)
- *Campus.* Parent income was the highest at UC Santa Barbara, \$64,331. Santa Barbara has had the highest median parent income since the 1979-80 survey. Exclusive of UCSF, the Los Angeles campus had the lowest parent income (\$43,071), as they also did in the 1991-92 survey. (*Table B5*)

### *Graduates*

The median parent income of graduate students was \$54,350 in 1994, leaving it relatively unchanged from the inflation-adjusted median parent income of 1991 (\$54,355). (*Table B4*)

- *Aid recipient status.* In 1994, the median parent income of graduate student aid recipients was \$49,652, a decrease from the 1991 inflation-adjusted income of \$53,248. The parent income of students who were not aid recipients increased, from \$56,405 in 1991 to \$61,671 in 1994. (*Table B2*)
- *Ethnicity.* Parent income varied by ethnicity, with White graduate students reporting the highest median parent income (\$64,633) and Chicanos reporting the lowest median parent income (\$37,528). Except for African American graduate students, whose parent incomes showed a slight decrease, parent incomes increased across ethnic groups. The largest increase was among Chicano parents, whose median income increased over 18% (from \$31,748 to \$37,528) when adjusted for inflation. (*Table B3*)
- *Campus.* Parent income was the highest at UCSF (\$60,790), and lowest at UC Riverside (\$46,326). Riverside graduate students also reported the lowest median parent income in the 1991-92 survey. (*Table B5*)

**TABLE B1**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than \$6,000	3.3%	11.2%	4.5%	7.0%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	6.4%	7.4%	6.6%	4.0%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	6.0%	6.2%	6.0%	4.5%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	5.5%	7.1%	5.7%	5.4%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	5.0%	7.4%	5.4%	5.1%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	7.7%	5.8%	7.4%	5.5%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	5.2%	5.7%	5.3%	5.5%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	5.1%	3.8%	4.9%	5.4%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	5.0%	9.4%	5.7%	7.4%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	4.6%	1.9%	4.2%	4.8%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	7.3%	7.6%	7.3%	6.0%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	3.9%	3.6%	3.8%	3.8%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	3.5%	3.0%	3.4%	4.3%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	3.5%	4.0%	3.6%	4.5%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	4.1%	2.9%	3.9%	4.4%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	3.6%	1.3%	3.2%	3.2%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	6.1%	4.2%	5.8%	4.4%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	3.3%	0.6%	2.8%	3.2%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	4.6%	1.3%	4.1%	2.5%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	2.0%	1.5%	1.9%	2.5%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	1.6%	1.0%	1.5%	1.7%
\$192,000 or more	3.1%	3.2%	3.1%	5.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$55,237	\$41,236	\$52,561	\$54,350
Mean Dollars	\$63,912	\$50,777	\$61,899	\$65,303
No. of Respondents	2,403	502	2,905	3,870
Non-respondents	3.6%	8.6%	4.4%	6.5%

**TABLE B2**  
**Parent Income by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status**

**UNDERGRADUATES**

	<i>Dependent</i>			<i>Independent</i>			<i>All</i>		
	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>
Less than \$6,000	4.2%	1.2%	3.3%	12.1%	10.8%	11.2%	5.5%	2.2%	4.5%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	9.0%	1.1%	6.4%	8.7%	1.7%	7.4%	8.9%	1.1%	6.6%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	8.6%	0.2%	6.0%	6.7%	0.0%	6.2%	8.3%	0.1%	6.0%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	7.8%	0.8%	5.5%	9.1%	0.1%	7.1%	8.0%	0.7%	5.7%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	6.3%	2.1%	5.0%	8.7%	1.9%	7.4%	6.7%	2.1%	5.4%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	9.9%	3.1%	7.7%	5.4%	6.2%	5.8%	9.1%	3.4%	7.4%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	6.9%	2.0%	5.2%	6.4%	2.4%	5.7%	6.8%	2.0%	5.3%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	6.3%	2.6%	5.1%	4.3%	0.1%	3.8%	5.9%	2.3%	4.9%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	5.4%	4.3%	5.0%	7.9%	14.0%	9.4%	5.8%	5.3%	5.7%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	4.6%	4.8%	4.6%	1.8%	2.8%	1.9%	4.2%	4.6%	4.2%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	6.4%	9.4%	7.3%	7.4%	9.0%	7.6%	6.6%	9.3%	7.3%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	3.1%	5.4%	3.9%	3.5%	4.6%	3.6%	3.2%	5.3%	3.8%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	2.8%	4.9%	3.5%	4.1%	0.0%	3.0%	3.0%	4.4%	3.4%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	3.2%	4.1%	3.5%	2.8%	9.8%	4.0%	3.1%	4.7%	3.6%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	2.5%	7.3%	4.1%	1.5%	8.9%	2.9%	2.3%	7.5%	3.9%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	2.3%	6.2%	3.6%	0.7%	4.1%	1.3%	2.1%	6.0%	3.2%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	3.2%	11.0%	6.1%	2.9%	10.2%	4.2%	3.1%	10.9%	5.8%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	2.4%	5.2%	3.3%	0.7%	0.2%	0.6%	2.1%	4.7%	2.8%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	2.1%	9.8%	4.6%	0.9%	2.8%	1.3%	1.9%	9.1%	4.1%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	1.0%	4.3%	2.0%	1.5%	1.7%	1.5%	1.1%	4.1%	1.9%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	1.3%	2.6%	1.6%	0.6%	2.6%	1.0%	1.1%	2.6%	1.5%
\$192,000 or more	0.8%	7.7%	3.1%	2.5%	6.2%	3.2%	1.1%	7.6%	3.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$39,680	\$87,436	\$55,237	\$35,368	\$67,289	\$41,236	\$39,018	\$85,890	\$52,561
Mean Dollars	\$49,089	\$94,831	\$63,912	\$45,448	\$75,277	\$50,777	\$48,472	\$92,794	\$61,899
No. of Respondents	1,744	586	2,403	403	77	502	2,147	663	2,905
Non-respondents	3.5%	3.3%	3.6%	9.0%	7.1%	8.6%	4.4%	3.7%	4.4%



**TABLE B2 (continued)**  
**Parent Income by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status**

**GRADUATES**

	<i>Independent</i>		
	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>
Less than \$6,000	7.1%	6.8%	7.0%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	4.7%	1.8%	4.0%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	4.5%	3.4%	4.5%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	5.6%	5.1%	5.4%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	5.6%	4.0%	5.1%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	5.1%	6.7%	5.5%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	5.8%	5.2%	5.5%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	5.7%	4.7%	5.4%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	7.6%	6.7%	7.4%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	5.1%	4.2%	4.8%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	6.2%	5.4%	6.0%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	3.7%	4.1%	3.8%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	4.6%	3.6%	4.3%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	4.2%	5.5%	4.5%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	3.3%	7.0%	4.4%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	3.7%	2.0%	3.2%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	3.9%	6.2%	4.4%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	3.2%	3.4%	3.2%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	2.4%	2.7%	2.5%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	2.0%	4.1%	2.5%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	1.8%	1.1%	1.7%
\$192,000 or more	4.4%	6.6%	5.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$52,676	\$61,671	\$54,350
Mean Dollars	\$62,879	\$71,918	\$65,303
No. of Respondents	2,872	891	3,870
Non-respondents	6.7%	4.7%	6.5%

**TABLE B3**  
**Parent Income by Dependency and Ethnicity**

**UNDERGRADUATES**

	<i>Dependent</i>					<i>Independent</i>					<i>All</i>				
	<u>Asian</u>		<u>African</u>			<u>Asian</u>		<u>African</u>			<u>Asian</u>		<u>African</u>		
	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>
Less than \$6,000	6.7%	3.6%	2.0%	4.8%	0.9%	23.7%	14.8%	6.5%	15.6%	6.7%	8.4%	5.8%	2.8%	6.3%	2.0%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	11.2%	7.5%	8.7%	7.2%	1.9%	12.9%	9.6%	17.3%	7.4%	4.5%	11.4%	7.9%	10.2%	7.3%	2.4%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	9.1%	6.7%	10.8%	6.6%	3.3%	2.8%	8.6%	5.6%	12.3%	5.4%	8.5%	7.1%	9.9%	7.4%	3.7%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	7.5%	6.9%	14.9%	8.0%	2.1%	6.6%	6.7%	15.7%	7.3%	6.2%	7.4%	6.9%	15.1%	7.9%	2.9%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	5.2%	8.5%	9.5%	9.5%	3.2%	4.2%	5.4%	10.7%	5.7%	8.8%	5.1%	7.8%	9.7%	8.9%	4.2%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	9.4%	7.7%	11.1%	5.9%	5.9%	6.9%	5.6%	10.1%	5.1%	3.4%	9.1%	7.2%	10.9%	5.8%	5.5%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	6.5%	9.2%	5.8%	7.8%	4.1%	4.1%	3.1%	11.3%	8.0%	4.0%	6.3%	7.9%	6.7%	7.8%	4.1%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	3.4%	6.8%	5.1%	6.5%	5.5%	3.4%	4.5%	5.7%	1.7%	2.1%	3.4%	6.3%	5.2%	5.8%	4.9%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	3.6%	9.8%	5.2%	8.0%	5.1%	9.1%	7.7%	5.7%	1.7%	11.8%	4.2%	9.4%	5.3%	7.1%	6.3%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	4.9%	5.4%	2.1%	3.6%	4.1%	3.4%	5.0%	0.0%	4.7%	1.6%	4.7%	5.3%	1.7%	3.8%	3.6%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	8.4%	3.1%	5.0%	4.3%	5.8%	2.1%	10.7%	3.7%	4.5%	11.8%	7.8%	4.6%	4.8%	4.3%	6.9%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	4.3%	3.3%	2.5%	3.3%	4.4%	2.1%	3.6%	3.8%	7.8%	4.7%	4.0%	3.4%	2.7%	3.9%	4.5%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	3.2%	1.2%	2.4%	3.5%	4.3%	2.8%	1.1%	1.4%	0.0%	3.7%	3.2%	1.2%	2.2%	3.0%	4.2%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	3.2%	2.6%	1.7%	1.7%	4.7%	4.2%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	3.3%	3.6%	1.4%	1.4%	4.5%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	2.7%	3.4%	1.1%	2.9%	6.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	3.3%	4.9%	2.4%	3.0%	0.9%	3.0%	5.8%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	1.7%	4.0%	3.9%	3.7%	4.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1.3%	1.7%	1.5%	3.4%	3.2%	3.3%	3.6%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	2.1%	3.2%	3.6%	4.3%	10.8%	4.2%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	5.7%	2.3%	2.6%	3.2%	3.7%	9.9%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	1.6%	2.4%	1.4%	2.7%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	1.1%	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%	2.5%	4.5%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	2.7%	2.5%	1.5%	1.4%	6.7%	2.1%	1.1%	0.0%	6.2%	1.1%	2.6%	2.2%	1.3%	2.1%	5.7%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	1.0%	1.8%	0.6%	1.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%	0.9%	3.0%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	0.8%	0.0%	1.1%	0.6%	2.9%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.7%	0.3%	0.9%	0.5%	2.7%
\$192,000 or more	1.0%	0.7%	0.3%	3.0%	6.0%	5.2%	1.1%	1.4%	6.1%	2.6%	1.4%	0.7%	0.5%	3.5%	5.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$36,986	\$42,062	\$32,248	\$42,278	\$77,155	\$29,660	\$35,243	\$26,700	\$32,067	\$52,517	\$36,220	\$41,471	\$31,322	\$40,944	\$71,083
Mean Dollars	\$47,014	\$50,056	\$43,459	\$52,937	\$83,677	\$42,885	\$41,990	\$31,905	\$49,664	\$59,323	\$46,595	\$48,438	\$41,466	\$52,461	\$79,330
No. of Respondents	413	293	331	369	508	48	76	72	63	114	461	369	403	432	622
Non-respondents	2.4%	5.3%	4.4%	3.4%	4.2%	2.1%	17.2%	8.1%	10.4%	9.5%	2.4%	8.0%	5.0%	4.5%	5.2%

Note: Undergraduate Asian American category includes Filipino students. Data for domestic students only.

Question 13

**SYSTEMWIDE**

**SEARS 1994-95**

**TABLE B3 (continued)**

**Parent Income by Dependency and Ethnicity**

**GRADUATES**

	<i>Independent</i>				
	<u>Asian</u>	<u>African</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>
	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>			
Less than \$6,000	12.1%	5.0%	4.6%	9.7%	1.9%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	4.1%	4.4%	7.4%	8.4%	2.7%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	5.2%	5.6%	7.1%	8.6%	3.1%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	3.0%	5.4%	7.2%	7.3%	4.2%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	2.8%	4.6%	13.8%	6.2%	4.5%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	8.8%	7.2%	8.4%	7.1%	4.4%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	5.9%	8.3%	6.3%	7.2%	5.0%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	4.7%	8.2%	7.2%	6.3%	5.5%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	7.7%	8.1%	7.5%	8.7%	7.2%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	5.8%	2.5%	5.4%	3.5%	6.0%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	6.1%	5.8%	4.1%	3.9%	7.2%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	5.3%	3.9%	4.2%	2.2%	3.9%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	3.3%	4.0%	1.7%	3.4%	5.4%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	6.1%	4.9%	1.0%	1.6%	4.8%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	5.1%	4.9%	2.1%	2.2%	5.2%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	3.7%	3.3%	1.3%	1.8%	4.1%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	4.4%	2.8%	4.3%	3.1%	5.0%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	1.1%	3.5%	3.4%	1.7%	4.0%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	0.5%	2.1%	0.9%	2.3%	2.9%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	1.2%	1.4%	0.3%	1.1%	3.6%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	2.0%	2.4%	0.5%	0.0%	2.0%
\$192,000 or more	1.1%	1.9%	1.4%	3.9%	7.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$50,655	\$49,007	\$37,528	\$38,345	\$64,633
Mean Dollars	\$54,388	\$59,108	\$46,728	\$49,059	\$77,158
No. of Respondents	370	240	254	398	1,399
Non-repondents	2.6%	9.1%	7.1%	7.1%	7.4%

*Note: Graduate Asian American category does not include Filipino students.  
Data for domestic students only. Question 13*

**TABLE B4**  
**Parent Income, 1971 to 1994**

**ACTUAL DOLLARS**

	MEAN Parent Income		MEDIAN Parent Income	
	<u>Undergraduates</u>	<u>Graduates</u>	<u>Undergraduates</u>	<u>Graduates</u>
1971	\$16,100	\$13,300	\$14,900	\$11,600
1974	\$18,300	\$15,200	\$17,900	\$13,800
1979	\$30,000	\$27,000	\$29,300	\$26,000
1982	\$38,936	\$33,212	\$36,644	\$29,482
1985	\$45,082	\$40,612	\$40,049	\$35,633
1988	\$53,371	\$45,439	\$50,205	\$40,263
1991	\$64,534	\$59,371	\$55,019	\$50,684
1994	\$61,899	\$65,303	\$52,561	\$54,350

**CONSTANT 1994 DOLLARS**

	MEAN Parent Income		MEDIAN Parent Income	
	<u>Undergraduates</u>	<u>Graduates</u>	<u>Undergraduates</u>	<u>Graduates</u>
1971	\$59,603	\$49,237	\$55,160	\$42,943
1974	\$56,243	\$46,715	\$55,013	\$42,413
1979	\$60,999	\$54,899	\$59,575	\$52,865
1982	\$57,949	\$49,430	\$54,538	\$43,879
1985	\$61,940	\$55,799	\$55,025	\$48,958
1988	\$65,805	\$56,025	\$61,901	\$49,643
1991	\$69,208	\$63,671	\$59,004	\$54,355
1994	\$61,899	\$65,303	\$52,561	\$54,350

*Notes:*

- 1) *Constant dollar values are based on the California Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers.*
- 2) *Mean values are highly influenced by changes in the income intervals from 1971 to 1994.*

**TABLE B5****Median Parent Income by Campus, 1979 to 1994**

<b>ACTUAL DOLLARS</b>												
	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>						<b>GRADUATES</b>					
	<b>1979</b>	<b>1982</b>	<b>1985</b>	<b>1988</b>	<b>1991</b>	<b>1994</b>	<b>1979</b>	<b>1982</b>	<b>1985</b>	<b>1988</b>	<b>1991</b>	<b>1994</b>
Berkeley	\$28,200	\$36,000	\$36,692	\$55,363	\$55,158	\$52,608	\$27,600	\$33,167	\$35,636	\$47,471	\$49,219	\$58,036
Davis	\$30,100	\$35,766	\$43,500	\$46,072	\$52,026	\$56,827	\$30,900	\$28,500	\$37,463	\$39,049	\$49,239	\$53,272
Irvine	\$29,000	\$33,312	\$37,241	\$44,213	\$52,831	\$45,947	\$24,600	\$27,000	\$33,900	\$39,543	\$51,786	\$48,548
Los Angeles	\$30,000	\$39,000	\$35,775	\$51,040	\$49,781	\$43,071	\$25,800	\$28,636	\$37,135	\$36,912	\$51,833	\$52,450
Riverside	\$24,100	\$31,429	\$36,545	\$50,724	\$56,198	\$45,228	\$20,200	\$24,750	\$35,667	\$33,439	\$42,896	\$46,326
San Diego	\$28,900	\$35,529	\$42,968	\$48,422	\$62,966	\$58,230	\$23,500	\$26,143	\$35,897	\$39,392	\$55,875	\$59,374
San Francisco	\$20,100	\$31,000	\$31,800	\$37,087	\$50,571	\$33,908	\$27,000	\$33,375	\$34,600	\$46,493	\$55,200	\$60,790
Santa Barbara	\$32,400	\$40,385	\$48,000	\$55,752	\$63,455	\$64,331	\$20,800	\$24,273	\$30,428	\$34,928	\$43,245	\$53,646
Santa Cruz	\$25,700	\$34,385	\$40,667	\$45,537	\$52,525	\$61,425	\$21,000	\$31,500	\$32,100	\$36,727	\$50,135	\$50,979
<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	<b>\$29,300</b>	<b>\$36,644</b>	<b>\$40,049</b>	<b>\$50,205</b>	<b>\$55,019</b>	<b>\$52,561</b>	<b>\$26,000</b>	<b>\$29,482</b>	<b>\$35,633</b>	<b>\$40,263</b>	<b>\$50,684</b>	<b>\$54,350</b>
<b>CONSTANT 1994 DOLLARS</b>												
	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>						<b>GRADUATES</b>					
	<b>1979</b>	<b>1982</b>	<b>1985</b>	<b>1988</b>	<b>1991</b>	<b>1994</b>	<b>1979</b>	<b>1982</b>	<b>1985</b>	<b>1988</b>	<b>1991</b>	<b>1994</b>
Berkeley	\$57,339	\$53,580	\$50,413	\$68,261	\$59,153	\$52,608	\$56,119	\$49,363	\$48,962	\$58,530	\$52,784	\$58,036
Davis	\$61,202	\$53,231	\$59,767	\$56,805	\$55,794	\$56,827	\$62,829	\$42,417	\$51,472	\$48,146	\$52,805	\$53,272
Irvine	\$58,965	\$49,579	\$51,167	\$54,513	\$56,657	\$45,947	\$50,019	\$40,185	\$46,577	\$48,755	\$55,536	\$48,548
Los Angeles	\$60,999	\$58,045	\$49,153	\$62,931	\$53,386	\$43,071	\$52,459	\$42,620	\$51,021	\$45,511	\$55,587	\$52,450
Riverside	\$49,002	\$46,777	\$50,211	\$62,541	\$60,268	\$45,228	\$41,072	\$36,836	\$49,005	\$41,229	\$46,003	\$46,326
San Diego	\$58,762	\$52,879	\$59,036	\$59,703	\$67,526	\$58,230	\$47,782	\$38,909	\$49,321	\$48,569	\$59,922	\$59,374
San Francisco	\$40,869	\$46,138	\$43,691	\$45,727	\$54,233	\$33,908	\$54,899	\$49,673	\$47,539	\$57,324	\$59,198	\$60,790
Santa Barbara	\$65,879	\$60,106	\$65,949	\$68,740	\$68,050	\$64,331	\$42,292	\$36,126	\$41,806	\$43,065	\$46,377	\$53,646
Santa Cruz	\$52,255	\$51,176	\$55,874	\$56,146	\$56,329	\$61,425	\$42,699	\$46,882	\$44,104	\$45,283	\$53,766	\$50,979
<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	<b>\$59,575</b>	<b>\$54,538</b>	<b>\$55,025</b>	<b>\$61,901</b>	<b>\$59,004</b>	<b>\$52,561</b>	<b>\$52,865</b>	<b>\$43,879</b>	<b>\$48,958</b>	<b>\$49,643</b>	<b>\$54,355</b>	<b>\$54,350</b>

Note: Constant dollar values are based on the California Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers.

**TABLE B6**  
**Parent Income**

**UNDERGRADUATES**

**GRADUATES**

***Median Parent Income:***

1. Santa Barbara	\$64,331
2. Santa Cruz	\$61,425
3. San Diego	\$58,230
4. Davis	\$56,827
5. Berkeley	\$52,608
6. Irvine	\$45,947
7. Riverside	\$45,228
8. Los Angeles	\$43,071
9. San Francisco	\$33,908

1. San Francisco	\$60,790
2. San Diego	\$59,374
3. Berkeley	\$58,036
4. Santa Barbara	\$53,646
5. Davis	\$53,272
6. Los Angeles	\$52,450
7. Santa Cruz	\$50,979
8. Irvine	\$48,548
9. Riverside	\$46,326

**SYSTEMWIDE** \$52,561

**SYSTEMWIDE** \$54,350

**TABLE B7**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b><u>Dependent</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>
Less than \$6,000	3.9%	12.4%	5.2%	5.1%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	4.3%	9.7%	5.1%	3.6%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	5.5%	4.9%	5.4%	4.0%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	4.9%	9.2%	5.6%	4.9%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	5.2%	7.8%	5.6%	2.3%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	7.1%	5.8%	6.9%	5.9%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	6.5%	9.5%	7.0%	5.7%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	4.9%	4.3%	4.8%	5.2%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	4.5%	12.0%	5.7%	8.7%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	3.1%	0.8%	2.7%	6.8%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	10.1%	4.2%	9.2%	5.6%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	5.7%	0.8%	4.9%	4.7%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	2.5%	2.4%	2.4%	3.7%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	4.4%	4.6%	4.4%	4.9%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	3.6%	0.1%	3.0%	3.8%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	4.5%	4.4%	4.5%	2.5%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	2.0%	0.0%	1.7%	3.9%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	3.4%	0.0%	2.9%	4.2%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	6.9%	0.4%	5.9%	2.8%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	2.4%	0.0%	2.1%	2.7%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%	1.2%
\$192,000 or more	2.6%	4.9%	2.9%	7.9%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$60,039	\$36,215	\$52,608	\$58,036
Mean Dollars	\$65,651	\$47,131	\$62,793	\$71,473
No. of Respondents	447	84	531	530
Non-respondents	4.3%	5.0%	4.4%	5.7%

**TABLE B8**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than \$6,000	4.3%	1.6%	3.9%	6.4%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	6.3%	5.0%	6.1%	3.5%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	3.8%	3.6%	3.8%	4.7%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	6.6%	4.6%	6.4%	4.1%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	1.7%	7.3%	2.5%	5.1%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	6.8%	3.4%	6.3%	6.6%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	5.6%	9.2%	6.1%	7.3%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	5.3%	4.6%	5.2%	4.3%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	5.4%	19.7%	7.4%	9.2%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	4.8%	4.2%	4.7%	4.3%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	8.1%	4.6%	7.6%	4.9%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	5.5%	1.9%	5.0%	4.5%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	4.0%	3.4%	3.9%	3.8%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	3.0%	0.0%	2.6%	3.8%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	6.1%	10.7%	6.8%	4.7%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	2.0%	3.8%	2.3%	2.8%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	8.0%	3.1%	7.3%	4.5%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	4.2%	0.4%	3.7%	4.5%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	3.5%	0.0%	3.0%	2.4%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	1.8%	0.1%	1.5%	1.7%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	0.6%	0.0%	0.5%	2.7%
\$192,000 or more	2.6%	8.8%	3.5%	4.3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$59,168	\$51,228	\$56,827	\$53,272
Mean Dollars	\$63,533	\$64,397	\$63,657	\$65,521
No. of Respondents	399	67	466	418
Non-respondents	4.7%	8.4%	5.2%	7.8%



**TABLE B9**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b><u>Dependent</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>
Less than \$6,000	2.7%	28.1%	5.4%	8.7%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	6.3%	9.2%	6.7%	4.0%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	6.3%	10.5%	6.7%	5.8%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	5.1%	6.4%	5.3%	4.6%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	5.1%	9.5%	5.6%	5.7%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	11.8%	11.5%	11.7%	6.9%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	7.0%	3.8%	6.7%	7.0%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	2.2%	9.5%	3.0%	7.0%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	5.4%	1.2%	5.0%	3.7%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	8.9%	1.2%	8.1%	3.9%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	6.6%	0.0%	5.9%	8.0%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	5.3%	0.8%	4.9%	2.1%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	4.3%	0.0%	3.9%	3.2%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	2.4%	7.3%	2.9%	5.4%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	1.6%	0.0%	1.5%	3.7%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	5.9%	0.0%	5.3%	2.2%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	4.0%	0.0%	3.6%	5.3%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	3.8%	0.0%	3.4%	2.5%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	3.0%	0.0%	2.7%	3.8%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	1.0%	0.2%	0.9%	1.6%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	2.6%
\$192,000 or more	1.1%	0.8%	1.1%	2.5%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$51,848	\$20,013	\$45,947	\$48,548
Mean Dollars	\$55,759	\$26,373	\$52,598	\$60,156
No. of Respondents	216	34	250	475
Non-respondents	2.6%	15.2%	4.1%	8.0%

**TABLE B10**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b><u>Dependent</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>
Less than \$6,000	4.1%	15.5%	5.9%	8.7%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	7.1%	5.6%	6.9%	4.5%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	8.1%	6.9%	7.9%	4.1%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	8.4%	8.8%	8.5%	7.2%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	7.8%	7.9%	7.8%	6.2%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	8.0%	7.8%	8.0%	4.1%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	4.4%	1.0%	3.9%	3.9%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	7.3%	3.9%	6.8%	5.5%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	3.5%	7.4%	4.1%	7.8%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	3.1%	0.0%	2.6%	4.2%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	5.7%	12.0%	6.7%	5.2%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	1.5%	5.2%	2.1%	3.3%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	3.5%	0.1%	2.9%	4.3%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	1.7%	4.3%	2.1%	4.6%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	2.4%	0.5%	2.1%	4.6%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	3.6%	0.0%	3.0%	4.1%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	7.1%	7.3%	7.1%	5.0%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	2.6%	0.0%	2.2%	1.7%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	4.7%	2.5%	4.3%	1.2%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	0.7%	3.0%	1.1%	2.7%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	0.9%	0.4%	0.8%	1.9%
\$192,000 or more	3.9%	0.0%	3.3%	5.2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$43,713	\$34,113	\$43,071	\$52,450
Mean Dollars	\$58,112	\$45,680	\$56,137	\$63,411
No. of Respondents	445	89	534	524
Non-respondents	3.0%	7.8%	3.8%	5.6%

**TABLE B11**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b><u>Dependent</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>
Less than \$6,000	7.3%	6.4%	7.1%	7.7%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	9.8%	8.4%	9.5%	6.5%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	7.8%	5.5%	7.3%	4.4%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	3.7%	7.4%	4.4%	6.4%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	1.6%	11.6%	3.5%	6.4%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	9.0%	4.7%	8.1%	6.2%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	5.1%	2.6%	4.6%	6.8%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	5.7%	4.0%	5.4%	7.7%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	10.8%	6.7%	10.0%	7.4%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	6.0%	4.6%	5.7%	5.0%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	8.0%	11.3%	8.7%	8.1%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	2.0%	2.6%	2.1%	2.1%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	3.4%	0.9%	2.9%	5.3%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	4.3%	11.8%	5.8%	3.0%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	1.5%	2.8%	1.7%	3.2%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	1.6%	0.0%	1.3%	2.1%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	5.5%	7.7%	6.0%	2.8%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	2.1%	0.0%	1.7%	2.6%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	3.2%	1.2%	2.8%	2.5%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	1.7%	0.0%	1.4%	1.5%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
\$192,000 or more	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	1.7%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$48,061	\$47,205	\$45,228	\$46,326
Mean Dollars	\$50,151	\$47,756	\$49,681	\$53,106
No. of Respondents	193	61	254	424
Non-respondents	2.9%	6.5%	3.6%	10.3%

**TABLE B12**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b><u>Dependent</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>
Less than \$6,000	3.0%	16.0%	5.0%	6.3%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	8.0%	7.0%	7.9%	2.6%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	4.4%	7.2%	4.9%	3.9%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	2.6%	7.5%	3.3%	3.3%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	5.7%	5.6%	5.7%	5.6%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	6.9%	4.7%	6.6%	5.4%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	3.7%	6.2%	4.1%	6.2%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	4.1%	0.0%	3.5%	5.7%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	5.9%	4.9%	5.7%	6.3%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	5.8%	0.1%	5.0%	5.3%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	7.9%	4.6%	7.4%	7.2%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	2.1%	0.6%	1.9%	3.7%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	2.1%	4.5%	2.4%	5.3%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	4.8%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	6.4%	4.5%	6.1%	5.5%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	3.0%	0.4%	2.6%	2.9%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	5.3%	4.6%	5.2%	5.2%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	1.3%	4.5%	1.8%	3.9%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	5.3%	4.5%	5.2%	3.8%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	4.1%	0.0%	3.5%	2.6%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	3.3%	4.5%	3.5%	0.9%
\$192,000 or more	5.0%	4.2%	4.9%	3.7%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$59,897	\$37,997	\$58,230	\$59,374
Mean Dollars	\$71,486	\$58,277	\$69,494	\$66,613
No. of Respondents	240	47	287	497
Non-respondents	3.2%	7.1%	3.8%	5.8%

**TABLE B13**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b><u>Dependent</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>
Less than \$6,000	16.7%	9.6%	11.2%	5.8%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	0.0%	14.6%	11.2%	4.1%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	16.7%	5.0%	7.7%	4.9%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	0.0%	13.2%	10.1%	6.6%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	0.0%	19.6%	15.0%	5.8%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	16.7%	0.0%	3.9%	5.3%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	0.0%	8.2%	6.3%	3.7%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	0.0%	5.0%	3.9%	8.3%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.0%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	0.0%	15.0%	11.5%	4.5%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	16.7%	0.0%	3.9%	3.8%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	33.3%	10.0%	15.4%	3.4%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%
\$192,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$47,996	\$32,369	\$33,908	\$60,790
Mean Dollars	\$61,000	\$42,327	\$46,636	\$67,074
No. of Respondents	6	16	22	375
Non-respondents	36.5%	0.0%	11.7%	4.2%

**TABLE B14**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b><u>Dependent</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>
Less than \$6,000	0.0%	6.3%	0.9%	8.1%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	5.9%	6.5%	6.0%	3.5%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	7.0%	5.9%	6.8%	5.1%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	2.1%	5.8%	2.6%	5.6%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	4.1%	4.8%	4.2%	6.1%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	7.0%	6.5%	6.9%	5.3%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	4.4%	1.1%	3.9%	6.4%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	5.1%	0.1%	4.4%	4.1%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	5.2%	11.6%	6.1%	6.1%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	4.6%	4.5%	4.6%	5.1%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	4.8%	5.9%	5.0%	6.1%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	4.0%	10.4%	4.9%	4.0%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	4.2%	10.9%	5.1%	3.2%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	4.3%	0.0%	3.7%	3.3%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	5.6%	5.1%	5.5%	7.4%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	3.7%	0.0%	3.2%	4.4%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	9.7%	4.5%	8.9%	2.8%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	5.2%	0.0%	4.5%	3.8%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	3.6%	0.1%	3.1%	2.0%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	2.3%	4.5%	2.6%	2.6%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	4.3%	0.0%	3.7%	1.6%
\$192,000 or more	2.9%	5.5%	3.3%	3.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$65,751	\$55,973	\$64,331	\$53,646
Mean Dollars	\$73,306	\$61,738	\$71,724	\$62,008
No. of Respondents	265	46	311	423
Non-respondents	3.4%	7.9%	4.1%	9.5%

**TABLE B15**  
**Parent Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b><u>Dependent</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>
Less than \$6,000	1.4%	3.5%	1.8%	6.1%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	5.2%	9.7%	6.2%	6.2%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	4.9%	6.7%	5.3%	5.1%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	9.6%	5.0%	8.6%	3.4%
\$24,000 to \$29,999	6.5%	5.9%	6.4%	7.2%
\$30,000 to \$35,999	4.3%	1.6%	3.7%	4.5%
\$36,000 to \$41,999	4.8%	12.5%	6.5%	4.8%
\$42,000 to \$47,999	4.5%	6.0%	4.8%	7.0%
\$48,000 to \$53,999	2.1%	7.0%	3.2%	11.5%
\$54,000 to \$59,999	1.2%	2.5%	1.5%	2.7%
\$60,000 to \$65,999	6.6%	16.8%	8.8%	6.6%
\$66,000 to \$71,999	4.4%	6.3%	4.8%	4.0%
\$72,000 to \$77,999	4.9%	3.1%	4.5%	5.1%
\$78,000 to \$83,999	6.1%	3.3%	5.5%	4.1%
\$84,000 to \$89,999	5.6%	0.3%	4.4%	4.3%
\$90,000 to \$95,999	2.9%	0.0%	2.3%	2.8%
\$96,000 to \$107,999	8.3%	6.3%	7.8%	4.9%
\$108,000 to \$119,999	2.3%	0.0%	1.8%	2.7%
\$120,000 to \$143,999	4.8%	0.5%	3.9%	2.7%
\$144,000 to \$167,999	2.5%	3.1%	2.6%	2.6%
\$168,000 to \$191,999	0.9%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
\$192,000 or more	6.3%	0.0%	4.9%	1.6%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$65,065	\$47,176	\$61,425	\$50,979
Mean Dollars	\$71,247	\$49,814	\$66,554	\$57,548
No. of Respondents	192	58	250	204
Non-respondents	3.9%	13.9%	6.3%	9.1%

## Section C: Student Employment

### *Undergraduates*

The employment rate for undergraduates dropped to 54.4% in 1994-95. This continues the trend begun in 1991-92, when the employment rate dropped to 58.4% from the 1988-89 survey level of 61.5%. The employment rate peaked at 61.5% in 1988-89. The median number of hours worked per week for those students who did work during the school year fell to 15.7, after peaking at 17.6 in the 1991-92 survey. (Table C5)

- *Dependency status.* Close to ten percentage points more independent undergraduates than dependent undergraduates were employed, at rates of 62.1% and 51.9%, respectively. In addition, independent students worked more hours per week (20.6) than their dependent counterparts (14.8). (Table C1)
- *Aid recipient status.* Aid recipients had a higher rate of employment (58.0%) than non-aid recipients (45.6%). However, non-aid recipients worked slightly more hours than aid recipients (16.5 versus 15.3 hours per week). (Table C2)
- *Ethnicity.* Employment rates and work loads varied by ethnicity. African American, Chicano, and Latino undergraduates had higher rates of employment and worked more hours per week than Whites and Asian Americans. For example, while 70.4% of African American students were employed for a median 18.6 hours per week, only 46.3% of Asian Americans were employed, for about 15.9 hours each week. (Table C3)
- *Parent income.* The survey also found differences in employment rates and workloads by parent income, most notably for students with parent incomes of \$90,000 or above. Students whose parent incomes were less than \$30,000 were employed at the highest rate (58.4%), while those at the \$90,000 and above level were employed at the lowest: 45.9%. The latter group also worked the fewest median hours per week (14.5). Students whose parents earned between \$30,000 and \$60,000 worked the most hours (16.4). (Table C4)
- *On- and off-campus employment.* Although there was an overall decrease in the employment rate and hours worked, the change was not identical for on- and -off campus employment. Off-campus employment decreased from 35.0% in 1991-92 to 29.2% in 1994-95, while on-campus employment showed a slight increase during the same period (from 28.4% to 29.0%). Median hours worked per week declined for both on- and off-campus student workers, however, the decline for on-campus employees (from 14.3 to 11.0 hours) was greater than that of students who worked off-campus (from 19.4 to 17.8 hours). Students working 20 to 24.9 hours per week on campus saw the largest reductions, from 16.2% of on-campus workers, to 8.1%. (Table C6)
- *Campus.* As in 1991-92, UCLA had the highest employment rate of the nine campuses (65.7%) in the 1994-95 SEARS. San Diego, which had the second highest rate in 1991-92 (61.6%), dropped almost 15 percentage points to 48.0%, giving it the lowest rate of undergraduate employment (exclusive of UCSF). Irvine undergraduates reported the highest number of hours worked per week during the academic year, 19.9. UCI is the only campus that reported an increase in hours worked. Exclusive of UCSF, Davis reported the smallest number of hours worked per week, 13.1. (Table C5)



### ***Graduates***

The employment rate for graduate students was 69.4%, a slight increase from the all-time low of 68.0% in 1991-92. After remaining constant from the 1988-89 SEARS to the 1991-92 SEARS, the median number of hours worked per week (for those students who worked during the school year) also rose slightly, from 21.8 hours per week in 1991-92 to 22.2 hours per week in 1994-95. (*Table C5*)

- *Aid recipient status.* Aid recipients had a lower rate of employment (65.9%) than non-aid recipients (80.0%). In addition, non-aid recipients worked two more hours per week than aid recipients (23.6 versus 21.6). (*Table C2*)
- *Ethnicity.* Both the median work load and the employment rate showed a great deal of variation by ethnicity. White graduate students continued to have the highest employment rate, increasing from 70.6% in 1991-92 to 76.2% in 1994-95. At 55.2%, Chicanos reported the lowest employment rate; however, they worked the highest median hours worked per week (23.4). For the second consecutive SEARS, African American students reported working the lowest median number of hours per week (20.3). (*Table C3*)
- *Campus.* UC Santa Barbara had the highest employment rate of the nine campuses (85.4%). UCSF continues to have the lowest employment rate (42.9%), as it has had since SEARS 1979-80. UC San Diego had the second lowest rate 1991-92 (59.3%), and occupied this position again in the 1994-95 SEARS with an employment rate of 63.0%. Irvine lead the campuses with most hours worked per week at 23.9. UCSF graduate students reported the lowest number of hours worked per week during the academic year, 13.7. They were immediately preceded by UCB with 21.3 hours per week. (*Table C5*)

**TABLE C1**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status**

	<i><b>UNDERGRADUATES</b></i>			<i><b>GRADUATES</b></i>
	<u><b>Dependent</b></u>	<u><b>Independent</b></u>	<u><b>All</b></u>	<u><b>Independent</b></u>
<b>Not employed</b>	47.1%	37.9%	45.6%	30.6%
<b>Employed</b>	52.9%	62.1%	54.4%	69.4%
<i><b>Hours worked per week during the Academic Year:</b></i>				
	<u><b>Dependent</b></u>	<u><b>Independent</b></u>	<u><b>All</b></u>	<u><b>Independent</b></u>
Less than 10	16.2%	10.0%	15.0%	8.9%
10 to 14	32.5%	18.8%	30.0%	12.6%
15 to 19	22.8%	15.9%	21.6%	8.2%
20 to 24	14.7%	23.6%	16.3%	38.1%
25 to 29	6.8%	11.7%	7.7%	5.6%
30 to 34	5.0%	9.5%	5.8%	5.0%
35 to 39	0.7%	1.5%	0.8%	1.5%
40 or more	1.4%	9.1%	2.8%	20.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours	14.8	20.6	15.7	22.2
Mean Hours	16.1	21.2	17.0	23.8
No. of Respondents	2,457	551	3,008	4,111
Non-respondents	1.3%	1.4%	1.3%	1.4%

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only  
Question 16A*

**TABLE C2**  
**Student Employment by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status**

**UNDERGRADUATES**

**GRADUATES**

	<b>Dependent</b>			<b>Independent</b>			<b>All</b>			<b>Independent</b>		
	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>
<b>Not employed</b>	43.3%	55.9%	47.1%	36.5%	41.9%	37.9%	42.0%	54.4%	45.6%	34.1%	20.0%	30.6%
<b>Employed</b>	56.8%	44.1%	52.9%	63.6%	58.1%	62.1%	58.0%	45.6%	54.4%	65.9%	80.0%	69.4%

***Hours worked per week during the Academic Year:***

	<b>Dependent</b>			<b>Independent</b>			<b>All</b>			<b>Independent</b>		
	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>
Less than 10	17.5%	12.4%	16.2%	9.2%	9.7%	10.0%	15.9%	12.0%	15.0%	10.9%	3.8%	8.9%
10 to 14	33.6%	28.9%	32.5%	19.9%	16.5%	18.8%	30.9%	27.2%	30.0%	14.3%	9.2%	12.6%
15 to 19	20.0%	30.8%	22.8%	17.6%	8.5%	15.9%	19.5%	27.7%	21.6%	9.0%	6.3%	8.2%
20 to 24	14.2%	16.2%	14.7%	24.3%	17.7%	23.6%	16.1%	16.4%	16.3%	37.8%	37.7%	38.1%
25 to 29	8.0%	4.0%	6.8%	11.9%	13.3%	11.7%	8.8%	5.3%	7.7%	6.3%	3.9%	5.6%
30 to 34	4.6%	5.8%	5.0%	10.5%	5.4%	9.5%	5.7%	5.8%	5.8%	5.3%	4.4%	5.0%
35 to 39	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	1.5%	1.7%	1.5%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	1.8%	0.8%	1.5%
40 or more	1.6%	1.2%	1.4%	5.1%	27.3%	9.1%	2.3%	4.8%	2.8%	14.5%	33.9%	20.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours	14.3	15.9	14.8	20.2	23.8	20.6	15.3	16.5	15.7	21.6	23.6	22.2
Mean Hours	15.9	16.6	16.1	20.5	25.2	21.2	16.8	17.8	17.0	22.3	27.7	23.8
No. of Respondents	1,777	610	2,457	443	84	551	2,220	694	3,008	3,060	944	4,111
Non-respondents	1.3%	0.4%	1.3%	1.9%	0.0%	1.4%	1.4%	0.3%	1.3%	1.0%	1.1%	1.4%

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only*  
*Question 16A*

**TABLE C3**  
**Student Employment by Dependency and Ethnicity**

**UNDERGRADUATES**

	<i>Dependent</i>					<i>Independent</i>					<i>All</i>				
	Asian		African			Asian		African			Asian		African		
	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>
<b>Not employed</b>	53.7%	29.6%	33.3%	37.7%	45.6%	52.8%	20.8%	23.0%	30.7%	35.1%	53.7%	27.7%	31.5%	36.6%	43.7%
<b>Employed</b>	46.3%	70.4%	66.7%	62.4%	54.4%	47.2%	79.2%	77.0%	69.3%	65.0%	46.4%	72.4%	68.6%	63.4%	56.4%

***Hours worked per week during the Academic Year:***

	<i>Dependent</i>					<i>Independent</i>					<i>All</i>				
	Asian		African			Asian		African			Asian		African		
	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>
Less than 10	19.6%	9.6%	12.1%	15.0%	16.0%	4.4%	4.0%	10.4%	7.7%	11.3%	18.0%	8.3%	11.7%	13.8%	15.0%
10 to 14	37.7%	28.5%	30.8%	29.6%	29.5%	26.3%	13.0%	20.9%	23.1%	17.4%	36.5%	24.7%	28.8%	28.5%	26.9%
15 to 19	14.3%	28.4%	32.8%	24.9%	26.0%	10.5%	23.6%	25.5%	17.9%	13.8%	13.9%	27.2%	31.4%	23.7%	23.3%
20 to 24	14.7%	18.9%	13.8%	17.6%	13.9%	23.5%	26.5%	12.5%	20.6%	25.9%	15.6%	20.7%	13.5%	18.1%	16.5%
25 to 29	8.4%	9.0%	6.0%	4.4%	6.9%	11.5%	10.8%	18.4%	9.3%	11.2%	8.8%	9.5%	8.5%	5.2%	7.8%
30 to 34	4.8%	3.8%	3.6%	5.2%	5.8%	11.1%	7.0%	5.8%	6.3%	10.2%	5.4%	4.6%	4.1%	5.4%	6.7%
35 to 39	0.6%	0.9%	0.0%	1.4%	0.4%	0.0%	9.2%	3.6%	6.3%	0.0%	0.5%	2.9%	0.7%	2.2%	0.3%
40 or more	0.0%	0.9%	0.9%	1.8%	1.6%	12.8%	5.9%	2.9%	9.0%	10.2%	1.3%	2.1%	1.3%	3.0%	3.5%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours	13.5	16.6	15.6	15.6	15.4	21.4	21.3	18.2	19.8	21.0	13.9	17.6	16.0	16.1	16.2
Mean Hours	15.2	17.2	16.1	16.5	16.3	22.3	22.6	19.5	21.3	21.3	15.9	18.6	16.8	17.4	17.4
No. of Respondents	421	302	336	373	523	48	89	75	70	125	469	391	411	443	648
Non-respondents	0.7%	2.2%	3.2%	2.4%	1.3%	2.1%	2.5%	3.8%	1.5%	0.8%	0.8%	2.2%	3.3%	2.2%	1.3%

*Note: Data for domestic students only. Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only.*  
 Question 16A

**SYSTEMWIDE**

**SEARS 1994-95**

**TABLE C3 (continued)**  
**Student Employment by Dependency and Ethnicity**

**GRADUATES**

	<i>Independent</i>				
	<u>Asian Amer.</u>	<u>African Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>
<b>Not employed</b>	34.2%	36.7%	44.8%	33.1%	23.9%
<b>Employed</b>	65.9%	63.3%	55.2%	67.0%	76.2%

***Hours worked per week during the Academic Year:***

	<i>Independent</i>				
	<u>Asian Amer.</u>	<u>African Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>
Less than 10	9.5%	12.7%	9.3%	7.6%	8.0%
10 to 14	11.8%	23.0%	8.3%	14.9%	12.6%
15 to 19	8.1%	10.0%	8.4%	10.5%	7.4%
20 to 24	37.1%	26.1%	30.6%	37.8%	36.6%
25 to 29	6.9%	4.9%	9.4%	5.6%	6.5%
30 to 34	4.7%	6.7%	12.8%	5.0%	4.1%
35 to 39	1.0%	1.9%	5.9%	1.3%	1.6%
40 or more	20.9%	14.8%	15.4%	17.4%	23.3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours	22.3	20.3	23.4	21.8	22.5
Mean Hours	23.9	21.2	24.9	23.2	24.7
No. of Respondents	382	257	267	421	1,520
Non-respondents	1.3%	2.1%	1.7%	1.7%	1.6%

*Note: Data for domestic students only. Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only.*  
*Question 16A*

TABLE C4

## Undergraduate Employment by Dependency and Parent Income

## UNDERGRADUATES

	<i>Dependent</i>				<i>Independent</i>				<i>All</i>			
	<u>Less than \$30,000</u>	<u>\$30,000 to \$59,999</u>	<u>\$60,000 to \$89,999</u>	<u>\$90,000 and above</u>	<u>Less than \$30,000</u>	<u>\$30,000 to \$59,999</u>	<u>\$60,000 to \$89,999</u>	<u>\$90,000 and above</u>	<u>Less than \$30,000</u>	<u>\$30,000 to \$59,999</u>	<u>\$60,000 to \$89,999</u>	<u>\$90,000 and above</u>
<b>Not employed</b>	42.7%	43.1%	47.7%	54.6%	38.5%	42.2%	29.4%	48.9%	41.6%	42.9%	45.0%	54.1%
<b>Employed</b>	57.4%	57.0%	52.3%	45.4%	61.5%	57.8%	70.6%	51.1%	58.4%	57.1%	55.0%	45.9%
<i>Hours worked per week during the Academic Year:</i>												
	<i>Dependent</i>				<i>Independent</i>				<i>All</i>			
	<u>Less than \$30,000</u>	<u>\$30,000 to \$59,999</u>	<u>\$60,000 to \$89,999</u>	<u>\$90,000 and above</u>	<u>Less than \$30,000</u>	<u>\$30,000 to \$59,999</u>	<u>\$60,000 to \$89,999</u>	<u>\$90,000 and above</u>	<u>Less than \$30,000</u>	<u>\$30,000 to \$59,999</u>	<u>\$60,000 to \$89,999</u>	<u>\$90,000 and above</u>
Less than 10	18.7%	16.4%	11.6%	16.8%	10.4%	6.5%	10.4%	14.3%	16.8%	14.9%	11.4%	16.5%
10 to 14	32.5%	30.5%	34.4%	34.5%	22.2%	12.0%	23.9%	24.3%	30.2%	27.7%	32.4%	33.5%
15 to 19	21.4%	19.0%	28.7%	23.7%	14.1%	20.5%	10.9%	21.6%	19.7%	19.2%	25.3%	23.5%
20 to 24	15.1%	15.9%	13.3%	12.8%	23.7%	29.7%	18.2%	25.2%	17.1%	18.0%	14.2%	14.1%
25 to 29	8.0%	6.6%	6.1%	6.0%	7.0%	16.8%	9.3%	10.1%	7.8%	8.1%	6.7%	6.4%
30 to 34	3.0%	7.7%	4.7%	4.6%	9.0%	11.2%	12.4%	3.8%	4.4%	8.3%	6.2%	4.6%
35 to 39	0.2%	1.3%	0.8%	0.2%	1.6%	0.1%	2.3%	0.0%	0.5%	1.1%	1.1%	0.2%
40 or more	1.2%	2.7%	0.4%	1.3%	12.0%	3.3%	12.6%	0.6%	3.6%	2.8%	2.7%	1.3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours	14.3	15.3	15.2	14.3	20.2	21.4	20.8	17.1	15.3	16.4	15.7	14.5
Mean Hours	14.7	16.4	15.0	14.7	20.7	20.5	21.0	16.9	16.1	17.0	16.1	14.9
No. of Respondents	706	711	483	489	211	138	100	50	922	844	583	539
Non-respondents	0.5%	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.6%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%

Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only.

Questions 13 and 16A

**TABLE C5****Academic Year Student Employment by Campus, 1979-80 to 1994-95****EMPLOYMENT RATES**

	UNDERGRADUATES						GRADUATES					
	<u>'79-80</u>	<u>'82-83</u>	<u>'85-86</u>	<u>'88-89</u>	<u>'91-92</u>	<u>'94-95</u>	<u>'79-80</u>	<u>'82-83</u>	<u>'85-86</u>	<u>'88-89</u>	<u>'91-92</u>	<u>'94-95</u>
Berkeley	59.6%	57.3%	60.8%	56.6%	52.0%	49.9%	70.1%	75.8%	79.8%	77.4%	68.5%	76.5%
Davis	48.2%	48.8%	55.4%	63.4%	53.2%	49.8%	75.2%	69.7%	67.7%	69.7%	68.1%	68.7%
Irvine	64.4%	64.1%	63.1%	67.2%	57.9%	54.3%	79.8%	65.1%	73.0%	73.9%	69.7%	73.1%
Los Angeles	68.2%	62.4%	69.9%	67.0%	67.3%	65.7%	73.9%	65.2%	75.8%	67.4%	68.8%	64.7%
Riverside	63.2%	63.5%	64.7%	62.5%	60.9%	54.7%	80.1%	78.5%	85.5%	88.4%	77.1%	80.6%
San Diego	59.0%	59.9%	68.1%	61.2%	61.6%	48.0%	63.6%	60.0%	67.0%	76.3%	59.3%	63.0%
San Francisco	50.9%	51.7%	57.4%	44.7%	39.4%	10.2%	40.8%	52.6%	59.1%	55.8%	47.8%	42.9%
Santa Barbara	54.4%	53.1%	56.6%	60.5%	58.1%	55.0%	81.2%	79.8%	91.2%	90.8%	81.1%	85.4%
Santa Cruz	59.1%	59.0%	60.3%	62.1%	54.3%	52.3%	80.6%	78.6%	90.8%	87.4%	89.1%	84.3%
<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	<b>57.8%</b>	<b>58.6%</b>	<b>60.6%</b>	<b>61.5%</b>	<b>58.4%</b>	<b>54.4%</b>	<b>73.7%</b>	<b>68.5%</b>	<b>73.0%</b>	<b>71.9%</b>	<b>68.0%</b>	<b>69.4%</b>

**MEDIAN WORK LOAD (HOURS per WEEK) during the Academic Year**

	UNDERGRADUATES						GRADUATES					
	<u>'79-80</u>	<u>'82-83</u>	<u>'85-86</u>	<u>'88-89</u>	<u>'91-92</u>	<u>'94-95</u>	<u>'79-80</u>	<u>'82-83</u>	<u>'85-86</u>	<u>'88-89</u>	<u>'91-92</u>	<u>'94-95</u>
Berkeley	13.0	14.2	13.9	14.0	16.7	14.1	21.8	22.6	23.2	21.5	21.4	21.3
Davis	12.7	13.7	14.9	13.3	16.0	13.1	22.6	22.1	21.6	21.9	21.3	21.7
Irvine	18.2	15.1	16.5	17.2	18.6	19.9	28.5	24.5	24.4	23.8	23.1	23.9
Los Angeles	16.3	14.9	16.9	17.2	18.5	16.5	21.3	20.5	22.3	20.6	21.7	22.1
Riverside	15.7	14.4	17.3	17.2	19.6	18.6	22.6	24.3	24.3	21.6	23.6	23.3
San Diego	16.2	16.0	16.3	18.0	19.7	15.6	22.8	23.5	27.3	24.1	22.7	23.3
San Francisco	10.1	16.6	15.5	20.7	11.9	12.0	13.9	17.4	18.5	18.8	13.4	13.7
Santa Barbara	14.1	13.5	14.7	14.8	16.3	15.5	22.1	24.8	23.2	23.1	23.2	23.5
Santa Cruz	12.9	12.2	14.4	13.9	14.3	14.3	22.5	22.0	23.2	23.4	23.1	22.8
<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	<b>14.4</b>	<b>15.1</b>	<b>15.3</b>	<b>15.4</b>	<b>17.6</b>	<b>17.0</b>	<b>22.2</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>22.8</b>	<b>21.8</b>	<b>21.8</b>	<b>22.2</b>

Note: Median hours worked per week are for employed students only.

**TABLE C6**  
**Undgraduate Employment, On- and Off-Campus, 1991-92 and 1994-95**

	<i>OFF-CAMPUS</i>		<i>ON-CAMPUS</i>		<i>ALL</i>	
	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>
<b>Percent employed</b>	35.0%	29.2%	28.4%	29.0%	58.4%	53.7%

***Hours worked per week during the Academic Year:***

	<i>OFF-CAMPUS</i>		<i>ON-CAMPUS</i>		<i>ALL</i>	
	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>
Less than 10	13.3%	18.3%	18.2%	24.0%	12.5%	15.0%
10 to 14	17.2%	18.7%	33.3%	41.0%	23.5%	30.0%
15 to 19	19.7%	19.5%	27.2%	21.7%	22.9%	21.6%
20 to 24	23.8%	19.5%	16.2%	8.1%	21.8%	16.3%
25 to 29	10.5%	11.0%	1.9%	2.7%	7.6%	7.7%
30 to 34	8.4%	7.9%	2.2%	1.1%	6.5%	5.8%
35 to 39	2.2%	1.8%	0.5%	0.0%	1.6%	0.8%
40 or more	4.9%	3.5%	0.6%	0.7%	3.6%	2.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.2%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>99.3%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours	19.4	17.8	14.3	11.0	17.6	15.7
Mean Hours	19.7	18.3	14.7	13.1	18.4	17.0
No. of Respondents	1,072	948	893	982	1,835	1,778

*Note: Number of respondents, hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only  
 Totals may not equal 100 percent due to rounding.*



**TABLE C7**

**Undergraduate Students by Employment Status,  
Average Units Attempted, and Grade Point Average**

	Average units attempted		Grade point average	
	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>
<b>Not Employed</b>	14.39	14.40	2.98	2.95
<b>Employed</b>	14.18	14.14	2.92	2.94
<b>All students</b>	14.27	14.27	2.95	2.95

***Hours worked per week during the Academic Year:***

Not Employed	14.39	14.40	2.98	2.95
1 to 5 hours	14.57	14.32	3.00	3.05
6 to 10 hours	14.55	14.64	3.02	3.03
11 to 15 hours	14.36	14.27	2.95	2.96
16 to 20 hours	14.02	13.62	2.91	2.92
21 to 25 hours	13.83	14.02	2.85	2.84
26 to 30 hours	13.94	14.27	2.81	2.83
31 to 35 hours	13.44	13.95	2.78	2.66
36 or more hours	13.39	12.14	2.73	2.59
No. of Respondents	2,966	3,069	2,669	2,757

**TABLE C8**  
**Employment Rates and Work Load**

**UNDERGRADUATES**

**GRADUATES**

***Percent Employed:***

1. Los Angeles
2. Santa Barbara
3. Irvine
4. Riverside
5. Santa Cruz
6. Berkeley
7. Davis
8. San Diego
9. San Francisco

65.7%  
55.0%  
54.9%  
54.7%  
52.3%  
49.9%  
49.8%  
48.0%  
10.2%

1. Santa Barbara
2. Santa Cruz
3. Riverside
4. Berkeley
5. Irvine
6. Davis
7. Los Angeles
8. San Diego
9. San Francisco

85.4%  
84.3%  
80.6%  
76.5%  
73.1%  
68.7%  
64.7%  
63.0%  
42.9%

**SYSTEMWIDE**

54.4%

**SYSTEMWIDE**

69.4%

**UNDERGRADUATES**

**GRADUATES**

***Median hours worked per week during the Academic Year:***

1. Irvine
2. Riverside
3. Los Angeles
4. San Diego
5. Santa Barbara
6. Santa Cruz
7. Berkeley
8. Davis
9. San Francisco

19.9  
18.6  
16.5  
15.6  
15.5  
14.3  
14.1  
13.1  
12.0

1. Irvine
2. Santa Barbara
3. Riverside
4. San Diego
5. Santa Cruz
6. Los Angeles
7. Davis
8. Berkeley
9. San Francisco

23.9  
23.5  
23.3  
23.3  
22.8  
22.1  
21.7  
21.3  
13.7

**SYSTEMWIDE**

15.7

**SYSTEMWIDE**

22.2

**TABLE C9**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
<b>Not Employed</b>	51.4%	43.1%	50.1%	23.5%
<b>Employed</b>	48.6%	56.9%	49.9%	76.5%

***Hours worked per week during the Academic Year.***

	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
	Less than 10	19.8%	19.2%	19.7%
10 to 14	36.3%	17.8%	33.0%	16.3%
15 to 19	21.8%	20.3%	21.5%	10.8%
20 to 24	13.9%	20.3%	15.0%	36.9%
25 to 29	4.1%	10.8%	5.3%	5.8%
30 to 34	4.1%	7.5%	4.7%	3.2%
35 to 39	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1.2%
40 or more	0.0%	4.0%	0.7%	16.5%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours	13.7	17.7	14.1	21.3
Mean Hours	14.5	18.1	15.2	22.3
No. of Respondents	461	94	555	559
Non-respondents	0.8%	0.4%	0.7%	0.7%

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only*  
*Question 16A*

**TABLE C10**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
<b>Not Employed</b>	51.8%	40.1%	50.2%	31.3%
<b>Employed</b>	48.2%	59.9%	49.8%	68.7%

***Hours worked per week during the Academic Year.***

	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
	Less than 10	23.7%	20.6%	23.2%
10 to 14	42.6%	9.9%	37.0%	12.6%
15 to 19	16.6%	12.5%	15.9%	5.8%
20 to 24	6.7%	16.4%	8.4%	47.4%
25 to 29	7.0%	6.6%	6.9%	4.4%
30 to 34	3.2%	19.5%	6.0%	5.3%
35 to 39	0.0%	1.9%	0.4%	0.0%
40 or more	0.2%	12.7%	2.3%	14.2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours	12.6	21.6	13.1	21.7
Mean Hours	13.6	22.0	15.0	22.3
No. of Respondents	409	73	482	442
Non-respondents	1.9%	6.2%	2.5%	1.4%

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only  
Question 16A*

**TABLE C11**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
<b>Not Employed</b>	48.2%	23.1%	45.1%	26.9%
<b>Employed</b>	51.8%	76.9%	54.9%	73.1%
<i><b>Hours worked per week during the Academic Year.</b></i>				
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than 10	10.9%	0.0%	9.0%	4.1%
10 to 14	25.3%	2.5%	21.3%	7.0%
15 to 19	20.8%	4.4%	18.0%	6.8%
20 to 24	19.3%	37.1%	22.4%	36.5%
25 to 29	10.7%	11.8%	10.9%	4.4%
30 to 34	9.5%	6.8%	9.1%	8.2%
35 to 39	1.7%	1.5%	1.7%	0.9%
40 or more	1.9%	35.8%	7.7%	32.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Median Hours</b>	17.8	27.0	19.9	23.9
<b>Mean Hours</b>	18.7	30.2	20.7	27.8
<b>No. of Respondents</b>	220	40	560	506
<b>Non-respondents</b>	1.4%	0.0%	1.2%	1.5%

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only*  
*Question 16A*

**TABLE C12**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
<b>Not Employed</b>	34.0%	35.6%	34.3%	35.3%
<b>Employed</b>	66.0%	64.4%	65.7%	64.7%
<b><i>Hours worked per week during the Academic Year.</i></b>				
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than 10	13.3%	0.6%	11.2%	9.1%
10 to 14	29.8%	19.4%	28.1%	12.4%
15 to 19	27.7%	18.8%	26.2%	8.3%
20 to 24	16.2%	32.7%	18.9%	38.6%
25 to 29	4.8%	19.6%	7.3%	6.3%
30 to 34	6.0%	3.4%	5.6%	4.5%
35 to 39	0.3%	1.8%	0.5%	1.8%
40 or more	2.0%	3.7%	2.3%	19.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours	15.8	21.2	16.5	22.1
Mean Hours	16.7	21.4	17.4	23.6
No. of Respondents	451	96	547	550
Non-respondents	1.2%	0.5%	1.0%	2.3%

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only*  
*Question 16A*

**TABLE C13**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
<b>Not Employed</b>	47.1%	38.3%	45.3%	19.4%
<b>Employed</b>	52.9%	61.7%	54.7%	80.6%
<b><i>Hours worked per week during the Academic Year.</i></b>				
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than 10	12.8%	5.2%	11.0%	4.9%
10 to 14	19.8%	26.8%	21.4%	9.2%
15 to 19	23.7%	13.7%	21.4%	7.7%
20 to 24	20.8%	9.9%	18.3%	36.7%
25 to 29	14.2%	17.5%	14.9%	4.8%
30 to 34	5.8%	15.0%	7.9%	8.1%
35 to 39	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%
40 or more	2.9%	11.8%	5.0%	26.3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours	18.2	21.6	18.6	23.3
Mean Hours	18.5	22.5	19.4	26.5
No. of Respondents	195	64	259	465
Non-respondents	1.4%	0.0%	1.1%	1.4%

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only*  
*Question 16A*

**TABLE C14**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
<b>Not Employed</b>	52.9%	47.0%	52.0%	37.0%
<b>Employed</b>	47.1%	53.0%	48.0%	63.0%
<b><i>Hours worked per week during the Academic Year.</i></b>				
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than 10	11.8%	3.5%	10.4%	7.3%
10 to 14	34.3%	33.9%	34.2%	9.5%
15 to 19	25.6%	14.4%	23.6%	6.7%
20 to 24	12.8%	33.3%	16.3%	34.8%
25 to 29	4.7%	5.2%	4.8%	6.1%
30 to 34	5.6%	8.7%	6.1%	4.5%
35 to 39	3.5%	0.2%	2.9%	1.8%
40 or more	1.9%	0.7%	1.7%	29.2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Median Hours</b>	15.3	18.9	15.6	23.3
<b>Mean Hours</b>	17.0	18.6	17.3	26.3
<b>No. of Respondents</b>	246	53	299	516
<b>Non-respondents</b>	0.2%	1.3%	0.4%	1.9%

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only*  
*Question 16A*



**TABLE C15**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
<b>Not Employed</b>	89.4%	90.0%	89.8%	57.1%
<b>Employed</b>	10.6%	10.0%	10.2%	42.9%
 <i>Hours worked per week during the Academic Year.</i>				
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Less than 10	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%	34.3%
10 to 14	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%	18.7%
15 to 19	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.0%
20 to 24	100.0%	0.0%	33.3%	15.9%
25 to 29	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%
30 to 34	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%
35 to 39	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%
40 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
 Median Hours	22.0	9.5	12.0	13.7
Mean Hours	22.0	8.5	13.0	17.8
 No. of Respondents	8	16	24	389
Non-respondents	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only*  
*Question 16A*

**TABLE C16**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u><b>Dependent</b></u>	<u><b>Independent</b></u>	<u><b>All</b></u>	<u><b>Independent</b></u>
Not employed	47.2%	32.2%	45.1%	14.6%
Employed	52.8%	67.8%	55.0%	85.4%
 <i><b>Hours worked per week during the Academic Year.</b></i>				
	<u><b>Dependent</b></u>	<u><b>Independent</b></u>	<u><b>All</b></u>	<u><b>Independent</b></u>
Less than 10	18.8%	19.1%	18.8%	1.9%
10 to 14	27.9%	23.2%	27.1%	10.4%
15 to 19	23.7%	8.6%	21.0%	7.7%
20 to 24	17.3%	21.4%	18.0%	38.0%
25 to 29	8.0%	1.2%	6.8%	7.7%
30 to 34	1.6%	15.1%	4.0%	6.2%
35 to 39	0.0%	4.6%	0.8%	3.9%
40 or more	2.8%	6.8%	3.5%	24.3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours	15.2	19.0	15.5	23.5
Mean Hours	16.0	19.6	16.6	26.7
No. of Respondents	270	50	320	462
Non-respondents	1.8%	0.6%	1.7%	0.8%

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only  
Question 16A*

**TABLE C17**  
**Student Employment by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u><b>Dependent</b></u>	<u><b>Independent</b></u>	<u><b>All</b></u>	<u><b>Independent</b></u>
Not employed	50.5%	38.8%	47.7%	15.7%
Employed	49.5%	61.3%	52.3%	84.3%
<b><i>Hours worked per week during the Academic Year.</i></b>				
	<u><b>Dependent</b></u>	<u><b>Independent</b></u>	<u><b>All</b></u>	<u><b>Independent</b></u>
Less than 10	17.0%	11.8%	15.6%	2.8%
10 to 14	42.2%	20.1%	36.1%	6.6%
15 to 19	16.9%	30.4%	20.7%	4.8%
20 to 24	11.7%	9.4%	11.0%	55.0%
25 to 29	7.8%	16.9%	10.3%	6.5%
30 to 34	4.1%	6.2%	4.7%	6.5%
35 to 39	0.3%	0.7%	0.4%	2.2%
40 or more	0.0%	4.5%	1.3%	15.5%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Hours	13.4	17.5	14.3	22.8
Mean Hours	14.9	18.9	16.0	25.0
No. of Respondents	197	65	262	222
Non-respondents	2.2%	2.3%	2.2%	0.5%

*Note: Hours worked per week, median, and mean hours are for employed students only*  
*Question 16A*

## Section D: Student Income

### *Undergraduates*

The median undergraduate annual income was \$3,128 in 1994-95, a decrease from the inflation-adjusted median of 1991-92 (\$3,698). (*Table D7*)

- *Dependency status.* The median income of independent students (recall that during the academic year these students work almost six hours per week more than dependent students) was over twice that of dependent students: \$6,385 compared to \$2,812. (*Table D4*)
- *Aid recipient status.* Although non-aid recipients worked slightly longer hours during the academic year than aid recipients, aid recipients' median income in 1994-95 of was slightly higher, \$3,157 compared to \$3,014 for non-aid recipients. (*Table D5*)
- *Ethnicity.* African American undergraduates (who worked the most hours per week) reported the highest income (\$4,076), while Asian Americans (who worked the fewest hours per week) reported the lowest income (\$2,061). (*Table D6*)
- *Campus.* Undergraduates at UCLA reported the highest median annual income (\$3,543). As in the previous two surveys, Berkeley students reported the lowest median income (\$2,829). Santa Cruz was the only campus reporting an increase in student income, from \$3,265 in 1991-92 to \$3,499 in 1994-5 in constant dollars. (*Table D7*)

### *Graduates*

Student income for graduate students was \$12,877 in 1994-95, down from the 1991-92 median of \$12,913 (in constant dollars). (*Table D7*)

- *Aid recipient status.* The median income of graduate students who were not aid recipients continued to be higher than that of aid recipients (\$18,106 versus \$11,028). However, while the income of non-aid recipients showed a slight decrease in 1994-95 dollars from the 1991-92 amount (\$18,971), aid recipient income showed a slight increase over the 1991-92 figure of \$10,650. (*Table D5*)
- *Ethnicity.* As in the 1991-92 SEARS, White graduate students reported the highest median income (\$14,227). Chicano students reported the lowest income (\$8,765). Only two graduate student ethnic groups reported an increase in their median income: Asian Americans (from \$9,276 to \$9,307 in constant 1994-95 dollars) and African Americans (from \$8,913 to \$9,336). (*Table D6*)
- *Campus.* Graduate students at UC Irvine reported the highest annual income (\$16,258), over one thousand dollars greater than UCSC, which had the next highest (\$15,167). As would be expected because of their low number of hours worked per week during the academic year, UCSF students had the smallest annual income (\$6,045). They were immediately preceded by UCSD where students earned a median of \$11,866 in 1994-95. (*Table D7*)

**TABLE D1**

**Student Academic Year Income by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status**

**UNDERGRADUATES**

**GRADUATES**

	<i>Dependent</i>			<i>Independent</i>			<i>All</i>			<i>Independent</i>		
	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>
Nothing	30.9%	38.1%	33.3%	17.2%	17.6%	17.6%	28.4%	36.0%	30.7%	24.2%	9.0%	20.2%
Less than \$1,000	19.0%	17.2%	18.4%	13.1%	10.2%	12.0%	17.9%	16.5%	17.3%	4.9%	1.2%	3.9%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	16.5%	12.0%	15.0%	11.7%	9.2%	10.8%	15.6%	11.7%	14.3%	3.8%	1.5%	3.2%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	10.2%	10.1%	10.3%	7.5%	5.7%	6.8%	9.7%	9.7%	9.8%	2.6%	1.6%	2.4%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	8.3%	7.8%	8.0%	7.6%	4.9%	7.0%	8.2%	7.5%	7.8%	3.5%	2.6%	3.3%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	8.1%	6.2%	7.3%	11.9%	6.9%	11.4%	8.8%	6.3%	8.0%	7.1%	2.4%	5.9%
\$6,000 to \$11,999	6.2%	6.3%	6.4%	16.5%	6.6%	14.2%	8.0%	6.3%	7.6%	23.7%	23.7%	23.7%
\$12,000 to \$17,999	0.8%	1.9%	1.2%	5.7%	8.3%	6.5%	1.7%	2.5%	2.1%	14.8%	23.4%	17.2%
\$18,000 to \$23,999	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	3.0%	3.6%	3.0%	0.6%	0.5%	0.5%	4.4%	7.8%	5.3%
\$24,000 to \$35,999	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	2.9%	10.0%	4.2%	0.5%	1.3%	0.8%	4.7%	7.9%	5.4%
\$36,000 to \$47,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	5.0%	2.8%	0.3%	0.5%	0.5%	2.7%	7.6%	3.8%
\$48,000 to \$59,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	4.9%	1.7%	0.1%	0.5%	0.3%	1.5%	3.3%	2.0%
\$60,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	7.2%	2.1%	0.1%	0.8%	0.3%	2.2%	8.2%	3.7%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$1,007	\$688	\$912	\$3,068	\$4,722	\$3,412	\$1,235	\$850	\$1,135	\$11,028	\$18,106	\$12,877
Mean Dollars	\$1,984	\$2,043	\$2,021	\$6,612	\$15,953	\$8,837	\$2,825	\$3,509	\$3,119	\$14,843	\$26,003	\$17,684
No. of Respondents	1,760	598	2,430	447	80	548	2,207	678	2,978	3,047	934	4,077
Non-respondents	3.0%	2.3%	3.0%	0.5%	5.0%	2.5%	2.5%	2.6%	3.0%	1.4%	2.3%	2.3%

*Note: Median and mean dollars are for all respondents to Question 21 including those with zero income.*

**TABLE D2****Undergraduate Academic Year Hourly Wage by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status****UNDERGRADUATES**

	<i>Dependent</i>			<i>Independent</i>			<i>All</i>		
	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>
Mean Total Income	\$3,191	\$3,753	\$3,352	\$7,068	\$17,987	\$9,530	\$3,964	\$5,623	\$4,486
Mean Hours Worked per Week	15.9	16.6	16.1	20.5	25.2	21.2	16.8	17.8	17.0
Mean Total Hours Worked	524.7	547.8	531.3	676.5	831.6	699.6	554.4	587.4	561.0
Mean Hourly Wage	\$6.08	\$6.85	\$6.31	\$10.45	\$21.63	\$13.62	\$7.15	\$9.57	\$8.00
No. of Respondents	1,030	280	1,349	285	46	343	1,315	326	1,692

*Note: Total weeks worked during academic year is 33. N's include all employed students (Q16A) with some income (Q21)*

**TABLE D3**  
**Student Summer Income by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status**

**UNDERGRADUATES****GRADUATES**

	<i>Dependent</i>			<i>Independent</i>			<i>All</i>			<i>Independent</i>		
	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>
Nothing	26.6%	25.0%	26.0%	16.0%	24.3%	17.0%	24.7%	24.9%	24.6%	20.7%	14.2%	19.3%
Less than \$1,000	24.6%	20.1%	23.2%	12.0%	11.3%	11.3%	22.4%	19.1%	21.3%	6.4%	3.7%	5.7%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	23.5%	22.0%	23.1%	14.1%	11.0%	13.2%	21.8%	20.8%	21.5%	8.9%	5.5%	8.1%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	12.1%	17.6%	13.6%	16.9%	13.2%	17.7%	13.0%	17.1%	14.3%	10.0%	7.4%	9.5%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	8.9%	8.9%	8.9%	17.7%	8.5%	15.2%	10.4%	8.8%	9.9%	13.5%	14.2%	13.6%
\$4,000 to \$4,999	2.9%	2.4%	2.7%	8.9%	3.7%	7.5%	4.0%	2.5%	3.5%	8.9%	9.7%	8.9%
\$5,000 to \$5,999	0.9%	1.6%	1.1%	2.7%	1.1%	2.3%	1.2%	1.6%	1.3%	6.3%	4.8%	5.9%
\$6,000 to \$6,999	0.4%	1.8%	0.8%	3.9%	1.3%	3.2%	1.0%	1.7%	1.2%	5.7%	8.4%	6.3%
\$7,000 to \$7,999	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	1.0%	5.1%	2.3%	0.3%	0.6%	0.6%	3.2%	5.1%	3.7%
\$8,000 to \$9,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	2.7%	3.7%	0.8%	0.3%	0.6%	4.7%	5.2%	4.9%
\$10,000 to \$11,999	0.0%	0.4%	0.1%	0.4%	4.4%	1.3%	0.1%	0.8%	0.3%	4.1%	4.9%	4.3%
\$12,000 or more	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	2.3%	13.4%	5.4%	0.4%	1.7%	0.9%	7.7%	16.9%	9.9%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.1%</i>
Median Dollars	\$954	\$1,224	\$1,032	\$2,469	\$2,257	\$2,485	\$1,136	\$1,286	\$1,190	\$3,299	\$4,514	\$3,544
Mean Dollars	\$1,310	\$1,572	\$1,396	\$2,909	\$4,017	\$3,252	\$1,594	\$1,834	\$1,691	\$4,115	\$5,616	\$4,474
No. of Respondents	1,774	605	2,443	441	83	545	2,215	688	2,988	3,042	946	4,086
Non-respondents	1.7%	1.2%	2.1%	1.8%	2.0%	2.9%	1.7%	1.3%	2.2%	1.7%	1.2%	2.2%

*Note: Median and mean dollars are for all respondents to Question 20 including those with zero income.*

**TABLE D4**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	16.3%	8.4%	15.0%	9.5%
Less than \$1,000	9.2%	6.0%	8.7%	1.8%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	40.6%	18.7%	37.1%	9.8%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	20.2%	21.3%	20.3%	8.5%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	6.3%	10.2%	6.9%	9.8%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	5.6%	13.4%	6.9%	18.4%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	1.6%	5.9%	2.3%	15.8%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.2%	5.1%	1.0%	10.0%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	3.2%	0.5%	5.1%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.1%	1.9%	0.4%	2.2%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	6.0%	1.0%	9.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$2,812	\$6,385	\$3,128	\$12,877
Mean Dollars	\$3,414	\$12,078	\$4,805	\$17,684
No. of Respondents	2,384	534	2,918	4,020
Non-respondents	2.1%	2.9%	2.2%	2.2%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings. Median and mean dollars are for all respondents to both Q20 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income), including those with zero income.*



**TABLE D5**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency and Aid Recipient Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>						<b>GRADUATES</b>					
	<i>Dependent</i>			<i>Independent</i>			<i>All</i>			<i>Independent</i>		
	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Aid</u>	<u>No Aid</u>	<u>All</u>
Nothing	15.4%	18.2%	16.3%	8.6%	9.7%	8.4%	14.2%	17.3%	15.0%	11.1%	4.9%	9.5%
Less than \$1,000	9.2%	9.1%	9.2%	6.7%	4.4%	6.0%	8.8%	8.6%	8.7%	2.2%	0.6%	1.8%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	41.8%	38.1%	40.6%	18.7%	16.9%	18.7%	37.7%	35.9%	37.1%	11.8%	3.9%	9.8%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	20.2%	20.1%	20.2%	23.1%	18.1%	21.3%	20.8%	19.9%	20.3%	10.2%	3.7%	8.5%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	6.7%	5.7%	6.3%	10.9%	4.4%	10.2%	7.4%	5.6%	6.9%	10.8%	7.3%	9.8%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	5.5%	6.0%	5.6%	15.5%	6.0%	13.4%	7.3%	6.0%	6.9%	19.2%	16.4%	18.4%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	1.0%	2.3%	1.6%	6.1%	6.3%	5.9%	1.9%	2.7%	2.3%	13.5%	21.2%	15.8%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	4.6%	5.7%	5.1%	1.0%	0.9%	1.0%	9.0%	13.2%	10.0%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	6.4%	3.2%	0.5%	0.7%	0.5%	4.6%	7.1%	5.1%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.7%	6.9%	1.9%	0.1%	0.9%	0.4%	1.7%	3.7%	2.2%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	15.2%	6.0%	0.5%	1.6%	1.0%	6.0%	18.0%	9.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$2,819	\$2,788	\$2,812	\$6,082	\$7,156	\$6,385	\$3,157	\$3,014	\$3,128	\$11,028	\$18,106	\$12,877
Mean Dollars	\$3,314	\$3,588	\$3,414	\$9,406	\$20,393	\$12,078	\$4,417	\$5,340	\$4,805	\$14,843	\$26,003	\$17,684
No. of Respondents	1,733	590	2,384	434	79	534	2,167	669	2,918	2,999	927	4,020
Non-respondents	4.3%	3.2%	4.5%	2.3%	7.0%	4.3%	4.0%	3.6%	4.5%	3.0%	3.3%	3.8%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.*

*Median and mean dollars are for all respondents to both Q20 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income), including those with zero income.*

**TABLE D6**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency and Ethnicity**

**UNDERGRADUATES**

	<i>Dependent</i>					<i>Independent</i>					<i>All</i>							
	<u>Asian</u>		<u>African</u>		<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Asian</u>		<u>African</u>		<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Asian</u>		<u>African</u>		<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>
	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Chicano</u>			<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Chicano</u>			<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Chicano</u>		
Nothing	27.5%	12.0%	11.9%	14.0%	7.7%	19.3%	2.1%	6.1%	4.9%	4.6%	26.7%	9.8%	10.9%	12.6%	7.1%			
Less than \$1,000	10.1%	8.2%	9.4%	9.8%	8.6%	12.9%	4.1%	3.5%	4.3%	2.9%	10.4%	7.3%	8.4%	9.0%	7.5%			
\$1,000 to \$3,999	38.0%	35.0%	39.5%	35.6%	45.3%	22.7%	23.0%	23.7%	16.8%	15.5%	36.5%	32.3%	36.7%	32.8%	39.5%			
\$4,000 to \$6,999	15.5%	26.1%	22.8%	22.1%	21.6%	26.1%	23.8%	17.9%	18.5%	22.3%	16.5%	25.6%	21.9%	21.6%	21.7%			
\$7,000 to \$9,999	4.5%	7.7%	9.9%	6.4%	7.0%	5.6%	19.1%	12.0%	9.9%	11.2%	4.6%	10.2%	10.3%	7.0%	7.8%			
\$10,000 to \$14,999	3.4%	9.3%	5.3%	7.7%	7.5%	5.0%	13.8%	19.0%	19.3%	14.6%	3.6%	10.3%	7.8%	9.5%	8.8%			
\$15,000 to \$19,999	1.0%	1.1%	1.1%	2.5%	1.9%	2.1%	7.3%	5.2%	5.7%	8.1%	1.1%	2.5%	1.8%	3.0%	3.1%			
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1.4%	0.3%	2.1%	2.3%	5.7%	6.0%	5.7%	0.2%	1.0%	1.0%	2.1%	1.4%			
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	4.8%	3.9%	4.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.9%	1.0%	0.8%			
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	2.8%	3.2%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%			
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	1.9%	2.2%	7.9%	7.9%	0.2%	0.4%	0.4%	1.2%	1.5%			
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>			
Median dollars	\$1,977	\$3,559	\$3,171	\$3,208	\$3,230	\$3,351	\$6,630	\$6,807	\$8,648	\$8,248	\$2,061	\$4,076	\$3,517	\$3,606	\$3,683			
Mean dollars	\$2,505	\$4,064	\$3,623	\$4,234	\$3,985	\$6,100	\$9,052	\$10,087	\$15,284	\$14,817	\$2,860	\$5,177	\$4,801	\$5,931	\$6,079			
No. of Respondents	425	293	329	371	507	49	87	77	68	135	474	380	406	439	642			
Non-respondents	3.6%	4.9%	5.1%	4.8%	5.1%	9.8%	6.1%	2.5%	5.7%	1.7%	4.2%	5.1%	4.6%	4.9%	4.5%			

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.*

*Range percentages and median and mean dollars are for all respondents to both Q20 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income).*

*Data for domestic students only. Undergraduate Asian American category includes Filipino students.*

**TABLE D6 (continued)**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency and Ethnicity**

**GRADUATES**

	<i>Independent</i>				
	<u>Asian</u>	<u>African</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>White</u>
	<u>Amer.</u>	<u>Amer.</u>			
Nothing	14.9%	10.2%	14.5%	10.4%	6.1%
Less than \$1,000	3.1%	3.5%	2.5%	1.2%	1.1%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	11.7%	16.4%	14.2%	11.1%	8.9%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	11.2%	11.7%	12.7%	10.8%	7.9%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	11.8%	10.6%	10.3%	9.3%	9.3%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	12.4%	16.7%	14.6%	17.2%	19.9%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	12.8%	8.3%	9.8%	14.2%	16.9%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	9.8%	8.0%	7.0%	11.4%	10.8%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	3.8%	5.9%	6.3%	5.3%	5.6%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	1.1%	1.1%	3.2%	2.1%	2.6%
\$50,000 or more	7.4%	7.7%	4.7%	7.0%	11.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median dollars	\$9,307	\$9,336	\$8,765	\$12,087	\$14,227
Mean dollars	\$14,529	\$14,774	\$13,879	\$16,170	\$19,757
No. of Respondents	521	285	287	449	1,638
Non-respondents	3.0%	4.4%	5.7%	3.8%	3.6%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.  
 Median and mean dollars are for all respondents to both Q20 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income).  
 Data for domestic students only. Graduate Asian American category does not include Filipino students.*

**TABLE D7**  
**Median Student Annual Income by Campus, 1979-80 to 1994-95**

<i>ACTUAL DOLLARS</i>												
	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>						<b>GRADUATES</b>					
	<b>'79-80</b>	<b>'82-83</b>	<b>'85-86</b>	<b>'88-89</b>	<b>'91-92</b>	<b>'94-95</b>	<b>'79-80</b>	<b>'82-83</b>	<b>'85-86</b>	<b>'88-89</b>	<b>'91-92</b>	<b>'94-95</b>
Berkeley	\$2,026	\$2,050	\$2,774	\$2,927	\$2,902	\$2,829	--	\$7,875	\$9,304	\$11,249	\$11,875	\$12,660
Davis	\$1,788	\$2,147	\$2,651	\$3,019	\$3,402	\$2,897	--	\$5,906	\$9,070	\$9,768	\$11,643	\$12,397
Irvine	\$2,292	\$2,515	\$2,993	\$3,435	\$3,054	\$3,047	--	\$6,625	\$10,792	\$14,063	\$14,102	\$16,258
Los Angeles	\$1,856	\$2,406	\$3,048	\$3,469	\$4,423	\$3,543	--	\$7,125	\$9,267	\$10,560	\$12,319	\$12,306
Riverside	\$2,172	\$2,927	\$3,008	\$3,189	\$3,661	\$2,923	--	\$10,562	\$11,750	\$13,081	\$12,128	\$15,431
San Diego	\$1,800	\$2,134	\$3,203	\$3,441	\$4,548	\$3,082	--	\$5,375	\$8,667	\$11,318	\$11,457	\$11,866
San Francisco	\$2,500	\$6,000	\$5,668	\$8,605	\$9,455	\$3,463	--	\$4,944	\$6,708	\$7,859	\$6,186	\$6,045
Santa Barbara	\$1,874	\$1,970	\$2,745	\$2,967	\$3,125	\$3,142	--	\$7,500	\$10,506	\$13,079	\$13,431	\$14,926
Santa Cruz	\$1,847	\$1,928	\$2,612	\$3,240	\$3,067	\$3,499	--	\$10,500	\$6,969	\$12,485	\$14,160	\$15,167
<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	<b>\$1,933</b>	<b>\$2,235</b>	<b>\$2,870</b>	<b>\$3,185</b>	<b>\$3,473</b>	<b>\$3,128</b>	--	<b>\$6,911</b>	<b>\$9,367</b>	<b>\$11,252</b>	<b>\$12,128</b>	<b>\$12,877</b>
<i>CONSTANT 1994-95 DOLLARS</i>												
	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>						<b>GRADUATES</b>					
	<b>'79-80</b>	<b>'82-83</b>	<b>'85-86</b>	<b>'88-89</b>	<b>'91-92</b>	<b>'94-95</b>	<b>'79-80</b>	<b>'82-83</b>	<b>'85-86</b>	<b>'88-89</b>	<b>'91-92</b>	<b>'94-95</b>
Berkeley	\$3,827	\$3,082	\$3,790	\$3,556	\$3,090	\$2,829	--	\$11,840	\$12,711	\$13,667	\$12,643	\$12,660
Davis	\$3,378	\$3,228	\$3,622	\$3,668	\$3,622	\$2,897	--	\$8,880	\$12,392	\$11,867	\$12,396	\$12,397
Irvine	\$4,330	\$3,781	\$4,089	\$4,173	\$3,252	\$3,047	--	\$9,961	\$14,744	\$17,086	\$15,014	\$16,258
Los Angeles	\$3,506	\$3,618	\$4,164	\$4,215	\$4,709	\$3,543	--	\$10,713	\$12,661	\$12,830	\$13,116	\$12,306
Riverside	\$4,103	\$4,401	\$4,110	\$3,874	\$3,898	\$2,923	--	\$15,881	\$16,053	\$15,893	\$12,913	\$15,431
San Diego	\$3,400	\$3,209	\$4,376	\$4,181	\$4,842	\$3,082	--	\$8,082	\$11,841	\$13,751	\$12,198	\$11,866
San Francisco	\$4,723	\$9,021	\$7,744	\$10,454	\$10,067	\$3,463	--	\$7,434	\$9,165	\$9,548	\$6,586	\$6,045
Santa Barbara	\$3,540	\$2,962	\$3,750	\$3,605	\$3,327	\$3,142	--	\$11,277	\$14,354	\$15,890	\$14,300	\$14,926
Santa Cruz	\$3,489	\$2,899	\$3,569	\$3,936	\$3,265	\$3,499	--	\$15,787	\$9,521	\$15,168	\$15,076	\$15,167
<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	<b>\$3,651</b>	<b>\$3,360</b>	<b>\$3,921</b>	<b>\$3,870</b>	<b>\$3,698</b>	<b>\$3,128</b>	--	<b>\$10,391</b>	<b>\$12,798</b>	<b>\$13,670</b>	<b>\$12,913</b>	<b>\$12,877</b>

Note: Constant dollar values are based on the California Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers.

**TABLE D8**  
**Student Annual Income**

<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>		<i>GRADUATES</i>	
<b><i>Median Student Income:</i></b>			
1. Los Angeles	\$3,543	1. Irvine	\$16,258
2. Santa Cruz	\$3,499	2. Riverside	\$15,431
3. San Francisco	\$3,463	3. Santa Cruz	\$15,167
4. Santa Barbara	\$3,142	4. Santa Barbara	\$14,926
5. San Diego	\$3,082	5. Berkeley	\$12,660
6. Irvine	\$3,047	6. Davis	\$12,397
7. Riverside	\$2,923	7. Los Angeles	\$12,306
8. Davis	\$2,897	8. San Diego	\$11,866
9. Berkeley	\$2,829	9. San Francisco	\$6,045
<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	<b>\$3,128</b>	<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	<b>\$12,877</b>

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.*

*Range percentages and median and mean dollars are for all respondents to both Q20 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income).*

**BERKELEY****SEARS 1994-95**

**TABLE D9**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b><u>Dependent</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>
Nothing	21.3%	7.5%	19.1%	7.2%
Less than \$1,000	10.8%	7.1%	10.2%	1.8%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	37.0%	18.7%	34.1%	7.0%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	17.8%	14.9%	17.3%	10.3%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	6.2%	15.7%	7.7%	12.7%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	4.2%	10.4%	5.2%	20.9%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	1.9%	8.4%	2.9%	14.9%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.6%	2.9%	0.9%	11.3%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	7.2%	1.2%	3.9%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.4%	2.4%	0.7%	2.3%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	4.8%	0.8%	7.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$2,458	\$7,345	\$2,829	\$12,660
Mean Dollars	\$3,310	\$12,970	\$4,849	\$17,369
No. of Respondents	444	93	537	542
Non-respondents	5.6%	2.7%	5.2%	3.4%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.  
Median and mean dollars are for all respondents to both Q20 (summer income)  
and Q21 (academic year income).*

**DAVIS****SEARS 1994-95**

**TABLE D10**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b><u>Dependent</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>
Nothing	12.9%	9.4%	12.4%	7.6%
Less than \$1,000	11.0%	5.5%	10.2%	2.0%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	46.9%	24.0%	43.4%	10.3%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	16.9%	8.9%	15.7%	11.6%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	5.1%	8.0%	5.5%	7.4%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	5.5%	13.7%	6.7%	23.1%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	1.7%	10.5%	3.0%	15.7%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.0%	3.8%	0.6%	8.7%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.1%	3.1%	0.5%	3.8%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	3.6%	0.5%	2.8%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	9.6%	1.5%	7.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$2,670	\$7,863	\$2,897	\$12,397
Mean Dollars	\$3,247	\$15,658	\$5,113	\$16,108
No. of Respondents	400	74	474	436
Non-respondents	4.6%	3.0%	4.4%	3.3%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.  
Median and mean dollars are for all respondents to both Q20 (summer income)  
and Q21 (academic year income).*

**TABLE D11**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	20.5%	5.4%	18.7%	11.1%
Less than \$1,000	10.8%	5.7%	10.2%	1.6%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	33.5%	12.1%	30.9%	4.7%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	19.9%	13.9%	19.2%	3.7%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	5.2%	19.3%	6.9%	5.9%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	7.8%	14.5%	8.7%	18.7%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	1.9%	6.7%	2.5%	17.3%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.2%	13.8%	1.9%	9.5%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	7.3%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	7.2%	0.9%	3.7%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	1.4%	0.2%	16.7%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$2,668	\$9,002	\$3,047	\$16,258
Mean Dollars	\$3,564	\$12,941	\$4,708	\$23,922
No. of Respondents	212	39	251	495
Non-respondents	5.6%	5.3%	5.6%	3.8%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings. Median and mean dollars are for all respondents to both Q20 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income).*



**TABLE D12**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b><u>Dependent</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>
Nothing	11.5%	10.1%	11.3%	9.8%
Less than \$1,000	7.2%	7.5%	7.3%	0.7%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	40.2%	21.2%	37.1%	13.9%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	25.5%	23.3%	25.1%	8.9%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	7.7%	6.3%	7.4%	10.8%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	5.7%	14.1%	7.1%	13.0%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	2.2%	3.8%	2.4%	16.5%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.0%	9.7%	1.6%	10.3%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	0.4%	0.1%	0.8%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	3.7%	0.6%	10.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$3,333	\$5,452	\$3,543	\$12,306
Mean Dollars	\$3,743	\$9,233	\$4,632	\$17,402
No. of Respondents	443	89	532	536
Non-respondents	3.0%	5.6%	3.5%	4.6%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.  
Median and mean dollars are for all respondents to both Q20 (summer income)  
and Q21 (academic year income).*

**TABLE D13**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b><u>Dependent</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>
Nothing	21.9%	13.5%	20.3%	6.1%
Less than \$1,000	8.0%	6.9%	7.8%	0.7%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	37.8%	20.1%	34.3%	7.5%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	17.8%	17.6%	17.8%	4.3%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	8.1%	8.6%	8.2%	9.6%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	5.4%	8.3%	5.9%	20.6%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	0.9%	0.7%	0.9%	13.5%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.2%	9.8%	2.1%	10.3%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	4.9%	1.0%	9.0%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	9.7%	1.9%	13.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$2,598	\$5,626	\$2,923	\$15,431
Mean Dollars	\$3,184	\$13,483	\$5,210	\$22,649
No. of Respondents	193	61	254	460
	2.8%	6.1%	3.4%	2.5%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.  
Median and mean dollars are for all respondents to both Q20 (summer income)  
and Q21 (academic year income).*

**TABLE D14**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	22.7%	4.8%	19.9%	13.3%
Less than \$1,000	9.7%	4.6%	8.9%	3.6%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	33.2%	16.7%	30.6%	10.5%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	15.4%	42.5%	19.7%	6.3%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	8.1%	10.8%	8.6%	8.6%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	8.5%	10.2%	8.8%	20.8%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	2.4%	1.6%	2.3%	17.7%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	7.9%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	5.2%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	8.5%	1.4%	4.3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$2,587	\$5,691	\$3,082	\$11,866
Mean Dollars	\$3,557	\$9,547	\$4,504	\$14,015
No. of Respondents	234	53	287	512
Non-respondents	1.3%	2.7%	2.5%	2.4%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.  
Median and mean dollars are for all respondents to both Q20 (summer income)  
and Q21 (academic year income).*

**TABLE D15**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	40.9%	13.2%	21.4%	24.6%
Less than \$1,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	59.1%	24.6%	34.8%	13.5%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	0.0%	29.6%	20.8%	10.2%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	0.0%	14.6%	10.2%	5.8%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	0.0%	5.0%	3.5%	10.6%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	5.0%	3.5%	2.9%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	8.2%	5.8%	10.9%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$1,464	\$5,246	\$3,463	\$6,045
Mean Dollars	\$1,242	\$10,302	\$7,610	\$15,866
No. of Respondents	7	16	23	372
Non-respondents	10.6%	0.0%	3.4%	5.0%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings. Median and mean dollars are for all respondents to both Q20 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income).*

**TABLE D16**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<u>Dependent</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Independent</u>
Nothing	11.4%	5.9%	10.6%	2.9%
Less than \$1,000	5.8%	6.3%	5.9%	0.8%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	51.6%	20.1%	47.0%	5.6%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	24.1%	28.0%	24.7%	5.2%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	3.9%	3.5%	3.8%	9.6%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	2.8%	16.3%	4.8%	26.4%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	0.2%	8.9%	1.5%	23.6%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.2%	1.2%	0.3%	12.8%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	5.4%	0.8%	5.2%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	4.3%	0.6%	5.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$2,905	\$5,894	\$3,142	\$14,926
Mean Dollars	\$3,063	\$10,683	\$4,189	\$18,227
No. of Respondents	264	48	312	452
Non-respondents	5.2%	1.1%	4.6%	3.1%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings. Median and mean dollars are for all respondents to both Q20 (summer income) and Q21 (academic year income).*

**TABLE D17**  
**Student Annual Income by Dependency Status**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>			<b>GRADUATES</b>
	<b><u>Dependent</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>	<b><u>Independent</u></b>
Nothing	10.3%	11.2%	10.5%	1.8%
Less than \$1,000	11.2%	2.6%	9.2%	1.8%
\$1,000 to \$3,999	43.7%	12.1%	36.4%	6.2%
\$4,000 to \$6,999	21.4%	20.9%	21.2%	5.9%
\$7,000 to \$9,999	6.4%	12.2%	7.7%	11.5%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	5.8%	19.5%	9.0%	22.1%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	0.4%	4.4%	1.3%	22.5%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.9%	1.9%	1.1%	11.9%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	4.8%	1.1%	5.2%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	3.0%	0.7%	2.0%
\$50,000 or more	0.0%	7.4%	1.7%	9.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$2,959	\$7,782	\$3,499	\$15,167
Mean Dollars	\$3,448	\$14,153	\$5,931	\$20,209
No. of Respondents	187	61	248	215
Non-respondents	6.8%	10.1%	7.6%	3.8%

*Note: Student annual income is a combination of summer and academic year earnings.  
Median and mean dollars are for all respondents to both Q20 (summer income)  
and Q21 (academic year income).*

## Section E: Loans and Debt

### *Undergraduates*

Over ten percentage points more undergraduates borrowed in 1994-95 than in 1991-92 (40.8% versus 51.0%). The average loan (among those students who borrowed) was over \$720 greater in 1994-95 than 1991-92, after being adjusted for inflation. (*Table E2*)

- *Employment status.* Students who were not employed continued to borrow at a lower rate than employed students (44.7% versus 56.3%). However the gap between these two groups narrowed from 18 percentage points to just under twelve. The difference between the mean amount borrowed for working and non-working students narrowed as well, from \$433 to \$250. (*Table E2*)
- *Parent income.* Students who were not employed and whose parents earned \$60,000 or more had the most dramatic increase in borrowing. The mean loan amount for borrowers in this group was almost \$2,500 more in 1994-95 than in 1991-92. (*Table E2*)
- *Personal loans.* Approximately 20% of undergraduates took out personal loans in the form of money borrowed from family, friends, and/or credit cards to help finance their education in 1994-95. This is relatively unchanged from 1991-2. There was an increase (in constant dollars) in the amount borrowed by those students who did borrow. The median personal loan increased from \$1,215 to \$1527, while the median credit card loan went from \$687 to \$762. (*Table E3*)
- *Cumulative debt.* Cumulative debt is the total amount a student has borrowed to finance his or her education. Overall, about six percentage points more students reported borrowing in 1994-95 than in 1991-92. The largest increase was among first year students whose borrowing rate increased from 43.7% to 52.3%. Students are also borrowing more money. The median amount borrowed increased over \$600 for freshmen and \$3,500 for seniors (in constant dollars). (*Table E4*)
- *Home equity loans.* The percentage of parents who secured home equity loans in order to help finance their children's education decreased from 1991-92 to 1994-95, from 13.2% to 8.3%. This was true across ethnic groups, income groups, and for both aid applicants and non-applicants. (*Table E6*)

### *Graduates*

- *Personal loans.* Approximately 14% of graduate students used money from credit cards, and 18% borrowed money from family and/or friends to help finance their education in 1994-95. This is a slight decrease relative to 1991-2. There was an increase (in constant dollars) in the median amount borrowed by those students who had credit card loans (from \$1,535 to \$1,795). However, there was a slight decrease in the median among those who had personal loans: from \$3,234 to \$3,122. (*Table E3*)
- *Cumulative indebtedness* The cumulative indebtedness of new graduate students is the total amount they borrowed prior to enrolling in the University of California plus the amount borrowed during their first year at UC. There was an increase in the percentage of students who had any previous debt: from 59.7% in 1991-92 to 65.7% in 1994-95. Among students who did borrow there was an increase in the median constant dollar amount borrowed, from \$12,346 in 1991-92 to \$15,379 in 1994-95. In addition, health sciences students continue to have a lower rate of

borrowing (61.8%) than other students (68.7%). Lastly, new professional students have a higher borrowing rate (79.2%) than new academic students (56.6%). (*Table E4*)



TABLE E1

## Loan Status of All Undergraduates, by Employment Status, Class Level, and Parent Income

ALL UNDERGRADUATES			EMPLOYED STUDENTS			NON-EMPLOYED STUDENTS		
	<u>% with a loan</u>	<u>Average loan</u>		<u>% with a loan</u>	<u>Average loan</u>		<u>% with a loan</u>	<u>Average loan</u>
All Undergraduates	50.4%	\$4,684	All Employed students	56.1%	\$4,400	All Not Employed students	43.8%	\$5,120
<b>CLASS LEVEL</b>			<b>CLASS LEVEL</b>			<b>CLASS LEVEL</b>		
Freshman	49.9%	\$4,168	Freshman	62.3%	\$3,424	Freshman	43.3%	\$4,733
Sophomore	51.7%	\$4,540	Sophomore	63.3%	\$4,189	Sophomore	39.1%	\$5,153
Junior	52.7%	\$5,045	Junior	55.3%	\$4,713	Junior	48.7%	\$5,619
Senior	48.0%	\$4,955	Senior	49.9%	\$4,863	Senior	43.3%	\$5,212
<b>PARENT INCOME</b>			<b>PARENT INCOME</b>			<b>PARENT INCOME</b>		
Less than \$30,000	73.2%	\$4,193	Less than \$30,000	75.4%	\$4,066	Less than \$30,000	70.0%	\$4,385
\$30,000 to \$59,999	58.9%	\$4,460	\$30,000 to \$59,999	63.2%	\$4,313	\$30,000 to \$59,999	53.1%	\$4,693
\$60,000 to \$89,999	40.7%	\$5,628	\$60,000 to \$89,999	45.5%	\$5,254	\$60,000 to \$89,999	34.9%	\$6,222
\$90,000 or more	21.9%	\$5,570	\$90,000 or more	28.6%	\$4,321	\$90,000 or more	16.2%	\$7,447
			<b>Hours Worked per Week:</b>					
			Less than 10 hours	58.2%	\$4,036			
			10 to 14	52.0%	\$4,159			
			15 to 19	51.8%	\$4,370			
			20 to 24	57.9%	\$4,426			
			25 to 29	73.9%	\$5,223			
			30 to 34	59.6%	\$4,826			
			35 to 39	63.7%	\$4,881			
			40 or more	52.4%	\$4,862			

Notes: Loan refers to all loans taken out for the 1994-95 academic year. Average loan is for those students who have some loan.

Question 30

**TABLE E2**  
**Loan Status of Domestic Undergraduates, by Employment Status, Class Level, and Parent Income 1991-92 and 1994-95**

ALL STUDENTS					
Hours Worked per Week:	% with a loan		Average loan		
	1991-92	1994-95	1991-92		1994-95
			actual dollars	constant dollars	
Not Employed	30.3%	44.7%	\$3,846	\$4,095	\$5,036
Less than 10 hours	39.3%	58.7%	\$3,194	\$3,401	\$4,033
10 to 14	52.5%	52.0%	\$3,501	\$3,728	\$4,159
15 to 19	48.0%	52.1%	\$3,282	\$3,494	\$4,370
20 to 24	47.8%	57.9%	\$3,809	\$4,055	\$4,316
25 or more	48.9%	63.4%	\$3,276	\$3,488	\$5,032
All Employed students	48.1%	56.3%	\$3,443	\$3,666	\$4,381
All Undergraduates	40.8%	51.0%	\$3,553	\$3,783	\$4,639
No. of Respondents	3,006	3,069	1,324		1,780
EMPLOYED STUDENTS					
CLASS LEVEL	% with a loan		Average loan		
	1991-92	1994-95	1991-92		1994-95
			actual dollars	constant dollars	
Freshman	53.0%	62.4%	\$3,153	\$3,357	\$3,424
Sophomore	47.8%	63.3%	\$2,909	\$3,097	\$4,189
Junior	48.8%	55.7%	\$3,524	\$3,752	\$4,674
Senior	43.7%	50.0%	\$3,869	\$4,119	\$4,842
PARENT INCOME	% with a loan		Average loan		
	1991-92	1994-95	1991-92		1994-95
			actual dollars	constant dollars	
Less than \$30,000	69.1%	76.0%	\$3,222	\$3,430	\$4,049
\$30,000 to \$59,999	54.2%	63.3%	\$3,383	\$3,602	\$4,274
\$60,000 to \$89,999	35.9%	45.5%	\$4,047	\$4,309	\$5,254
\$90,000 or more	20.6%	28.6%	\$3,403	\$3,623	\$4,316
NON-EMPLOYED STUDENTS					
CLASS LEVEL	% with a loan		Average loan		
	1991-92	1994-95	1991-92		1994-95
			actual dollars	constant dollars	
Freshman	27.0%	43.4%	\$3,446	\$3,669	\$4,530
Sophomore	32.0%	39.6%	\$4,160	\$4,429	\$5,153
Junior	35.4%	50.8%	\$4,018	\$4,278	\$5,596
Senior	28.8%	45.6%	\$4,308	\$4,587	\$5,211
PARENT INCOME	% with a loan		Average loan		
	1991-92	1994-95	1991-92		1994-95
			actual dollars	constant dollars	
Less than \$30,000	61.3%	71.9%	\$3,797	\$4,043	\$4,229
\$30,000 to \$59,999	35.3%	53.7%	\$4,050	\$4,312	\$4,620
\$60,000 to \$89,999	19.7%	36.8%	\$3,412	\$3,633	\$6,209
\$90,000 or more	12.2%	16.3%	\$4,539	\$4,833	\$7,447

Notes: Loan refers to all loans taken out for the 1991-92 or 1994-95 academic year. Average loan is for those students who have some loan.

**TABLE E3**  
**Personal Loans, 1991-92 and 1994-95**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>				<b>GRADUATES</b>			
	<u>Family/friends</u>		<u>Credit cards</u>		<u>Family/friends</u>		<u>Credit cards</u>	
	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>
Nothing	80.0%	79.7%	80.2%	80.7%	87.1%	86.2%	82.3%	78.2%
Less than \$200	2.8%	3.3%	2.7%	2.7%	0.8%	1.1%	1.1%	1.9%
\$200 to \$499	3.2%	2.9%	5.6%	4.2%	1.4%	2.0%	3.3%	2.6%
\$500 to \$999	3.5%	2.9%	5.4%	5.3%	2.1%	2.1%	4.8%	4.2%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	3.4%	2.5%	3.5%	3.8%	2.2%	1.5%	4.3%	6.0%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	2.0%	2.1%	1.6%	1.3%	1.8%	1.7%	2.0%	3.7%
\$3,000 to \$3,999	1.1%	1.0%	0.4%	0.9%	1.0%	0.9%	1.1%	1.2%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	1.4%	2.1%	0.2%	0.9%	1.4%	1.3%	0.7%	1.5%
\$6,000 to \$7,999	1.0%	1.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.9%	0.9%	0.2%	0.2%
\$8,000 to \$9,999	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%
\$10,000 or more	1.0%	1.9%	0.2%	0.1%	1.0%	1.8%	0.1%	0.3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.1%</i>	<i>100.1%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>99.9%</i>
<u>Actual dollars</u>								
Median	\$1,141	\$1,434	\$645	\$762	\$2,002	\$2,152	\$961	\$1,357
Mean	\$2,402	\$2,960	\$1,086	\$1,265	\$3,234	\$3,608	\$1,535	\$1,853
<u>Constant (1994-95) dollars</u>								
Median	\$1,215	\$1,434	\$687	\$762	\$3,234	\$3,122	\$1,535	\$1,357
Mean	\$2,557	\$2,960	\$1,156	\$1,265	\$3,443	\$3,841	\$1,634	\$1,853
No. of respondents	2,985	2,840	2,689	2,538	3,079	3,898	2,867	3,770
Non-respondents	4.1%	6.6%	12.3%	15.8%	4.0%	5.6%	10.3%	9.4%

*Note: Means and medians are for students with some loan. Some totals may not equal 100 percent due to rounding.*

**TABLE E4**  
**Cumulative Undergraduate Indebtedness, 1991-92 and 1994-95**

	Freshmen		Sophomores		Juniors		Seniors		All	
	1991-92	1994-95	1991-92	1994-95	1991-92	1994-95	1991-92	1994-95	1991-92	1994-95
Nothing	56.3%	47.7%	45.9%	43.2%	43.0%	37.3%	47.4%	40.7%	48.1%	42.2%
Less than \$1,000	13.5%	2.1%	15.5%	2.9%	12.1%	2.5%	9.7%	3.3%	12.5%	2.7%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	4.2%	4.8%	4.1%	2.5%	5.8%	3.6%	3.5%	2.3%	4.5%	3.4%
\$2,000 to \$3,999	9.2%	17.8%	6.4%	8.8%	6.1%	5.2%	7.8%	4.7%	7.4%	9.3%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	6.5%	10.9%	9.7%	9.5%	9.7%	11.1%	6.4%	5.6%	8.0%	9.3%
\$6,000 to \$9,999	5.6%	9.2%	6.2%	12.5%	8.1%	10.4%	6.8%	10.2%	6.8%	10.4%
\$10,000 to \$13,999	2.5%	4.7%	6.4%	9.3%	7.9%	15.3%	6.8%	13.2%	5.9%	10.7%
\$14,000 to \$17,999	1.2%	1.8%	2.5%	5.8%	3.0%	5.7%	4.6%	7.1%	2.9%	5.0%
\$18,000 to \$21,999	0.3%	0.9%	1.3%	3.1%	2.0%	3.6%	2.6%	4.8%	1.6%	3.1%
\$22,000 to \$25,999	0.3%	0.1%	1.0%	0.8%	0.3%	2.4%	1.5%	2.7%	0.8%	1.5%
\$26,000 to \$29,999	0.0%	0.1%	0.6%	0.5%	0.1%	1.4%	0.7%	2.4%	0.3%	1.1%
\$30,000 to \$33,999	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.8%	0.5%	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%	0.4%
\$34,000 to \$37,999	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%	0.4%
\$38,000 to \$41,999	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%
\$42,000 to \$45,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
\$46,000 to \$49,999	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
\$50,000 to \$53,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
\$54,000 to \$61,999	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%
\$62,000 to \$69,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
\$70,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Median debt</b>										
nominal dollars	\$3,741	\$4,600	\$6,387	\$7,517	\$7,480	\$9,452	\$7,087	\$11,060	\$6,710	\$7,672
constant (1994-94) dollars	\$3,983	\$4,600	\$6,800	\$7,517	\$7,964	\$9,452	\$7,546	\$11,060	\$7,144	\$7,672
<b>Mean debt</b>										
nominal dollars	\$5,326	\$5,609	\$7,191	\$8,934	\$8,216	\$10,592	\$10,074	\$12,596	\$7,897	\$9,565
constant (1994-94) dollars	\$5,671	\$5,609	\$7,656	\$8,934	\$8,747	\$10,592	\$10,726	\$12,596	\$8,407	\$9,565
No. of Respondents	816	856	566	541	842	806	733	725	2,957	2,928
Non-respondents	7.6%	2.1%	9.5%	4.1%	7.9%	6.2%	5.8%	3.9%	7.6%	4.1%

*Note: Cumulative debt includes only borrowing for the current academic year as well as previous years. Means and medians are for students with some debt. On the SEARS 1991-92 questionnaire, \$42,000 or more was the highest interval for previous debt.*

**TABLE E4 (continued)**  
**Cumulative New Graduate Indebtedness, 1991-92 and 1994-95**

	<b>PROFESSIONAL</b>						<b>ACADEMIC</b>					
	<b>Health Sciences</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>All professional</b>		<b>Health Sciences</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>All academic</b>	
	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>	<u>1991-92</u>	<u>1994-95</u>
Nothing	24.3%	23.6%	33.3%	18.6%	29.0%	20.8%	61.2%	48.7%	38.4%	39.4%	46.8%	43.4%
Less than \$1,000	0.5%	0.7%	0.6%	2.3%	0.6%	1.6%	1.7%	0.3%	2.5%	1.1%	2.2%	0.7%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	0.7%	0.6%	0.5%	0.1%	0.6%	0.3%	2.0%	1.8%	1.8%	1.9%	1.9%	1.8%
\$2,000 to \$3,999	2.1%	3.4%	4.3%	0.6%	3.2%	1.8%	8.8%	3.6%	5.2%	3.3%	6.5%	3.5%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	4.8%	1.5%	9.4%	2.9%	7.2%	2.3%	2.0%	6.8%	4.6%	5.1%	3.6%	5.9%
\$6,000 to \$9,999	19.8%	10.6%	11.5%	11.7%	15.5%	11.2%	6.9%	6.4%	11.5%	8.8%	9.8%	7.7%
\$10,000 to \$13,999	16.5%	12.8%	12.4%	10.7%	14.4%	11.7%	5.7%	10.1%	13.0%	9.0%	10.3%	9.5%
\$14,000 to \$17,999	9.1%	12.3%	6.9%	23.9%	8.0%	18.7%	2.7%	3.2%	10.3%	9.4%	7.5%	6.8%
\$18,000 to \$21,999	7.7%	11.1%	3.4%	7.1%	5.5%	8.9%	4.1%	7.6%	7.8%	8.2%	6.4%	7.9%
\$22,000 to \$25,999	4.4%	5.1%	12.4%	5.5%	8.5%	5.3%	1.6%	1.3%	2.8%	4.8%	2.3%	3.3%
\$26,000 to \$29,999	1.7%	7.4%	3.6%	2.8%	2.7%	4.8%	0.0%	5.3%	0.3%	2.3%	0.2%	3.6%
\$30,000 to \$33,999	0.0%	4.2%	1.2%	4.3%	0.6%	4.3%	0.9%	1.4%	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%	1.1%
\$34,000 to \$37,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	2.1%	0.7%	1.0%	0.8%	2.1%	0.8%	1.6%
\$38,000 to \$41,999	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	1.7%	1.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
\$42,000 to \$45,999	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	1.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.5%
\$46,000 to \$49,999	5.8%	3.1%	0.0%	0.1%	2.8%	1.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
\$50,000 to \$53,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$54,000 to \$61,999	0.6%	3.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	2.7%
\$62,000 to \$69,999	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
\$70,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Median debt</b>												
nominal dollars	\$12,406	\$16,848	\$12,277	\$16,058	\$12,348	\$16,289	\$8,824	\$12,716	\$11,612	\$14,473	\$10,993	\$13,687
constant (1994-95) dollars	\$13,209	\$16,848	\$13,072	\$16,058	\$13,147	\$16,289	\$9,395	\$12,716	\$12,363	\$14,473	\$11,704	\$13,687
<b>Mean debt</b>												
nominal dollars	\$16,171	\$19,492	\$13,982	\$18,855	\$15,112	\$19,129	\$11,652	\$16,314	\$12,115	\$16,915	\$11,991	\$16,683
constant (1994-95) dollars	\$17,217	\$19,492	\$14,887	\$18,855	\$16,090	\$19,129	\$12,406	\$16,314	\$12,899	\$16,915	\$12,767	\$16,683
No. of Respondents	156	226	118	230	274	456	156	267	341	445	497	712
Non-respondents	8.8%	2.9%	8.2%	3.0%	8.5%	2.9%	10.0%	4.0%	7.4%	2.7%	8.4%	3.3%

*Note: Cumulative debt includes borrowing from the current academic year as well as previous years. Means and medians are for students with some debt. Some totals may not equal 100 percent due to rounding. On the 1991-92 SEARS questionnaire, \$42,000 or more was the highest interval for previous debt.*

**TREND****SEARS 1994-95**

**TABLE E4 (continued)**  
**Cumulative New Graduate Indebtedness, 1991-92 and 1994-95**

	<i>ALL</i>					
	<b>Health Sciences</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>All</b>	
	1991-92	1994-95	1991-92	1994-95	1991-92	1994-95
Nothing	45.4%	38.2%	36.8%	31.3%	40.3%	34.3%
Less than \$1,000	1.2%	0.4%	1.9%	1.6%	1.6%	1.1%
\$1,000 to \$1,999	1.4%	1.3%	1.4%	1.2%	1.4%	1.2%
\$2,000 to \$3,999	6.0%	3.5%	4.9%	2.2%	5.3%	2.8%
\$4,000 to \$5,999	3.2%	4.6%	6.1%	4.2%	4.9%	4.4%
\$6,000 to \$9,999	12.5%	8.1%	11.5%	9.9%	11.9%	9.1%
\$10,000 to \$13,999	10.3%	11.2%	12.8%	9.7%	11.8%	10.3%
\$14,000 to \$17,999	5.4%	7.0%	9.2%	15.2%	7.7%	11.6%
\$18,000 to \$21,999	5.6%	9.1%	6.4%	7.7%	6.1%	8.3%
\$22,000 to \$25,999	2.8%	2.9%	5.8%	5.1%	4.6%	4.1%
\$26,000 to \$29,999	0.7%	6.2%	1.3%	2.5%	1.1%	4.1%
\$30,000 to \$33,999	0.5%	2.6%	1.0%	2.3%	0.8%	2.4%
\$34,000 to \$37,999	0.4%	0.6%	0.5%	2.8%	0.5%	1.8%
\$38,000 to \$41,999	0.8%	0.2%	0.1%	1.2%	0.4%	0.8%
\$42,000 to \$45,999	0.9%	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%
\$46,000 to \$49,999	2.7%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.6%
\$50,000 to \$53,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
\$54,000 to \$61,999	0.3%	2.6%	0.0%	2.2%	0.1%	2.4%
\$62,000 to \$69,999	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%
\$70,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.2%</i>	<i>100.1%</i>
<b>Median debt</b>						
nominal dollars	\$11,192	\$15,016	\$11,809	\$15,479	\$11,596	\$15,379
constant (1994-95) dollars	\$11,917	\$15,016	\$12,573	\$15,479	\$12,346	\$15,379
<b>Mean debt</b>						
nominal dollars	\$14,333	\$17,958	\$12,734	\$17,856	\$13,332	\$17,898
constant (1994-95) dollars	\$15,260	\$17,958	\$13,558	\$17,856	\$14,195	\$15,379
No. of Respondents	312	493	460	679	772	1172
Non-respondents	9.5%	3.5%	7.7%	2.8%	8.4%	3.1%

*Note: Cumulative debt includes borrowing from the current academic year as well as previous years.*

*Means and medians are for students with some debt. Some totals may not equal 100 percent due to rounding.*

*On the 1991-92 SEARS questionnaire, \$42,000 or more was the highest interval for previous debt.*

**TREND****SEARS 1994-95****TABLE E5****Home Ownership Status of Undergraduate Parents, 1991-92 and 1994-95**

	<b>Percent of parents who own a home</b>			<b>Percent of parents who own a home</b>	
	<b>1991-92</b>	<b>1994-95</b>		<b>1991-92</b>	<b>1994-95</b>
<b>PARENT INCOME:</b>			<b>AID RECIPIENT STATUS:</b>		
Less than \$30,000	46.8%	41.9%	Received aid	66.5%	63.9%
\$30,000 to \$59,999	77.3%	75.2%	Did not receive aid	88.6%	90.7%
\$60,000 to \$89,999	88.7%	86.9%	<i>Number of respondents</i>	2,498	2,870
\$90,000 and above	91.3%	91.6%	<b>TOTAL (all students):</b>	75.4%	72.0%
<i>Number of respondents</i>	2,381	2,822			

**TREND****SEARS 1994-95****TABLE E6****Home Equity Loans -- Undergraduates, 1991-92 and 1994-95****ETHNICITY**

<i>Percent of parents with a home equity loan to help pay for UC</i>		<b>Asian</b>	<b>African</b>	<b>Chicano</b>	<b>Latino</b>	<b>White</b>	<b>All</b>
		<b>American</b>	<b>American</b>				
	<b>1991-92</b>	12.9%	9.1%	10.5%	9.8%	14.6%	13.2%
	<b>1994-95</b>	6.2%	4.0%	6.0%	8.9%	9.1%	8.3%

**PARENT INCOME**

<i>Percent of parents with a home equity loan to help pay for UC</i>		<b>Less than</b>	<b>\$30,000 to</b>	<b>\$60,000 to</b>	<b>\$90,000 or</b>
		<b>\$30,000</b>	<b>\$59,999</b>	<b>\$89,999</b>	<b>more</b>
	<b>1991-92</b>	6.4%	13.7%	19.6%	15.8%
	<b>1994-95</b>	3.3%	8.4%	11.0%	12.4%

**FINANCIAL AID APPLICANT STATUS**

<i>Percent of parents with a home equity loan to help pay for UC</i>		<b>Aid</b>	<b>Non-</b>
		<b>applicant</b>	<b>applicant</b>
	<b>1991-92</b>	12.3%	14.0%
	<b>1994-95</b>	7.2%	10.2%

*Note: Data are for domestic students only. "All students" includes ethnic groups not specifically named.*

**TABLE E7**  
**Percent of Undergraduates using Fee Installment Plans, 1991-92 and 1994-95**

**CAMPUS**

	<u>UCB</u>	<u>UCD</u>	<u>UCI</u>	<u>UCLA</u>	<u>UCR</u>	<u>UCSD</u>	<u>UCSB</u>	<u>UCSC</u>	<u>SYSTEMWIDE</u>
<b>1991-92</b>	37.4%	6.8%	7.1%	11.8%	10.0%	17.1%	17.9%	17.1%	16.8%
<b>1994-95</b>	40.2%	15.8%	21.8%	21.0%	22.4%	28.9%	32.0%	21.1%	26.2%

**PARENT INCOME**

	<u>Less than</u> <u>\$30,000</u>	<u>\$30,000 to</u> <u>\$59,999</u>	<u>\$60,000 to</u> <u>\$89,999</u>	<u>\$90,000 and</u> <u>above</u>
<b>1991-92</b>	13.2%	19.5%	18.9%	15.7%
<b>1994-95</b>	24.3%	25.7%	28.4%	27.2%

*Notes: Systemwide includes San Francisco.*



## Section F: Budget Category

Students are classified into categories based on their residence during the academic year, marital, and parental status. These “budget” categories are used by campus financial aid offices to determine what type of expenses a student can be expected to have during the year. For example, students who commute to classes while living with their parents have different living (e.g., housing and food) expenses than students who live in dormitories or off-campus apartments.

### *Undergraduates*

The proportion of commuters continued the decline that began in the 1985-86 SEARS. Commuters decreased from 11.0% in 1991-92 to 10.2% in 1994-95. Other/off-campus declined after a trend of increases that began with the 1998-89 SEARS. In 1994-95 other/off-campus students comprised 56.2% of undergraduates, compared to 61.2% in 1991-92. These decreases were accompanied by an increase in on-campus students from 27.8% in 1991-92 to 33.6% in 1994-95. This was the first increase since the 1985-86 SEARS. (*Tables F1 and F2*)

- *Campus. (Table F3)*
  - Commuters Irvine reported the largest proportion of commuters (23.0%), as it did in both the 1988-89 and 1991-92 surveys. Riverside was a close second at 22.9% (exclusive of UCSF). Santa Barbara had the smallest proportion of commuters, just 1.3%.
  - On-Campus Santa Cruz continues to have the largest proportion of undergraduates (41.7%) living on-campus, while Davis reported the smallest percentage (24.3).
  - Other/off-campus By far, Davis had the largest proportion of undergraduate students living off-campus -- 71.5%. At 43.1%, Irvine had the smallest percentage of off-campus students.
- *Ethnicity. (Table F4)*
  - Commuters African American and Native American students had the smallest proportion of commuters in 1994-95 (7.3% and 7.9%, respectively), while Latino students had the largest (13.6%).
  - On-Campus Asian Americans had the largest proportion of students living on-campus (38.6%), while whites had the smallest (29.9%).
  - Other/off-campus Whites and Native Americans lead all other ethnic groups in off-campus students, with 61.5 and 61.3%, respectively. Asian Americans, meanwhile, reported the smallest proportion living off-campus (48.9%).

### *Graduates*

Generally, graduate students are in the budget category of other/off-campus. Over half (56.2%) of these students live off-campus and over a quarter (28.3%) are married. Less than ten percent lives in on campus housing. (*Table F1*)

- *Campus. (Table F6)*
  - Commuters Although only 2.5% of the entire graduate population are commuters, there is some variation by campus. Irvine reported the largest proportion of graduate commuters (5.7%), while Santa Cruz reported the smallest (0.3%).
  - On-Campus Even though less than one tenth of graduates lives on-campus, two campuses have about three times that proportion: San Diego (32.4%) and Irvine (29.7%). In comparison, only 4.2% of Berkeley and 3.4% of San Francisco graduate students live on campus.
  - Other/off-campus Almost 95% of Berkeley graduate students fall within the other/off-campus budget category. San Diego and Irvine reported the smallest proportions of graduate students in this category, 66.0% and 64.6%, respectively.

**TABLE F1**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category**

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	10.2%	2.5%	8.5%
On-Campus	33.6%	9.7%	28.3%
Other	56.2%	87.8%	63.3%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	10.2%	2.5%	8.5%
On-Campus	33.6%	9.7%	28.3%
Off-Campus	48.8%	56.2%	50.4%
Married Non-Parent	2.2%	17.8%	5.7%
Married Parent	1.1%	10.5%	3.2%
Single Parent	4.1%	3.3%	3.9%

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home. 'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units.*  
*Questions 5, 6, and 7*

**TABLE F2**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1994-95**

	UNDERGRADUATES						GRADUATES					
	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95
<i>Commuter</i>	--	15.1%	15.4%	12.6%	11.0%	10.2%	--	3.3%	2.8%	3.2%	3.0%	2.5%
<i>On-Campus</i>	--	34.7%	36.5%	33.9%	27.8%	33.6%	--	7.4%	6.3%	7.7%	8.1%	9.7%
<i>Other</i>	--	50.2%	48.0%	53.5%	61.2%	56.2%	--	89.3%	90.9%	89.1%	88.8%	87.8%
Off-Campus	--	43.3%	41.5%	48.1%	53.1%	48.8%	--	56.4%	52.4%	52.7%	54.5%	56.2%
Married Non-Parents	--	2.7%	2.6%	2.2%	2.8%	2.2%	--	17.2%	20.5%	19.2%	18.0%	17.8%
Married Parents	--	2.0%	1.6%	1.2%	1.3%	1.1%	--	12.1%	14.3%	13.3%	12.2%	10.5%
Single Parents	--	2.2%	2.3%	2.0%	4.0%	4.1%	--	3.6%	3.7%	3.9%	4.2%	3.3%

  

	ALL					
	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95
<i>Commuter</i>	10.6%	11.6%	12.4%	10.5%	9.3%	8.5%
<i>On-Campus</i>	25.8%	26.5%	29.2%	28.0%	23.4%	28.3%
<i>Other</i>	63.6%	62.0%	58.4%	61.4%	67.3%	63.3%
Off-Campus	47.8%	48.2%	44.1%	49.1%	53.4%	50.4%
Married Non-Parents	7.7%	6.5%	6.9%	6.0%	6.2%	5.7%
Married Parents	5.2%	4.7%	4.7%	3.9%	3.7%	3.2%
Single Parents	2.9%	2.6%	2.7%	2.4%	4.0%	3.9%

*Note: 'Other' budget category includes off-campus students, married non-parents, and single parents.*

**TABLE F3**  
**Undergraduate Budget Category by Campus, 1988-89 to 1994-95**

	<u>Berkeley</u>	<u>Davis</u>	<u>Irvine</u>	<u>Los Angeles</u>	<u>Riverside</u>	<u>San Diego</u>	<u>San Francisco</u>	<u>Santa Barbara</u>	<u>Santa Cruz</u>	<u>Systemwide</u>
<b>COMMUTER</b>										
<b>1988-89</b>	12.4%	2.7%	31.6%	14.5%	19.1%	18.1%	23.8%	1.5%	4.8%	12.6%
<b>1991-92</b>	7.6%	3.2%	22.1%	15.2%	21.4%	17.0%	7.7%	3.1%	2.9%	11.0%
<b>1994-95</b>	7.5%	4.2%	23.0%	14.7%	22.9%	10.1%	17.3%	1.3%	2.0%	10.2%
<b>ON-CAMPUS</b>										
<b>1988-89</b>	38.6%	31.7%	21.9%	29.3%	33.3%	36.3%	2.2%	39.6%	42.8%	33.9%
<b>1991-92</b>	36.5%	22.6%	26.9%	25.4%	24.3%	25.1%	11.4%	21.8%	42.7%	27.8%
<b>1994-95</b>	37.2%	24.3%	33.9%	33.8%	27.7%	37.4%	22.4%	33.3%	41.7%	33.6%
<b>OTHER</b>										
<b>1988-89</b>	49.0%	65.5%	46.5%	56.2%	47.5%	45.6%	74.1%	58.9%	52.4%	53.5%
<b>1991-92</b>	55.9%	74.2%	51.0%	59.3%	54.4%	58.0%	81.0%	75.1%	54.4%	61.2%
<b>1994-95</b>	55.3%	71.5%	43.1%	51.5%	49.3%	52.5%	60.4%	65.4%	56.3%	56.2%

*Note: 'Other' budget category includes off-campus students, married non-parents, and single parents.*

**TREND****SEARS 1994-95**

**TABLE F4**  
**Undergraduate Budget Category by Ethnicity, 1988-89 to 1994-95**

	<u>Asian American</u>	<u>African American</u>	<u>Chicano</u>	<u>Latino</u>	<u>Native American</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Systemwide</u>
<b>COMMUTER</b>								
<b>1988-89</b>	17.0%	17.1%	17.1%	17.3%	7.0%	9.2%	19.1%	12.6%
<b>1991-92</b>	14.9%	6.6%	16.0%	12.8%	13.6%	8.0%	14.7%	11.0%
<b>1994-95</b>	11.7%	7.3%	12.8%	13.6%	7.9%	8.6%	9.6%	10.2%
<b>ON-CAMPUS</b>								
<b>1988-89</b>	35.3%	35.2%	36.2%	30.7%	22.6%	33.7%	34.5%	33.9%
<b>1991-92</b>	28.5%	27.0%	29.1%	29.1%	30.8%	26.0%	35.8%	27.8%
<b>1994-95</b>	38.6%	35.5%	32.6%	33.2%	30.9%	29.9%	35.4%	33.6%
<b>OTHER</b>								
<b>1988-89</b>	47.7%	47.7%	46.7%	52.0%	70.4%	57.1%	46.4%	53.5%
<b>1991-92</b>	56.6%	66.4%	54.9%	58.1%	55.6%	66.0%	49.6%	61.2%
<b>1994-95</b>	49.8%	57.3%	54.6%	53.2%	61.3%	61.5%	55.1%	56.2%

*Note: 'Other' budget category includes off-campus students, married non-parents, and single parents.*

**TABLE F5**

Undergraduate Budget Category by Parent Income, 1991-92 and 1994-95

	Less than <u>\$30,000</u>	\$30,000 to <u>\$59,999</u>	\$60,000 to <u>\$89,999</u>	\$90,000 and <u>above</u>
<b>COMMUTER</b>				
<b>1991-92</b>	11.2%	13.1%	10.6%	9.0%
<b>1994-95</b>	10.2%	11.3%	6.8%	10.4%
<b>ON-CAMPUS</b>				
<b>1991-92</b>	25.6%	26.7%	31.0%	30.2%
<b>1994-95</b>	34.5%	39.6%	34.7%	38.3%
<b>OTHER</b>				
<b>1991-92</b>	63.2%	60.1%	58.4%	60.8%
<b>1994-95</b>	55.3%	49.1%	58.5%	51.3%

*Note: 'Other' budget category includes off-campus students, married non-parents, and single parents.*

**TABLE F6**  
**Budget Category**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>		<b>GRADUATES</b>
<b><u>Percent Commuter:</u></b>			
1. Irvine	23.0%	1. Irvine	5.7%
2. Riverside	22.9%	2. Riverside	4.2%
3. San Francisco	17.3%	3. San Francisco	3.8%
4. Los Angeles	14.7%	4. Los Angeles	3.6%
5. San Diego	10.1%	5. San Diego	1.6%
6. Berkeley	7.5%	6. Berkeley	1.1%
7. Davis	4.2%	7. Davis	1.1%
8. Santa Cruz	2.0%	8. Santa Barbara	1.1%
9. Santa Barbara	1.3%	9. Santa Cruz	0.3%
<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	<b>2.5%</b>
<b><u>Percent On-Campus:</u></b>			
1. Santa Cruz	41.7%	1. San Diego	32.4%
2. San Diego	37.4%	2. Irvine	29.7%
3. Berkeley	37.2%	3. Riverside	9.8%
4. Irvine	33.9%	4. Santa Cruz	8.4%
5. Los Angeles	33.8%	5. Los Angeles	6.9%
6. Santa Barbara	33.3%	6. Santa Barbara	6.3%
7. Riverside	27.7%	7. Davis	4.8%
8. Davis	24.3%	8. Berkeley	4.2%
9. San Francisco	22.4%	9. San Francisco	3.4%
<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	<b>33.6%</b>	<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	<b>9.7%</b>
<b><u>Percent Other:</u></b>			
1. Davis	71.5%	1. Berkeley	94.7%
2. Santa Barbara	65.4%	2. Davis	93.9%
3. San Francisco	60.4%	3. San Francisco	92.8%
4. Santa Cruz	56.3%	4. Santa Barbara	92.7%
5. Berkeley	55.3%	5. Santa Cruz	91.3%
6. San Diego	52.5%	6. Los Angeles	89.6%
7. Los Angeles	51.5%	7. Riverside	86.0%
8. Riverside	49.3%	8. San Diego	66.0%
9. Irvine	43.1%	9. Irvine	64.6%
<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	<b>56.2%</b>	<b>SYSTEMWIDE</b>	<b>87.8%</b>

**TABLE F7**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category**

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	7.5%	1.1%	5.7%
On-Campus	37.2%	4.2%	27.8%
Other	55.3%	94.7%	66.5%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	7.5%	1.1%	5.7%
On-Campus	37.2%	4.2%	27.8%
Off-Campus	49.0%	66.9%	54.1%
Married Non-Parent	1.6%	15.8%	5.6%
Married Parent	1.7%	8.7%	3.7%
Single Parent	3.0%	3.4%	3.1%

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home.*

*'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units.*

*Questions 5, 6, and 7*



**TABLE F8**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category**

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	4.2%	1.4%	3.6%
On-Campus	24.3%	4.8%	20.4%
Other	71.5%	93.9%	76.0%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	4.2%	1.4%	3.6%
On-Campus	24.3%	4.8%	20.4%
Off-Campus	61.8%	56.2%	60.7%
Married Non-Parent	3.9%	20.0%	7.1%
Married Parent	1.1%	13.4%	3.6%
Single Parent	4.7%	4.3%	4.7%

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home.*

*'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units.*

*Questions 5, 6, and 7*

**TABLE F9**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category**

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	23.0%	5.7%	20.0%
On-Campus	33.9%	29.7%	33.2%
Other	43.1%	64.6%	46.8%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	23.0%	5.7%	20.0%
On-Campus	33.9%	29.7%	33.2%
Off-Campus	38.7%	28.2%	36.9%
Married Non-Parent	0.8%	21.1%	4.3%
Married Parent	0.8%	12.0%	2.7%
Single Parent	2.7%	3.4%	2.8%

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home.*

*'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units.*

*Questions 5, 6, and 7*

**TABLE F10**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category**

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	14.7%	3.6%	11.4%
On-Campus	33.8%	6.9%	25.9%
Other	51.5%	89.6%	62.8%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	14.7%	3.6%	11.4%
On-Campus	33.8%	6.9%	25.9%
Off-Campus	46.0%	60.5%	50.3%
Married Non-Parent	1.3%	17.1%	6.0%
Married Parent	0.8%	9.3%	3.3%
Single Parent	3.5%	2.7%	3.3%

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home.*

*'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units.*

*Questions 5, 6, and 7*

**TABLE F11**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category**

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	22.9%	4.2%	20.2%
On-Campus	27.7%	9.8%	25.1%
Other	49.3%	86.0%	54.6%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	22.9%	4.2%	20.2%
On-Campus	27.7%	9.8%	25.1%
Off-Campus	35.9%	37.2%	36.1%
Married Non-Parent	3.8%	18.7%	5.9%
Married Parent	1.6%	22.6%	4.6%
Single Parent	8.0%	7.5%	8.0%

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home.*

*'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units.*

*Questions 5, 6, and 7*

**TABLE F12**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category**

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	10.1%	1.6%	8.7%
On-Campus	37.4%	32.4%	36.6%
Other	52.5%	66.0%	54.7%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	10.1%	1.6%	8.7%
On-Campus	37.4%	32.4%	36.6%
Off-Campus	43.6%	36.4%	42.4%
Married Non-Parent	2.2%	21.7%	5.4%
Married Parent	0.9%	6.7%	1.8%
Single Parent	5.9%	1.3%	5.1%

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home. 'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units.*  
*Questions 5, 6, and 7*

**TABLE F13**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category**

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	17.3%	3.8%	4.0%
On-Campus	22.4%	3.4%	3.7%
Other	60.4%	92.8%	92.3%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	17.3%	3.8%	4.0%
On-Campus	22.4%	3.4%	3.7%
Off-Campus	40.6%	63.0%	62.7%
Married Non-Parent	7.0%	17.2%	17.0%
Married Parent	5.8%	8.6%	8.6%
Single Parent	7.0%	4.0%	4.0%

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home. 'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units.*  
*Questions 5, 6, and 7*

**TABLE F14**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category**

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	1.3%	1.1%	1.2%
On-Campus	33.3%	6.3%	29.8%
Other	65.4%	92.7%	68.9%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	1.3%	1.1%	1.2%
On-Campus	33.3%	6.3%	29.8%
Off-Campus	59.7%	61.6%	60.0%
Married Non-Parent	1.6%	15.2%	3.3%
Married Parent	0.8%	13.2%	2.4%
Single Parent	3.4%	2.7%	3.3%

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home.*

*'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units.*

*Questions 5, 6, and 7*

**TABLE F15**  
**Percent of Students by Budget Category**

**Congressional Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	2.0%	0.3%	1.9%
On-Campus	41.7%	8.4%	38.8%
Other	56.3%	91.3%	59.4%

**Uniform Budget**

**Categories:**

	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL</b>
Commuter	2.0%	0.3%	1.9%
On-Campus	41.7%	8.4%	38.8%
Off-Campus	45.2%	53.5%	46.0%
Married Non-Parent	4.6%	18.4%	5.8%
Married Parent	2.1%	14.7%	3.2%
Single Parent	4.4%	4.7%	4.4%

*Note: 'Commuter' includes single students with no dependents who live in their parents' or relatives' home.*

*'On-campus' refers to single students with no dependents who reside in a college dormitory, residence hall, college apartment, fraternity, sorority, or co-op. 'Other' includes all married students and those living in off-campus housing units.*

*Questions 5, 6, and 7*



## Section G: Expenses

### *Undergraduates*

- The median housing expenses changed very little from 1991-92 to 1994-95. After accounting for inflation, students living on-campus paid an average of \$650 per month for housing and food, compared to \$657 per month in 1991-92. Off-campus students paid an average of \$423 per month in housing, compared to \$421 per month in 1991-92. (*Table G5*)
- Overall, food expenses decreased slightly for the budget categories of commuter and other/off-campus. Commuters paid an average of \$60 per month for food, compared to \$66 per month in 1991-92. Students living off-campus paid \$153 per month, compared to \$159 per month in 1991-92. (*Table G6*)
- School supply expenses were unchanged in 1994-95, compared to the inflation-adjusted expenses for 1991-92. Undergraduates spent an average of \$629 on school supplies. (*Table G7*)
- Local transportation expenses increased slightly, with commuters paying \$61 per month in local transportation and other/off-campus students paying \$24 per month. In 1991-92, commuters paid \$59 per month and other/off-campus undergraduates paid \$18 per month in local transportation expenses. (*Table G8*)

### *Graduates*

- Overall, housing expenses increased from 1991-92 to 1994-95. Off-campus housing expenses increased to \$690 per month in 1994-95, compared to \$577 per month in 1991-92. However, for the small proportion of graduate students living on-campus expenses decreased to an average of \$573 per month for housing and food in 1994-95 (compared to \$627 per month in 1991-92). (*Table G5*)
- Food expenses followed no particular pattern across budget categories. Commuters paid \$127 per month in food expenses in 1994-95, compared to \$104 per month in 1991-92. Graduate students living off-campus paid an average of \$237 per month in food in 1994-95, which is identical to the inflation-adjusted expense for the 1991-92 survey. (*Table G6*)
- School supply expenses decreased in 1994-95, after rising dramatically between the 1988-89 and 1991-92 surveys. Graduate students spent an average of \$545 on school supplies during the academic year, compared to \$583 in 1991-92. (*Table G7*)
- In 1994-95, local transportation expenses rose to \$65 for commuters and fell slightly to \$32 for students living off-campus. In 1991-92, commuters paid \$57 per month and off-campus graduate students paid \$34 per month in local transportation expenses. (*Table G8*)

**TABLE G1**  
**Student Housing Expenses by Budget Category**

<i>Dollars per month:</i>	COMMUTER			ON-CAMPUS			OTHER		
	<u>UG</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>UG</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>UG</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>All</u>
Nothing	50.8%	47.8%	50.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	2.0%	0.1%	1.4%
Less than \$150	19.0%	16.1%	18.7%	1.6%	1.4%	1.6%	1.7%	0.5%	1.3%
\$150 to \$299	17.8%	5.5%	16.7%	5.7%	1.4%	5.4%	11.6%	3.5%	9.0%
\$300 to \$449	7.6%	20.6%	8.8%	9.8%	19.4%	10.7%	42.4%	20.5%	35.4%
\$450 to \$599	2.3%	0.9%	2.1%	23.2%	41.4%	24.8%	29.0%	28.6%	28.9%
\$600 to \$749	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	27.1%	22.5%	26.7%	7.8%	17.3%	10.8%
\$750 to \$899	0.0%	9.2%	0.9%	22.7%	8.3%	21.4%	1.8%	9.7%	4.3%
\$900 to \$1,049	2.4%	0.0%	2.2%	4.6%	4.1%	4.6%	1.6%	4.6%	2.5%
\$1,050 to \$1,199	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	4.1%	0.6%	3.2%	1.4%
\$1,200 to \$1,349	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.1%	0.5%	3.4%	1.4%
\$1,350 to \$1,499	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	2.3%	1.3%
\$1,500 or more	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%	6.5%	2.3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$	\$	\$	\$650	\$551	\$639	\$423	\$584	\$465
Mean Dollars	\$119	\$182	\$125	\$640	\$573	\$634	\$451	\$690	\$528
No. of Respondents	89	31	120	283	78	361	461	591	1052
Non-respondents	1.4%	4.4%	1.7%	2.9%	0.0%	2.7%	9.0%	2.6%	7.1%

*Note: On-campus housing expenses are actually a combination of food and housing expenses.  
Questions 42, 43, 44, and 45*

**TABLE G2**  
**Food Expenses by Budget Category**

<i><b>Dollars per month:</b></i>	<b>COMMUTER</b>			<b>OTHER</b>		
	<b><u>UG</u></b>	<b><u>GR</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>	<b><u>UG</u></b>	<b><u>GR</u></b>	<b><u>All</u></b>
Nothing	28.6%	21.8%	27.9%	1.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Less than \$75	27.4%	15.2%	26.3%	9.0%	1.3%	6.5%
\$75 to \$149	23.6%	18.8%	23.1%	38.5%	16.2%	31.4%
\$150 to \$224	10.4%	31.4%	12.4%	33.2%	28.6%	31.7%
\$225 to \$299	7.7%	12.7%	8.2%	10.8%	23.7%	14.9%
\$300 to \$374	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	2.9%	11.1%	5.5%
\$375 to \$449	2.3%	0.0%	2.1%	2.0%	10.6%	4.8%
\$450 to \$524	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	3.3%	2.4%
\$525 to \$599	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	2.6%	1.2%
\$600 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	2.6%	0.9%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$60	\$127	\$64	\$153	\$237	\$177
Mean Dollars	\$86	\$119	\$89	\$168	\$263	\$198
No. of Respondents	88	31	119	461	592	1,053
Non-respondents	1.7%	4.4%	2.0%	9.0%	2.4%	7.0%

*Note: Separate food expenses are not available for students living on-campus.*

*Question 46*



**TABLE G4**

**Local Transportation Expenses by Budget Category and Student Level**

<i>Dollars per month</i>	<b>COMMUTER</b>			<b>ON-CAMPUS</b>			<b>OTHER</b>		
	<b>UG</b>	<b>GR</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>UG</b>	<b>GR</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>UG</b>	<b>GR</b>	<b>All</b>
Nothing	8.5%	0.0%	7.7%	69.2%	44.4%	67.0%	31.7%	19.5%	28.0%
Less than \$30	14.6%	4.8%	13.6%	19.1%	33.5%	20.4%	23.8%	28.3%	25.2%
\$30 to \$39	4.1%	13.1%	5.0%	3.3%	1.7%	3.2%	12.5%	12.2%	12.4%
\$40 to \$49	11.3%	17.6%	11.9%	3.2%	6.0%	3.4%	8.8%	10.1%	9.2%
\$50 to \$64	15.6%	14.9%	15.5%	1.9%	6.3%	2.3%	9.6%	10.5%	9.9%
\$65 to \$79	12.7%	12.7%	12.7%	1.5%	3.5%	1.7%	4.7%	6.2%	5.2%
\$80 to \$94	13.3%	7.2%	12.7%	1.0%	3.2%	1.2%	2.8%	4.4%	3.3%
\$95 to \$104	4.3%	15.3%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	1.0%	0.9%
\$105 to \$119	6.3%	2.9%	6.0%	0.8%	1.4%	0.8%	2.3%	2.8%	2.5%
\$120 or more	9.2%	11.5%	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	4.9%	3.6%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$61	\$65	\$61	\$	\$6	\$	\$24	\$32	\$27
Mean Dollars	\$61	\$70	\$62	\$9	\$19	\$10	\$30	\$38	\$33
No. of Respondents	91	32	123	286	78	364	500	603	1,103
Non-respondents	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	1.6%	0.0%	1.4%	0.5%	0.7%	0.6%

  

	<b>ALL UNDERGRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL GRADUATES</b>	<b>ALL STUDENTS</b>
Nothing	42.0%	21.4%	37.4%
Less than \$30	21.3%	28.1%	22.9%
\$30 to \$39	8.5%	10.9%	9.0%
\$40 to \$49	7.1%	9.9%	7.7%
\$50 to \$64	7.6%	10.1%	8.2%
\$65 to \$79	4.4%	6.2%	4.8%
\$80 to \$94	3.2%	4.5%	3.5%
\$95 to \$104	0.9%	1.4%	1.0%
\$105 to \$119	2.2%	2.6%	2.3%
\$120 or more	2.8%	4.8%	3.3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Median Dollars	\$12	\$30	\$18
Mean Dollars	\$27	\$37	\$29
No. of Respondents	886	720	1,606
Non-respondents	0.9%	0.6%	0.8%

*Question 49*

**TABLE G5**  
**Median Housing Expenses by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1994-95**

<i>ACTUAL DOLLARS per month</i>												
	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>						<b>GRADUATES</b>					
	<b>'79-80</b>	<b>'82-83</b>	<b>'85-86</b>	<b>'88-89</b>	<b>'91-92</b>	<b>'94-95</b>	<b>'79-80</b>	<b>'82-83</b>	<b>'85-86</b>	<b>'88-89</b>	<b>'91-92</b>	<b>'94-95</b>
<i>Commuter</i>	\$69	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$73	\$	\$	\$96	\$	\$
<i>On-Campus</i>	\$262	\$347	\$407	\$538	\$617	\$650	\$249	\$367	\$411	\$524	\$589	\$573
<i>Other</i>	--	--	--	\$365	\$395	\$423	--	--	--	\$501	\$542	\$690
Off-Campus	\$170	\$234	\$274	\$355	\$385	\$412	\$205	\$280	\$346	\$431	\$470	\$513
Married Non-Parents	\$271	\$276	\$506	\$633	\$737	\$680	\$294	\$435	\$512	\$672	\$791	\$767
Married Parents	\$319	\$643	\$610	\$794	\$817	\$913	\$339	\$418	\$597	\$770	\$776	\$961
Single Parents	\$193	\$304	\$355	\$502	\$401	\$439	\$248	\$333	\$480	\$517	\$589	\$751
<i>CONSTANT 1994-95 DOLLARS per month</i>												
	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>						<b>GRADUATES</b>					
	<b>'79-80</b>	<b>'82-83</b>	<b>'85-86</b>	<b>'88-89</b>	<b>'91-92</b>	<b>'94-95</b>	<b>'79-80</b>	<b>'82-83</b>	<b>'85-86</b>	<b>'88-89</b>	<b>'91-92</b>	<b>'94-95</b>
<i>Commuter</i>	\$130	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	--	\$	\$	\$117	\$	\$
<i>On-Campus</i>	\$495	\$522	\$556	\$654	\$657	\$650	--	\$552	\$562	\$637	\$627	\$573
<i>Other</i>	--	--	--	\$443	\$421	\$423	--	--	--	\$609	\$577	\$690
Off-Campus	\$321	\$352	\$374	\$431	\$410	\$412	--	\$421	\$473	\$524	\$501	\$513
Married Non-Parents	\$512	\$415	\$691	\$769	\$785	\$680	--	\$654	\$700	\$816	\$842	\$767
Married Parents	\$603	\$967	\$833	\$965	\$870	\$913	--	\$628	\$816	\$935	\$827	\$961
Single Parents	\$365	\$457	\$485	\$610	\$426	\$439	--	\$501	\$656	\$628	\$627	\$751

*Notes:*

- 1) Constant dollar values are based on the California Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers.
- 2) On-campus housing expenses are actually a combination of food and housing expenses.
- 3) 'Other' budget category includes off-campus students, married non-parents, married parents, and single parents.
- 4) The 1979-80 survey did not include a "zero" interval.
- 5) The 1988-89 expense figures may be influenced by the use of more detailed, itemized questions.

**TABLE G6**  
**Median Food Expenses by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1994-95**

<i>ACTUAL DOLLARS per month</i>												
	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>						<b>GRADUATES</b>					
	<b>'79-80</b>	<b>'82-83</b>	<b>'85-86</b>	<b>'88-89</b>	<b>'91-92</b>	<b>'94-95</b>	<b>'79-80</b>	<b>'82-83</b>	<b>'85-86</b>	<b>'88-89</b>	<b>'91-92</b>	<b>'94-95</b>
<i>Commuter</i>	\$78	\$57	\$33	\$67	\$62	\$60	\$95	\$92	\$59	\$141	\$98	\$127
<i>On-Campus</i>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>Other</i>	--	--	--	\$160	\$149	\$153	--	--	--	\$240	\$223	\$237
Off-Campus	\$91	\$113	\$116	\$152	\$143	\$143	\$120	\$139	\$151	\$199	\$189	\$201
Married Non-Parents	\$167	\$196	\$213	\$311	\$276	\$221	\$183	\$215	\$243	\$322	\$289	\$296
Married Parents	\$275	\$312	\$373	\$381	\$400	\$394	\$261	\$304	\$338	\$437	\$396	\$400
Single Parents	\$146	\$203	\$170	\$201	\$165	\$192	\$199	\$196	\$263	\$300	\$316	\$258
<i>CONSTANT 1994-5 DOLLARS per month</i>												
	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>						<b>GRADUATES</b>					
	<b>'79-80</b>	<b>'82-83</b>	<b>'85-86</b>	<b>'88-89</b>	<b>'91-92</b>	<b>'94-95</b>	<b>'79-80</b>	<b>'82-83</b>	<b>'85-86</b>	<b>'88-89</b>	<b>'91-92</b>	<b>'94-95</b>
<i>Commuter</i>	\$147	\$86	\$45	\$81	\$66	\$60	\$179	\$138	\$81	\$171	\$104	\$127
<i>On-Campus</i>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>Other</i>	--	--	--	\$194	\$159	\$153	--	--	--	\$292	\$237	\$237
Off-Campus	\$172	\$170	\$158	\$185	\$152	\$143	\$227	\$209	\$206	\$242	\$201	\$201
Married Non-Parents	\$315	\$295	\$291	\$378	\$294	\$221	\$346	\$323	\$332	\$391	\$308	\$296
Married Parents	\$519	\$469	\$510	\$463	\$426	\$394	\$493	\$457	\$462	\$531	\$421	\$400
Single Parents	\$276	\$305	\$232	\$244	\$176	\$192	\$376	\$295	\$359	\$364	\$336	\$258

*Notes:*

- 1) Constant dollar values are based on the California Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers.
- 2) Separate food expenses are not available for students living on-campus.
- 3) 'Other' budget category includes off-campus students, married non-parents, married parents, and single parents.
- 4) The 1979-80 survey did not include a "zero" interval.
- 5) The 1988-89 expense figures may be influenced by the use of more detailed, itemized questions.

**TABLE G7**  
**Median School Supply Expenses by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1994-95**

<i>ACTUAL DOLLARS per year</i>												
	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>						<b>GRADUATES</b>					
	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	94-95
<i>Commuter</i>	\$225	\$297	\$368	\$496	\$594	\$632	\$28	\$288	\$255	\$600	\$632	\$629
<i>On-Campus</i>	\$246	\$297	\$362	\$508	\$597	\$632	\$283	\$288	\$349	\$408	\$543	\$593
<i>Other</i>	--	--	--	\$515	\$587	\$626	--	--	--	\$424	\$546	\$533
Off-Campus	\$240	\$306	\$365	\$513	\$583	\$622	\$248	\$315	\$317	\$428	\$428	\$530
Married Non-Parents	\$274	\$315	\$398	\$494	\$619	\$595	\$246	\$333	\$314	\$434	\$434	\$528
Married Parents	\$242	\$306	\$334	\$491	\$650	\$599	\$277	\$333	\$311	\$386	\$386	\$541
Single Parents	\$281	\$261	\$383	\$614	\$623	\$849	\$260	\$333	\$315	\$462	\$462	\$571
<b>ALL STUDENTS</b>	<b>\$242</b>	<b>\$303</b>	<b>\$366</b>	<b>\$510</b>	<b>\$591</b>	<b>\$629</b>	<b>\$259</b>	<b>\$321</b>	<b>\$317</b>	<b>\$425</b>	<b>\$548</b>	<b>\$545</b>
<i>CONSTANT 1994-95 DOLLARS per year</i>												
	<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>						<b>GRADUATES</b>					
	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	'94-95	'79-80	'82-83	'85-86	'88-89	'91-92	94-95
<i>Commuter</i>	\$425	\$447	\$503	\$603	\$632	\$632	\$53	\$433	\$348	\$729	\$673	\$629
<i>On-Campus</i>	\$465	\$447	\$495	\$617	\$636	\$632	\$535	\$433	\$477	\$496	\$578	\$593
<i>Other</i>	--	--	--	\$626	\$625	\$626	--	--	--	\$515	\$581	\$533
Off-Campus	\$453	\$460	\$499	\$623	\$621	\$622	\$468	\$474	\$433	\$520	\$456	\$530
Married Non-Parents	\$518	\$474	\$544	\$600	\$659	\$595	\$465	\$501	\$429	\$527	\$462	\$528
Married Parents	\$457	\$460	\$456	\$597	\$692	\$599	\$523	\$501	\$425	\$469	\$411	\$541
Single Parents	\$531	\$392	\$523	\$746	\$663	\$849	\$491	\$501	\$430	\$561	\$492	\$571
<b>ALL STUDENTS</b>	<b>\$457</b>	<b>\$456</b>	<b>\$500</b>	<b>\$620</b>	<b>\$629</b>	<b>\$629</b>	<b>\$489</b>	<b>\$483</b>	<b>\$433</b>	<b>\$516</b>	<b>\$583</b>	<b>\$545</b>

*Notes:*

- 1) Constant dollar values are based on the California Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers.
- 2) 'Other' budget category includes off-campus students, married non-parents, married parents, and single parents.
- 3) The 1988-89 expense figures may be influenced by the use of more detailed, itemized questions.



**TABLE G8**  
**Median Local Transportation Expenses by Budget Category, 1979-80 to 1994-95**

		<i>ACTUAL DOLLARS per month</i>											
		<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>						<b>GRADUATES</b>					
		<b>'79-80</b>	<b>'82-83</b>	<b>'85-86</b>	<b>'88-89</b>	<b>'91-92</b>	<b>94-95</b>	<b>'79-80</b>	<b>'82-83</b>	<b>'85-86</b>	<b>'88-89</b>	<b>'91-92</b>	<b>94-95</b>
<i>Commuter</i>		\$51	\$52	\$52	\$51	\$55	\$61	\$58	\$50	\$57	\$52	\$54	\$65
<i>On-Campus</i>		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$7	\$18	\$9	\$	\$5	\$6
<i>Other</i>		--	--	--	\$25	\$17	\$24	--	--	--	\$36	\$32	\$32
Off-Campus		\$15	\$25	\$19	\$23	\$14	\$21	\$25	\$31	\$31	\$33	\$29	\$30
Married Non-Parents		\$34	\$44	\$38	\$47	\$51	\$46	\$27	\$35	\$35	\$40	\$37	\$31
Married Parents		\$38	\$62	\$44	\$50	\$53	\$36	\$36	\$42	\$40	\$41	\$40	\$44
Single Parents		\$26	\$39	\$37	\$37	\$38	\$32	\$33	\$47	\$40	\$39	\$43	\$41
		<i>CONSTANT 1994-95 DOLLARS per month</i>											
		<b>UNDERGRADUATES</b>						<b>GRADUATES</b>					
		<b>'79-80</b>	<b>'82-83</b>	<b>'85-86</b>	<b>'88-89</b>	<b>'91-92</b>	<b>94-95</b>	<b>'79-80</b>	<b>'82-83</b>	<b>'85-86</b>	<b>'88-89</b>	<b>'91-92</b>	<b>94-95</b>
<i>Commuter</i>		\$96	\$78	\$71	\$62	\$59	\$61	\$110	\$75	\$78	\$63	\$57	\$65
<i>On-Campus</i>		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$13	\$27	\$12	\$	\$5	\$6
<i>Other</i>		--	--	--	\$30	\$18	\$24	\$	\$	--	\$44	\$34	\$32
Off-Campus		\$28	\$38	\$26	\$28	\$15	\$21	\$47	\$47	\$42	\$40	\$31	\$30
Married Non-Parents		\$64	\$66	\$52	\$57	\$54	\$46	\$51	\$53	\$48	\$49	\$40	\$31
Married Parents		\$72	\$93	\$60	\$61	\$57	\$36	\$68	\$63	\$55	\$50	\$43	\$44
Single Parents		\$49	\$59	\$51	\$45	\$41	\$32	\$62	\$71	\$55	\$47	\$46	\$41

*Notes:*

- 1) Constant dollar values are based on the California Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers.
- 2) 'Other' budget category includes off-campus students, married non-parents, married parents, and single parents.
- 3) The 1988-89 expense figures may be influenced by the use of more detailed, itemized questions.

**APPENDIX B**  
**1994-95 Response Rates**

<i>UNDERGRADUATES</i>				
	Fall 1994			Percent
	<u>Enrollment</u>	<u>Mailed</u>	<u>Returned</u>	<u>Returned</u>
Berkeley	21,151	1,307	563	43.1%
Davis	17,393	948	497	52.4%
Irvine	13,597	676	265	39.2%
Los Angeles	23,619	1,262	559	44.3%
Riverside	7,341	565	264	46.7%
San Diego	14,417	705	302	42.8%
San Francisco	36	36	24	66.7%
Santa Barbara	15,544	709	326	46.0%
Santa Cruz	9,223	696	269	38.6%
<i>TOTAL</i>	<i>122,321</i>	<i>6,904</i>	<i>3,069</i>	<i>44.5%</i>
<i>GRADUATES</i>				
	Fall 1994			Percent
	<u>Enrollment</u>	<u>Mailed</u>	<u>Returned</u>	<u>Returned</u>
Berkeley	8,475	1,090	566	51.9%
Davis	4,376	838	449	53.6%
Irvine	2,846	1,164	513	44.1%
Los Angeles	9,914	1,221	561	45.9%
Riverside	1,250	928	472	50.9%
San Diego	2,847	1,143	526	46.0%
San Francisco	2,538	656	394	60.1%
Santa Barbara	2,290	927	466	50.3%
Santa Cruz	894	580	223	38.4%
<i>TOTAL</i>	<i>35,430</i>	<i>8,547</i>	<i>4,170</i>	<i>48.8%</i>
<i>ALL</i>				
	Fall 1994			Percent
	<u>Enrollment</u>	<u>Mailed</u>	<u>Returned</u>	<u>Returned</u>
Berkeley	29,626	2,397	1,129	47.1%
Davis	21,769	1,786	946	53.0%
Irvine	16,443	1,840	778	42.3%
Los Angeles	33,533	2,483	1,120	45.1%
Riverside	8,591	1,493	736	49.3%
San Diego	17,264	1,848	828	44.8%
San Francisco	2,574	692	418	60.4%
Santa Barbara	17,834	1,636	792	48.4%
Santa Cruz	10,117	1,276	492	38.6%
<i>TOTAL</i>	<i>157,751</i>	<i>15,451</i>	<i>7,239</i>	<i>46.9%</i>

**APPENDIX C1****Survey Bias -- Respondents versus Non-respondents**

	<i>Respondents</i>	<i>Non-Respondents</i>
<b><u>Student level</u></b>		
Undergraduate	42.4%	46.7%
Graduate	57.6%	53.3%
<b><u>Campus enrolled</u></b>		
Berkeley	15.6%	15.4%
Davis	13.1%	10.2%
Irvine	10.7%	12.9%
Los Angeles	15.5%	16.6%
Riverside	10.2%	9.2%
San Diego	11.4%	12.4%
San Francisco	5.8%	3.3%
Santa Barbara	10.9%	10.3%
Santa Cruz	6.8%	9.5%
<b><u>Ethnicity</u></b>		
African American	9.6%	13.9%
Asian American	14.2%	13.7%
Chicano	10.1%	9.6%
Filipino American	3.6%	2.5%
Latino	12.8%	12.7%
Native American	8.2%	9.4%
White	32.7%	29.3%
Other	8.7%	9.0%
<b><u>Gender</u></b>		
Female	52.8%	44.7%
Male	47.2%	55.3%
<b><u>State residency status</u></b>		
California resident	89.2%	90.1%
Foreign	10.4%	8.2%

**APPENDIX C1 (continued)****Survey Bias -- Respondents versus Non-respondents****1994 Parent income for California freshmen**

	<i>Respondents</i>	<i>Non-Respondents</i>
\$1 to \$9,999	6.6%	6.2%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	13.1%	13.7%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	18.4%	13.5%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	12.6%	9.2%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	7.8%	9.2%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	8.6%	8.9%
\$60,000 to \$69,999	4.7%	6.9%
\$70,000 to \$79,999	6.1%	6.1%
\$80,000 to \$89,999	4.9%	5.7%
\$90,000 to \$99,999	4.4%	3.6%
\$100,000 or more	12.9%	16.8%
Median	\$39,512	\$48,475
Mean	\$52,420	\$59,645
No. of students	619	576

**GPA***Undergraduates*

Median	2.89	2.79
Mean	2.85	2.76
No. of students	2,757	3,328

*Graduates*

Median	3.76	3.73
Mean	3.75	3.70
No. of students	3,210	3,329

**APPENDIX C2****Survey Bias -- Respondents versus the Population**

<b><u>Gender</u></b>	<i>Sample</i>	<i>Population</i>
Female	53.3%	49.7%
Male	46.7%	50.3%

<b><u>State residency status</u></b>		
California resident	93.1%	92.5%
Non-resident	6.9%	7.5%

**1994 Parent income for California freshmen**

\$1 to \$9,999	7.0%	5.6%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	10.9%	13.2%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	16.7%	11.3%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	10.5%	10.0%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	7.0%	8.7%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	8.7%	8.5%
\$60,000 to \$69,999	4.9%	7.8%
\$70,000 to \$79,999	8.0%	6.8%
\$80,000 to \$89,999	6.4%	5.9%
\$90,000 to \$99,999	3.6%	4.8%
\$100,000 or more	16.4%	17.5%

Median	\$39,512	\$50,657
Mean	\$57,934	\$62,572
No. of students	619	18856

## **APPENDIX D**

### **Independent Student Definition**

For the 1994-95 award year, a student is automatically independent if he or she meets at least one of the following criteria:

1. at least 24 years of age by December 31, 1994. For the 1994-95 year this means that the applicant must have been born before January 1, 1971;
2. a veteran of the US Armed Forces;
3. a graduate or professional student;
4. married (which may depend upon the common law rules in the student's state of legal residence);
5. a ward of the court (or was a ward of the court until age 18) or both parents are deceased and the student has no adoptive or legal guardian. Note that a student is not considered a ward of the court based solely on being incarcerated;
6. has legal dependents other than a spouse.