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## Student Success Scorecard

## Statewide



## Placement Is Destiny



Statewide data, Basic Skills Cohort Tracker, Fall 2009-Spring 2012

## Placement Is Destiny

| Students' Starting Placement | \% Completing Transfer- <br> Level Math in 3 Years |
| :--- | :--- |
| One Level Below Transfer-Level Math | $35 \%$ |
| Two Levels Below | $15 \%$ |
| Three or more Levels Below | $6 \%$ | | Across CA, more than |
| :--- |
| half of Black and |
| Hispanic students in |
| remedial math |
| begin here |

Statewide data, Basic Skills CohortTracker, Fall '09-Spring 'I2

## Inequitable Placement Drives Inequitable Completion

Mt. San Jacinto College (Fall 2015)

- White students were $2 x$ more likely to be placed into transfer-level English than Hispanics and nearly 4x more likely than African Americans
Chance of passing college English in 2 years: 73\%
- African American and Hispanic students were more than $2 x$ more likely that white students to have to take multiple semesters of remediation in English
Chance of passing college English in 2 years: 23\%-38\%


## Remediation Reform:

## Essential to Campus Equity Efforts

Nationally and across California, students of color are disproportionately excluded from transfer-level courses and disproportionately required to take multiple remedial classes in math and English.

A study by Greg Stoup of the three colleges in Contra Costa County estimates that $50-60 \%$ of racial inequities in degree completion and transferreadiness is explained by initial placement.

## Cuyamaca College



## Before

## Completion of Transfer-Level Math from Pre-Algebra



## After

## Math Transformation - 2016-17

- All courses 2, 3, and 4 levels below transfer-level eliminated
- Corequisite support offered for first-tier transferable college-level courses (just-in-time remediation through 2-unit linked courses)
- Self-reported high school grades used to place students into 5 pathways (General Ed, STEM, Business, Education, CTE)
- 100\% eligible for College Statistics (regular or with support)
- $59 \%$ eligible for transfer-level business/STEM math (regular or with support)
- Lowest possible placement: Intermediate algebra with concurrent support (one-level-below transfer-level math, only for students in B-STEM pathways)


## Transfer-Level Math Completion for Underprepared Students in 1 Year



## Transfer-Level Math Completion by Placement Test Results



## Karly Franz



Goal: Teach high school biology
Returning Adult:
Away from math for 5 years
Studied fashion design, worked as a historical costumer

Placement via Standardized Test (Accuplacer): Intermediate Algebra

Corequisite Remediation:
Enrolled directly in Pre-Calculus with 2 units of concurrent support

Grade in Pre-Calculus: 89

## Caleb Rendon-Guerrero



Background: High school dropout who'd been in and out of criminal justice system

Goal: To "be the solution not the problem" in his family, create a non-profit to help kids like him

Placement via Standardized Test (Accuplacer): Elementary Algebra

Corequisite Remediation:
Enrolled directly in College Statistics with 2 units of concurrent support

Grade in Statistics: B
Current Status: Second-year student, GPA of 3.6

## College of the Canyons



## Andrés Salazar, College of the Canyons



Math Placement via Accuplacer:
Arithmetic
4 levels Below a Gateway Course
Likelihood of completing gateway math in 3 years: $12 \%$

Basic Skills Cohort Tracker
Fall 2013
353 students started in Arithmetic
$\downarrow$
Spring 2016
43 of them had completed gateway math

## Andrés Salazar, College of the Canyons



Goal: Bachelor's Degree in Music Conducting
High School Math: A in Algebra II
High School GPA: 4.0
Enrolled directly in College Statistics through Multiple Measures Placement

Grade: A
Completed math requirement in one semester instead of five

Current Status: Transferred to California Institute of the Arts in Fall 2017

## College of the Canyons Multiple Measures in Math - Fall 2016

- Students qualify for Statistics through test OR high school measures (GPA, grades in Algebra I or II - self-report, no transcript required)
- Eligibility for College Statistics more than quadrupled, increasing from 15\% to $71 \%$ of incoming students
- No changes to curriculum, no corequisite support provided -- students were simply allowed to enroll in the existing course
- Success rate in course remained steady
- For students who started in Statistics but previously would have been placed below transfer-level, 66\% succeeded in their first attempt
- This completion rate was five times higher than among students who started below transfer-level a year earlier ( $66 \%$ in one semester vs. $13 \%$ in one year)


## Las Positas College



## Las Positas College Multiple Measures in English - Fall 2016

- Students qualify for college English by test OR high school GPA of 2.5 or higher (self report - no transcript required)
- Eligibility for college English doubled - from $36 \%$ to $75 \%$ of incoming students
- No changes to curriculum, no corequisite support provided -- students were simply allowed to enroll in the existing course
- Success rates in college English held steady
- Among students who previously would have been placed into remediation ( $\mathrm{N}=348$ ), $77 \%$ passed college English and 58\% earned As or Bs. And if they went on to second-semester composition in spring, $80 \%$ passed.
- Completion of college English was 1.75 times higher than among students who started in remediation one year earlier ( $77 \%$ in one semester vs. $44 \%$ in one year)


## Luis Sanchez, Las Positas College

Classified "remedial" by Accuplacer but qualified for College English through high school GPA

First-generation college student

Generation 1.5: US born and educated, parents do not speak English

Bilingual: feels most comfortable and most himself in English

Earned Bs on all four essays, turned in all other assignments

Perfect attendance

Course Grade: A-


## Las Positas College <br> English 1A Success Rates By GPA Range

 Entered Via High School GPA OnlyFall 2016


## Las Positas College <br> English 1A Success Rates By Assessment Test Entry Method Fall 2016



Overall Success
Rates


Entered Via Both Test \& HS GPA


Entered Via HS GPA Only

Entered Via Assessment Test Only

## Tennessee

Corequisite Remediation for All Underprepared Students


## Completion of Transfer-Level Courses Tennessee Community Colleges



## Corequisites for everyone? What about low-scoring students?

Results of TBR Full Implementation
Co-requisite Mathematics in Community Colleges


## Corequisites for everyone? What about low-scoring students?

Co-requisite Writing in Community Colleges


## Why do these strategies work?

## Reason \#1:

## The limitations of standardized placement tests

Sample Item: Accuplacer "Sentence Skills" Test Writing a best seller had earned the author a sum of money and had freed him from the necessity of selling his pen for the political purposes of others. Rewrite, beginning with The author was not obliged

The new sentence will include
A) consequently he earned
B) because he had earned
C) by earning
D) as a means of earning

## Are you college ready?

## /III. Exponents \& polynomials

Simplify and write answers with positive exponents.
$\left(3 x^{2}-5 x-6\right)+\left(5 x^{2}+4 x+4\right)$
‥ $\frac{\left(2 a^{-5} b^{4} c^{3}\right)^{-2}}{\left(3 a^{3} b^{-7} c^{3}\right)^{2}}$

1. $\left(3 x^{0} y^{5} z^{6}\right)\left(-2 x y^{3} z^{-2}\right)$
2. $\left(-a^{5} b^{7} c^{9}\right)^{4}$
3. $\frac{24 x^{4}-32 x^{3}+16 x^{2}}{8 x^{2}}$
4. $\left(x^{2}-5 x\right)\left(2 x^{3}-7\right)$
i. $\left(4 x^{2} y^{6} z\right)^{2}\left(-x^{-2} y^{3} z^{4}\right)^{6}$
5. $\frac{26 a^{2} b^{-5} c^{9}}{-4 a^{-6} b c^{9}}$
6. $(5 a+6)^{2}$

## Placement tests do a poor job identifying who will and will not - do well in college.

- Accuplacer scores in English explain about 1\% of the variation in course grades; in math less than 4\% (Cal-Pass data).
- Severe under-placement error is three times more prevalent than over-placement error (those placed into remediation who could have earned a B or better in a college course vs. those placed into college course who fail) (Scott-Clayton, 2012).
- Fewer than $10 \%$ of the topics in Elementary and Intermediate Algebra are needed for the study of Statistics, yet tests of these skills block students' access to college-level Statistics courses.


## Reason \#2:

## Attrition Is Guaranteed in Traditional Remediation

Students placed 2 levels below college English/Math face 6 "exit points" where they fall away:

- Do they enroll in the first course?
- If they enroll, do they pass the first course?
- If they pass, do they enroll in the next course?
- If they enroll, do they pass the second course?
- If they pass, do they enroll in the college-level course?
- If they enroll, do they pass the college-level course?

Students placed 3 levels down face 8 exit points.

## Illustration: Chabot College

Students beginning two levels below College English:

- Do they enroll in the first course? ??\%
- If they enroll, do they pass the first course? 66\%
- If they pass, do they enroll in the next course? 93\%
- If they enroll, do they pass the second course? 75\%
- If they pass, do they enroll in the college-level course? 91\%
- If they enroll, do they pass the college-level course? 78\%


## (0.66)(0.93)(0.75)(0.91)(0.78)=33\%

Fall 2006 Cohort. Students tracked from their first developmental English enrollment and followed for all subsequent English enrollments for 3 years. Pass rates includes students passing on first or repeated attempts within timeframe. Basic Skills Cohort Tracker, DataMart.

## Thought experiment: <br> What if more students passed the first course?

How many would complete the college level course?
$(0.66)(0.93)(0.75)(0.91)(0.78)=\quad 33 \%$
If $75 \%$ passed the first course...
37\%
If $80 \%$ passed the first course...
40\%
If $90 \%$ passed the first course...
45\%
What if $90 \%$ passed and persisted at each point?
(0.90)(0.90)(0.90)(0.90)(0.90)=59\%

## BOTTOM LINE

Improving our results within existing multi-level course sequences will never be enough - we must eliminate the exit points in students' path to completing transfer-level English and math.

The most powerful way to do that? Letting students begin directly in transfer-level courses, with extra support if needed.

## The Need for System-Level Solutions

- Across 114 community colleges, remediation policies are determined locally. Despite amazing results at a few colleges, most students are stuck in traditional remediation with little hope of completing college.
- Across CA, capable students are being placed into remediation who don't need it, making them less likely to complete college \& producing racial achievement gaps.
- Existing student protections are not being followed:
- Title 5 prohibits students from being required to take a pre-requisite unless they are "highly unlikely" to succeed without it (55003)
- State guidelines are supposed to safeguard against disproportionate impact in assessment policies, yet vast racial disparities persist
- Few CA colleges are offering corequisite models of remediation, despite strong results nationally


## AB 705 (Irwin) - A Game Changer for Community College Placement and Remediation

> Students may not be placed into remedial courses that delay/deter educational progress unless evidence suggests they are "highly unlikely" to succeed in transfer-level course

Colleges must "maximize probability that a student enter and complete transfer-level coursework in English and math within a one-year timeframe"
> Colleges must use of one of the following in assessing students: high school coursework, high school grades, HS GPA. If unavailable, colleges may use self-report or guided placement
> Colleges can require "additional concurrent support...during the same semester that they take a transfer-level English or mathematics course."
> Effective January 1, 2018.
Deadline for full implementation: Fall 2019.

## ENGLISH: Who is "highly unlikely" to succeed in transfer-level course?

Statewide Research from Multiple Measures Assessment Project

| High School Criteria | Average Success Rate in <br> College English |
| :--- | :---: |
| GPA 2.6 and above <br> (62\% of students in statewide sample) | $\mathbf{7 3 \%}$ and higher |$|$| GPA 1.9-2.6 |
| :--- |
| (28\% of students in statewide sample) |$\quad \mathbf{4 9 \%} 9$ 43\%

## ENGLISH: How can we "maximize" students' chances of completing transfer level in one year?

Statewide Research from Multiple Measures Assessment Project

| High School Criteria | Average Success Rate in <br> College English |
| :--- | :---: |
| GPA 2.6 and above <br> (62\% of students in statewide sample) | $\mathbf{7 3 \%}$ and higher |$|$| $\mathbf{4 9 \%}$ |
| :--- |
| GPA 1.9-2.6 <br> (28\% of students in statewide sample) |
| GPA below 1.9 <br> (10\% of students in statewide sample) |

Would the lowest group do better if they start below transfer?
1 level below: 13\% complete transfer level in a year
2 levels below: 2\% complete transfer level in a year

## STATISTICS: Who is "highly unlikely" to succeed in the transfer level?

Statewide Research from Multiple Measures Assessment Project

| High School Criteria | Average Success Rate <br> in College Statistics |
| :--- | :---: |
| GPA 3.0 and above OR <br> GPA 2.3-3.0 \& earned C or higher in Pre-Calculus <br> (58\% of students in statewide sample) | $70 \%$ and higher |
| GPA 2.3-3.0 \& passed Algebra II with C or higher <br> (19\% of students in statewide sample) |  |
| GPA 2.3-3.0 \& did not pass Algebra II with C or higher <br> (10\% of students in statewide sample) | $48 \%$ |
| GPA below 2.3 <br> (12\% of students in statewide sample) | $49 \%$ |

## STATISTICS: How can we "maximize" students' chances of completing transfer level in one year?

Statewide Research from Multiple Measures Assessment Project

| High School Criteria | Average Success Rate <br> in College Statistics |
| :--- | :---: |
| GPA 3.0 and above OR <br> GPA 2.3-3.0 \& earned C or higher in Pre-Calculus <br> (58\% of students in statewide sample) | $70 \%$ and higher |
| GPA 2.3-3.0 \& passed Algebra II with C or higher <br> (19\% of students in statewide sample) |  |
| GPA 2.3-3.0 \& did <br> not pass Algebra II with C or higher <br> (10\% of students in statewide sample) | $48 \%$ |
| GPA below 2.3 <br> (12\% of students in statewide sample) | $49 \%$ |

Would the lowest group do better if they start below transfer?
1 level below: 10\% complete transfer level in a year
2 levels below: 2\% complete transfer level in a year

## Changing the Structure of the Support We Provide

From Prerequisites
To Corequisite Support at the Transfer-Level
AB 705 restricts colleges from excluding students from transfer-level courses but allows requiring "additional concurrent support... during the same semester that they take a transfer-level English or mathematics course."

## San Diego Mesa College: <br> Corequisite-Support English for Students with GPA below 2.6

| Starting Placement | One-Year Completion of <br> College English <br> Fall 16-Spr 17 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Transfer-Level English with Corequisite $(\mathrm{N}=300)$ <br> 3-unit course linked to 2-unit corequisite for students with <br> who traditionally have begun 1-2 levels below <br> HS GPA below 2.6 (one semester success rate) | $\mathbf{7 4 \%}$ |
| One level below transfer ( $\mathrm{N}=1180$ ) | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ |
| Two levels below transfer $(\mathrm{N}=67)$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |

Statewide, students with a GPA below 2.6 have an average success rate of 4349\% if they enroll in College English without corequisite support (MMAP)

# Cuyamaca College: <br> Corequisite-Support College Statistics Open to $100 \%$ of Students 

## Research from Cuyamaca College

| Starting Placement | One-Year Completion <br> of College Statistics |
| :--- | :---: |
| Transfer-Level Statistics with Corequisite ( $\mathrm{N}=140$ ) | $74 \%$ |
| 4-unit course linked to 2-unit corequisite, open to any <br> student (one semester success rate - spring 2017) |  |
| One level below transfer ( $\mathrm{N}=318$ ) | $17 \%$ |
| Two levels below transfer ( $\mathrm{N}=329$ ) | $9 \%$ |
| Three levels below transfer $(\mathrm{N}=191)$ <br> Fall 2015-Spring 2016 | $3 \%$ |

Statewide, students with high school GPA below 2.3 have a 40\% average success rate in transfer-level Statistics without corequisite support.

## Q\&A, Discussion

- How might you use the information from this presentation in your own work going forward?

