

The Regents of the University of California Alternative Investments as of June 30, 2011

Since inception in 1979 through June 30, 2011, the Private Equity portfolio has consisted of \$7.1 billion in active commitments to 196 partnerships. As of June 30, 2011, \$4.9 billion of these commitments have been called by the partnerships and \$3.6 billion of distributions have been received from the partnerships. Including the Current Net Asset Value of \$3.8 billion as of June 30, 2011, the Private Equity portfolio has produced \$2.4 billion in profits and a 1.5x multiple on contributed capital. The Private Equity portfolio has generated a total return of 6.7% for the 10-year period ended June 30, 2011.

Since the beginning of 2001, Cambridge Associates, LLC (“CA”) has been retained by The Regents of the University of California (“The Regents”) to advise on the Private Equity portfolio and to calculate the Net Internal Rate of Return (“IRR”) for each partnership held in the Private Equity portfolio. Prior to the engagement of CA, the Office of the Treasurer did not calculate IRRs for individual partnerships. The historical returns for all portfolios reported by the Regents and the Office of the Treasurer are based on the annualized Total Returns methodology. Total Return calculations are based on the changing value of a portfolio and any cash flows received during the specified time period.

The Private Equity portfolio was historically less than 2% of the total investment portfolio; therefore, the Regents evaluated the portfolio in its entirety and as part of the total equity portfolio. Moreover, Private Equity partnerships are 10 to 13 year investments, and the only relevant return measure is the amount returned at the end of the partnership compared to the capital invested. This has been the basis for investment decisions in the Private Equity asset class, and this long-term horizon has produced exceptional returns.

Private Equity partnerships are subject to a J-Curve Effect; meaning returns are often low or negative during the first several years. A –30% IRR or a 0.5x Investment Multiple might make for interesting headlines; however, it says little about the current performance of the partnership or the expected returns over the life of the investment. Most of the fully realized partnerships, which demonstrate strong returns on invested capital, previously demonstrated significantly negative IRRs. Low or negative returns in the early years of a partnership are inherent to the asset class, and the expected returns do not become readily apparent until the latter years of a partnership’s life.

On July 24, 2003, the Alameda County Superior Court ruled in the *Coalition of University Employees, et al. v. The Regents of the University of California* lawsuit. In this ruling, the Court has ordered The Regents to disclose fund-level internal rates of return under the California Public Records Act. To be consistent with the disclosure provided by other California-based institutional limited partners subject to the California Public Records Act, The Regents are disclosing detailed fund-level performance information for the most recent period available. This information should allow for more meaningful interpretation of fund performance than IRR information alone.

A number of General Partners have informed CA that performance information will no longer be provided to CA as a result of the Court’s ruling. Consequently, it is uncertain whether or not The Regents will be able to provide IRRs for all partnerships in the Private Equity portfolio in future periods.

The attached performance information is sorted by Type and Vintage Year. In reviewing the attached information, please consider the following:

Type

Consistent with historical reporting by sub-asset class, partnerships are categorized as Venture Capital, Leveraged Buyout (“LBO”), or Emerging Market.

Vintage Year

Represents the year each partnership commenced investment activities according to CA; note, this may be different than the year in which The Regents committed to invest in the partnership.

UC Commitment

Represents the total commitment made by The Regents to each partnership, adjusted for any subsequent reductions to partnership commitments by the General Partners.

Cash In

Represents the total portion of The Regents’ commitment that has been contributed to the partnership from inception through June 30, 2011 (except where noted).

Current NAV

Represents the net asset value of The Regents’ interest in each partnership as determined by the General Partners in financial statements dated June 30, 2011 (except where noted).

Cash Out

Represents the total distributions received by The Regents from inception through June 30, 2011 (except where noted).

Cash Out + Current NAV

Represents the sum of distributions received by The Regents from inception through June 30, 2011 and the net asset value of The Regents’ interest in each partnership as determined by the General Partners in financial statements dated June 30, 2011 (except where noted).

Investment Multiple

Represents each partnership’s total value as a multiple of invested capital; the multiple is calculated by dividing Cash Out + Current NAV by Cash In.

Net IRR

Represents the cash-on-cash return net of fees, expenses, and carried interest from inception through June 30, 2011 as well as the net asset value of The Regents’ interest in each partnership as determined by the General Partners in financial statements dated June 30, 2011 (except where noted).

Private Equity partnerships represent long-term commitments of typically 10 to 13 years. The majority of capital commitments are contributed to each partnership during the initial five- to six-year period when the General Partners are actively making new investments. Differences in the investment pace of each partnership have a significant impact on the Investment Multiple and Net IRR calculations. Since fees and expenses are paid on a regular schedule, partnerships with a relatively slower investment pace will tend to produce lower Investment Multiple and Net IRR figures. In addition, each General Partner uses different valuation policies to determine the net asset value of the partnership, as no industry standard currently exists. While each General Partner’s valuation policy is different, conservatism often results in early write-downs for underperforming investments. In addition, write-ups are typically limited to an independent valuation event such as a third party financing or an initial public offering. All of these issues contribute to the J-Curve Effect and limit the comparability of returns across partnerships.

**The Regents of the University of California
Alternative Investments as of June 30, 2011**

Fund Name	Type	Vintage Year	UC Commitment	Cash In	Current NAV	Cash Out	Cash Out + Current NAV	Investment Multiple ²	Net IRR ^{1,2,3}	note
Dollars in Thousands										
VENTURE CAPITAL										
Institutional Venture Partners IV, L.P.	Venture Capital	1988	\$12,000	(\$12,000)	\$9,071	\$17,309	\$26,380	2.20x	10.5%	
Kleiner Perkins Caufield & Byers VI	Venture Capital	1992	\$15,000	(\$15,000)	\$677	\$49,266	\$49,943	3.33x	39.0%	
InterWest Partners V, L.P.	Venture Capital	1993	\$17,000	(\$17,000)	\$177	\$74,805	\$74,983	4.41x	61.5%	
Institutional Venture Partners VI, L.P.	Venture Capital	1994	\$12,000	(\$12,000)	\$13	\$69,787	\$69,800	5.82x	64.6%	
Kleiner Perkins Caufield & Byers VII	Venture Capital	1994	\$20,000	(\$15,000)	\$4,674	\$482,985	\$487,658	32.51x	121.7%	
Institutional Venture Partners VII, L.P.	Venture Capital	1996	\$18,000	(\$18,000)	\$3,294	\$117,623	\$120,917	6.72x	96.2%	
InterWest Partners VI, L.P.	Venture Capital	1996	\$15,000	(\$15,000)	\$815	\$43,757	\$44,572	2.97x	49.0%	
Kleiner Perkins Caufield & Byers VIII	Venture Capital	1996	\$20,000	(\$20,000)	\$10,074	\$329,915	\$339,989	17.00x	286.6%	
Hummer Winblad Venture Partners III, L.P.	Venture Capital	1997	\$10,000	(\$10,000)	\$58	\$10,286	\$10,343	1.03x	0.9%	
Institutional Venture Partners VIII, L.P.	Venture Capital	1998	\$30,000	(\$30,000)	\$253	\$30,604	\$30,857	1.03x	0.7%	
Sequoia Capital VIII	Venture Capital	1998	\$16,000	(\$16,000)	\$7,019	\$33,530	\$40,549	2.53x	90.4%	
InterWest Partners VII, L.P.	Venture Capital	1999	\$15,000	(\$15,000)	\$6,637	\$5,665	\$12,302	0.82x	-2.4%	
Kleiner Perkins Caufield & Byers IX-A	Venture Capital	1999	\$20,000	(\$17,000)	\$6,879	\$0	\$6,879	0.40x	-23.3%	
Oxford Bioscience Partners III, L.P.	Venture Capital	1999	\$20,000	(\$20,000)	\$1,699	\$5,934	\$7,634	0.38x	-14.3%	
Redpoint Ventures I, L.P.	Venture Capital	1999	\$30,000	(\$29,400)	\$18,896	\$9,138	\$28,034	0.95x	-0.6%	
Sequoia Capital Franchise Fund	Venture Capital	1999	\$22,000	(\$16,280)	\$5,633	\$5,966	\$11,598	0.71x	-17.0%	
Sequoia Capital IX	Venture Capital	1999	\$18,000	(\$15,444)	\$3,908	\$9,327	\$13,236	0.86x	-6.1%	
Venture Strategy Partners II, L.P.	Venture Capital	1999	\$15,000	(\$14,685)	\$2,112	\$8,886	\$10,998	0.75x	-4.3%	
Versant Venture Capital I, L.P.	Venture Capital	1999	\$20,000	(\$20,000)	\$11,507	\$22,943	\$34,450	1.72x	9.1%	
Accel VIII, L.P.	Venture Capital	2000	\$14,586	(\$8,070)	\$4,126	\$0	\$4,126	0.51x	-26.8%	
Intersouth Partners V, L.P.	Venture Capital	2000	\$20,000	(\$20,000)	\$7,580	\$10,001	\$17,581	0.88x	-1.9%	
InterWest Partners VIII, L.P.	Venture Capital	2000	\$50,000	(\$50,000)	\$19,939	\$15,981	\$35,920	0.72x	-6.1%	
Kleiner Perkins Caufield & Byers X-A, L.P.	Venture Capital	2000	\$20,000	(\$9,500)	\$5,631	\$0	\$5,631	0.59x	-17.5%	
Polaris Venture Partners III, L.P.	Venture Capital	2000	\$20,000	(\$19,800)	\$6,613	\$8,333	\$14,945	0.75x	-4.2%	
Redpoint Ventures II, L.P.	Venture Capital	2000	\$30,000	(\$29,100)	\$19,882	\$23,163	\$43,045	1.48x	6.8%	
Sequoia Capital X	Venture Capital	2000	\$28,000	(\$17,500)	\$9,171	\$379	\$9,549	0.55x	-31.0%	
Oxford Bioscience Partners IV, L.P.	Venture Capital	2001	\$25,000	(\$25,000)	\$6,823	\$11,538	\$18,361	0.73x	-6.5%	
Polaris Venture Partners IV, L.P.	Venture Capital	2001	\$25,000	(\$24,875)	\$21,755	\$6,244	\$27,999	1.13x	2.5%	
Versant Venture Capital II, L.P.	Venture Capital	2001	\$30,000	(\$29,175)	\$26,855	\$8,625	\$35,480	1.22x	3.5%	
Warburg Pincus Private Equity VIII, L.P.	Venture Capital	2001	\$50,000	(\$50,000)	\$54,403	\$57,593	\$111,996	2.24x	17.8%	
Globespan Capital Partners Fund IV, L.P.	Venture Capital	2002	\$20,000	(\$18,833)	\$16,316	\$8,361	\$24,677	1.31x	6.8%	
Intersouth Partners VI, L.P.	Venture Capital	2002	\$15,000	(\$13,575)	\$9,517	\$5,144	\$14,661	1.08x	1.9%	
Lighthouse Capital Partners V, L.P.	Venture Capital	2002	\$20,000	(\$18,600)	\$3,009	\$19,669	\$22,678	1.22x	4.8%	
Perseus-Soros BioPharmaceutical Fund L.P.	Venture Capital	2002	\$10,000	(\$9,926)	\$1,893	\$15,240	\$17,133	1.73x	21.4%	
De Novo Ventures II, L.P.	Venture Capital	2003	\$25,000	(\$23,750)	\$14,327	\$116	\$14,443	0.61x	-9.9%	
Domain Partners VI, L.P.	Venture Capital	2003	\$15,000	(\$14,100)	\$8,891	\$5,544	\$14,345	1.02x	0.5%	
Kodiak Venture Partners III, L.P.	Venture Capital	2003	\$20,000	(\$18,000)	\$10,450	\$3,079	\$13,528	0.75x	-7.5%	
Novak Biddle Venture Partners IV, L.P.	Venture Capital	2003	\$10,000	(\$9,600)	\$5,210	\$0	\$5,210	0.54x	-14.4%	
ARCH Venture Fund VI, L.P.	Venture Capital	2004	\$25,000	(\$23,250)	\$33,501	\$1,013	\$34,514	1.48x	10.9%	
Clearstone Venture Partners III-A, L.P.	Venture Capital	2004	\$20,000	(\$18,400)	\$21,119	\$214	\$21,334	1.16x	3.6%	
Darwin Venture Fund of Funds	Venture Capital	2004	\$8,424	(\$7,719)	\$10,541	\$226	\$10,767	1.39x	10.0%	
DCM Fund IV, L.P.	Venture Capital	2004	\$10,000	(\$9,500)	\$9,186	\$4,547	\$13,733	1.45x	8.3%	
Palomar Ventures III, L.P.	Venture Capital	2004	\$30,000	(\$23,700)	\$10,987	\$2,878	\$13,865	0.59x	-16.9%	
Updata Venture Partners III, L.P.	Venture Capital	2004	\$25,000	(\$23,888)	\$24,804	\$2,228	\$27,032	1.13x	2.9%	
Granite Global Ventures II, L.P.	Venture Capital	2004	\$25,000	(\$23,875)	\$25,033	\$10,708	\$35,741	1.50x	9.4%	
InterWest Partners IX, L.P.	Venture Capital	2004	\$40,000	(\$30,000)	\$26,276	\$2,213	\$28,489	0.95x	-1.5%	
Venture Strategy Partners III, L.P.	Venture Capital	2004	\$30,000	(\$1,500)	\$0	\$1,258	\$1,258	0.84x	-16.1%	
Aisling Capital II, L.P.	Venture Capital	2005	\$15,000	(\$12,572)	\$8,311	\$1,630	\$9,940	0.79x	-7.0%	
BlueRun Ventures, L.P.	Venture Capital	2005	\$30,000	(\$26,700)	\$22,970	\$7,886	\$30,856	1.16x	4.2%	
Canaan Equity Partners VII	Venture Capital	2005	\$35,000	(\$31,500)	\$37,448	\$15,609	\$53,057	1.68x	17.1%	
Claremont Creek Ventures, LP	Venture Capital	2005	\$15,000	(\$12,563)	\$7,155	\$972	\$8,127	0.65x	-16.3%	
Granite Ventures II, LP	Venture Capital	2005	\$25,000	(\$19,375)	\$14,409	\$3,702	\$18,111	0.93x	-3.0%	
Lightspeed Venture Partners VII, L.P.	Venture Capital	2005	\$25,000	(\$21,010)	\$23,084	\$4,443	\$27,527	1.31x	8.6%	
Trinity Ventures IX, L.P.	Venture Capital	2005	\$20,000	(\$16,480)	\$16,318	\$3,409	\$19,727	1.20x	6.4%	
Insight Venture Partners V, L.P.	Venture Capital	2005	\$27,000	(\$26,868)	\$27,054	\$25,204	\$52,258	1.95x	22.5%	
Insight Venture Partners V Coinvestment Fund, L.P.	Venture Capital	2005	\$8,400	(\$8,400)	\$8,022	\$10,744	\$18,766	2.23x	26.0%	
Polaris Venture Partners V, L.P.	Venture Capital	2005	\$38,000	(\$29,260)	\$32,984	\$2,144	\$35,128	1.20x	7.5%	
Caduceus Private Investments III, LP	Venture Capital	2006	\$20,000	(\$17,890)	\$19,347	\$4,371	\$23,718	1.33x	11.6%	
De Novo Ventures III, L.P.	Venture Capital	2006	\$30,000	(\$20,550)	\$15,544	\$0	\$15,544	0.76x	-10.5%	
Domain Partners VII, L.P.	Venture Capital	2006	\$30,000	(\$23,400)	\$15,556	\$6,793	\$22,350	0.96x	-2.3%	
DCM Fund V, L.P.	Venture Capital	2006	\$18,000	(\$17,100)	\$21,839	\$0	\$21,839	1.28x	10.1%	
Globespan Capital Partners V, L.P.	Venture Capital	2006	\$35,000	(\$26,110)	\$27,269	\$6,126	\$33,395	1.28x	9.0%	
Novak Biddle Venture Partners V, L.P.	Venture Capital	2006	\$11,000	(\$6,397)	\$5,879	\$459	\$6,338	0.99x	-0.4%	
The Column Group, L.P.	Venture Capital	2006	\$25,000	(\$13,644)	\$12,516	\$0	\$12,516	0.92x	-4.9%	
Granite Global Ventures III, L.P.	Venture Capital	2006	\$37,500	(\$31,875)	\$45,412	\$3,995	\$49,407	1.55x	20.4%	
Intersouth Partners VII, L.P.	Venture Capital	2006	\$40,000	(\$22,100)	\$15,592	\$0	\$15,592	0.71x	-16.1%	
GGV Capital IV, L.P.	Venture Capital	2006	\$35,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA	NA	NA
ARCH Venture Fund VII, L.P.	Venture Capital	2007	\$40,000	(\$14,200)	\$12,774	\$0	\$12,774	0.90x	NM	NM
Bessemer Venture Partners VII Institutional	Venture Capital	2007	\$10,000	(\$6,553)	\$6,977	\$114	\$7,091	1.08x	NM	NM
Canaan VIII, L.P.	Venture Capital	2007	\$35,000	(\$15,750)	\$21,224	\$1,387	\$22,611	1.44x	NM	NM
Darwin Venture Capital Fund of Funds II LP	Venture Capital	2007	\$10,000	(\$8,883)	\$11,397	\$11	\$11,408	1.28x	NM	NM

¹ Fund level data and IRR calculations for Accel, Kleiner Perkins Caufield & Byers, and Sequoia Capital are based on March 31, 2003 as determined by Cambridge Associates LLC and are no longer provided by Cambridge Associates LLC.

² As determined by Cambridge Associates, funds with NM (not meaningful) are too young to have produced meaningful returns. Funds with NA (not applicable) have not yet commenced operations.

³ Net IRR (internal rate of return) includes the cash-on-cash return net of fees, expenses, and carried interest as well as the net asset value of University of California Regents' interest in the partnership as determined by the General Partners. Differences in the valuation policies employed by General Partners (for which no industry standard currently exists) and differences in the investment pace of each partnership materially affect the IRR calculations. As such, IRRs should not be used to measure a fund's performance until all investments have been fully realized. In addition, a comparison of IRRs across funds would fail to account for these inherent differences.

⁴ Cambridge Associates LLC methodology assumes a mid-quarter convention for all cash flows within the IRR calculation. In the case of Versant Venture Capital I and Versant Venture Capital II the actual cash flow dates were utilized.

^{NM} For funds formed in a vintage year of 2007 or later, the Investment Multiple and Net IRR are not yet meaningful as these funds are still in the process of making new investments and the performance of new and existing investments will not be determined for several years to come.

THESE FOOTNOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THIS REPORT. ANY DISCLOSURE OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT MUST INCLUDE THESE FOOTNOTES. A FAILURE TO INCLUDE THESE FOOTNOTES WHEN DISCLOSING THIS INFORMATION TO ANY THIRD PARTY WOULD BE MISLEADING AND WOULD CONSTITUTE A MATERIAL MISREPRESENTATION.

**The Regents of the University of California
Alternative Investments as of June 30, 2011**

Fund Name	Type	Vintage Year	UC Commitment	Cash In	Current NAV	Cash Out	Cash Out + Current NAV	Investment Multiple ²	note	Net IRR ^{1,2,3}	note
VENTURE CAPITAL			Dollars in Thousands								
VENTURE CAPITAL			Dollars in Thousands								
Updata Venture Partners IV, L.P.	Venture Capital	2007	\$36,000	(\$19,926)	\$19,694	\$0	\$19,694	0.99x	NM	NM	NM
Insight Venture Partners VI, L.P.	Venture Capital	2007	\$50,000	(\$48,969)	\$68,650	\$4,336	\$72,986	1.49x	NM	NM	NM
W Capital Partners II, LP	Venture Capital	2007	\$60,000	(\$36,582)	\$40,887	\$18,875	\$59,762	1.63x	NM	NM	NM
Aisling Capital III, LP	Venture Capital	2008	\$30,000	(\$4,663)	\$3,585	\$0	\$3,585	0.77x	NM	NM	NM
Bluerun Ventures IV, L.P.	Venture Capital	2008	\$35,000	(\$16,800)	\$21,885	\$2,383	\$24,268	1.44x	NM	NM	NM
Claremont Creek Ventures II, LP	Venture Capital	2008	\$27,000	(\$6,278)	\$4,690	\$0	\$4,690	0.75x	NM	NM	NM
Energy Special Situations Fund II, L.P.	Venture Capital	2008	\$20,000	(\$5,304)	\$5,421	\$920	\$6,341	1.20x	NM	NM	NM
Lightspeed Venture Partners VIII, L.P.	Venture Capital	2008	\$30,000	(\$16,800)	\$32,958	\$0	\$32,958	1.96x	NM	NM	NM
RockPort Capital Partners III, L.P.	Venture Capital	2008	\$25,000	(\$11,258)	\$6,201	\$0	\$6,201	0.55x	NM	NM	NM
DCM VI, L.P.	Venture Capital	2009	\$25,000	(\$7,500)	\$7,635	\$0	\$7,635	1.02x	NM	NM	NM
Khosla Ventures Fund III, L.P.	Venture Capital	2009	\$60,000	(\$40,200)	\$54,056	\$1,598	\$55,654	1.38x	NM	NM	NM
Khosla Ventures Seed, L.P.	Venture Capital	2009	\$17,143	(\$7,371)	\$7,830	\$0	\$7,830	1.06x	NM	NM	NM
Caduceus Private Investments IV, L.P.	Venture Capital	2010	\$25,000	(\$3,113)	\$3,768	\$0	\$3,768	1.21x	NM	NM	NM
Insight Coinvest II, L.P.	Venture Capital	2010	\$13,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA	NA	NA	NA
Insight Venture Partners VII, L.P.	Venture Capital	2010	\$50,000	(\$6,300)	\$5,891	\$0	\$5,891	0.94x	NM	NM	NM
Polaris Venture Partners VI, L.P.	Venture Capital	2010	\$20,000	(\$200)	\$171	\$0	\$171	0.86x	NM	NM	NM
Sequoia Capital 2010, L.P.	Venture Capital	2010	\$30,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Bessemer Venture Partners VIII	Venture Capital	2011	\$26,850	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA	NA	NA	NA
Khosla Ventures IV, L.P.	Venture Capital	2011	\$60,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA	NA	NA	NA
Warburg Pincus Private Equity IX, L.P.	Venture Capital/LBO	2005	\$160,000	(\$158,606)	\$167,750	\$65,195	\$232,945	1.47x		10.2%	
LEVERAGED BUYOUTS			Dollars in Thousands								
Golder, Thoma, Cressley Fund III	LBO	1987	\$15,000	(\$15,000)	\$181	\$83,698	\$83,879	5.59x		31.3%	
WCAS Capital Partners II, L.P.	LBO	1990	\$20,000	(\$20,000)	\$4,511	\$27,761	\$32,271	1.61x		10.2%	
Golder, Thoma, Cressley, Rauner Fund IV, L.P.	LBO	1993	\$25,000	(\$25,000)	\$98	\$52,875	\$52,973	2.12x		25.7%	
Welsh, Carson, Anderson & Stowe VI	LBO	1993	\$50,000	(\$50,000)	\$186	\$103,379	\$103,565	2.07x		14.1%	
Welsh, Carson, Anderson & Stowe VII	LBO	1995	\$100,000	(\$100,000)	\$2,094	\$215,400	\$217,493	2.17x		17.7%	
The SKM Equity Fund II, L.P.	LBO	1996	\$60,000	(\$51,202)	\$9,134	\$24,580	\$33,714	0.66x		-3.7%	
Golder, Thoma, Cressley, Rauner Fund V, L.P.	LBO	1997	\$40,000	(\$40,000)	\$961	\$71,940	\$72,901	1.82x		11.1%	
WCAS Capital Partners III, L.P.	LBO	1997	\$200,000	(\$200,000)	\$24,205	\$319,375	\$345,580	1.72x		13.2%	
Calera Capital	LBO	2000	\$25,000	(\$23,722)	\$13,601	\$7,723	\$21,324	0.90x		-3.5%	
Madison Dearborn Capital Partners IV, L.P.	LBO	2000	\$50,000	(\$45,968)	\$27,885	\$57,228	\$85,113	1.85x		16.9%	
Ripplewood Partners II	LBO	2000	\$20,000	(\$22,443)	\$9,456	\$18,974	\$28,430	1.27x		9.1%	
Arsenal Capital Partners Fund, L.P.	LBO	2001	\$20,000	(\$21,312)	\$5,913	\$35,035	\$40,948	1.92x		21.1%	
Blackstone Capital Partners IV, L.P.	LBO	2002	\$50,000	(\$52,285)	\$43,504	\$85,631	\$129,135	2.47x		39.6%	
Glencoe Capital Institutional Partners III, L.P.	LBO	2002	\$10,000	(\$3,595)	\$2,642	\$2,562	\$5,204	1.45x		10.7%	
Glencoe Capital Partners III, L.P.	LBO	2002	\$20,000	(\$18,629)	\$12,826	\$11,264	\$24,090	1.29x		6.5%	
Inverness Partners II, L.P.	LBO	2002	\$13,125	(\$9,496)	\$11,974	\$5,132	\$17,106	1.80x		10.5%	
Lake Capital Partners L.P.	LBO	2002	\$20,000	(\$19,135)	\$8,109	\$12,819	\$20,928	1.09x		2.9%	
Lindsay, Goldberg, & Bessemer, L.P.	LBO	2002	\$20,000	(\$19,119)	\$5,859	\$36,574	\$42,433	2.22x		33.7%	
Kelso Investment Associates VII, L.P.	LBO	2003	\$40,000	(\$39,460)	\$40,028	\$26,176	\$66,203	1.68x		15.7%	
Olympus Growth Fund IV, L.P.	LBO	2003	\$25,000	(\$26,446)	\$16,504	\$20,654	\$37,158	1.41x		8.8%	
SPC Partners III, L.P.	LBO	2003	\$25,000	(\$21,350)	\$22,392	\$18,699	\$41,091	1.92x		17.1%	
Bain Capital Fund VIII - Coinvestment Fund, L.P.	LBO	2004	\$7,500	(\$6,931)	\$6,892	\$5,380	\$12,272	1.77x		15.4%	
Bain Capital Fund VIII, L.P.	LBO	2004	\$20,000	(\$19,850)	\$17,601	\$14,772	\$32,373	1.63x		12.3%	
Century Park Capital Partners II	LBO	2004	\$25,000	(\$18,178)	\$15,834	\$0	\$15,834	0.87x		-3.5%	
Eos Capital Partners III, L.P.	LBO	2004	\$25,000	(\$22,757)	\$18,900	\$7,573	\$26,472	1.16x		4.6%	
Fortress Investment Fund III, LLC	LBO	2004	\$10,369	(\$10,348)	\$7,629	\$3,196	\$10,825	1.05x		1.2%	
Genstar Capital Partners IV, L.P.	LBO	2004	\$20,000	(\$20,786)	\$18,056	\$13,558	\$31,613	1.52x		11.7%	
Graham Partners II, L.P.	LBO	2004	\$25,000	(\$22,863)	\$24,610	\$1,209	\$25,819	1.13x		3.1%	
Perseus 2000 Expansion Fund, L.L.C.	LBO	2004	\$20,000	(\$19,540)	\$9,010	\$5,536	\$14,545	0.74x		-7.8%	
Wicks Communications & Media Partners III, L.P.	LBO	2004	\$25,000	(\$19,372)	\$21,664	\$5,703	\$27,367	1.41x		11.7%	
Wind Point Partners VI	LBO	2004	\$30,000	(\$25,306)	\$27,584	\$1,956	\$29,540	1.17x		5.1%	
Apollo Investment Fund VI L.P.	LBO	2005	\$50,000	(\$43,918)	\$56,674	\$5,558	\$62,232	1.42x		10.2%	
Blackstone Capital Partners Fund V	LBO	2005	\$125,000	(\$115,360)	\$112,149	\$8,045	\$120,193	1.04x		1.3%	
Charlesbank Equity Fund VI, L.P.	LBO	2005	\$16,000	(\$13,113)	\$14,212	\$2,487	\$16,700	1.27x		9.7%	
JLL Partners Fund V, L.P.	LBO	2005	\$50,000	(\$45,444)	\$36,410	\$21,762	\$58,171	1.28x		8.0%	
KRG Capital Partners III	LBO	2005	\$35,000	(\$31,055)	\$19,082	\$5,885	\$24,966	0.80x		-6.1%	
Madison Dearborn Capital Partners V, L.P.	LBO	2005	\$100,000	(\$89,549)	\$84,481	\$12,393	\$96,875	1.08x		2.2%	
Quad-C Partners VII, LP	LBO	2005	\$25,000	(\$19,260)	\$22,383	\$70	\$22,453	1.17x		5.1%	
SUN Capital Partners IV, LP	LBO	2005	\$16,500	(\$14,786)	\$20,636	\$2,044	\$22,680	1.53x		10.8%	
Vestor Capital Partners V, L.P.	LBO	2005	\$64,000	(\$63,184)	\$60,331	\$13,121	\$73,452	1.16x		6.3%	
Water Street Capital Partners, LP	LBO	2005	\$35,000	(\$32,340)	\$40,334	\$3,267	\$43,601	1.35x		8.9%	
Wellspring Capital Partners IV, LP	LBO	2005	\$30,000	(\$29,436)	\$30,473	\$1,925	\$32,398	1.10x		3.6%	
Ares Corporate Opportunities Fund II, L.P.	LBO	2006	\$35,000	(\$29,342)	\$31,767	\$14,242	\$46,009	1.57x		13.0%	
Arsenal Capital Partners II, L.P.	LBO	2006	\$63,000	(\$53,114)	\$64,748	\$0	\$64,748	1.22x		11.0%	
Bain Capital Fund IX Co-Invest L.P.	LBO	2006	\$15,000	(\$14,550)	\$15,064	\$3,126	\$18,191	1.25x		5.7%	
Bain Capital Fund IX, L.P.	LBO	2006	\$45,000	(\$43,762)	\$42,946	\$11,270	\$54,216	1.24x		5.7%	
Cerberus Institutional Partners, Series IV, L.P.	LBO	2006	\$40,000	(\$34,329)	\$44,392	\$0	\$44,392	1.29x		8.0%	
First Reserve Fund XI, L.P.	LBO	2006	\$50,000	(\$38,557)	\$31,729	\$10,363	\$42,092	1.09x		2.8%	
Fortress Investment Fund IV, L.P.	LBO	2006	\$20,000	(\$19,921)	\$17,714	\$35	\$17,749	0.89x		-2.7%	
HIG Capital IV	LBO	2006	\$4,500	(\$2,118)	\$2,542	\$843	\$3,386	1.60x		38.9%	
J.C. Flowers II, L.P.	LBO	2006	\$50,000	(\$47,746)	\$13,369	\$2,417	\$15,786	0.33x		-29.1%	
Lindsay, Goldberg & Bessemer II, L.P.	LBO	2006	\$35,000	(\$30,953)	\$29,019	\$4,541	\$33,560	1.08x		2.5%	
Paine & Partners Capital Fund III, L.P.	LBO	2006	\$50,000	(\$29,749)	\$28,196	\$860	\$29,056	0.98x		-0.7%	

¹ Fund level data and IRR calculations for Accel, Kleiner Perkins Caufield & Byers, and Sequoia Capital are based on March 31, 2003 as determined by Cambridge Associates LLC and are no longer provided by Cambridge Associates LLC.

² As determined by Cambridge Associates, funds with NM (not meaningful) are too young to have produced meaningful returns. Funds with NA (not applicable) have not yet commenced operations.

³ Net IRR (internal rate of return) includes the cash-on-cash return net of fees, expenses, and carried interest as well as the net asset value of University of California Regents' interest in the partnership as determined by the General Partners. Differences in the valuation policies employed by General Partners (for which no industry standard currently exists) and differences in the investment pace of each partnership materially affect the IRR calculations. As such, IRRs should not be used to measure a fund's performance until all investments have been fully realized. In addition, a comparison of IRRs across funds would fail to account for these inherent differences.

⁴ Cambridge Associates LLC methodology assumes a mid-quarter convention for all cash flows within the IRR calculation. In the case of Versant Venture Capital I and Versant Venture Capital II the actual cash flow dates were utilized.

^{NM} For funds formed in a vintage year of 2007 or later, the Investment Multiple and Net IRR are not yet meaningful as these funds are still in the process of making new investments and the performance of new and existing investments will not be determined for several years to come.

THESE FOOTNOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THIS REPORT. ANY DISCLOSURE OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT MUST INCLUDE THESE FOOTNOTES. A FAILURE TO INCLUDE THESE FOOTNOTES WHEN DISCLOSING THIS INFORMATION TO ANY THIRD PARTY WOULD BE MISLEADING AND WOULD CONSTITUTE A MATERIAL MISREPRESENTATION.

**The Regents of the University of California
Alternative Investments as of June 30, 2011**

Fund Name	Type	Vintage Year	UC Commitment	Cash In	Current NAV	Cash Out	Cash Out + Current NAV	Investment Multiple ²	note	Net IRR ^{1,2,3}	note
VENTURE CAPITAL			Dollars in Thousands								
LEVERAGED BUYOUTS			Dollars in Thousands								
Perseus Partners VII L.P.	LBO	2006	\$90,380	(\$85,860)	\$90,752	\$7,563	\$98,315	1.15x		6.3%	
Shamrock Capital Growth Fund II L.P.	LBO	2006	\$40,507	(\$34,005)	\$26,366	\$15,626	\$41,992	1.23x		13.5%	
Terra Firma Capital Partners III, L.P.	LBO	2006	\$44,490	(\$32,353)	\$14,917	\$855	\$15,772	0.49x		-25.4%	
STAR Capital Partners II, L.P.	LBO	2006	\$29,710	(\$16,590)	\$27,181	\$0	\$27,181	1.64x	NM	NM	NM
Apollo Investment Fund VII	LBO	2007	\$25,000	(\$11,126)	\$15,286	\$1,739	\$17,025	1.53x	NM	NM	NM
Bain Capital Europe III	LBO	2007	\$50,668	(\$18,531)	\$17,800	\$2,414	\$20,215	1.09x	NM	NM	NM
Bain Capital Fund X Co-Invest, L.P.	LBO	2007	\$12,500	(\$1,750)	\$1,064	\$42	\$1,107	0.63x	NM	NM	NM
Bain Capital Fund X, L.P.	LBO	2007	\$75,000	(\$42,562)	\$37,628	\$4,750	\$42,378	1.00x	NM	NM	NM
Berkshire Fund VII L.P.	LBO	2007	\$30,000	(\$20,779)	\$20,255	\$3,823	\$24,079	1.16x	NM	NM	NM
Eos Capital Partners IV, L.P.	LBO	2007	\$50,000	(\$15,198)	\$14,410	\$1,173	\$15,583	1.03x	NM	NM	NM
Fortress Investment Fund V, L.P.	LBO	2007	\$45,000	(\$44,493)	\$38,336	\$0	\$38,336	0.86x	NM	NM	NM
Genstar Capital Partners V, LP	LBO	2007	\$100,000	(\$80,738)	\$96,816	\$358	\$97,174	1.20x	NM	NM	NM
Kelso Investment Associates VIII, L.P.	LBO	2007	\$100,000	(\$36,567)	\$31,207	\$2,055	\$33,263	0.91x	NM	NM	NM
KRG Capital Fund IV, L.P.	LBO	2007	\$25,000	(\$12,368)	\$13,935	\$650	\$14,585	1.18x	NM	NM	NM
Madison Dearborn Capital Partners VI, L.P.	LBO	2007	\$100,000	(\$28,872)	\$26,199	\$6,569	\$32,768	1.13x	NM	NM	NM
Olympus Growth Fund V, LP	LBO	2007	\$98,000	(\$25,000)	\$46,324	\$2,863	\$49,187	1.41x	NM	NM	NM
Pine Brook Road Partners, LLC	LBO	2007	\$50,000	(\$22,500)	\$21,757	\$6,766	\$28,523	1.27x	NM	NM	NM
Segulah IV, L.P.	LBO	2007	\$20,762	(\$11,959)	\$10,219	\$0	\$10,219	0.85x	NM	NM	NM
SUN Capital Partners V, L.P.	LBO	2007	\$66,667	(\$26,419)	\$34,323	\$0	\$34,323	1.30x	NM	NM	NM
Vector Capital IV, L.P.	LBO	2007	\$25,000	(\$6,879)	\$6,415	\$0	\$6,415	0.93x	NM	NM	NM
Warburg Pincus Private Equity X, L.P.	LBO	2007	\$175,000	(\$137,725)	\$138,415	\$9,026	\$147,441	1.07x	NM	NM	NM
WLR Recovery Fund IV, LP	LBO	2007	\$100,000	(\$56,901)	\$62,080	\$12,183	\$74,263	1.31x	NM	NM	NM
4D Global Energy Investments Fund, PLC	LBO	2008	\$20,000	(\$3,797)	\$3,209	\$0	\$3,209	0.85x	NM	NM	NM
Ares Corporate Opportunities Fund III, L.P.	LBO	2008	\$50,000	(\$22,147)	\$29,229	\$8,801	\$38,030	1.72x	NM	NM	NM
Bain Sankaty Credit Opportunities IV, L.P.	LBO	2008	\$105,000	(\$73,500)	\$77,459	\$33,012	\$110,471	1.50x	NM	NM	NM
Flexpoint Ford Fund II, L.P.	LBO	2008	\$50,000	(\$21,579)	\$28,264	\$0	\$28,264	1.31x	NM	NM	NM
FountainVest China Growth Capital Fund, L.P.	LBO	2008	\$25,000	(\$10,022)	\$11,498	\$0	\$11,498	1.15x	NM	NM	NM
H.I.G. Bayside Debt & LBO Fund II, L.P.	LBO	2008	\$30,000	(\$11,550)	\$10,740	\$2,392	\$13,132	1.14x	NM	NM	NM
JLL Partners Fund VI, L.P.	LBO	2008	\$50,000	(\$11,656)	\$22,833	\$0	\$22,833	1.96x	NM	NM	NM
Lime Rock Partners V, L.P.	LBO	2008	\$30,000	(\$18,853)	\$24,504	\$0	\$24,504	1.30x	NM	NM	NM
Lindsay, Goldberg & Bessemer III, L.P.	LBO	2008	\$70,000	(\$17,691)	\$15,248	\$546	\$15,795	0.89x	NM	NM	NM
Lombard Odier Private Equity Euro Choice IV	LBO	2008	\$32,585	(\$7,676)	\$6,666	\$0	\$6,666	0.87x	NM	NM	NM
Sentient Global Resources Fund III, L.P.	LBO	2008	\$50,000	(\$42,595)	\$46,387	\$15,824	\$62,211	1.46x	NM	NM	NM
SG Growth Capital Partners I, L.P.	LBO	2008	\$20,000	(\$12,473)	\$15,968	\$0	\$15,968	1.28x	NM	NM	NM
Water Street Healthcare Partners II, L.P.	LBO	2008	\$50,000	(\$19,675)	\$32,674	\$782	\$33,456	1.70x	NM	NM	NM
Charlesbank Equity Fund VII, L.P.	LBO	2009	\$50,000	(\$12,999)	\$12,568	\$2,048	\$14,616	1.12x	NM	NM	NM
Blackstone Capital Partners VI, L.P.	LBO	2010	\$30,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA	NA	NA	NA
Francisco Partners III, L.P.	LBO	2010	\$25,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA	NA	NA	NA
Perseus Partners VIII, L.P.	LBO	2010	\$25,000	(\$150)	\$150	\$0	\$150	1.00x	NM	NM	NM
RoundTable Healthcare Capital Partners II, LP	LBO	2010	\$5,000	(\$497)	\$431	\$29	\$460	0.93x	NM	NM	NM
Roundtable Healthcare Partners III, LP	LBO	2010	\$20,000	(\$2,698)	\$2,509	\$0	\$2,509	0.93x	NM	NM	NM
Shamrock Capital Growth Fund III, L.P.	LBO	2010	\$35,000	(\$91)	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00x	NM	NM	NM
Alpha Private Equity Fund 6	LBO	2011	\$35,982	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA	NA	NA	NA
Berkshire Fund VIII, L.P.	LBO	2011	\$42,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA	NA	NA	NA
Genstar Capital Partners VI, L.P.	LBO	2011	\$40,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA	NA	NA	NA
Sentient Global Resources Fund IV, L.P.	LBO	2011	\$50,000	(\$3,428)	\$3,306	\$0	\$3,306	0.96x	NM	NM	NM
SFC Energy Partners II	LBO	2011	\$22,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA	NA	NA	NA
Yellow Wood Partners, L.P.	LBO	2011	\$50,000	(\$124)	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00x	NM	NM	NM
EMERGING MARKETS			Dollars in Thousands								
AIG Asian Infrastructure Fund L.P.	Emerging Markets	1994	\$75,000	(\$70,657)	\$314	\$50,086	\$50,400	0.71x		-6.2%	
AIG-GE Capital Latin American Infrastructure Fund	Emerging Markets	1996	\$115,000	(\$108,701)	\$602	\$102,825	\$103,427	0.95x		-0.8%	
AIG Asian Infrastructure Fund II, L.P.	Emerging Markets	1997	\$100,000	(\$68,027)	\$413	\$93,807	\$94,221	1.39x		7.0%	
Latin America Capital Partners II, L.P.	Emerging Markets	1996	\$50,000	(\$48,394)	\$247	\$36,766	\$37,013	0.76x		-3.7%	

¹ Fund level data and IRR calculations for Accel, Kleiner Perkins Caufield & Byers, and Sequoia Capital are based on March 31, 2003 as determined by Cambridge Associates LLC and are no longer provided by Cambridge Associates LLC.

² As determined by Cambridge Associates, funds with NM (not meaningful) are too young to have produced meaningful returns. Funds with NA (not applicable) have not yet commenced operations.

³ Net IRR (internal rate of return) includes the cash-on-cash return net of fees, expenses, and carried interest as well as the net asset value of University of California Regents' interest in the partnership as determined by the General Partners. Differences in the valuation policies employed by General Partners (for which no industry standard currently exists) and differences in the investment pace of each partnership materially affect the IRR calculations. As such, IRRs should not be used to measure a fund's performance until all investments have been fully realized. In addition, a comparison of IRRs across funds would fail to account for these inherent differences.

⁴ Cambridge Associates LLC methodology assumes a mid-quarter convention for all cash flows within the IRR calculation. In the case of Versant Venture Capital I and Versant Venture Capital II the actual cash flow dates were utilized.

^{NM} For funds formed in a vintage year of 2007 or later, the Investment Multiple and Net IRR are not yet meaningful as these funds are still in the process of making new investments and the performance of new and existing investments will not be determined for several years to come.

THESE FOOTNOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THIS REPORT. ANY DISCLOSURE OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT MUST INCLUDE THESE FOOTNOTES. A FAILURE TO INCLUDE THESE FOOTNOTES WHEN DISCLOSING THIS INFORMATION TO ANY THIRD PARTY WOULD BE MISLEADING AND WOULD CONSTITUTE A MATERIAL MISREPRESENTATION.