

TO: Terence Huwe, LAUC President
FR: Position Paper Review Task Force
RE: 2004 Final Report (Fall Assembly)

Task Force Charge:

The Following charge was issued by LAUC President Linda Kennedy on **July 29, 2004**:

The Task Force charge was revised March 8th of this year. The PPRTF completed work on the first part of that revised charge, which was to revise the “Definitions and Guidelines for LAUC Position Papers” based on the new understanding of LAUC Position Papers adopted at the Fall 2003 Assembly. ... Now that the revised Definition and Guidelines document has been adopted by a vote of the Assembly, it is time to complete the remaining (and original!) charge to the Task Force:

Please review each of Position Papers 2-5

No. 2: Development of Effective Communication between Statewide LAUC and Library Council

<http://www.ucop.edu/lauc/about/paper02.html>

No. 3: Documentation Guidelines for the Review of Librarians

<http://www.ucop.edu/lauc/about/paper03.html>

No. 4: Review Procedures for Librarians Outside the Normal Campus Peer Review Process

<http://www.ucop.edu/lauc/about/paper04.html>

No. 5: The Academic Librarian in the University of California

<http://www.ucop.edu/lauc/about/paper05.html>

Please recommend, according to the new Guidelines, a disposition for Position Papers 2-5.

Position Papers may be

- Reaffirmed
- Retired: If a position paper no longer represents the opinion of the membership, the position paper will be retired. The text will be archived.
- Replaced: A new position paper may be developed when there is consensus on a new position on an issue of continuing concern.

Summary of Action Items:

This report represents the completion of the work of a Task Force originally constituted in March 2003. Web site: <http://www.ucop.edu/lauc/committees/ppr/index.html>. Upon the departure of Chair Deborah Turner (SC) following Spring Assembly 2004, Heidi Hutchinson (R) assumed the Chair’s duties for the final portion of the charge. The current committee was asked to complete its work by October 1, 2004 so that the recommendations could be distributed to the Divisions for discussion. The final recommendations resulting from those discussions will be brought before the Assembly for a vote.

Discussion and Recommendations:

Since only four members remained on the Task Force, each member took on a Position Paper, reviewed its text, background (history), and relevance in today’s LAUC world, and made a

recommendation for disposition. Those were discussed and agreed upon in the Task Force; the resulting brief reports are attached and will serve to facilitate discussion at the Divisions.

To summarize the recommendations of the Task Force:

Position Paper 2: Retire

Position Paper 3: Reaffirm and update

Position Paper 4: Reaffirm

Position Paper 5: Reaffirm and update

Though “update” is not technically provided for in the Definition and Guidelines, it was our sense in two of the cases that references to the MOU may need to be added. Additionally, in the case of Position Paper 3, we found it particularly important that the “Model Call” be linked to the footnote that references it and have provided an electronic copy to that end.

Position Paper Review Task Force Members:

Heidi Hutchinson (R), Chair

Myrtis Cochran (B)

Lucia Snowhill (SB)

Patricia Inouye (D)

Attachments:

Review and Proposed Disposition of Position Papers:

Position Paper 2

Position Paper 3

Model Call (1988)

Position Paper 4

Position Paper 5

30 September 2004