
FIGURES

1. Key Factors Considered in Our Analysis	7
2. Alternative Enrollment Estimates Compared to Projections from Other Studies	11
3. Alternative Estimates of State General Fund Allocations to Higher Education	15
4. Effect of Alternative Estimates of State General Fund on UC and CSU Access Deficit	19
5. Effect of Alternative Assumptions About Feasible Efficiency Improvements on UC and CSU Enrollment	21
6. Cost of Inputs to Higher Education, 1962–95	22
7. Effect of Alternative Assumptions About Feasible Effectiveness Improvements on CSU Enrollment and Degrees Awarded	24
8. Effect of Student Fees on UC Enrollment	27
9. UC Access Deficits in 2014 for 25 Scenarios with Different Assumptions About State Funding and Feasible Efficiency Improvements	30
10. UC Access Deficits in 2014 for 100 Scenarios with Different Assumptions About State Funding, Feasible Efficiency Improvements, and Student Demand	33
11. CSU Bachelor’s Degrees Awarded and First-Time Freshmen in 2014 for 125 Scenarios with Different Assumptions About State Funding, Feasible Efficiency Improvements, and Feasible Effectiveness Improvements	35

12.	CC Access Deficits in 2014 for 100 Scenarios with Different Assumptions About State Funding, Feasible Efficiency Improvements, and Student Demand	38
13.	Effect of Fees on UC, CSU, and CC Access Deficits in 2014 as a Function of Student Sensitivity to Price of Education for Three Sets of Assumptions About Future State Funding, Feasible Efficiency Improvements, and Student Demand	40
A.1.	Student Admissions and Flows	48
B.1.	Effect of Constrained and Unconstrained (“Base”) Admissions on CSU Enrollment and Revenues Per Student	54